Qualys Cloud Security Webinar Series - Complete Production Guide

PRODUCTION BRIEF

Series Overview

Two-part webinar series designed to educate security professionals on cloud-native vulnerability management and CNAPP adoption. Episodes build from foundational concepts to advanced implementation, engaging both newcomers and experts simultaneously.

Target Audience Profiles

- Primary: Security engineers/analysts (2-5 years cloud experience)
- Secondary: Security managers/directors (decision makers)
- Tertiary: Senior architects/experts (looking for validation/advanced insights)
- Hidden audience: Developers increasingly responsible for security

Success Metrics

- 60% attendance through full session
- 40% register for Episode 2 after Episode 1
- 25% book follow-up demo
- Chat engagement every 3-5 minutes
- NPS score >40

Core Differentiators

- NOT a product pitch education first
- Breach stories as teaching tools, not FUD
- Interactive Q&A format vs lecture
- Multi-level content serves all expertise levels
- Visual but not "slide-heavy"

Technical Constraints

- 45 minutes content + 15 minutes Q&A per episode
- Platform: Zoom Webinar with polling enabled
- Visual style: Dark theme, minimal text, breach photography
- · No live demos (screenshots only) to avoid technical issues

Team Requirements

- Host/moderator (asks questions, manages time)
- Expert presenter (answers, teaches)
- Producer (polls, chat, technical)
- Slide operator (if not screen-sharing)

Social media support (live-tweeting key points)

EPISODE 1: "Demystifying the CNAPP: Applying Vulnerability Management in the Cloud"

Duration: 45 minutes content + 15 minutes Q&A **Objective**: Foundation setting - make cloud VM approachable while revealing complexity

Opening (3 minutes)

Host Introduction "Welcome everyone! I'm [Host], and whether you're drowning in cloud security alerts or just starting to move workloads to AWS, today we're going to cut through the confusion."

"With me is [Expert], who's helped hundreds of organizations figure out cloud security. We're going to have a conversation - not a lecture - about what actually matters."

"Quick ground rules: Drop questions in chat anytime. We'll address them as we go. Use the polls - they're anonymous. And if you're an expert and think we're going too basic, stick around - we'll be layering in advanced content throughout."

Setting Expectations "We're covering five big questions today. By the end, you'll understand why traditional security breaks in the cloud, what a CNAPP actually does, and most importantly - where to start Monday morning."

© QUESTION 1

"What does 'security best practice' actually mean in a cloud-native world?"

Target time: 6 minutes

Breach story: Capital One (2019)

Engagement: Poll on shared responsibility understanding

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Let's start with the phrase everyone uses but nobody defines - 'security best practice.' Here's the thing - in cloud, the old best practices can actually make you LESS secure. So what does best practice actually mean now?"

BEAT 1: The Paradigm Shift (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 1B: Traditional vs Cloud Security Paradigm - split screen visual]

"Thanks Dave for the introduction and thank you for the first question to kick us off.

I'd like to challenge the entire premise of the question, I don't think that cloud security is fundamentally different to what we should be doing on prem and that much of the talk around changes in security practice when moving to the cloud have much more to do with changes in our technology landscape and our approaches to security in general, than they do with our deployment methods.

So perhaps a better way to think of this is what does our current best thinking look like today.

Personally, I direct anyone who is interested in studying this topic to read NIST CSF. I know, hardly bed time reading, but it lays out very clearly and approach to security that serves us equally well in all domains.

NIST Special Publication 800-53 provides the security controls that implement the CSF functions - and notice how these controls don't change based on where you deploy them. Whether it's AC-3 (Access Enforcement) or SI-4 (System Monitoring), the fundamental security objectives remain constant.

What HAS changed isn't the principles - it's our ability to implement them effectively.

The real shift is from static, manual security practices to dynamic, automated ones. From periodic validation to continuous verification. From human-scale administration to programmatic control.

Capital One's breach wasn't caused by cloud deployment - it was caused by applying yesterday's implementation patterns to today's infrastructure capabilities. They had excellent perimeter security but failed at continuous configuration validation and least-privilege access - both timeless security principles."

Expert insight: "Cloud didn't create new security problems - it exposed how inadequate our old implementation methods were at scale and speed."

For beginners: "Think of it like the difference between manually checking every door in a building once a week versus having smart locks that verify every access attempt in real-time."

For intermediate practitioners: "The same defense-in-depth principles apply, but now we can implement them with Infrastructure as Code, API-driven monitoring, and event-driven responses."

Advanced perspective: "What we call 'cloud-native security' is really just modern security engineering - policy as code, immutable infrastructure, and zero-trust architecture work equally well in private data centers."

BEAT 2: Capital One Breach - Why Old Methods Failed Modern Infrastructure (Expert - 2.5 minutes) [SLIDE 2B: Capital One Breach - Old Methods Failed Modern Infrastructure]

Breach Context: Capital One (2019)

- Scale: 100 million US customers, 6 million Canadian customers affected
- Method: SSRF attack through misconfigured WAF to access metadata service
- Impact: \$700M+ in fines, congressional hearings, CEO resignation

"Capital One followed traditional best practices PERFECTLY. Look what they had:"

- WAF for perimeter security ✓
- Security monitoring tools ✓
- Compliance certifications ✓
- Incident response team ✓
- Regular vulnerability scanning ✓

"But they missed every cloud-native essential:"

- Configuration drifted over months (no continuous validation)
- X Trusted the WAF instead of zero trust

- X Over-permissioned IAM role (not least privilege)
- X No automated enforcement (IMDSv2 not enforced)

Attack path: "Former AWS employee exploited SSRF in misconfigured WAF \rightarrow accessed metadata service \rightarrow stole temporary credentials \rightarrow used over-privileged IAM role \rightarrow downloaded 700+ folders from S3."

"100+ million customers compromised because traditional security thinking met cloud infrastructure."

Beginner insight: "Think of it like having perfect locks on your front door, but leaving the back door wide open because you didn't know it existed."

Intermediate detail: "The SSRF attack forced the WAF to make calls to AWS metadata service at 169.254.169.254 - a cloud-specific attack vector traditional scanners miss."

Expert analysis: "IMDSv2 enforcement would have blocked this entirely. This is why cloud-native security controls aren't optional - they're foundational."

BEAT 3: AWS Security Design Principles - Modern Implementation (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 2C: AWS Security Design Principles - Scale Makes Them Essential]

"So what does modern security implementation actually look like? AWS has documented seven security design principles that perfectly capture this shift from manual to automated, periodic to continuous.

The brilliance of these principles isn't that they're new - it's that they show how cloud scale makes timeless security concepts absolutely essential. Take 'implement a strong identity foundation' - we've always known identity matters, but at cloud scale, you simply cannot manage access with spreadsheets. You need centralized identity, least privilege by default, and automated provisioning.

Or look at 'maintain traceability' - we've always wanted good logging, but when your infrastructure changes every few minutes, real-time monitoring isn't nice-to-have, it's survival. Same with 'automate security best practices' - we used to think automation was for efficiency. Now we realize it's the only way to maintain security at scale.

And here's my favorite - 'keep people away from data.' This sounds radical, but it's really just the natural evolution of separation of duties. When you have thousands of resources spinning up and down, human access becomes your biggest risk."

"These principles aren't cloud-specific - they're what good security looks like when you can finally implement it properly."

For beginners: "Start with strong identity and automation - get MFA everywhere and let code manage your configurations."

For intermediate practitioners: "Focus on traceability and defense in depth - if you can't see what's happening in real-time, you're flying blind."

For experts: "Embrace the 'keep people away from data' principle - build systems that operate securely without human intervention."

BEAT 4: Quick Wins (Expert - 30 seconds) "Start today: Enable cloud-native security services like GuardDuty or Defender. Implement MFA everywhere. Scan for public resources. The future is automated, continuous, and identity-centric."

ENGAGEMENT BREAK (Host - 30 seconds) [POLL: "Which traditional best practice causes the most cloud problems?"]

"Alright, let's get a quick pulse check. I'm putting up a poll - which traditional best practice do you think causes the most problems when applied to cloud environments?"

[Wait for responses, read a few numbers]

"Interesting to see the mix of responses there. The reality is they all cause problems when applied without cloud-native thinking."

Poll Options:

- · A. Quarterly vulnerability scans
- B. Perimeter-focused security
- C. Manual security reviews
- D. Compliance-first mindset

© QUESTION 2

"CNAPP sounds like the final boss of acronyms, in an acronym heavy space. What is it, and what problem is it solving?"

Target time: 7 minutes

Breach story: Uber (2016) - Tool fragmentation blindness

Engagement: Chat prompt on tool sprawl

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"I think you'll know who I mean when I say one of our customers had 23 security tools being used across AWS, Azure, and GCP. Twenty-three different dashboards, alert streams, and login screens. And here's the kicker - security couldn't talk to developers about what mattered most. CNAPP promises to fix this. But is it real or just vendor hype?"

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Tool Sprawl Problem (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 2A - CNAPP: The Final Boss of Acronyms?]

"Here's what most teams are dealing with across their multi-cloud environments:"

- SIEM for logs (different for each cloud)
- CSPM for AWS misconfigs
- Another CSPM for Azure
- Vulnerability scanner for CVEs
- · CWPP for workloads
- CIEM for identity

- Secret scanner
- · Container scanner
- IaC scanner
- And they don't talk to each other OR to your developers

"You spend more time correlating alerts than fixing problems. And developers? They ignore security alerts because they don't understand the context."

Expert insight: "And the real killer? Each tool has its own risk scoring. A 'critical' in one tool might be 'medium' in another. Try explaining that to a developer who needs to ship code."

BEAT 2: The Uber Breach - Death by Fragmentation (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 2B - Uber 2016: When Tools Don't Talk]

■ Breach Context: Uber (2016)

- Date: October-November 2016 (disclosed November 2017)
- Scale: 57 million users worldwide, 600,000 US driver licenses exposed
- Method: Credentials found on GitHub private repo → AWS access
- Cover-up: Paid attackers \$100,000 through bug bounty program
- Key Failure: Fragmented tools couldn't connect the dots

Expert detail: "Here's the step-by-step attack: First, attackers gained access to Uber's private GitHub repositories - likely through compromised developer credentials. They searched through code and found AWS access keys hardcoded in source files. These keys belonged to a service account with broad administrative permissions. The attackers used these stolen AWS credentials to authenticate to Uber's cloud environment, discovered that S3 buckets containing sensitive user data weren't properly secured, then systematically downloaded terabytes of data including 57 million user records and 600,000 driver license numbers. They even accessed Uber's internal admin tools and employee databases. The breach persisted for over a year undetected because no tool could connect the dots."

"Now let me show you how each security tool failed at every step:"

Step 1: GitHub Repository Compromise

- · Attackers gained access to Uber's private GitHub repositories
- Tool failure: GitHub credential scanner didn't check private repos

Step 2: Credential Discovery

- Found AWS access keys hardcoded in source files
- Tool failure: Secrets scanning not integrated into development workflow

Step 3: AWS Authentication

- Used stolen credentials (service account with broad admin permissions)
- Tool failure: IAM tool didn't flag unusual service account usage patterns

Step 4: Environment Reconnaissance

[&]quot;Uber 2016 - Let me show you how tool fragmentation enabled this breach:"

- Discovered S3 buckets containing sensitive data weren't properly secured
- Tool failure: VM scanner wasn't checking cloud storage configurations

Step 5: Data Exfiltration

- Downloaded terabytes: 57M user records, 600K driver licenses
- Tool failure: SIEM saw the API calls but had no context about stolen credentials

Step 6: Lateral Movement

- Accessed internal admin tools and employee databases
- Tool failure: Data loss prevention had no coverage on code repositories

"Each tool did its job in isolation. But the attacker moved through the gaps between them. No single tool could connect GitHub access → AWS credentials → data theft."

"Each tool did its job. But the attacker moved through the gaps between them."

"57 million records exposed because tools couldn't connect the dots."

What Went Wrong:

- Credentials stored in code repository (even private repos are risky)
- No multi-factor authentication on cloud accounts
- · Lack of secrets scanning in development workflow
- Fragmented security tools missed the unauthorized access
- Each tool had partial visibility none saw the full picture

BEAT 3: What CNAPP Actually Is (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 2C - CNAPP: Comprehensive Cloud Security in One Platform]

"CNAPP addresses the pressing demand for contemporary cloud security solutions. But here's the key - it's not just bundling tools together. CNAPP unifies vulnerability management, compliance, and threat detection into one platform that breaks down communication gaps between security and development teams."

Simple explanation: "CNAPP secures the dynamic cloud attack surface with unified visibility and automated response across AWS, Azure, and GCP"

Technical depth:

- CSPM (Cloud Security Posture Management) misconfigurations & compliance automation
- CWP (Cloud Workload Protection) VM, container, serverless security
- IaC Security Infrastructure as Code template scanning
- SSPM (SaaS Security Posture Management) SaaS app security
- CDR (Cloud Detection and Response) real-time threat detection
- KSC (Kubernetes & Container Security) container orchestration security

Expert nugget: "CNAPP consolidates critical indicators from diverse sources into cohesive, actionable insights with a singular, prioritized view of cloud risk. The automation helps accelerate risk reduction - transforming risk mitigation from a reactive process to an efficient and proactive operation. Most importantly, it gives developers and security teams a common language for faster remediation."

BEAT 4: The Power of Context (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 2D - Context Changes Everything: Same Vulnerability, Different Risk]

"Here's the same vulnerability in three places:"

- 1. Dev environment, internal only = Low risk
- 2. Production, but behind WAF = Medium risk
- 3. Internet-facing, with admin credentials = CRITICAL

"CNAPP sees all three contexts and prioritizes accordingly."

BEAT 5: Quick Reality Check (Expert - 30 seconds) "Is CNAPP perfect? No. But would Uber have been breached with unified visibility? Probably not."

ENGAGEMENT BREAK (Host - 30 seconds) [CHAT PROMPT on SLIDE 6: "Drop a number in chat - how many security tools does your team use today?"] Watch the numbers roll in - "Wow, seeing lots of 10+ here..."

© QUESTION 3

"Why is vulnerability management so much harder in the cloud than on-prem?"

Target time: 8 minutes

Breach story: Equifax (2017) - Cloud migration vulnerability management failure

Engagement: Poll on biggest VM challenges

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Traditional vulnerability management was already hard. You had patch Tuesday, quarterly scans, change windows. But at least servers stayed put. In the cloud? Everything we knew broke. And when Equifax learned this lesson, it cost them \$700 million and a CEO."

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Speed Problem (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 3A - Why Is Vulnerability Management Harder in Cloud?]

"Your traditional scanner runs at 2 AM. By 2:15 AM, half your infrastructure is different."

Beginner understanding: "It's like trying to count cars on a highway while you're walking"

Real numbers:

• Traditional server lifespan: 3-5 years

Virtual machine: 30-90 daysContainer lifespan: 12 minutes

• Serverless function: 100 milliseconds

"Your quarterly scan cycle? Useless. That container you found vulnerable was terminated and replaced 10,000 times."

Expert insight: "Auto-scaling means vulnerabilities multiply instantly. One vulnerable image becomes 100 vulnerable instances in minutes."

BEAT 2: Equifax - When Cloud Migration Broke Everything (Expert - 2.5 minutes) [SLIDE 3B - Equifax breach timeline with cloud context]

■ Breach Context: Equifax (2017)

- Date: May-July 2017 (disclosed September 2017)
- Scale: 147 million Americans, 15 million UK citizens affected
- Impact: \$700M+ in fines, CEO resigned, congressional hearings, criminal charges
- Vulnerability: Apache Struts CVE-2017-5638 (disclosed March, patched July)
- Key Failure: Cloud migration broke their vulnerability management process

Expert detail: "Equifax was in the middle of migrating their dispute resolution portal to AWS when Apache Struts CVE-2017-5638 was disclosed in March 2017. Their traditional vulnerability scanners took weeks to discover new cloud instances. The vulnerable server was part of their hybrid cloud environment - it fell through the cracks between on-premises and cloud scanning. The vulnerability was disclosed in March, but their quarterly scan cycle and cloud visibility gaps meant they didn't find it until July. By then, attackers had already been inside for months, accessing 48 databases containing 147 million records over 76 days completely undetected."

Step 1: Cloud Migration Visibility Gap

- Dispute resolution portal moved to AWS auto-scaling groups
- VM failure: Traditional scanners lost track during migration

Step 2: Hybrid Environment Confusion

- Some systems on-premises, some in cloud
- VM failure: No unified scanning across hybrid infrastructure

Step 3: Auto-scaling Vulnerability Multiplication

- Vulnerable AMI deployed to multiple instances
- VM failure: Quarterly scans couldn't keep up with dynamic instances

Step 4: Context Blindness

- Internet-facing portal treated as internal system
- VM failure: No risk-based prioritization for cloud-exposed assets

Step 5: Extended Dwell Time

76 days of undetected lateral movement

[&]quot;Equifax 2017 - Perfect storm of cloud VM failure:"

[&]quot;Here's how cloud migration amplified the failure:"

• VM failure: No runtime protection for cloud workloads

"147 million records exposed because traditional VM couldn't handle cloud speed and scale."

What Went Wrong:

- · Quarterly vulnerability scanning inadequate for dynamic cloud infrastructure
- Hybrid cloud environments created visibility blind spots
- Auto-scaling groups multiplied vulnerable instances faster than scanning could detect
- No context-aware risk scoring for internet-facing vs internal assets
- Traditional network monitoring missed cloud-native attack patterns

BEAT 3: The Velocity vs Security Dilemma (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 3C - Velocity mismatch visualization - infrastructure speed vs security speed]

"Here's the fundamental math that breaks traditional vulnerability management:

Your developers deploy infrastructure in 5 minutes. Your vulnerability scanner takes 3 days to complete. Your security review process takes 3 weeks. Your quarterly compliance audit takes 3 months.

By the time security finds the problem, that infrastructure has been deployed, modified, and replaced hundreds of times."

Real world example: "One customer told me: 'We spin up 500 new containers every hour during peak traffic. Our vulnerability scan runs once a week and takes 12 hours to complete. We're literally scanning infrastructure that no longer exists.'"

"This is exactly what happened to Equifax. Their quarterly scan cycle was perfect for servers that lived for years. But when they moved to auto-scaling groups that created new instances every few minutes, those quarterly scans became completely irrelevant."

Expert insight: "Traditional security cadence was designed for static infrastructure. When your infrastructure velocity is measured in minutes, your security velocity must match - or you're always fighting yesterday's war."

"The solution isn't faster humans - it's continuous automated validation that moves at infrastructure speed."

"The answer? Shift from periodic scanning to continuous visibility. API-based discovery, real-time risk assessment, context-aware prioritization."

ENGAGEMENT BREAK (Host - 30 seconds) [POLL OVERLAY: "What's your biggest cloud VM challenge?"]

- Can't keep up with infrastructure changes
- Too many false positives to prioritize
- · Don't know what cloud assets we have
- Traditional tools miss cloud services

© QUESTION 4

"How do I get visibility into my actual cloud risk?"

Target time: 7 minutes

Breach story: SolarWinds (2020) - Supply chain visibility

Engagement: Interactive risk scenario



HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Here's a scary question I ask every customer: 'Can you tell me every internet-facing asset you have across AWS, Azure, and GCP right now?' The silence is deafening. If you don't know what you have in your multi-cloud environment, how can you protect it?"

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Multi-Cloud Inventory Problem (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE 4A - Build 2: Auto-discovery visualization - web of resources spreads across cloud providers]

"Multi-cloud makes resource visibility exponentially harder:"

- Launch one EC2 instance in AWS
- Creates ENI, security group, EBS volumes
- Maybe an ALB, target group, Route53 entry
- Now do the same in Azure different names, different services
- GCP has its own equivalent resources
- Each cloud has different APIs, different permissions models

Reality check: "Average enterprise has 40% 'shadow' resources they don't know about - and that's BEFORE you add multi-cloud complexity."

BEAT 2: Toyota - The Unknown Asset Problem (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 4B - Toyota 2023: When Visibility Gaps Hide in Plain Sight]

Breach Context: Toyota (2023)

- Date: Exposed for 10 years (discovered May 2023)
- Scale: Location data for 2+ million customers
- Method: Misconfigured cloud database left publicly accessible
- Detection: External security researchers, not Toyota
- Duration: Nearly a decade of unknown exposure

The visibility failure:

- 1. Cloud database created years ago
- 2. Default settings made it publicly accessible
- 3. No asset inventory included this system
- 4. No automated discovery was scanning for public resources
- 5. Ran for 10 years completely unknown to security teams

[&]quot;That's 7+ resources from one action, times three cloud providers, times your dev teams."

[&]quot;Toyota 2023 shows what happens when you don't know what you have:"

6. Only discovered when researchers found it in internet scans

"2 million customer records exposed for a decade because Toyota didn't know this database existed."

What Went Wrong:

- No comprehensive cloud asset inventory
- · Legacy systems missed during cloud migration tracking
- No continuous discovery of publicly accessible resources
- Traditional vulnerability scanners weren't configured for this database type
- Asset management processes didn't scale with cloud expansion

Key insight: "You can't protect what you don't know exists - and in multi-cloud environments, unknown assets are everywhere"

BEAT 3: Modern Visibility Platforms - From Reactive to Proactive (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 4C - Modern Platform Capabilities vs Traditional Approaches]

"After Toyota, the question isn't 'how do we find unknown assets' - it's 'how do we prevent them from becoming unknown in the first place?'"

Traditional Approach (Toyota's Problem):

- Manual asset inventories that get outdated
- · Periodic scans that miss new resources
- · Siloed tools that don't talk to each other
- · Reactive discovery after breaches

Modern Platform Approach:

- · Continuous automated asset discovery across all cloud providers
- Real-time configuration monitoring that catches changes in minutes
- Unified visibility that correlates assets, vulnerabilities, and exposure
- Proactive risk scoring that prioritizes what matters most

- 1. Comprehensive Discovery: APIs continuously scan AWS, Azure, GCP for new resources
- 2. Configuration Monitoring: Alert the moment something becomes publicly accessible
- 3. Attack Surface Management: Map every internet-facing asset and its risk exposure
- 4. Risk Prioritization: Focus on the toxic combinations that actually matter

Expert insight: "The Toyota database would have been discovered in the first scan and flagged as critical within minutes - not hidden for 10 years."

BEAT 4: From Unknown to Managed (Expert - 30 seconds) "The goal isn't perfect visibility - it's continuous visibility. Know what you have, understand its risk, and prioritize what to fix first."

ENGAGEMENT BREAK (Host - 30 seconds) [INTERACTIVE on SLIDE 10: Architecture diagram appears] "Quick challenge: Spot the biggest risk in this architecture" (Reveal: Public storage bucket with IAM role access highlights in red)

[&]quot;Here's what modern platforms give you that Toyota didn't have:"



"Where should a team start with cloud VM?"

Target time: 8 minutes

Success story: Small fintech transformation

Engagement: Action plan builder

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Alright, we've covered a lot. But Monday morning, where do you actually start? Let's get practical."

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Visibility First Principle (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 11 - Build 2: 30-60-90 day roadmap appears progressively]

"Week 1: Discovery"

- Enable Azure Activity Log in all subscriptions
- Turn on Azure Policy and Security Center
- · Run cloud asset discovery
- · Document what you find

"You'll be shocked what you discover. One customer found 12 Bitcoin miners on their first scan."

Beginner tip: "Start with one AWS account. Get it right, then expand." Advanced tip: "Implement CMDB federation immediately. Manual tracking will fail."

BEAT 2: Quick Wins Strategy (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 15 - Build 3: Quick wins checklist overlays on timeline]

"Week 2-4: Easy victories that accelerate risk reduction"

- Close public S3 buckets and Azure Storage Accounts (90% are mistakes)
- Enable MFA on all human accounts across all cloud providers
- Rotate keys older than 90 days (AWS Access Keys, Azure Service Principal secrets, GCP Service Account keys)
- Enable default encryption across all clouds
- Tag your resources consistently (future you will thank you)

"These automated fixes take hours, not weeks. ROI is immediate and your dev teams will see security as enablement, not roadblock."

BEAT 3: Success Story - 50-Person Fintech (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE 16: Before/after metrics dashboard - animated transition]

"Real Qualys customer, 50 employees, multi-cloud (AWS for compute, Azure for data, GCP for analytics):"

Before:

- 2000+ vulnerabilities across three cloud platforms
- 400+ misconfigurations with no way to prioritize
- No visibility into containers
- 3-person security team drowning in alerts
- · Developers ignoring security findings because they couldn't understand priority

90 days later with Qualys CNAPP:

- 50 critical vulnerabilities (risk-prioritized across all clouds)
- Automated remediation for 80% of common misconfigurations
- Full container scanning and runtime protection
- Same 3 people, working strategic not tactical
- Developers now fix security issues because they understand business impact

"The key? Unified visibility and automated risk reduction. They didn't try to fix everything. CNAPP helped them fix what mattered most."

BEAT 4: Tool Selection Criteria (Expert - 1 minute) [SLIDE 17 - Build 2: Decision matrix appears below success metrics]

"When evaluating CNAPP solutions, ask:"

- 1. API-first or agent-required?
- 2. Multi-cloud native (AWS + Azure + GCP) or single cloud?
- 3. Developer-friendly workflows or security-only?
- 4. Risk-based prioritization or just severity-based?
- 5. Automated remediation built-in or manual only?
- 6. Minutes to value or months to deploy?

"If it takes 6 months to deploy, your cloud environment has changed completely. Look for solutions that give developers clear, actionable guidance - that's how you accelerate risk reduction."

BEAT 5: The Maturity Path (Expert - 30 seconds) [SLIDE 12 - Build 3: Maturity model - crawl/walk/run appears]

"Crawl: Visibility and basics (Month 1-3)" "Walk: Automated scanning and prioritization (Month 4-9)" "Run: Preventive controls and shift-left (Month 10+)"

"Don't try to run on day one."

FINAL ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [POLL OVERLAY on SLIDE 12: Action builder poll] "Let's build your action plan. First step you'll take:"

- · Enable cloud logging
- Scan for public resources
- Implement MFA
- Evaluate CNAPP tools

Closing (3 minutes)

Host Wrap-up "We've covered a lot of ground today. Key takeaways:"

- 1. Cloud security isn't harder, it's different
- 2. Visibility must be continuous, not periodic
- 3. Context matters more than severity
- 4. Start small, win fast, then scale

Expert Final Thought "If you remember one thing: In cloud, perfect security is impossible, but good enough security is achievable. Focus on what matters most to your business."

Next Steps

• Episode 2: Deep dive into CSPM and CWPP

• Resources: [Link to guides]

• Demo: [Booking link]

• Questions: [Support email]

EPISODE 2: "Rethinking Cloud Security: A Deep Dive into Cloud-Native Vulnerability Management"

Duration: 45 minutes content + 15 minutes Q&A **Objective**: Advanced implementation - technical depth for practitioners

Opening (3 minutes)

Host Introduction "Welcome back! Or welcome if you're joining fresh. Today we're going deep - really deep - into cloud-native vulnerability management."

"Fair warning: We're going to get technical. We'll cover CSPM, CWPP, risk algorithms, and DevOps integration. If Episode 1 was 'what and why,' today is 'how and when.'"

"How does CSPM actually work under the hood?"

Target time: 9 minutes

Breach story: Microsoft Power Apps (2021) - Configuration drift

Engagement: Policy writing challenge

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"CSPM - Cloud Security Posture Management. Everyone says you need it. But what's actually happening when it scans your environment? Let's pop the hood."

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Policy Engine Architecture (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: CSPM architecture - API calls to policy evaluation]

"CSPM is three components:"

1. Collectors: API calls to cloud providers

2. Policy engine: Rules evaluation

3. Remediation system: Fix or alert

Technical detail: "Collectors poll every 5-15 minutes using Reader role. Azure Policy events trigger immediate scans."

Code example on slide:

BEAT 2: Microsoft Power Apps Breach (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Power Apps misconfiguration timeline]

Breach Context: Microsoft Power Apps (2021)

- Date: May 2021 discovery (drift since 2019!)
- Scale: 38 million records across 47 organizations
- Cause: Microsoft changed default API settings
- **Duration**: 2+ years of exposure
- **Discovery**: Security researchers, not Microsoft or customers

What happened:

- 1. Default OData API settings changed
- 2. Portal permissions drifted to public
- 3. No posture scanning on Power Platform
- 4. Gradual exposure over months
- 5. Discovery by security researchers, not Microsoft

What Went Wrong:

- Platform default changes not communicated effectively
- No automated posture scanning for Power Platform

[&]quot;Microsoft Power Apps 2021 - 38 million records exposed:"

[&]quot;Configuration drift - the silent killer. Settings correct on Monday, exposed by Friday."

- · Configuration drift over time
- · Organizations assumed platform defaults were secure
- · Lack of API endpoint discovery and monitoring

BEAT 3: Policy Frameworks Deep Dive (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: CIS vs NIST vs custom policies]

"Pre-built vs custom policies:"

CIS Benchmarks: 300+ checks, prescriptive, one-size-fits-all NIST frameworks: Risk-based, requires customization Custom policies: Your specific requirements

"Pro tip: Start with CIS, layer your customs on top"

Advanced: "Use Open Policy Agent (OPA) for complex, multi-cloud policies"

BEAT 4: The False Positive Problem (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Alert fatigue statistics]

"Average CSPM deployment: 10,000+ findings on day one"

The reality:

- 60% are by design (dev environments)
- 30% are accepted risks
- 10% need fixing

BEAT 5: Automated Remediation (Expert - 30 seconds) [SLIDE: Auto-remediation flowchart]

"The end goal: Self-healing infrastructure"

- Detect drift
- Evaluate impact
- · Auto-fix if safe
- · Alert if risky

ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [CHALLENGE: "Write a policy: What should happen if someone creates a publicly accessible Azure SQL Database?"] Share answers in chat

© QUESTION 2 (Episode 2)

"What's different about Cloud Workload Protection?"

Target time: 9 minutes

Breach story: Docker Hub (2019) - Supply chain contamination

Engagement: Agent vs agentless debate

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

[&]quot;Suppression rules are your friend. Exception management is critical."

"The great debate: agents or agentless? Runtime or build-time? Let's settle this once and for all... or at least understand the tradeoffs."

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: Agent vs Agentless - The Real Story (Expert - 2.5 minutes) [SLIDE: Comparison matrix with real metrics]

"Let's be honest about both:"

Agents:

- Pros: Real-time, detailed, runtime protection
- Cons: Performance hit (3-7%), management overhead, container bloat

Agentless:

- Pros: No performance impact, easier deployment, full coverage
- Cons: Snapshot-based, no runtime protection, cloud API dependent

Expert insight: "eBPF is changing this game - near-zero overhead with kernel-level visibility"

BEAT 2: Docker Hub Supply Chain Attack (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Docker Hub breach - contaminated images]

Breach Context: Docker Hub (2019)

- Date: April 25, 2019
- Scale: 190,000 accounts (~5% of all Hub users)
- Impact: GitHub/Bitbucket tokens exposed
- Risk: Compromised accounts could push malicious images
- Supply Chain: Millions potentially pulled infected containers

Attack chain:

- 1. Official images compromised
- 2. Cryptominers injected
- 3. Pulled millions of times
- 4. Runtime detection caught some
- 5. But build-time scanning would have prevented all

What Went Wrong:

- Single database compromise affected entire user base
- Tokens for connected services stored alongside user data

[&]quot;The truth? You need both. Agentless for visibility, agents for critical workloads."

[&]quot;Docker Hub 2019 - 190,000 accounts compromised:"

[&]quot;Lesson: Scan at every stage - registry, build, deploy, runtime"

- Supply chain risk compromised accounts could push malicious images
- Many official and popular images potentially at risk
- Users pulling images had no visibility into compromise

BEAT 3: Container Security Specifics (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Container attack surface diagram]

"Containers aren't just small VMs:"

- Image vulnerabilities (inherited from base)
- Runtime configuration (capabilities, privileges)
- Orchestrator exposure (K8s API)
- Secret management (mounted volumes)
- Network policies (pod-to-pod)

Code example:

```
# Bad
FROM ubuntu:latest
USER root

# Good
FROM ubuntu:22.04@sha256:abc...
USER nonroot
```

BEAT 4: Runtime Protection Mechanisms (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE: Runtime protection in action]

"What runtime protection actually does:"

- Behavioral analysis (is this container acting weird?)
- Drift detection (did something change post-deploy?)
- Active blocking (kill suspicious processes)

BEAT 5: The Shift-Left Reality (Expert - 30 seconds) "Best vulnerability? One that never gets deployed. Scan in CI/CD, fail builds on critical findings."

ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [POLL: "What's your stance on agents?"]

- · Agents everywhere
- · Agentless only
- · Hybrid approach
- · Still deciding

© QUESTION 3 (Episode 2)

"How do I prioritize when everything is critical?"

[&]quot;Example: Container in AKS suddenly starts making DNS queries to cryptomining pools - blocked"

Target time: 9 minutes

Case study: Fortune 500 prioritization success

Engagement: Risk scoring exercise



HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Real customer quote: 'We have 50,000 critical vulnerabilities. Where do we even start?' Sound familiar?"

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: Why CVSS Fails in Cloud (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: CVSS score vs real risk]

"CVSS was built for different world:"

- Assumes network adjacency
- Ignores cloud permissions
- No context awareness
- Static scoring

"A CVSS 10 on an isolated dev box < CVSS 6 on your payment processor"

Math moment: "CVSS is logarithmic, but cloud risk is exponential"

BEAT 2: Modern Risk Scoring (Expert - 2.5 minutes) [SLIDE: Risk formula with variables]

"Real risk calculation:"

Risk = (CVSS × Exploitability × Exposure × Blast Radius) / Compensating Controls

Factors:

- EPSS score (likelihood of exploitation)
- Internet exposure (direct, indirect, none)
- Data sensitivity (PII, PCI, public)
- Identity privileges (admin, user, service)
- Compensating controls (WAF, network isolation)

BEAT 3: Fortune 500 Case Study (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Before/after metrics]

"Major retailer, 100K+ vulnerabilities:"

Before prioritization:

- Patching everything critical (impossible)
- 200 hours/week effort
- Still got breached (medium CVE, internet-facing)

After risk-based prioritization:

- Focus on 200 toxic combinations
- 40 hours/week effort
- No incidents in 18 months

BEAT 4: Automation and ML (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE: ML model identifying risk patterns]

"Machine learning for prioritization:"

- Learns your environment patterns
- Identifies anomalies
- Predicts exploitation likelihood
- · Adjusts for your business context

BEAT 5: The Business Context Layer (Expert - 30 seconds) "Ultimate question: If this gets exploited, does it impact revenue, reputation, or compliance?"

ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [EXERCISE: Show 3 vulnerabilities] "Rank these by risk - we'll reveal the answer"

© QUESTION 4 (Episode 2)

"Integration with DevOps - dream or nightmare?"

Target time: 9 minutes

Success story: CI/CD transformation **Engagement**: Developer friction solutions

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"The eternal struggle: Security wants to scan everything, developers want to ship fast. Can we have both?"

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: The Developer Experience Problem (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Developer workflow with security gates]

"Why developers hate security tools:"

- Slow builds (adding 10+ minutes)
- Unclear feedback ("vulnerability found" where? how bad?)
- Late detection (production scanners)

[&]quot;They fixed less but protected more"

[&]quot;It's not magic - it's statistics applied to security"

No fix guidance

BEAT 2: Shift-Left Done Right (Expert - 2.5 minutes) [SLIDE: Pipeline integration points]

"Smart integration points:"

IDE: Real-time security hints
 Pre-commit: Secret scanning
 PR checks: IaC policy validation

4. Build: Container scanning

5. Deploy: Final gate

6. Runtime: Continuous validation

Code example:

```
# .github/workflows/security.yml
- name: Security Scan
  run: scanner --fail-on critical --ignore-dev-deps
  timeout-minutes: 2 # Speed matters!
```

BEAT 3: Success Story - Startup to Enterprise (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Metrics dashboard - velocity + security]

"SaaS company, 50 developers:"

Starting point:

- No security in pipeline
- · Quarterly pen tests finding basics
- Developers bypassing security

After integration:

- 2-minute security checks in CI
- Developers fixing issues before merge
- 70% reduction in production vulnerabilities
- Deployment velocity increased 2x

BEAT 4: The IaC Revolution (Expert - 1.5 minutes) [SLIDE: IaC scanning preventing misconfigs]

"Infrastructure as Code changes everything:"

- Scan Terraform before apply
- Policy as code (Sentinel, OPA)
- Drift detection automatic
- · Compliance by default

[&]quot;We've made security the enemy of velocity"

[&]quot;Security became enablement, not enforcement"

"Fix once in code, secure forever in cloud"

BEAT 5: Developer Education (Expert - 30 seconds) "Tools don't fix culture. Invest in security champions, training, and positive reinforcement."

ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [CHAT: "What's your biggest DevSecOps friction point?"]

© QUESTION 5 (Episode 2)

"What's coming next in cloud security?"

Target time: 9 minutes

**Future trends and predictions

Engagement: Technology wishlist

HOST OPENING (30 seconds)

"Let's end by looking forward. What's coming that will make our lives easier... or harder?"

Beat Structure:

BEAT 1: AI/ML Evolution (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: AI detection capabilities growth curve]

"Al in security - beyond the hype:"

- Anomaly detection that actually works
- Natural language policy writing
- Automated fix generation
- Predictive risk modeling

"Example: 'AI, make sure no database is ever public' → Generates and enforces policy"

Reality check: "Al also helps attackers. It's an arms race."

BEAT 2: eBPF and Runtime Innovation (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: eBPF architecture in kernel]

"eBPF - Berkeley Packet Filter - is revolutionary:"

- Kernel-level visibility
- Near-zero performance impact
- No agents needed
- Real-time detection

BEAT 3: Zero Trust Evolution (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Zero trust maturity model]

"Zero Trust 2.0:"

• Workload-to-workload authentication

[&]quot;Think of it as X-ray vision for your workloads"

- Continuous verification
- Adaptive access controls
- · Blockchain for audit trails

"Future: Every API call authenticated, authorized, and audited"

BEAT 4: Compliance Automation (Expert - 2 minutes) [SLIDE: Automated compliance pipeline]

"Coming soon:"

- · Continuous compliance scoring
- Automated evidence collection
- Real-time audit reports
- Self-documenting controls

BEAT 5: The Convergence (Expert - 30 seconds) "Security, infrastructure, and development tools will merge. The boundaries are already blurring."

ENGAGEMENT (Host - 30 seconds) [POLL: "What technology are you most excited about?"]

- Al-powered security
- eBPF runtime protection
- · Zero trust architecture
- Compliance automation

Closing (3 minutes)

Host Wrap-up "We've gone deep today. Key technical takeaways:"

- 1. CSPM needs tuning, not just turning on
- 2. Workload protection requires multiple approaches
- 3. Risk scoring beats severity scoring
- 4. DevOps integration is possible with the right approach
- 5. The future is automated, intelligent, and continuous

Expert Final Thought "Cloud security is hard, but it's also the most exciting time to be in this field. The tools and techniques we're building today will define the next decade of technology."

Resources & Next Steps

• Technical guides: [Link]

• Community Slack: [Link]

Demo environment: [Link]

• Questions: [Support]

VISUAL STRATEGY & SLIDE REQUIREMENTS

Design Principles

[&]quot;Compliance becomes a dashboard, not a spreadsheet"

- 1. Dark theme Easy on eyes for screen viewing
- 2. Minimal text Visuals tell the story
- 3. Progressive disclosure Builds complexity
- 4. Breach photography Real-world impact
- 5. Architecture diagrams Technical accuracy

Slide Inventory - Streamlined (15 slides per episode)

Episode 1 Slides:

SLIDE 1A: Title & Agenda

- Opening title with series branding
- Builds to show 5 questions as roadmap
- · Single slide, multiple builds

SLIDE 1B: Traditional vs Cloud Security Paradigm

- Split screen visual: Castle fortress with walls and guards (left) vs interconnected cloud of resources with identity badges floating between them (right)
- Text overlay builds: "FROM: Keep attackers out of the data center" → "TO: Never trust, always verify every access"
- Progressive build showing shared responsibility dividing line
- · Visual metaphor reinforces the fundamental mindset shift from perimeter to identity-centric security

SLIDE 1C: Capital One Breach - Traditional Security Failed

- Left side shows "What they had" with checkmarks: WAF, monitoring tools, compliance certifications, incident response team, vulnerability scanning
- Right side shows "What they missed" with X marks: continuous validation, zero trust, least privilege, automated enforcement
- Center shows attack timeline: SSRF → metadata service → stolen credentials → S3 data access
- Bottom text: "100+ million customers compromised because traditional security thinking met cloud infrastructure"

SLIDE 1D: The Cloud Security Framework

- Circular diagram with 7 cloud security domains arranged around "Cloud Security" center: Identity & Zero Trust, Data Protection, IaC Security, Continuous Monitoring, Resilience & Automation, Shared Responsibility, Auto-remediation
- Bottom section shows key FROM→TO transitions: Periodic→Continuous, Manual→Automated,
 Perimeter→Identity
- Color coding connects traditional failures to modern cloud solutions

SLIDE 2A: Question 2 - CNAPP

- Question appears
- Builds to show tool sprawl (browser tabs multiply)
- Can add tool logos/names progressively

SLIDE 2B: Uber Breach + CNAPP Solution

- Uber attack path with tool gaps
- Transitions to CNAPP unified platform
- Shows correlation power
- One slide shows problem → solution

SLIDE 3A: Question 3 - Cloud VM Challenges

- Question appears
- Container lifecycle bars animate (shrinking timescales)
- Adds visibility gap matrix as overlay

SLIDE 3B: Equifax Breach + Context

- Equifax cloud migration vulnerability management failure story
- Transitions to risk-in-context examples
- Same CVE, different risks based on context

SLIDE 4A: Question 4 - Visibility

- Question appears
- Auto-discovery web spreads
- Adds resource dependencies

SLIDE 4B: SolarWinds + Risk Pyramid

- Supply chain attack visualization
- Builds to risk visibility pyramid
- Shows attack paths at the top

SLIDE 5A: Question 5 - Where to Start

- Question appears
- 30-60-90 day roadmap builds
- Quick wins appear as checklist

SLIDE 5B: Success Story

- Before/after metrics
- Animated transition showing improvement
- Key lesson appears

SLIDE 5C: Key Takeaways

- Four main points build
- · Icons and text appear together
- Final thought overlays

SLIDE 5D: Next Steps

• Episode 2 preview

- · Resources and links
- · Demo booking info

SLIDE 5E: Thank You + Q&A

- Simple closing
- · Contact information
- Q&A prompt

Episode 2 Slides:

[Similar structure with technical deep-dive visuals]

Slide Production Notes

- Use real screenshot when possible (sanitized)
- Include code snippets with syntax highlighting
- Animate complex diagrams step-by-step
- Include speaker notes with timing cues
- Export as PDF for backup
- Test all animations on Zoom

ENGAGEMENT TACTICS BY EXPERIENCE LEVEL

For Beginners:

- Start each answer with plain English
- Use analogies (apartment, highway, etc.)
- Provide definitions inline
- "If you're new, focus on this one thing..."
- Encourage questions via chat
- Celebrate "aha" moments

For Intermediate:

- Add technical depth after basics
- Provide specific commands/configs
- Share decision frameworks
- "You probably know X, but did you know Y?"
- Challenge with scenarios
- Recognize common pain points

For Experts:

- Drop advanced insights throughout
- Reference latest research/CVEs
- Discuss edge cases
- "For those running at scale..."
- Invite counter-arguments

· Share war stories

Universal Engagement:

- Polls every 5-7 minutes
- Chat challenges with prizes
- Q&A breaks between sections
- Real-time myth busting
- · Success story celebrations
- Anonymous question submission

REHEARSAL GUIDE

Timing Checkpoints:

• Opening: 3 minutes (firm)

• Each question: Target time ±1 minute

• Engagement breaks: 30 seconds (can skip if running long)

• Closing: 3 minutes (firm)

• Buffer: 2 minutes total

Energy Management:

- Start high energy (hook them)
- · Peak at breach stories
- Valley at technical details (intentional)
- Build to crescendo at solutions
- End with optimism and action

Transition Phrases:

- "But here's where it gets interesting..."
- "Let me show you what this means..."
- "Now, for the experts in the room..."
- "If you remember nothing else..."
- "This brings us to the big question..."

Backup Plans:

- If running long: Skip engagement breaks
- If running short: Add Q&A mid-session
- If tech fails: PDF slides ready
- If low energy: Inject poll
- If too complex: Return to analogy

Practice Requirements:

- 1. Full run-through with timer
- 2. Breach story delivery (emotion without FUD)

- 3. Transition smoothness
- 4. Poll/chat monitoring while speaking
- 5. Q&A response frameworks

PRODUCTION CHECKLIST

I RODOCTION OF ILCREIST
Two Weeks Before:
 Slides complete and reviewed Rehearsal #1 with team Registration page live Promotional emails scheduled Social media kit prepared
One Week Before:
 Tech check with platform Rehearsal #2 with timing Reminder email #1 sent Backup presenter briefed Q&A anticipated questions doc
Day Before:
 Final slide review Tech check #2 Reminder email #2 Team briefing call Quiet calendar blocked
Day Of:
 Test all equipment 1 hour prior Team standup 30 min prior Waiting room messaging ready Recording enabled Social media monitor active
Post-Event:
 Thank you email with resources Recording edited and posted Survey sent Leads routed to sales
Retrospective meeting scheduled

SUCCESS METRICS

Quantitative:

- Registration to attendance rate >40%
- Complete viewing rate >60%
- Episode 1 to 2 conversion >40%
- Demo requests >25% of attendees
- Survey NPS >40

Qualitative:

- Chat engagement throughout
- Technical questions showing understanding
- Social media amplification
- Sales feedback on lead quality
- · Community discussion generated

Red Flags to Watch:

- Drop-off at 15-minute mark
- No chat engagement
- · Confusion in questions
- · Technical difficulties
- Negative social media

APPENDIX: KEY RESOURCES

Breach Research Links:

• Capital One: [CISA report]

• Uber: [FTC filing]

• Tesla: [RedLock research]

• SolarWinds: [Congressional testimony]

• Docker Hub: [Official disclosure]

Technical References:

- NIST Cloud definitions
- CIS Benchmarks
- EPSS scoring model
- eBPF documentation
- · OPA policy examples

Competitive Intelligence:

- Gartner CNAPP MQ
- Forrester Wave
- · Customer case studies
- Pricing comparisons

• Feature matrices

End of OutlinePlan2.md