```
library(glmnet)
library(tree)
library(caret)
library(dplyr)
  "Assume that Fat can be modeled as a linear regression in which absorbance
characteristics (Channels) are used as features. Report the underlying
probabilistic model, fit the linear regression to the training data and
estimate
the training and test errors. Comment on the quality of fit and prediction and
therefore on the quality of model."
#Read in data from "tecator.csv" and store in a data frame called "tecator"
tecator = read.csv("tecator.csv", header = T)
# Get the number of rows in the data frame and store in a variable called "n"
n = dim(tecator)[1]
# Set the seed for the random number generator
set.seed(12345)
# Create a new data frame called "df" that contains all the columns from the
"tecator" data frame except for the first column
df = data.frame(tecator[c(2:102)])
# Generate a random sample of row indices from the "tecator" data frame
id=sample(1:n, floor(n*0.5))
# Use the "id" indices to select a subset of rows from the "df" data frame and
store them in a new data frame called "train"
train = df[id,]
# Use the "id" indices to select all rows except those in the "id" subset from
the "df" data frame and store them in a new data frame called "test"
test = df[-id,]
# Fit a linear regression model to the "train" data frame, with the "Fat"
column as the response variable and all the other columns as predictor
variables
fit = lm(Fat~ ., data = train)
# Use the "fit" model to make predictions on the training data and store the
predictions in a vector called "train preds"
train preds = predict(fit, train)
# Use the "fit" model to make predictions on the test data and store the
predictions in a vector called "test preds"
test preds = predict(fit, test)
```

Calculate the mean squared error (MSE) of the model's predictions on the training data and store in a variable called "MSE train"

sum = summary(fit)

Generate a summary of the "fit" model and store it in an object called "sum"

```
MSE train=mean((train preds - train$Fat)^2)
# Calculate the MSE of the model's predictions on the test data and store in a
variable called "MSE test"
MSE test=mean((test preds - test$Fat)^2)
# Print "Test error" and the MSE of the model's predictions on the test data
print("Test error")
MSE test
# Print "Train error" and the MSE of the model's predictions on the training
print("Train error")
MSE train
Fit the LASSO regression model to the training data. Present a plot
illustrating how the regression coefficients depend on the log of penalty
factor (log \lambda\lambda) and interpret this plot. What value of the penalty factor can
chosen if we want to select a model with only three features?"
# Get the "Fat" column from the "train" data frame and store in a variable
called "y"
y = train$Fat
# Get the first 100 columns from the "train" data frame and store in a
variable called "x"
x = train[1:100]
# Fit a lasso regression model to the "x" and "y" data using the "glmnet"
function, with a Gaussian error distribution
model lasso= glmnet(as.matrix(x), as.matrix(y), alpha=1,family="gaussian")
# Plot the model's coefficients as a function of the regularization parameter
"lambda"
plot(model lasso, xvar = "lambda")
# Use the "model lasso" model to make predictions on the "x" data and store
the predictions in a variable called "ynew"
ynew=predict(model lasso, newx=as.matrix(x), type="response")
"Repeat step 3 but fit Ridge instead of the LASSO regression and compare the
plots from steps 3 and 4. Conclusions?"
# Get the "Fat" column from the "train" data frame and store in a variable
called "y"
y = train$Fat
# Get the first 100 columns from the "train" data frame and store in a
variable called "x"
x = train[1:100]
```

```
# Fit a ridge regression model to the "x" and "y" data using the "glmnet"
function, with a Gaussian error distribution
model lasso= glmnet(as.matrix(x), as.matrix(y), alpha=0,family="gaussian")
# Plot the model's coefficients as a function of the regularization parameter
"lambda"
plot(model lasso, xvar = "lambda")
# Use the "model lasso" model to make predictions on the "x" data and store
the predictions in a variable called "ynew"
ynew=predict(model lasso, newx=as.matrix(x), type="response")
"Use cross-validation with default number of folds to compute the optimal
LASSO model. Present a plot showing the dependence of the CV score on \log \lambda\lambda
and comment how the CV score changes with log \lambda\lambda. Report the optimal \lambda\lambda and
how many variables were chosen in this model. Does the information
displayed in the plot suggests that the optimal \lambda\lambda value results in a
statistically
significantly better prediction than \log \lambda\lambda = -4? Finally, create a scatter
of the original test versus predicted test values for the model corresponding
to optimal lambda and comment whether the model predictions are good."
# Fit a lasso regression model to the "x" and "y" data using cross-validation
and the "cv.qlmnet" function, with a Gaussian error distribution
model lasso= cv.glmnet(as.matrix(x), as.matrix(y), alpha=1, family="gaussian")
# Get the value of the regularization parameter "lambda" that resulted in the
lowest cross-validation error
lambda min = model lasso$lambda.min
# Plot the model's coefficients as a function of the regularization parameter
"lambda"
plot(model lasso, xvar = "lambda")
# Fit a lasso regression model to the "x" and "y" data using the "glmnet"
function and the "lambda min" value, with a Gaussian error distribution
better model = glmnet(as.matrix(x), as.matrix(y), lambda = lambda min, alpha =
1, family = "gaussian")
# Use the "better model" model to make predictions on the "x" data and store
the predictions in a variable called "ynew"
ynew=predict(better model, newx=as.matrix(x), s = lambda min,
type="response")
# Plot the original "y" values against the predicted "ynew" values, with a red
color and a labeled x-axis and y-axis
plot(y, ynew, xlab = "Original", ylab = "Predicted",col = "red", main =
"Scatter plot")
# Add a line with slope 1 and intercept 0 to the plot
abline(0,1)
```

```
Lecture 2a."
# Read in data from "bank-full.csv" and store in a data frame called "d"
d = read.csv("bank-full.csv", sep = ";", stringsAsFactors = TRUE)
# Create a copy of the "d" data frame called "data"
data = d
# Remove the "duration" column from the "data" data frame
data$duration = c()
# Get the "y" column from the "d" data frame and store in a variable called
"output"
output = d['y']
# Get the number of rows in the "data" data frame and store in a variable
called "n"
n = dim(data)[1]
# Set the seed for the random number generator
set.seed(12345)
# Generate a random sample of row indices from the "data" data frame
id=sample(1:n, floor(n*0.4))
# Use the "id" indices to select a subset of rows from the "data" data frame
and store them in a new data frame called "train"
train=data[id,]
# Get the indices of the rows in the "data" data frame that are not in the
"id" subset
id1=setdiff(1:n, id)
# Set the seed for the random number generator
set.seed(12345)
# Generate a random sample of row indices from the "id1" subset
id2=sample(id1, floor(n*0.3))
# Use the "id2" indices to select a subset of rows from the "data" data frame
and store them in a new data frame called "valid"
valid=data[id2,]
# Get the indices of the rows in the "id1" subset that are not in the "id2"
subset
id3=setdiff(id1,id2)
```

"Import the data to R, remove variable "duration" and divide into

training/validation/test as 40/30/30: use data partitioning code specified in

- # Use the "id3" indices to select a subset of rows from the "data" data frame
 and store them in a new data frame called "test"
 test=data[id3,]
- "Fit decision trees to the training data so that you change the default settings

one by one (i.e. not simultaneously):

- a. Decision Tree with default settings.
- b. Decision Tree with smallest allowed node size equal to 7000.
- c. Decision trees minimum deviance to 0.0005.
- and report the misclassification rates for the training and validation data. Which model is the best one among these three? Report how changing the deviance and node size affected the size of the trees and explain why."
- # Fit a decision tree model to the "train" data using the "tree" function, using all of the columns except the "y" column as predictors fit=tree(as.factor(y)~., data=train)
- # Plot the decision tree model
 plot(fit)
- # Add text labels to the plot to show the splits at each node of the tree text(fit, pretty=0)
- # Fit a decision tree model to the "train" data using the "tree" function, using all of the columns except the "y" column as predictors, with a minimum size of 7000 for each leaf $fit2=tree(as.factor(y)\sim., data=train, minsize=7000)$
- # Plot the decision tree model
 plot(fit2)
- # Add text labels to the plot to show the splits at each node of the tree text(fit2, pretty=0)
- # Fit a decision tree model to the "train" data using the "tree" function, using all of the columns except the "y" column as predictors, with a minimum relative decrease in impurity of 0.0005 required to split a node fit3=tree(as.factor(y)~., data=train, mindev=0.0005)
- # Plot the decision tree model
 plot(fit3)
- # Add text labels to the plot to show the splits at each node of the tree text(fit3, pretty=0)

"Use training and validation sets to choose the optimal tree depth in the model 2c: study the trees up to 50 leaves. Present a graph of the dependence of deviances for the training and the validation data on the number of leaves and interpret this graph in terms of bias-variance tradeoff. Report the optimal amount of leaves and which variables seem to be most important for decision making in this tree. Interpret the information provided by the tree structure (not everything but most important findings)."

- # Make predictions on the "train" data using the first decision tree model and store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit_t" Yfit t=predict(fit, newdata=train, type="class")
- # Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "train" data using the first decision tree model t1<-table (train\$y, Yfit t)
- # Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "train" data using the first decision tree model mis t1 <- 1-sum(diag(t1))/sum(t1)
- # Make predictions on the "train" data using the second decision tree model
 and store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit_t2"
 Yfit t2=predict(fit2, newdata=train, type="class")
- # Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "train" data using the second decision tree model $t2<-table(train\$y,Yfit_t2)$
- # Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "train" data using the second decision tree model mis t2 <- 1-sum(diag(t2))/sum(t2)
- # Make predictions on the "train" data using the third decision tree model and store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit_t3" Yfit t3=predict(fit3, newdata=train, type="class")
- # Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "train" data using the third decision tree model t3<-table(train\$y,Yfit t3)
- # Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "train" data using the third decision tree model mis t3 <- 1-sum(diag(t3))/sum(t3)
- # Make predictions on the "valid" data using the first decision tree model and store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit_v" Yfit v=predict(fit, newdata=valid, type="class")
- # Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "valid" data using the first decision tree model v1<-table(valid\$y,Yfit v)
- # Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "valid" data using the first decision tree model mis v1<-1-sum(diag(v1))/sum(v1)
- # Make predictions on the "valid" data using the second decision tree model
 and store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit_v2"
 Yfit v2=predict(fit2, newdata=valid, type="class")
- # Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "valid" data using the second decision tree model

```
v2<-table(valid$y,Yfit v2)
# Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "valid" data
using the second decision tree model
mis v2 < -1 - sum(diag(v2)) / sum(v2)
# Make predictions on the "valid" data using the third decision tree model and
store the predictions in a variable called "Yfit v3"
Yfit v3=predict(fit3, newdata=valid, type="class")
# Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "valid" data using the
third decision tree model
v3<-table(valid$y,Yfit v3)
# Calculate the misclassification rate for the predictions on the "valid" data
using the third decision tree model
mis v3 < -1 - sum(diag(v3)) / sum(v3)
# Print the misclassification rates for the predictions on the "train" and
"valid" data using the first decision tree model
print("1) Training and validation")
print(mis t1)
print(mis v1)
# Print the misclassification rates for the predictions on the "train" and
"valid" data using the second decision tree model
print("2) Training and validation")
print(mis t2)
print(mis v2)
# Print the misclassification rates for the predictions on the "train" and
"valid" data using the third decision tree model
print("3) Training and validation")
print(mis t3)
print(mis v3)
"Estimate the confusion matrix, accuracy and F1 score for the test data by
using the optimal model from step 3. Comment whether the model has a
good predictive power and which of the measures (accuracy or F1-score)
should be preferred here."
# Create two vectors called "trainScore" and "testScore" with length 50 and
filled with 0s
trainScore=rep(0,50)
testScore=rep(0,50)
# Loop through the numbers 2 to 50
for(i in 2:50) {
  # Prune the third decision tree model to have "i" leaves
 prunedTree=prune.tree(fit3,best=i)
```

```
# Make predictions on the "valid" data using the pruned decision tree model
and store the predictions in a variable called "pred"
 pred=predict(prunedTree, newdata=valid, type="tree")
  # Calculate the deviance for the pruned decision tree model on the "train"
data and store it in the "trainScore" vector
  trainScore[i]=deviance(prunedTree)
  # Calculate the deviance for the predictions on the "valid" data using the
pruned decision tree model and store it in the "testScore" vector
  testScore[i]=deviance(pred)
# Plot the deviances for the pruned decision tree models on the "train" data
(in red) and the deviances for the predictions on the "valid" data (in blue)
plot(2:50, trainScore[2:50], type="b", col="red", ylim=c(min(testScore[-1]),
max(trainScore[-1])))
points(2:50, testScore[2:50], type="b", col="blue")
# Find the number of leaves that gives the minimum deviance on the "valid"
data
optimal leaves = which.min(testScore[2:50])
# Prune the third decision tree model to have the optimal number of leaves
finalTree=prune.tree(fit3, best=optimal leaves)
# Make predictions on the "valid" data using the final pruned decision tree
model and store the predictions in a variable called "finalfit"
finalfit=predict(finalTree, newdata=valid, type="class")
# Create a confusion matrix for the predictions on the "valid" data using the
final pruned decision tree model
tab = table(valid$y,finalfit)
# Plot the final pruned decision tree model
plot(finalTree)
# Print the summary of the third decision tree model
summary(fit3)
# Print the summary of the final pruned decision tree model
summary(finalTree)
#text(fit3, pretty=0)
"Estimate the confusion matrix, accuracy and F1 score for the test data by
using the optimal model from step 3. Comment whether the model has a
good predictive power and which of the measures (accuracy or F1-score)
should be preferred here."
# Prune the decision tree model fit3 using the optimal number of leaves
opt tree <- prune.tree(fit3, best=optimal leaves)</pre>
# Make predictions on the test set using the pruned tree
ffitTest <- predict(opt tree, newdata=test, type="class")</pre>
```

```
# Calculate the confusion matrix
c m <- table(test$y, ffitTest)</pre>
# Print the confusion matrix
c m
# Calculate the accuracy
acc <- sum(c m[1], c m[4])/sum(c m[1:4])
# Calculate the precision
prec <- c m[4] / sum(c m[4], c m[2])
# Calculate the recall
recall <- c m[4] / sum(c m[4], c m[3])
# Calculate the F1 score
f1 score <- (2*(recall*prec)) / (recall + prec)</pre>
"Perform a decision tree classification of the test data with the following
loss
matrix,
732A99/732A68/ TDDE01 Machine Learning
Division of Statistics and Machine Learning
Department of Computer and Information Science
and report the confusion matrix for the test data. Compare the results with
the results from step 4 and discuss how the rates has changed and why."
# Make predictions on the test set using the pruned tree model
predtree5 <- predict(opt tree, newdata=test, type="vector")</pre>
# Extract the probability of the positive class from the predictions
probY <- predtree5[,2]</pre>
# Extract the probability of the negative class from the predictions
probN <- predtree5[,1]</pre>
# Create a new vector of predictions based on the ratio of the probabilities
pred5 <- ifelse((probY/probN)>1/5, "yes", "no")
# Calculate the confusion matrix for the new predictions
c m <- table(test$y, pred5)</pre>
# Print the confusion matrix
\mathsf{c}^{\mathsf{m}}
# Calculate the accuracy
acc <- sum(c m[1], c m[4])/sum(c m[1:4])
# Calculate the precision
prec <- c m[4] / sum(c m[4], c m[2])
# Calculate the recall
recall \leftarrow c m[4] / sum(c m[4], c m[3])
```

```
f1 score <- (2*(recall*prec)) / (recall + prec)</pre>
  "Use the optimal tree and a logistic regression model to classify the test
using the following principle:
where \pi\pi = 0.05,0.1,0.15, ... 0.9,0.95. Compute the TPR and FPR values for the
two models and plot the corresponding ROC curves. Conclusion?
 Why precisionrecall curve could be a better option here?"
# Train a decision tree model on the training data
optimalTree <- tree(as.factor(y)~., data=train, mindev=0.0005)
# Prune the tree using the optimal number of leaves
optimalTree <- prune.tree(optimalTree, best=21)</pre>
# Create a sequence of probability thresholds
pi < -seq(0.05, 0.95, 0.05)
# Train a logistic regression model on the training data
logic model <- glm(as.factor(y)~.,data = train ,family="binomial")</pre>
# Make predictions on the test set using the logistic regression model
pred6 probY = predict(logic model, newdata = test, type = "response")
# Calculate the probability of the negative class
pred6 probN = 1 -pred6 probY
# Make predictions on the test set using the pruned decision tree model
tree pred = predict(optimalTree, newdata = test, type = "vector")
# Initialize vectors for false positive rate and true positive rate for both
models
fpr 1 <- c(1:length(pi))</pre>
tpr 1 <- c(1:length(pi))</pre>
fpr 2 <- c(1:length(pi))</pre>
tpr 2 <- c(1:length(pi))</pre>
# Initialize the predictions for the decision tree model
pred6 <- tree pred[, 1]</pre>
# Loop through each probability threshold
for (i in 1:length(pi)){
  # Decision tree model
  tpr 1[i] = 0
  fpr 1[i] = 0
  # Create predictions for the decision tree model based on the current
threshold
  pred6 <- ifelse(tree pred[,2]>pi[i], "yes", "no")
```

Calculate the F1 score

```
# Calculate the confusion matrix for the decision tree model
  pred6 matrix <- table(test$y, pred6)</pre>
  \# If the confusion matrix has more than one column, calculate TPR and FPR
  if(ncol(pred6 matrix) > 1){
    tpr 1[i] <- pred6 matrix[2,2] / (pred6 matrix[2,1]+pred6 matrix[2,2])</pre>
    fpr 1[i] <- pred6 matrix[1,2] / (pred6 matrix[1,1]+pred6 matrix[1,2])</pre>
  # Logistic regression model
  tpr 2[i] = 0
  fpr 2[i] = 0
  # Create predictions for the logistic regression model based on the current
  pred6 logic <- ifelse(pred6 probY > pi[i], "yes", "no")
  # Calculate the confusion matrix for the logistic regression model
 pred6 logic matrix <- table(test$y,pred6 logic)</pre>
  # Calculate TPR and FPR for the logistic regression model
  tpr 2[i] <- pred6 logic matrix[2,2] /(pred6 logic matrix[2,1]</pre>
+pred6 logic matrix[2,2])
  fpr 2[i] <- (pred6 logic matrix[1,2] / (pred6 logic matrix[1,1]</pre>
                                           +pred6 logic matrix[1,2]))
}
# Plot the TPR and FPR for the decision tree model
plot(fpr 1, tpr 1, type='l', xlim = c(0,1), ylim = c(0,1),
     xlab='FPR', ylab='TPR', col='red')
# Plot the TPR and FPR for the logistic regression model
lines(fpr 2, tpr 2, type='l', xlim = c(0,1),
      xlab='FPR', ylab='TPR', col='blue')
# Add a reference line to the plot
abline(0,1, lty = 5)
# Add a legend to the plot
legend(x = "bottomright", col = c("red", "blue", "black"),
       legend = c("Tree", "Logistic", "Reference"), lwd = 2,title = "Lines",
       lty = c(1,1,5)
  "Scale all variables except of ViolentCrimesPerPop and implement PCA by
using function eigen(). Report how many components are needed to obtain at
least 95% of variance in the data. What is the proportion of variation
explained by each of the first two principal components? "
 rm(list = ls(all = TRUE))
 graphics.off()
 shell("cls")
  # Read in the communities data from a CSV file
  data = read.csv(file = "communities.csv", header = TRUE)
```

```
# Create a logical vector indicating which column is "ViolentCrimesPerPop"
  index <- names(data) %in% "ViolentCrimesPerPop"</pre>
  # Scale the data, excluding "ViolentCrimesPerPop"
  data.scaled <- scale(x = data[, !index], center = TRUE, scale = TRUE)</pre>
  # Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of the
original data
  e = eigen(cov(data[, -1]))
  # Calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the covariance matrix of the
scaled data
 e.scaled = eigen(cov(data.scaled))
  # Calculate the cumulative sum of the scaled eigenvalues
  cum var = cumsum(e.scaled$values/sum(e.scaled$values))
  # Calculate the number of eigenvalues needed to explain 95% of the variance
  sum(cum var<0.95)</pre>
  # Calculate the proportion of the variance explained by the first two
eigenvalues
 e.scaled$values[1:2]/sum(e.scaled$values)
  "Repeat PCA analysis by using princomp() function and make the trace plot of
the first principle component. Do many features have a notable contribution
to this component? Report which 5 features contribute mostly (by the
absolute value) to the first principle component. Comment whether these
features have anything in common and whether they may have a logical
relationship to the crime level. Also provide a plot of the PC scores in the
coordinates (PC1, PC2) in which the color of the points is given by
ViolentCrimesPerPop. Analyse this plot (hint: use ggplot2 package )."
  # Read in the communities data from a CSV file
  data = read.csv(file = "communities.csv", header = TRUE)
  # Create a logical vector indicating which column is "ViolentCrimesPerPop"
  index <- names(data) %in% "ViolentCrimesPerPop"</pre>
  # Scale the data, excluding "ViolentCrimesPerPop"
  data.scaled <- scale(x = data[, !index], center = TRUE, scale = TRUE)</pre>
  # Perform principal component analysis on the scaled data
  pr=princomp(data.scaled)
  # Calculate the eigenvalues
  lambda=pr$sdev^2
  # Calculate the proportion of variance explained by each eigenvalue
  var = sprintf("%2.3f",lambda/sum(lambda)*100)
  # Extract the loadings for the first principal component
  ev1 = pr$loadings[,1]
```

```
# Find the top five absolute loadings for the first principal component
  ev1[order(abs(ev1), decreasing = TRUE)[1:5]]
  # Load the ggfortify library for plot visualization
  library(ggfortify)
  # Create a scatterplot matrix of the first two principal components, colored
by "ViolentCrimesPerPop"
  autoplot(pr, data = data, colour = "ViolentCrimesPerPop")
  "Split the original data into training and test (50/50) and scale both
features
and response appropriately, and estimate a linear regression model from
training data in which ViolentCrimesPerPop is target and all other data
columns are features. Compute training and test errors for these data and
comment on the quality of model"
  # Read in the communities data from a CSV file
 df = read.csv("communities.csv")
  # Scale the data
  df = scale(df, TRUE, TRUE)
  # Set a seed for reproducibility
  set.seed(12345)
  # Split the data into a training set and a test set
  n < - \dim(df)[1]
  id <- sample(1:n,floor(n*0.5))
  df train <- data.frame(df[id,])</pre>
  df test <- data.frame(df[-id,])</pre>
  # Fit a linear regression model to the training data
 lr = lm(ViolentCrimesPerPop ~ .,df train)
  # Make predictions on the training and test sets
  train.pred = predict(lr, df train)
  test.pred = predict(lr, df test)
  # Calculate the mean squared error (MSE) for the training and test sets
  train_MSE = mean((train.pred - df_train$ViolentCrimesPerPop) ^ 2)
  test MSE = mean((test.pred - df test$ViolentCrimesPerPop) ^ 2)
  # Print the MSE for the training and test sets
 print("Train error")
 train MSE
 print("Test error")
  test MSE
"Implement a function that depends on parameter vector \theta\theta and represents the
cost function for linear regression without intercept on the training data
Afterwards, use BFGS method (optim() function without gradient specified)
```

```
test errors for every iteration number. Present a plot showing dependence of
both errors on the iteration number and comment which iteration number is
optimal according to the early stopping criterion. Compute the training and
test error in the optimal model, compare them with results in step 3 and
make conclusions.
a. Hint 1: don't store parameters from each iteration (otherwise it will
take a lot of memory), instead compute and store test errors directly.
b. Hint 2: discard some amount of initial iterations, like 500, in your plot
to make the dependences visible."
  # Initialize empty vectors for storing training and test errors
  train error <<- numeric(0)</pre>
  test error <<- numeric(0)</pre>
  # Set the seed for reproducibility
  set.seed(12345)
  # Define the cost function
  cost <- function(theta, train, acc_train, test, acc_test){</pre>
    # Calculate predictions on the training and test data using theta
    pred train = train %*% theta
    pred test = test %*% theta
    # Calculate MSE of predictions on training and test data
    mse train = mean((acc train-pred train)^2)
    mse test = mean((acc test - pred test)^2)
    # Append MSEs to the train error and test error vectors
    train error <<- append(train error, mse train)</pre>
    test error <<- append(test error, mse test)</pre>
    # Return MSE on training data as cost
    return(mse train)
  }
  # Create matrices of predictors and responses for the training and test data
  trainy = as.matrix(df train[,1:(dim(df train)[2]-1)])
  acc train = as.matrix(df train['ViolentCrimesPerPop'])
  testy = as.matrix(df test[,1:(dim(df test)[2]-1)])
  acc test = as.matrix(df test['ViolentCrimesPerPop'])
  # Initialize theta as a matrix of all 0s with the same number of columns as
trainy
  theta = numeric(dim(trainy)[2])
  theta = as.matrix(theta)
  # Use the optim function to find the optimal value of theta
  opt = optim(par=theta, fn=cost, train = trainy, acc train = acc train,
              test=testy, acc test=acc test, method = "BFGS")
  # Extract the optimal value of theta from the optim function output
  opt theta = opt$par
```

to optimize this cost with starting point $\theta\theta$ 0 = 0 and compute training and

```
# Calculate the MSE of the predictions made using opt theta on the training
and test data
 train opt error = opt$value
 test opt error = mean((acc test - (testy %*% opt theta))^2)
  # Print the MSEs
 print("calculated optimal train")
 train opt error
 print("Lm train error")
 train MSE
 print("calculated optimal test")
 test opt error
 print("Lm test error")
 test MSE
  # Create a logical vector indicating which elements of train error and
test error should be plotted
 excluded = c(TRUE, rep(FALSE, 500))
  # Extract the relevant elements of train error and test error
  rest train = train error[excluded]
  rest test = test error[excluded]
  # Find the index of the minimum test error
  test min ind = which(test error==min(test error))
 print ("Early stopping index and MSE")
 test min ind
 min(test error)
 plot(rest train, xlim=c(0,length(rest train)), ylim=c(0,1.5), col = "blue")
 points(rest test, col="red")
  # Add horizontal lines at the final MSE values for the training and test
  lines(c(0,1000), rep(train MSE, 2), col="blue")
  lines(c(0,1000), rep(test MSE, 2), col="red")
  # Print the early stopping index and minimum test error
 print("Early stopping index and MSE")
  test min ind
 min(test error)
```