Neural Networks

Matt R

February 22, 2022

Contents

1	Logistic Regression	2
	1.1 The Gradient	
2	Neural Networks: A Single Hidden Layer	9

1 Logistic Regression

We begin with a review of binary classification and logistic regression. To this end, suppose we have we have training examples $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with binary labels $y \in \{0,1\}^{1 \times n}$. We desire to train a model which yields an output a which represents

$$a = \mathbb{P}(y = 1|x).$$

To this end, let $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$ denote the sigmoid function, i.e.,

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}},$$

and let $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$a = \sigma(w^T x + b).$$

To analyze the accuracy of model, we need a way to compare y and a, and ideally this functional comparison can be optimized with respect to (w, b) in such a way to minimize the error. To this end, we note that

$$\mathbb{P}(y|x) = a^y (1-a)^{1-y},$$

or rather

$$\mathbb{P}(y=1|x) = a, \qquad \mathbb{P}(y=0|x) = 1 - a,$$

so $\mathbb{P}(y|x)$ represents the corrected probability. Now since we want

$$a \approx 1$$
 when $y = 1$,

and

$$a \approx 0$$
 when $y = 0$,

and $0 \le a \le 1$, any error using differences won't be refined enough to analyze when tuning the model. Moreover, since introducing the sigmoid function, our usual mean-squared-error function won't be convex. This leads us to apply the log function, which when restricted to (0,1) is a bijective mapping of $(0,1) \to (-\infty,0)$. This leads us to define our log-loss function

$$L(a, y) = -\log(\mathbb{P}(y|x))$$

= $-\log(a^{y}(1-a)^{1-y})$
= $-[y\log(a) + (1-y)\log(1-a)],$

and finally, since we wish to analyze how our model performs on the entire training set, we need to average our log-loss functions to obtain our cost function $\mathbb J$ defined by

$$\mathbb{J}(w,b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{L}(a_j, y_j)
= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[y_j \log(a_j) + (1 - y_j) \log(1 - a_j) \right]
= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[y_j \log(\sigma(w^T x_j + b)) + (1 - y_j) \log(1 - \sigma(w^T x_j + b)) \right].$$

1.1 The Gradient

To compute the gradient of our cost function \mathbb{J} , we first write \mathbb{J} as a sum of compositions as follows: We have the log-loss function considered as a map $\mathbb{L}:(0,1)\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{L}(a, y) = -[y \log(a) + (1 - y) \log(1 - a)],$$

we have the sigmoid function $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$ with $\sigma(z) = a$ and $\sigma'(z) = a(1-a)$, and we have the collection of affine-functionals $\phi_x: \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\phi_x(w,b) = w^T x + b,$$

for which we fix an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and write $\phi = \phi_x$, and set $z = \phi(w, b)$. Finally, we introduce the auxiliary function $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}(w,b) = \mathbb{L}(\sigma(\phi(w,b)), y).$$

Then by the chain rule, we have that

$$d\mathcal{L} = d_a \mathbb{L}(a, y) \circ d\sigma(z) \circ d_w \phi(w, b)$$

$$= \left[-\frac{y}{a} + \frac{1 - y}{1 - a} \right] \cdot a(1 - a) \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[-y(1 - a) + a(1 - y) \right] \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (a - y) \begin{bmatrix} x^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Composition turns into matrix multiplication in the tangent space. Moreover, since in Euclidean space, we have that $\nabla f = (df)^T$, and hence that

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(w, b) = (a - y) \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

or rather

$$\partial_w \mathbb{L}(a, y) = (a - y)x, \qquad \partial_b \mathbb{L}(a, y) = a - y.$$

Finally, since our cost function $\mathbb J$ is the sum-log-loss, we have by linearity that

$$\partial_w \mathbb{J}(w, b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - y_j) x_j$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} ((a - y) \cdot x^T)^T$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} x \cdot (a - y)^T$$

and

$$\partial_b \mathbb{J}(w,b) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - y_j).$$

1.1.1 Vectorization in Python

Here we include the general code to train a model using logistic regression without regularization and without tuning on a cross-validation set.

```
1 import copy
з import numpy as np
5 def sigmoid(z):
      Parameters
       z : array_like
10
      Returns
11
12
       sigma : array_like
13
14
15
       sigma = (1 / (1 + np.exp(-z)))
16
       return sigma
17
18
```

```
19 def cost_function(x, y, w, b):
      Parameters
21
      _____
22
      x : array_like
23
          x.shape = (m, n) with m-features and n-examples
24
      y : array_like
25
          y.shape = (1, n)
26
27
      w : array_like
          w.shape = (m, 1)
28
      b : float
30
      Returns
31
       -----
32
      J : float
33
          The value of the cost function evaluated at (w, b)
34
      dw : array_like
35
          dw.shape = w.shape = (m, 1)
36
          The gradient of J with respect to w
37
      db : float
38
          The partial derivative of J with respect to b
39
40
41
      # Auxiliary assignments
42
      m, n = x.shape
43
      z = w.T @ x + b
      assert z.size == n
45
      a = sigmoid(z).reshape(1, n)
      dz = a - y
47
      # Compute cost J
49
      J = (-1 / n) * (np.log(a) @ y.T + np.log(1 - a) @ (1 - y).T)
50
51
      # Compute dw and db
      dw = (x @ dz.T) / m
53
      assert dw.shape == w.shape
54
      db = np.sum(dz) / m
55
56
      return J, dw, db
57
58
  def grad_descent(x, y, w, b, alpha=0.001, num_iters=2000, print_cost=False):
59
60
61
      Parameters
      ------
62
      x, y, w, b : See cost_function above for specifics.
63
          w and b are chosen to initialize the descent (likely all components 0)
64
      alpha : float
```

```
The learning rate of gradient descent
66
       num_iters : int
67
           The number of times we wish to perform gradient descent
68
69
       Returns
70
       _____
71
       costs : List[float]
72
           For each iteration we record the cost-values associated to (w, b)
73
       params : Dict[w : array_like, b : float]
74
           w : array_like
75
                Optimized weight parameter w after iterating through grad descent
76
           b : float
77
                Optimized bias parameter b after iterating through grad descent
78
       grads : Dict[dw : array_like, db : float]
79
           dw : array_like
80
                The optimized gradient with repsect to w
81
           db : float
82
                The optimized derivative with respect to b
83
       ,, ,, ,,
84
85
       costs = []
86
       w = copy.deepcopy(w)
       b = copy.deepcopy(b)
88
       for i in range(num_iters):
89
           J, dw, db = cost_function(x, y, w, b)
90
           w = w - alpha * dw
           b = b - alpha * db
92
           if i % 100 == 0:
94
                costs.append(J)
95
                if print_cost:
96
                    idx = int(i / 100) - 1
97
                    print(f'Cost_after_iteration_{i}:_{costs[idx]}')
98
99
       params = \{'w' : w, 'b' : b\}
100
       grads = {'dw' : dw, 'db' : db}
101
102
103
       return costs, params, grads
104
105 def predict(w, b, x):
106
       Parameters
107
108
       w : array_like
109
           w.shape = (m, 1)
110
       b : float
111
       x : array_like
112
```

```
x.shape = (m, n)
113
114
       Returns
115
       _____
116
       y_predict : array_like
117
            y_pred.shape = (1, n)
118
            An array containing the prediction of our model applied to training
119
            data x, i.e., y_pred = 1 or y_pred = 0.
120
       ,, ,, ,,
121
122
       m, n = x.shape
123
       # Get probability array
124
       a = sigmoid(w.T @ x + b)
125
       \# Get boolean array with False given by a < 0.5
126
       pseudo_predict = \sim (a < 0.5)
127
       # Convert to binary to get predictions
128
129
       y_predict = pseudo_predict.astype(int)
130
       return y_predict
131
132
133 def model(x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test, alpha=0.001, num_iters=2000, accuracy=T
134
       Parameters:
135
136
       x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test : array_like
137
            x_train.shape = (m, n_train)
138
            y_{train.shape} = (1, n_{train})
139
            x_{test.shape} = (m, n_{test})
140
            y_{test.shape} = (1, n_{test})
141
       alpha : float
142
            The learning rate for gradient descent
143
       num_iters : int
144
            The number of times we wish to perform gradient descent
145
       accuracy : Boolean
146
            Use True to print the accuracy of the model
147
148
       Returns:
149
       d : Dict
150
            d['costs'] : array_like
151
                The costs evaluated every 100 iterations
152
            d['y_train_preds'] : array_like
153
                Predicted values on the training set
154
            d['y_test_preds'] : array_like
155
                Predicted values on the test set
156
            d['w'] : array_like
157
                Optimized parameter w
158
            d['b'] : float
159
```

```
Optimized parameter b
160
           d['learning_rate'] : float
161
                The learning rate alpha
162
           d['num_iters'] : int
163
                The number of iterations with which gradient descent was performed
164
165
       ,, ,, ,,
167
       m = x_{train.shape[0]}
168
       # initialize parameters
169
       w = np.zeros((m, 1))
170
       b = 0.0
171
       # optimize parameters
172
       costs, params, grads = grad_descent(x_train, y_train, w, b, alpha, num_iters)
173
       w = params['w']
174
       b = params['b']
175
       # record predictions
176
       y_train_preds = predict(w, b, x_train)
177
       y_test_preds = predict(w, b, x_test)
178
       # group results into dictionary for return
179
       d = {'costs' : costs,
180
             'y_train_preds' : y_train_preds,
             'y_test_preds' : y_test_preds,
182
             'W' : W,
183
             'b' : b,
184
             'learning_rate' : alpha,
             'num_iters' : num_iters}
186
187
       if accuracy:
188
           train_acc = 100 - np.mean(np.abs(y_train_preds - y_train)) * 100
189
           test_acc = 100 - np.mean(np.abs(y_test_preds - y_test)) * 100
190
           print(f'Training_Accuracy:_{train_acc}%')
191
           print(f'Test_Accuracy:_{test_acc}%')
192
193
194
       return d
```

195

2 Neural Networks: A Single Hidden Layer

Suppose now we wish to consider the binary classification problem given the training set (x, y) with $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $y \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Usually with logistic regression we have the following network:

$$[x^1,...,x^m] \xrightarrow{\varphi} [z] \xrightarrow{g} [a] \xrightarrow{=} \hat{y},$$

where

$$z = \varphi(x) = w^T x + b,$$

is our affine-linear transformation, and

$$a = g(z) = \sigma(z)$$

is our sigmoid function. Logistic regression can be too simplistic of a model for many situations. To modify this model to handle more complex situations, we introduce a new "hidden layer" of nodes with activation functions. That is, we consider a network of the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^1 \\ \vdots \\ x^{s_0} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{[1]}} \begin{bmatrix} z^{[1]1} \\ \vdots \\ z^{[1]s_1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{g^{[1]}} \begin{bmatrix} a^{[1]1} \\ \vdots \\ a^{[1]s_1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{[2]}} [z^{[2]}] \xrightarrow{g^{[2]}} [a^{[2]}] \xrightarrow{=} \hat{y},$$

where

$$\varphi^{[1]}: \mathbb{R}^{s_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{s_1}, \qquad \varphi^{[1]}(x) = W^{[1]}x + b^{[1]},$$
$$\varphi^{[2]}: \mathbb{R}^{s_1} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \varphi^{[2]}(x) = W^{[2]}x + b^{[2]},$$

and $W^{[1]} \in \mathbb{R}^{s_1 \times s_0}$, $W^{[2]} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times s_1}$, $b^{[1]} \in \mathbb{R}^{s_1}$, $b^{[2]} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $g^{[\ell]}$ is a broadcasted activator function (e.g., the sigmoid function $\sigma(z)$, or $\tanh(z)$, or $\operatorname{ReLU}(z)$). Such a network is called a 2-layer neural network where x is the input layer (called layer-0), $a^{[1]}$ is a hidden layer (called layer-1), and $a^{[2]}$ is the output layer (called layer-2).

Let us lay out all of these functions explicitly (in the Smooth Category) as to facilitate our later computations for our cost function and our gradients. To this end:

$$\varphi^{[1]}: \mathbb{R}^{s_0} \to \mathbb{R}^{s_1}, \qquad d\varphi^{[1]}: T\mathbb{R}^{s_0} \to T\mathbb{R}^{s_1},$$

$$z^{[1]} = \varphi^{[1]}(x) = W^{[1]}x + b^{[1]}, \qquad d\varphi^{[1]}_x(v) = W^{[1]}v;$$

$$g^{[1]}: \mathbb{R}^{s_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{s_1}, \qquad \qquad dg^{[1]}: T\mathbb{R}^{s_1} \to T\mathbb{R}^{s_1},$$

$$a^{[1]} = g^{[1]}(z^{[1]}), \qquad dg^{[1]}_{z^{[1]}}(v) = \begin{bmatrix} g^{[1]'}(z^{[1]1}) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g^{[1]'}(z^{[1]2}) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & g^{[1]'}(z^{[1]s_1}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v^1 \\ v^2 \\ \vdots \\ v^{s_1} \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\varphi^{[2]}: \mathbb{R}^{s_1} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad d\varphi^{[2]}: T\mathbb{R}^{s_1} \to T\mathbb{R},$$

$$z^{[2]} = \varphi^{[2]}(a^{[1]}) = W^{[2]}a^{[1]} + b^{[2]}, \qquad d\varphi^{[2]}_{a^{[2]}}(v) = W^{[2]}v;$$

$$\begin{split} g^{[2]}: \mathbb{R} &\to \mathbb{R}, & dg^{[2]}: T\mathbb{R} \to T\mathbb{R}, \\ a^{[2]} &= g^{[2]}(z^{[2]}), & dg^{[2]}_{z^{[2]}}(v) = g^{[2]\prime}(z^{[2]}) \cdot v. \end{split}$$

That is, given an input $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s_1}$, we get a predicted value \hat{y} of the form

$$\hat{y} = g^{[2]} \circ \varphi^{[2]} \circ g^{[1]} \circ \varphi^{[1]}(x).$$

This compositional function is known as forward propagation.

Since we wish to optimize our model with respect to our parameter $W^{[\ell]}$ and $b^{[\ell]}$, we consider a generic loss function $\mathbb{L}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{L}(\hat{y}, y)$, and by acknowledging the potential abuse of notation, we assume y is fixed, and consider the aforementioned as a function of a single-variable

$$\mathbb{L}_y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathbb{L}_y(\hat{y}) = \mathbb{L}(\hat{y}, y).$$

We now define the compositional function

$$F: \mathbb{R}^{s_0} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad F(x) = \mathbb{L}_y \circ g^{[2]} \circ \varphi^{[2]} \circ g^{[1]} \circ \varphi^{[1]}(x).$$

As we mentioned before, we wish to optimize with respect to our parameters, but our above composition doesn't make this dependence explicit for computations. To this end, we consider the generic affine-linear transformation

$$\varphi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k, \qquad \varphi(x) = Wx + b,$$

with $W \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times m}, b \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and instead consider the related

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^{k \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k, \qquad \phi(W, b) = Wx + b,$$

for some fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then we see that

$$d\phi: T\mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \times T\mathbb{R}^k \to T\mathbb{R}^k,$$

$$d\phi_{(W,b)}(V,v) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi(W+tV,b+tv)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} (W+tV)x + (b+tv)$$

$$= Vx + v$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V \\ v \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking this further, we now consider the map

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{k \times m} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^k, \qquad \Phi(W, b, x) = Wx + b,$$

and then computing our differential for

$$d\Phi: T\mathbb{R}^{k\times m} \times T\mathbb{R}^k \times T\mathbb{R}^m \to T\mathbb{R}^k$$
,

yields

$$d\Phi_{(W,b,x)}(V,v,p) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi(W+tV,b+tv,x+tp)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} ((W+tV)(x+tp)+(b+tv))$$

$$= \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} (Wx+tVx+tWv+t^2Vp+b+tv)$$

$$= Vx+Wp+v$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 & W \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V \\ v \\ p \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= d\phi_{(Wb)}(V,v) + d\varphi_x(p)$$

This function Φ is what we want in our compositional-function, and so we redefine F as

$$F(W^{[2]}, b^{[2]}, W^{[1]}, b^{[1]}, x) = \mathbb{L}_{v} \circ g^{[2]} \circ \Phi^{[2]} \circ (W^{[2]}, b^{[2]}, g^{[1]} \circ \Phi^{[1]} \circ (W^{[1]}, b^{[1]}, x))$$

Taking the exterior derivative, and noting the composition turns into matrix multiplication on the tangent space, we get

$$\begin{split} dF_{(W^{[2]},b^{[2]},W^{[1]},b^{[1]},x)}(U,u,V,v,p) \\ &= d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot d\Phi_{(W^{[2]},b^{[2]},a^{[1]})}^{[2]} \cdot (U,u,dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]} \cdot d\Phi_{(W^{[1]},b^{[1]},x)}^{[1]}(V,v,p)) \\ &= d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot (d\phi_{(W^{[2]},b^{[2]})}^{[2]}(U,u) + d\varphi_{a^{[1]}}^{[2]} \cdot dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]} \cdot (d\phi_{(W^{[1]},b^{[1]})}^{[1]}(V,v) + d\varphi_{x}^{[1]}(p))) \\ &= d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot d\phi_{(W^{[2]},b^{[2]},\{a^{[1]}\})}^{[2]}(U,u) \\ &\quad + d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot d\varphi_{a^{[1]},\{W^{[2]},b^{[2]}\}}^{[2]} \cdot dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]} \cdot d\varphi_{(W^{[1]},b^{[1]}),\{x\}}^{[1]}(V,v) \\ &\quad + d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot d\varphi_{a^{[1]},\{W^{[2]},b^{[2]}\}}^{[2]} \cdot dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]} \cdot d\varphi_{x,\{W^{[1]},b^{[1]}\}}^{[1]}(p) \\ &\quad =: dF^{[2]} + dF^{[1]} +$$

Recalling that the gradient is the transpose of the exterior derivative in Euclidean space, we then conclude that

$$\begin{split} \nabla F(x) &= (dF_x)^T \\ &= (d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}} \cdot dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]} \cdot d\varphi_{a^{[1]}}^{[2]} \cdot dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]} \cdot d\varphi_x^{[1]})^T \\ &= (d\varphi_x^{[1]})^T \cdot (dg_{z^{[1]}}^{[1]})^T \cdot (d\varphi_{a^{[1]}}^{[2]})^T \cdot (dg_{z^{[2]}}^{[2]})^T \cdot (d(\mathbb{L}_y)_{a^{[2]}})^T \\ &= \nabla \varphi^{[1]}(x) \cdot \nabla g^{[1]}(z^{[1]}) \cdot \nabla \varphi^{[2]}(a^{[1]}) \cdot \nabla g^{[2]}(z^{[2]}) \cdot \nabla \mathbb{L}_y(a^{[2]}) \end{split}$$

This auxiliary computation is nice, but isn't quite what we're looking for since we would like to compute the differentials with respect to our weight parameters $W^{[\ell]}$ and $b^{[\ell]}$.