

1. The objective function we want to optimize,

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{z_i=1}^K P(z_i|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) * \log(P(x_i, z_i|\theta)) \right\}.$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{z_i=1}^K P(z_i|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) \cdot \log(P(z_i)) \cdot P(x_i|\theta) \right\}.$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{z_i=1}^K P(z_i|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) \cdot \log(\pi_{z_i} P(x_i|\theta)) \right\}.$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i=K}^K P(z_i|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) \log(\pi_{z_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K P(z_i|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) \log(P(x_i|\theta)).$$

2. In the M step, we optimize Q wrt π .

$$\pi_{z_i=k}^{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i P(z_i=k|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots, K=1, 2, 3.$$

$$\pi_{z_i=1} = \pi_1 = \frac{P(z_i=1|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) + P(z_i=2|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}}) + P(z_i=3|x_i, \theta^{\text{old}})}{(N=3)}$$

$$= \frac{1 + 0.3 + 0}{3} = \frac{1.3}{3} = \frac{13}{30}.$$

Similarly,

$$\pi_{z_i=2} = \pi_2 = \frac{0 + 0.7 + 1}{3} = \frac{17}{30}.$$

$$\text{Sanity check, } \sum_K \pi_K = \frac{13}{30} + \frac{17}{30} = 1.$$

3. To derive the M-step for the μ_k 's, we look at the parts of Q that depend on μ_k 's.

$$f(\mu_k) = \sum_k \sum_i p(z^i=k | x^i, \theta^{old}) \log p(x^i | \theta_{\cancel{k}}).$$

~~Σ_k~~

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i p(z^i=k | x^i, \theta^{old})$$

$$\left[\log |\Sigma_k| + (x^i - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x^i - \mu_k) \right].$$

Then, $\mu_k^{opt} = \frac{\sum_i p(z^i=k | x^i, \theta^{old}) \cdot x^i}{\sum_i p(z^i=k | x^i, \theta^{old})}$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= \frac{p(z^1=1 | x^1, \theta^{old}) \cdot x^1 + p(z^2=1 | x^2, \theta^{old}) \cdot x^2 + p(z^3=1 | x^3, \theta^{old}) \cdot x^3}{p(z^1=1 | x^1, \theta^{old}) + p(z^2=1 | x^2, \theta^{old}) + p(z^3=1 | x^3, \theta^{old})} \\ &= \frac{1*1 + 0.3*10 + 0*20}{1 + 0.3 + 0} \\ &= \frac{40}{13}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 &= \frac{0*1 + 0.7*10 + 1*20}{0 + 0.7 + 1} \\ &= \frac{270}{17}. \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\sum_k^{\text{opt}} = \frac{\sum_i P(z^i=k|x^i, \theta^{\text{old}}) (x^i - \mu_k) (x^i - \mu_k)^T}{\sum_i P(z^i=k|x^i, \theta^{\text{old}})}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i P(z^i=k|x^i, \theta^{\text{old}}) \cdot x^i x^{i^T}}{\sum_i P(z^i=k|x^i, \theta^{\text{old}})} - \mu_k \mu_k^T.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_1 = \frac{1 * (1 - \frac{40}{13})^2 + 0.3 * (10 - \frac{40}{13})^2 + 0 * (20 - \frac{40}{13})^2}{1 + 0.3 + 0}$$

$$= \frac{(27)^2 + 0.3 * (90)^2 + 0}{1.3 * (13)^2}$$

$$= 14.3787.$$

$$\sum_2 = \frac{0 * (1 - \frac{270}{17})^2 + 0.7 * (10 - \frac{270}{17})^2 + 1 * (20 - \frac{270}{17})^2}{0 + 0.7 + 1}$$

$$= \frac{0.7 * (100)^2 + (70)^2}{1.7 * (17)^2}$$

$$= 24.2215.$$

Thus,

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\sum_1} = 3.7919.$$

$$\sigma_2 = \sqrt{\sum_2} = 4.9215.$$

E-Step

1. The probability of x^i in cluster c is as following,

$$\gamma_{ik} = \frac{\pi_k p(x^i | \theta_k^{old})}{\sum_{k'} \pi_{k'} p(x^i | \theta_{k'}^{old})}$$

$$\gamma_{11} = \frac{\pi_1 p(x^1 | \theta_1^{old})}{\pi_1 p(x^1 | \theta_1^{old}) + \pi_2 p(x^1 | \theta_2^{old})} \rightarrow (a)$$

$$\gamma_{22} = \frac{\pi_2 p(x^2 | \theta_2^{old})}{\pi_1 p(x^2 | \theta_1^{old}) + \pi_2 p(x^2 | \theta_2^{old})}$$

Since,

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & 1 \\ \hline \pi_{12} & \cancel{\pi_1} & \cancel{\pi_2} & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Notice that,

$$\gamma_{11} + \gamma_{12} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma_{12} = 1 - \gamma_{11} \rightarrow (b)$$

Similarly,

$$\gamma_{21} = \frac{\pi_1 p(x^2 | \theta_1^{old})}{\pi_1 p(x^2 | \theta_1^{old}) + \pi_2 p(x^2 | \theta_2^{old})} \rightarrow (c)$$

Then,

$$\gamma_{22} = 1 - \gamma_{21} \rightarrow (d)$$

Likewise,

$$\gamma_{31} = \frac{\pi_1 p(x^3 | \theta_1^{old})}{\pi_1 p(x^3 | \theta_1^{old}) + \pi_2 p(x^3 | \theta_2^{old})} \rightarrow (e)$$

$$\text{Then, } \gamma_{32} = 1 - \gamma_{31} \rightarrow (f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x^1|\theta_1) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x^1 - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3.7919 \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\left(1 - \frac{40}{13}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (14.378)}\right) \\
 &= 0.090554.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x^2|\theta_2) &= \frac{1}{3.7919 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(10 - \frac{40}{13}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (14.378)}\right) \\
 &= 0.019871.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x^3|\theta_1) &= \frac{1}{3.7919 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(20 - \frac{40}{13}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (14.378)}\right) \\
 &= 0.000005.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$p(x^1|\theta_2) = \frac{1}{4.9215 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(1 - \frac{270}{17}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (24.2215)}\right) = 0.000838.$$

$$p(x^2|\theta_2) = \frac{1}{4.9215 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(10 - \frac{270}{17}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (24.2215)}\right) = 0.039682$$

$$p(x^3|\theta_3) = \frac{1}{4.9215 \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(20 - \frac{270}{17}\right)^2}{2 \cdot (24.2215)}\right) = 0.057123$$

Therefore,

$$\gamma_{11} = \frac{\frac{13}{30} * 0.090554}{\left(\frac{13}{30} * 0.090554\right) + \left(\frac{17}{30} * 0.000838\right)} \\ = \frac{0.0392}{0.0392 + 0.00047487} = 0.9880.$$

$$\gamma_{12} = 1 - \gamma_{11} = 0.0120.$$

$$\gamma_{21} = \frac{\frac{13}{30} * 0.019871}{\left(\frac{13}{30} * 0.019871\right) + \left(\frac{17}{30} * 0.039682\right)} = \frac{0.0086}{0.0311} \\ = 0.2765.$$

$$\gamma_{22} = 1 - \gamma_{21} = 0.7235.$$

$$\gamma_{31} = \frac{\frac{13}{30} * 0.000005}{\left(\frac{13}{30} * 0.000005\right) + \left(\frac{17}{30} * 0.057123\right)} \\ = \frac{2.1667 e-06}{0.0324} \\ = 0.00006687.$$

$$\gamma_{32} = 1 - \gamma_{31} = 0.9999.$$

Therefore,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.9880 & 0.0120 \\ 0.2765 & 0.7235 \\ 0.00006687 & 0.9999 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Show that the steps for reducing a given matrix to its reduced row echelon form are the same as those for finding the inverse of a matrix.

1.

Given,

$$C = \frac{1}{n} XX^T \rightarrow ①.$$

$$\tilde{X} = (I - V_1 V_1^T) X \rightarrow ②.$$

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{n} \tilde{X} \tilde{X}^T \rightarrow ③.$$

Substituting ② in equation ③ we get,

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{1}{n} \{ (I - V_1 V_1^T) X \} \{ (I - V_1 V_1^T) X \}^T.$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (I - V_1 V_1^T) X X^T (I^T - V_1 V_1^T).$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (I - V_1 V_1^T) X X^T (I - V_1 V_1^T).$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (I - V_1 V_1^T) \cdot (nC) (I - V_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (nC - nV_1 V_1^T C) (I - V_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (nC - nV_1 V_1^T C - nCV_1 V_1^T + nV_1 V_1^T CV_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (XX^T - nV_1 V_1^T \lambda_1 - nCV_1 V_1^T + nV_1 V_1^T \lambda V_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (XX^T - n\lambda_1 V_1 V_1^T - n\lambda V_1 V_1^T + n\lambda V_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} (XX^T - n\lambda_1 V_1 V_1^T),$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} XX^T - \lambda V_1 V_1^T.$$

Hence proved.

2.

Since v_j is a principle eigen vector of C , we can write the following,

$$Cv_j = \lambda_j v_j \rightarrow ①.$$

Where, λ_j is the j^{th} eigenvalue.

If v_j would have been a principle eigen vector of \tilde{C} then we can write the following,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}v_j &= \frac{1}{n} XX^T v_j - \cancel{\lambda_1 v_1 v_1^T v_j}^0. [\text{since, } v_1^T v_j = 0], \\ &= \frac{1}{n} XX^T v_j, \\ &= Cv_j, \\ &= \lambda_j v_j. \rightarrow ②.\end{aligned}$$

The equation ② shows that, v_j is an eigen vector of \tilde{C} with λ_j as its corresponding eigenvalue.

3.

The eigen values are numbered in decreasing values of eigenvalues. The order of the first K eigen values is,

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots, \lambda_K.$$

If v_2 has to be the first principle eigen vector of \tilde{C} then λ_1 has must be zero. In other words, we need to show $\tilde{C}v_1 = 0$.

$$\tilde{C}v_1 = \frac{1}{n} XX^T v_1 - \cancel{\lambda_1 v_1 v_1^T v_1}^0$$

$$= Cv_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 - \lambda_1 v_1 = 0.$$

Hence proved.

4.

function $[\lambda_{\text{val}}, v_{\text{vec}}] = \text{cmpEigs}(C, k, f())$.

$\lambda_{\text{val}} = \text{zeros}(1, k)$.

$v_{\text{vec}} = \text{zeros}(\cancel{\text{d}}, k)$.

for $i = 1:k$

$[\lambda, u] \leftarrow f(C)$;

$C \leftarrow C - \lambda u u^T$;

$\lambda_{\text{val}}(i) \leftarrow \lambda$;

$v_{\text{vec}}(:, i) \leftarrow u$;

end.