# Bayes theorem for dominated models

#### Alessandra Guglielmi

#### October 2016

©I diritti d'autore sono riservati. Ogni sfruttamento commerciale non autorizzato sarà perseguito.

Those notes are based on the technical report Regazzini (1996) and material in Chapter 1 in Schervish (2012) in the References. Please, report any mistake in these notes to the instructor.

## 1 The Bayesian paradigm

Consider a sequence of random variables (r.v.'s)  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n, \ldots$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , a probability space. Let  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$  be the distribution of  $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  for any n, i.e.  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ; measures  $\{P_{\theta}^{(n)}, n \geq 1\}$  are consisently defined, i.e.  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$  is the marginal distribution of  $P_{\theta}^{(n+1)}$ . Moreover, we drop the index n in  $P_{\theta}^{(n)}$ . Summing up:

$$X|\theta \sim P_{\theta}, \quad \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p.$$

By **Bayesian approach** we mean the statistical setting where  $\theta$  itself is a random element, distributed according to  $\pi$ , which is a probability measure on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}(\Theta))$ , and it is called *prior distribution*. By *posterior distribution* we mean the conditional law of  $\theta$ , given X.

# 2 Bayes Theorem for dominated models

In case of dominated models, posterior distribution can be derived by **Bayes Theorem**:

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \in B | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}) \stackrel{a.s.}{=} \frac{\int_{B} f(\boldsymbol{x} | \theta) \pi(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{x} | \theta) \pi(d\theta)} \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta),$$

where  $f(x|\theta)$  is a density of  $P_{\theta}$  with respect to (w.r.t.)  $\lambda^{(n)}$ , a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . See the proof to understand which is the probability measure ruling the *a.s.*-equality above. Before seeing the proof, let us recall the definition of absolutely continuity (of measures) and the Radon-Nikodym theorem (see, for instance, Billingsley, 1986).

**Definition:** If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are measures on a measure space (S, S), the measure  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$  if  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$ . The relation is indicated by  $\nu \ll \mu$ .

**Radon-Nikodym Theorem.** If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , then there exists a non-negative f, called density, such that  $\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$  for all A in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Two such densities f and g are such that  $\mu\{s: f(s) \neq g(s)\} = 0$ .

PROOF OF BAYES THEOREM. Denote by  $f(x|\theta)$  a density of  $P_{\theta}$  w.r.t. the  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda^{(n)}$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n))$  (for instance, assume that  $\lambda^{(n)}$  is the Lebesgue measure or the counting measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), then

$$P_{\theta}(A) = \int_{A} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \lambda^{(n)}(d\boldsymbol{x}) \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$
 (1)

Moreover, we define a product measure  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \Theta$ , that is the *joint distribution* of  $(X, \theta)$ :

$$\gamma(A \times B) := \int_{B} P_{\theta}(A)\pi(d\theta) = \int_{B} \int_{A} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\lambda^{(n)}(d\boldsymbol{x})\pi(d\theta) 
= \int_{A} \left( \int_{B} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta) \right)\lambda^{(n)}(d\boldsymbol{x}) \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta),$$
(2)

where last equality follows from Fubini's theorem. By  $\mu_n$  we denote the marginal law of  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , representing the marginal distribution of X:

$$\mu_n(A) := \gamma(A \times \Theta) = \int_A \left( \int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \pi(d\theta) \right) \lambda^{(n)}(d\boldsymbol{x}), A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
 (3)

Observe that, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$ ,  $\gamma(\cdot \times B)$  and  $\mu_n$  are finite measures (therefore they are  $\sigma$ -finite as well). Moreover, it is easy to verify that  $\gamma(\cdot \times B) \ll \mu_n(\cdot)$ , since

$$A \times B = (A \times \Theta) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times B) \Rightarrow \gamma(A \times B) \leq \gamma(A \times \Theta) = \mu_n(A);$$

hence, when  $\mu_n(A) = 0$ ,  $\gamma(A \times B) = 0$  as well. By Radon-Nikodym Theorem, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$ , there exists a measurable function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \pi(\mathbf{x}; B)$  such that

$$\gamma(A \times B) = \int_{A} \pi(\boldsymbol{x}; B) \mu_{n}(d\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{A} \pi(\boldsymbol{x}; B) \left( \int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta) \pi(d\theta) \right) \lambda^{(n)}(d\boldsymbol{x}) \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n}).$$
 (4)

However we also require that  $\{\pi(x; B)\}$  represents a regular version of the conditional probability of  $\theta \in B$  given X = x, that is

- i)  $B \mapsto \pi(x; B)$  is a probability measure on  $(\Theta, \mathcal{B}(\Theta))$  for any x;
- ii)  $x \mapsto \pi(x; B)$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -measurable for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$  [it is a result of Radon-Nikodym theorem];
- iii)  $\pi(\boldsymbol{x};B) = \mathbb{P}(\theta \in B|\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{x})$  a.s.- $\boldsymbol{x}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$ .

In order to verify iii), we have to check the integral equation defining conditional probability, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X} \in A, \theta \in B) = \int_{A} \mathbb{P}(\theta \in B | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}) \mu_{n}(d\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{A} \pi(\boldsymbol{x}; B) \mu_{n}(d\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(A \times B).$$

This equality follows by the definition itself of  $\pi(x; B)$  - see (4). As far as i) is concerned, and more generally the existence of the conditional probability of  $\theta$  given X, it follows since  $\theta$  and X are random vectors (see Ash, 1972).

Therefore  $\pi(x;\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\theta \in \cdot | X = x)$  a.s.- $\mu_n$  rappresents the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ . Now let us show how we can compute it.

From (2), (4) and the definition of conditional probability, we have that, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta)$ ,

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{x};B)\left(\int_{\Theta}f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta)\right) = \int_{B}f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta) \quad a.s. - \lambda^{(n)}(\text{anche } a.s. - \mu_{n}).$$

From the definition of  $\mu_n$  it is straightforward to prove that

$$\mu(\{\boldsymbol{x}: \int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta) = 0\}) = 0,$$

and therefore

$$\pi(\boldsymbol{x};B) = \mathbb{P}(\theta \in B | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\int_B f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(\boldsymbol{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta)} a.s. - \mu_n, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta) \quad \Box.$$

In particular, when  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\theta)$  density w.r.t. a measure  $\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(\theta \in B | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \frac{\int_B \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \pi(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) \pi(d\theta)} a.s. - \mu_n, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\Theta).$$

In this case the denominator is the density, w.r.t. the product measure  $\lambda \times \cdots \times \lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , of the marginal distribution of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ :

$$m_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)\pi(d\theta)$$

If, in addition,  $\pi$  has a density, w.r.t. a measure  $\nu$  on  $\Theta$ , that we still denote by  $\pi(\theta)$ , then the posterior distribution has a density too (w.r.t.  $\nu$ ), which is as follows:

$$\pi(\theta|x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)\pi(\theta)}{m_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)}, \quad \theta \in \Theta \quad a.s. - \mu_n.$$

### 3 Predictive distributions

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be the sequence of r.v.'s representing the available observations By (posterior) predictive distributions we denote the laws

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots, X_{n+m} | X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

In particular, the one-step-ahead posterior predictive distribution is the conditional law of  $X_{n+1}$ , given  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , and it represents the Bayesian forecast of  $X_{n+1}$  on the basis of data  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .

If  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , given  $\theta$ , has density (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure or the counting measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ )  $f(x|\theta)$ , then the conditional law of  $X_{n+1}$ , given  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , has a density as well, and this density is given by the ratio of the joint densities:

$$m_{X_{n+1}|X_1,...,X_n}(x;x_1,...,x_n) = \frac{m_{X_1,...,X_n,X_{n+1}}(x_1,...,x_n,x)}{m_{X_1,...,X_n}(x_1,...,x_n)} = \frac{\int_{\Theta} f(\mathbf{x},x|\theta)\pi(d\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)\pi(d\theta)}$$

### 4 References

Ash (1972). Real analysis and probability. Academic Press.

Billingsley, P. (1986). Probability and measure. Wiley.

Regazzini, E. (1996). Impostazione non parametrica di problemi d'inferenza statistica bayesiana. Rapporto tecnico IAMI 96.21, http://www.mi.imati.cnr.it/iami/abstracts/96-21.html

Schervish, M. J. (2012). Theory of Statistics. Springer.