Lab 1 matge373

<u>1.1</u>

 Please tell us whether you had any specific difficulties in modeling the problem domain or getting FF to generate a plan.

To me, the main struggle was getting to know PDDL since I've only done very basic tasks in one course before. Especially figuring out how to use typing was more difficult than I thought, but that makes sense to me now.

The first time I ran the planner after finishing writing my domain and problem, I got a segmentation fault. This was difficult to understand as it didn't specify anything, and the lab assistant didn't really understand why either. The lab assistant then tried changing my typing of "xxx - obj" to "xxx - object", which worked. So FF was in some way sensitive to strictly defining my types as objects, rather than a generic thing called "obj".

1.2 IPP

/courses/TDDD48/planners/ipp/plan domain1.pddl **p1_1.pddl** tempout, where p1_1 is the first problem, the one with only one person. It took 4 time steps to reach the goal, which in reality is a fraction of a second.

/courses/TDDD48/planners/ipp/plan domain1.pddl **p1_2.pddl** tempout. This took 7 time steps to complete, which also is a very short amount of time (less than a second).

1.2.3

IPP can still do simple tasks very quickly, for instance a problem with 1 uav, 5 locations, 5 persons, 6 crates and 6 goals. Increasing the amount of crates to 15 increases runtime by about 20x, so increasing the number of crates results in a more complicated plan to solve. Increasing the amount of people does increase the runtime a little bit, but it's barely noticeable (0.012 vs. 0.015 seconds for 5 vs. 15 people). So it does not have the same impact.

Running the IPP with:

UAVs: 1 Carriers: 0 Locations: 5 Persons: 5 Crates: 10 Goals: 9

Increased the runtime, until finding a goal, to 76 seconds.

1.3

These planners will initially run with:

UAVs: 1 Carriers: 0 Locations: 5 Persons: 5 Crates: 10 Goals: 9

Lama-2011

It took approximately 0.05 seconds to find the first solution.

Creating a problem instance with:

UAVs: 1 Carriers: 0 Locations: 100 Persons: 90 Crates: 200 Goals: 150

Lama-2011 managed to get a first result after 18+7+38 = 63 seconds.

• madagascar-p

The initial problem took 0.02 seconds to complete.

Creating a problem instance with:

UAVs: 1 Carriers: 0 Locations: 30 Persons: 20 Crates: 50 Goals: 40

Madagascar-p managed to get a first result after 49 seconds.

• YAHSP3

This planner didn't finish within the time limit for the first given problem instance. I cancelled it after 77 seconds (it got to bound 21). Testing it on 6 crates and 6 goals instead it finished within a second.

• ipc2018

I decided to try Cerberus-gl which took .011 seconds to find the first solution.

Creating a problem instance with:

UAVs: 1 Carriers: 0 Locations: 30 Persons: 30 Crates: 100 Goals: 85

Cerberus-gl found its first solution after 44.5 seconds (I'm counting that as 45 seconds, which was acceptable).

<u>1.4</u>

1

5 objects, since we're counting impossible states there should be 5 combinations available for each predicate.

```
2^(5*5) combinations of "at"
2^5 combinations of "has-photo-of"
2^(5*5) combinations of "photo-possible"
2^5 uav
2^5 loc
2^5 target

⇒ 2^(25+25+20) = 2^70 = very large
```

2.

O = U+T+L objects in total. Since we're still counting impossible, sensible and meaningless states we should have the following:

```
2^{(0^2 + 0^2 + 4^*0)}
```

3.

Now in the small instance we'll have the following:

2^(1*2)

2^2

2^(2*2)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 2^8 = 256

In the general case we would have the following:

2^(U*L)

2^(T)

2^(L*T)

\Rightarrow 2^(UL+T+LT)

This is now because we know only locations that are instanced where the ?loc variable is, for instance. This drastically lowers the state space mainly because of this, and also since we've removed the type predicates.

4.

Starting off, we'd want to move somewhere and take a photo there, if possible. In this case, we can assume that photo-possible is always true for each location we visit. Then the number of unique actions instances should be:

Move:

- U*(L-1)
- So each UAV can go to another location, but not the location it's already on (since it would be meaningless).

Take-photo

- Since we're "optimistic" about photo-possible, we're assuming that it's always possible to take a photo when we want to.
- o This would then result in T instances.

In total, this would give us $U^*(L-1) + T$ as the highest number of unique applicable actions.