# THE NEO-ARAMAIC DIALECT OF ALQOSH

 $\mathbf{BY}$ 

# ELEANOR COGHILL CLARE COLLEGE

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Oriental Studies
University of Cambridge, September 2003

# **PREFACE**

This dissertation is the result of my own work and includes nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration except where specifically indicated in the text. The research presented here is based on fieldwork that I have undertaken myself with native speakers of the Alqoshi dialect.

The text of the dissertation does not exceed the word limit for the Faculty of Oriental Studies, excluding the extension of 10000 words granted by the Degree Committee.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My heartfelt thanks go to the many Alqoshis who made this work possible: for giving so much of their time and support to this project, for welcoming me into their homes and communities, and for inspiring me with their love for their language. First and foremost I would like to thank Ghazwan Khundy, my principal informant, who has given up countless hours of his time over several years to help with this research. He has always received me with kindness and hospitality and answered my many questions with great patience and thoughtfulness. Without him my task would have been immeasurably more difficult.

I would also like to thank Safa Tomas who was my main informant before his move abroad and who gave me my first taste of the Alqosh dialect. My thanks also go to Sa<sup>c</sup>id Shamaya, Noah Shikwana, Yosip Bzara and others of the Alqoshi community in Baghdad; to Younis and Warina Jallu, Khairy Boudagh and Mrs. Boudagh, Najib Risqu and others from the community in Detroit; and to Hanne Khundy, Mahir, Habbe, and Salim and Nada Bird-Isa.

I would particularly like to thank my supervisor Geoffrey Khan for the guidance, encouragement and moral support which he has given me throughout and for always being readily available to answer questions or give advice.

Thanks are also due to the many people who helped to put in me in contact with my informants, among them Ali Al-Aamery, Robin Bet-Shmuel, Rev. Emanuel Shaleta, William Warda and Emmanuel Youkhanna.

I am also very grateful to my parents and my grandfather for their unfailing support throughout.

#### **SUMMARY**

#### THE NEO-ARAMAIC DIALECT OF ALQOSH

This dissertation is a description of the grammar of a dialect of Neo-Aramaic spoken in the small northern Iraqi town of Alqosh. Neo-Aramaic is a term applied to the surviving dialects of Aramaic, a Semitic language spoken in the Near East. The dialect of Alqosh belongs to the branch of North-eastern Neo-Aramaic (NENA), the largest and most varied branch of Neo-Aramaic. The speakers of this dialect are part of a Christian minority belonging to the Chaldean Catholic Church.

The data for this description has been acquired through fieldwork with native speakers in London, Baghdad and Detroit. The main sources have been (1) oral texts (such as stories and descriptions) elicited from the speakers, and (2) specific grammatical or lexical questions designed to complete paradigms or cast light on the meanings and functions of particular forms.

This dissertation comprises an introduction and chapters on phonology and morphology, with remarks also on syntax as well as historical and comparative issues. Also included are oral texts, transcribed from recordings made of native speakers.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

Preface	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Summary	iv
Contents	v
Abbreviations and symbols	xviii
Notes on transcription	xxi
Introduction	1
1. CONSONANTS	
1.1 Phoneme inventory	10
1.2 General issues	11
1.3 Phonemic status	11
1.3.1 Labials: <i>p</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>f</i> , <i>v</i> , <i>w</i> , <i>m</i>	15
1.3.2 Dentals, alveolars and post-alveolars: $t$ , $d$ , $\theta$ , $\delta$ , $s$ , $z$ , $\check{s}$ , $\check{z}$ , $n$ , $r$ , $l$	16
1.3.3 Affricates: <i>č</i> , <i>j</i>	18
1.3.4 Palatal: <i>y</i>	19
1.3.5 Velars and uvulars: $k$ , $g$ , $x$ , $h$ , $q$	19
1.3.6 Laryngeals and pharyngeals: ', ', h, h	21
1.3.7 Emphatics: $\underline{t}$ , $\underline{d}$ , $\underline{\delta}$ , $\underline{s}$ , $\underline{z}$ , $\underline{r}$ , $\underline{c}$ , $\underline{p}$ , $(\underline{m})$ , $(\underline{l})$	22
1.4 Phonetic realization	26
1.4.1 General issues	26
1.4.1.1 Aspiration	26
1.4.1.2 Fricativization	26
1.4.1.3 Devoicing	27
1.4.1.4 Gemination	28
1.4.2 Phonetic realization of consonant phonemes	28
1.4.2.1 Labials: <i>p</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>f</i> , <i>v</i> , <i>w</i> , <i>m</i>	
1.4.2.2 Dentals, alveolars, post-alveolars: $t$ , $d$ , $\theta$ , $\delta$ , $s$ , $z$ , $\check{s}$ , $\check{z}$ , $n$ , $l$ , $r$	
1.4.2.3 Affricates: <i>č</i> , <i>j</i>	
1.4.2.4 Palatal: v	

1.4.2.5 Velars and uvulars: k, g, x, h, q	31
1.4.2.6 Laryngeals and pharyngeals: <sup>5</sup> , h, <sup>c</sup> , ½	31
1.4.2.7 Emphatics: $t$ , $d$ , $d$ , $s$ , $z$ , $r$ , $d$	33
1.5 Assimilation	33
1.5.1 Voicing assimilation	34
1.5.2 Nasal assimilation	35
1.5.3 Assimilation to a fricative	36
1.5.4 Assimilation of /l/ to /r/ and /d/	36
1.5.5 Assimilation of the place of articulation	37
1.5.6 Emphatic spread	37
1.5.7 Combinations of assimilatory processes	39
1.6 Questions of transcription	41
1.6.1 Showing assimilation	41
1.6.2 Showing elision	45
1.7 Historical development	45
1.7.1 Development of the <i>be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at</i> consonants	45
1.7.1.1 Consonantal change and gemination loss	46
1.7.1.2 Dissimilation in nouns	47
1.7.1.3 Analogy in verbal roots	47
1.7.2 Loss of gemination	47
1.7.2.1 In stressed syllables	47
1.7.2.2 In pretonic syllables	48
1.7.2.3 In verba tertiae /r/	49
1.7.3 Elision of consonants	49
1.7.3.1 Loss of /²/, /h/ and /y/ before a consonant	49
1.7.3.2 Elision of / <sup>2</sup> /, /h/ and /y/ after a consonant	50
2. VOWELS	51
2.1 Phoneme inventory	51
2.2 Phonology of vowel quality	
2.2.1 Long vowels	51
2.2.2 Short vowels	52
2.3 Phonology of vowel length	53
2.3.1 Distribution of vowel length	53
2.3.1.1 Non-final syllables	
2.3.1.1.1 Closed syllables (-CvCC-)	54

2.3.	.1.1.2 Open syllables (- <i>CvC</i> -)	54
2.3.1	.2 Final syllables	58
2.3.	1.2.1 Closed syllables (-CvC)	59
2.3.	.1.2.2 Open syllables (-Cv)	60
2.3.2	Allophonic relationships between long and short vowels	60
2.3.2	.1 Syllable closure	61
2.3.2	.2 Lengthening and shortening due to changes in stress position or word	l structure
		63
2.3.	2.2.1 Lengthening	63
2.3.	2.2.2 Shortening	66
2.3.2	.3 Discourse lengthening	69
2.3.2	.4 Notes on the phonology of final unstressed /uC/ and /u/	70
2.4 Pho	netic realization	73
2.4.1	General issues	73
2.4.1	.1 Phonetic realization of length	73
2.4.1	.2 Elision of /e/	73
2.4.1	.3 Devoicing of final vowels	73
2.4.1	.4 Effect of the consonantal environment on vowel quality	73
2.4.2	Phonetic realization of the vowels	74
2.4.2	.1 /i/	74
2.4.2	.2 /ē/	74
2.4.2	3//	75
2.4.2	.4 /ā/	75
2.4.2	.5 /o/	76
2.4.2	.6 /ū/	76
2.4.2	.7 /e/	76
2.4.2	.8 /a/	77
2.4.2	.9 /u/	77
2.5 Hist	torical development of vowel phonology	77
2.5.1	Contraction of long vowels in syllables that became closed	
2.5.2	Monophthongization	78
2.5.3	Origin of /ē/	79
2.5.4	Vowel shortening in final unstressed syllables	
2.5.5	Contraction of vowels in words that have became particles	
2.5.6	Reasons for emergence of short vowels in open syllables	
256	1 Pretonic shortening	82

2.5.6	5.2 Loss of gemination in unstressed syllables	32
2.5.6	5.3 Elision of a consonant	32
2.5.6	5.4 Contraction of /ā/ before /h/ or //	33
2.5.6	6.5 Rearrangement of syllabic structure	33
2.5.6	5.6 Loanwords	83
	ABLE STRUCTURE	
	roduction 8	
3.2 Wo	ord-internal structure	34
3.2.1	Syllable types	34
3.2.2	Initial clusters	35
3.2.3	Rearrangement of syllabic structure in synchronic and diachronic perspect	ctive
	8	36
3.3 Wo	ord-boundaries	37
3.3.1	Initial clusters of two consonants	37
3.3.2	Long vowels in closed syllables	88
3.3.3	Elision of /e/ in final syllables	88
	SS AND INTONATION9	
	SS AND INTONATION	
4.1 Intr		91
4.1 Intr	roduction9	91 92
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo	ord stress	91 92 92
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1	roduction	91 92 92 93
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2	roduction	91 92 92 93 94
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4	roduction Sord stress Sord stress Sord stress Sord stress Sord Sord Sord Sord Sord Sord Sord Sord	91 92 92 93 94
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5	roduction Sord stress Sord str	91 92 92 93 94 94
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5	roduction	91 92 93 94 94 96
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5	roduction	91 92 93 94 94 96 96
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5	roduction	91 92 92 93 94 94 96 96
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.3 Streen	roduction	91 92 92 93 94 94 96 96 97
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.3 Stre 4.3.1 4.3.2	roduction	91 92 92 93 94 94 96 96 97 97
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.2	roduction	91 92 93 94 94 96 97 97 98
4.1 Intr 4.2 Wo 4.2.1 4.2.2 4.2.3 4.2.4 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.2.5 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.2	roduction	91 92 92 93 94 96 96 97 97 98 98

5. PRO	NOUNS	107
5.1 In	ndependent personal pronouns	107
5.2 P	ronominal suffixes on nouns and particles	109
5.3 D	eictic pronouns	112
5.4 In	nterrogative pronouns	116
5.5 In	ndependent possessive pronouns	118
5.6 R	eflexive pronouns	118
5.7 R	eciprocal pronouns	119
5.8 Ir	ndefinite pronouns	120
5.9 P	ronouns in combination with the relativizer //d//	121
6. VER	RBS	122
6.1 V	erbal classes	122
6.2 Ir	inflection of the present base	123
6.2.1	Class I verbs	124
6.2.2	2 Class II verbs	125
6.2.3	Class III verbs	125
6.2.4	4 Quadriliteral verbs	126
6.3 Ir	inflection of the past base	126
6.3.1	Class II verbs	127
6.3.2	2 Class II verbs	128
6.3.3	Class III verbs	128
6.3.4	4 Quadriliteral verbs	129
6.3.5	Phonetic changes caused by the addition of L-set suffixes	129
6.4 Ir	inflection of the imperative	130
6.5 Ir	inflection of the infinitive	130
6.6 Ir	flection of the stative participle	131
6.7 Ir	inflection of the active participle	132
6.8 P	articles attached to verbal forms	135
6.8.1	1 k	136
6.8.2	2 bed	136
6.8.3	3 <i>b</i>	136
6.8.4	1 kem	137
6.8.5	5 dí	137
6.8.6	6 šud	137
6.8.7	7 d	

6.8.8	de- + imperative	138
6.8.9	<i>b</i> - + infinitive	138
6.8.10	-wA	139
6.8.11	Feminine nominal inflection -Ta	.141
6.9 Neg	ation	.143
6.10 Not	tes on weak verbs	.143
6.11 We	ak verbs in Class I	143
6.11.1	Verba primae //	143
6.11.2	Verba primae /h/	.145
6.11.3	Verba mediae //	145
6.11.4	Verba mediae /h/	145
6.11.5	Verba tertiae //	146
6.11.6	Verba primae /y/	146
6.11.7	Verba mediae /y/	147
6.11.8	Verba tertiae /y/	148
6.11.9	Verba primae /²/, tertiae /y/	.149
6.11.10	) Verba mediae //, tertiae /y/	149
6.11.11	Verba mediae /h/, tertiae /y/	149
6.11.12	2 Verba primae /y/, tertiae /y/	150
6.11.13	3 Verba mediae /w/	150
6.11.14	4 Verba primae /²/, mediae /w/	150
6.11.15	5 Verba primae /y/, mediae /w/	151
6.11.16	6 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //	151
6.11.17	7 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae /y/	152
6.11.18	3 Verba tertiae /w/	152
6.11.19	O Verba primae /y/, tertiae /w/	152
6.12 We	ak verbs in Class II	153
6.12.1	Verba mediae //	153
6.12.2	Verba mediae /h/	153
6.12.3	Verba tertiae //	153
6.12.4	Verba primae /y/	154
6.12.5	Verba mediae /y/	154
6.12.6	Verba tertiae /y/	154
6.12.7	Verba mediae /w/	155
6.12.8	Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //	155
6.12.9	Verba mediae geminatae	155

5.13 Weak verbs in Class III	. 156
6.13.1 Verba primae //	. 156
6.13.2 Verba mediae //	156
6.13.3 Verba tertiae //	157
6.13.4 Verba primae /y/	157
6.13.5 Verba mediae /y/	. 157
6.13.6 Verba tertiae /y/	158
6.13.7 Verba primae //, tertiae /y/	158
6.13.8 Verba mediae //, tertiae /y/	. 158
6.13.9 Verba mediae /h/, tertiae /y/	. 159
6.13.10 Verba mediae /w/	. 159
6.13.11 Verba primae //, mediae /w/	159
6.13.12 Verba primae /y/, mediae /w/	. 160
6.13.13 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //	. 160
6.13.14 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae /y/	. 160
6.13.15 Verba tertiae /w/	. 160
6.13.16 Verba primae /y/, tertiae /w/	161
5.14 Weak quadriliteral verbs	161
6.14.1 Verba secundae /y/	. 161
6.14.2 Verba secundae /w/	. 161
6.14.3 Verba quartae /y/	. 162
6.14.4 Verba secundae /w/, quartae /y/	162
5.15 Irregular verbs	162
6.15.1 'zl I 'to go'	162
6.15.2 <i>b</i> <sup>2</sup> <i>y</i> I 'to want'	164
6.15.3 yð I 'to know'	164
6.15.4 <i>ywl</i> I 'to give'	165
6.15.5 hwy I 'to be', 'to be born'	. 165
6.15.6 <i>šql</i> I 'to take': imperative	. 166
5.16 Unadapted Arabic verbs	. 166
5.17 Copula	
6.17.1 Present copula	. 168
6.17.2 Past copula	. 169
6.17.3 Deictic copula	. 170
6.17.4 Negation of the copula	. 171
6.18 Pronominal objects	. 172

6.18.1 Direct objects	. 172
6.18.1.1 Direct objects on present base forms	172
6.18.1.2 Direct objects on imperatives	. 174
6.18.1.3 Direct objects on past base forms	. 175
6.18.2 Indirect objects	. 175
6.18.2.1 Indirect objects on present base forms	. 176
6.18.2.2 Indirect objects on imperatives	. 177
6.18.2.3 Indirect objects on past base forms	. 177
6.18.2.4 Prepositional indirect objects	. 178
6.18.3 Combinations of pronominal suffixes on present base forms and impe	
6.18.4 Object marking on the infinitive and stative participle	
6.19 The B-set series	
$6.20$ $^{2}i\theta(en)$ and forms based on $^{2}i\theta(en)$	
6.20.1 $i\theta en$ ( $\nsim i\theta$ ) and $l'\theta \nsim l'\theta en$	
6.20.2 **etti and related forms	
6.20.3 'ibi and related forms	
6.21 wellēli and wellēbi	
6.22 pišen (≁ piš) and pišénwa	
6.23 péšlēli and láppešlēli	
6.24 mékāli	
6.25 garak and lāzem	
6.26 <i>bassi</i>	
6.27 The analytical verb forms	
6.27.1 Infinitive with auxiliary verbs	
6.27.1.1 With the verb 'to be'	
6.27.1.2 With other auxiliary verbs	189
6.27.2 Stative participle with auxiliary verbs	. 189
6.27.2.1 With the verb 'to be'	. 189
6.27.2.2 With other auxiliary verbs	191
6.28 Notes on historical development	. 191
6.28.1 The past base with A-set suffixes	. 191
6.28.2 Development of the verbal root	. 192
6.28.2.1 Development of <i>verba mediae /w/</i>	192
6.28.2.2 Development of geminated roots	193
6.28.2.3 Development of final-weak verbs	. 193

6.28	.2.4 Development of // and // in verbal roots	194
6.28.3	B Development of the conjugation classes	194
6.28	3.1 Development of the functions	194
6.28	3.2.2 Adaptation of Arabic verbs	195
7. NOUI	NS	196
	roduction	
	nder of nouns	
	orphology of nouns ending in -a	
7.3.1	Bisyllabic patterns	
7.3.	1.1 <i>CvCCa</i>	
	1.2 CvCa	
	1.3 C <i>č</i> Ca	
7.3.	1.4 <i>CC</i> ŏ <i>Ca</i>	212
	1.5 CCv̄Ca	
7.3.	1.6 CCvCCa	213
	Trisyllabic patterns	
	2.1 <i>CvCvCa</i>	
7.3.2	2.2 CvCCvCa	219
7.3.2	2.3 CvCvCCa	221
7.3.2	2.4 <i>CvCCvCCa</i>	222
7.3.2	2.5 CCvCCvCa	222
7.3.3	Quadrisyllabic patterns	222
7.3.4	Nouns ending in -iya	222
7.4 No	uns ending in -e	223
7.5 No	uns ending in -u	225
7.6 No	uns ending in -i	225
7.7 No	uns with feminine //-Ta// inflection	226
7.7.1	CvCTa	228
7.7.2	CvTa	229
7.7.3	CCvCTa	230
7.7.4	CCvTa	230
7.7.5	CvCvCTa	231
7.7.6	CvCvTa	235
7.7.7	CvCCvCTa	236
7.7.8	CvCCvTa	237

7.7.9	Other patterns	238
7.7.10	Nouns ending in -etta	239
7.8 Der	ivational suffixes	240
7.8.1	-i heta a	240
7.8.2	-ona i	241
7.8.3	-āna (fu ≁ -anta ≁ -aniθa) i	242
7.8.4	-āna ii., -ona ii	.242
7.8.5	Pet names and the -u and -e suffixes	243
7.8.6	$-ar{u} heta a$	247
7.8.7	$-\bar{a}ya$ (mpl. $-\bar{a}ye$ , f. $-\dot{\theta}a$ , fpl. $-ay\bar{a}\theta a$ )	248
7.8.8	$-n\bar{a}ya$ (mpl. $-\bar{a}ye$ , f. $-n\dot{a}\theta$ , fpl. $-nay\bar{a}\theta a$ )	249
7.9 Con	npounds	.250
7.9.1	mar	250
7.9.2	bar	.251
7.9.3	Points of the compass	.251
7.9.4	zaqar	251
7.9.5	<i>bi-</i>	252
7.9.6	Days of the week	.253
7.9.7	Borrowed compounds	253
7.9.8	Genitive compounds	254
7.10 No	uns ending in a consonant	254
7.11 Plu	ral formation	.255
7.11.1	-e	258
7.11.	1.1 -a to -e without internal change	.258
7.11.	1.2 -a to -e with internal change	260
7.11.	1.3 //-Ta// to -e	.261
7.11.2	-āne	262
7.11.3	-āCe (reduplication of final radical, e.g. parča-parčāče)	264
7.11.4	$-(a)war{a} heta a$	264
7.11.	4.1 -awāθa	265
7.11.	$4.2$ -w $\bar{a} heta a$	265
7.11.5	$-ar{a} heta a$	266
7.11.	5.1 Singular without //- <i>Ta</i> //	266
7.11.	5.2 Singular with //- <i>Ta</i> //	268
7.11.6	-yāθa	.270
7.11.7	Irregular plurals	271

7.11.8 Loan plurals	272
7.11.8.1 -at	272
7.11.8.2 Unadapted loan plurals	273
8. ADJECTIVES	274
8.1 Introduction	274
8.2 Adjectives of Aramaic form	275
8.3 Unadapted loans	282
8.4 Reduplicated expressions	286
8.5 Comparatives and superlatives	286
8.6 Negation of adjectives	287
9. NUMERALS	288
9.1 Cardinals	288
9.1.1 Numerals 1-10	288
9.1.2 Numerals 11-19	289
9.1.3 Tens	290
9.1.4 Hundreds	290
9.1.5 Thousands	291
9.1.6 Combinations of numerals	291
9.2 Ordinals	293
9.3 Fractions	293
9.4 Frequency	
9.5 Counting individuals	
9.6 Telling the time	
9.7 Approximations	294
10. PARTICLES AND ADVERBS	296
10.1 Introduction	296
10.2 Prepositions	296
10.2.1 Prefixes and the genitive particle //d//	
10.2.2 Independent and annexing prepositions	299
10.3 Adverbs	301
10.3.1 Spatial adverbs	301
10.3.2 Interrogative adverbs	
10.3.3 Adverbs of degree and quantity	302

10.3.4 Temporal adverbs and adverbial expressions	303
10.3.5 Adverbs of manner	306
10.4 Conjunctions	307
10.4.1 The relative particle	307
10.4.2 Conjunctions co-ordinating words and independent clauses	308
10.4.3 Complementizers	310
10.4.4 Conjunctions introducing an adverbial clause	311
10.5 Interjections	312
10.6 Miscellaneous particles	312
10.7 Quantifiers and other non-adjectival modifiers of nouns and pronouns	314
APPENDIX	318
I Texts	318
Informant A	319
Traditional Houses	319
Making Bread and Cheese	323
The Game of Ṣlāwa (extract)	327
Clothes	327
A Traditional Doctor	329
The Death of A's Grandmother	331
The Story of the Sparrow with the Splinter in his Foot	337
The Story of the Cobbler	339
The Story of the Two Goats	345
Informant B	349
Alqosh	349
Festivals	351
Baptism	355
Informant C	357
Around Alqosh	357
Informant D	359
The Story of the Bad Son	359

П	References	30	61

# ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

#### **Abbreviations**

abbrev. abbreviated (of names)

affect. affectionate (of names)

ANA Alqosh Neo-Aramaic

Arab. Arabic\*

Aram. Aramaic

Ard. Aradhin

attrib. attributive

BTA Babylonian Talmudic Aramaic

C consonant

Ch. Christian

cpl. common (gender) plural

cs. common (gender) singular

dim. diminutive

emph. emphatic

Eng. English

f. feminine

fpl. feminine plural

fs. feminine singular

I.A. Iraqi Arabic\*

indep. independent

IPA International Phonetic Alphabet

J. Jewish

K. Kurdish (Kurmanji dialect)

lit. literally

m. masculine

mpl. masculine plural

ms. masculine singular

NENA 'North-eastern Neo-Aramaic'

P. Persian

Pa. Pael  $(pa^{cc}\bar{e}l)$ 

Pe. Peal  $(p^{\partial c}al)$ 

pejor. pejorative

per. person

Perf. Perfect

pl. plural

PN personal name

Qar. Christian Qaraqosh dialect of NENA

Q.A. Qəltu Arabic (spoken in northern Iraq, southern Turkey and north-eastern Syria)\*

sg. singular

Sh. Shaphel ( $\check{s}a\bar{p}^c\bar{e}l$ )

Syr. Syriac

T. Turkish

trad. traditional

unv. unvoiced

voi. voiced

v vowel

\*N.B. the form of Arabic that has most influenced ANA is Qəltu Arabic (Q.A.). There is however little lexical material for this kind of Arabic. As much vocabulary is shared with the better-documented dialect of Muslim Baghdadi, dictionaries of that dialect have been used when it has not been possible to find the word in Qəltu material. In such cases the Arabic word cited is labelled I.A. (Iraqi Arabic), to indicate that, though only attested in Baghdadi Arabic material, it is not necessarily restricted to that dialect.

Loanwords from Arabic are marked simply as Arab. (Arabic) unless the word or its particular form or meaning is only found in the dialects, in which case it is marked as I.A. or Q.A accordingly. Examples: *ḥanafiya* 'tap' (Arab.), *qubbe* 'room' (Q.A.).

#### **Symbols**

- § chapter
- < derived from
- > developed into
- √ verbal root
- ~ phonetically conditioned variant (e.g. bed- ~ bet-)
- $\nsim$  variant not phonetically conditioned (e.g.  $da^2wa \nsim d\bar{a}wa$ )<sup>1</sup>
- $\rightarrow$  go to
- \*abc reconstructed or ungrammatical form
- intonation group boundary
- significant pause or hesitation. Marked in particular when it explains the surrounding text, e.g.  ${}^{5}u$   $x \acute{o} \theta e d$  ... d- $\acute{a} \acute{o}$ - $\acute{b}$  'And under this house' (A:13). The hesitation explains why the particle //d// is repeated. Likewise when the sentence takes an unexpected turn.
- abc (?) uncertain, used if the informant cannot identify the word.
- [abc] phonetic rendering using the International Phonetic Alphabet
- /abc/ phonemic rendering (with some exceptions, cf. §1.6)
- [abc] semi-phonetic transcription (broader than the normal phonemic transcription, for instance showing all emphasis whether phonemic or not, or showing assimilations not indicated in the transcription, cf. Notes on Transcription, pxxi)
- //abc// morphological rendering (where it is not desirable to specify a particular allomorph, for instance //-Ta// = -ta, - $\theta a$  or -ta, depending on context)
- [abc] in a text or translation, a comment or interruption by a different informant
- (abc) in a translation, extra word(s) needed to give a clear translation but not in the original (only used where the additions are significant)
- {abc} alternative translation (used in particular for the English when the original is retained in the translation)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The variants may be conditioned by other factors, such as speed of speech (making elision more common) or identity of the speaker.

#### NOTES ON TRANSCRIPTION

Details of the transcription system used for ANA are outlined in the chapters, especially the phonological chapters §1-4. Some other transcription methods are also sometimes used, both for ANA and for other dialects and languages.

#### (i) Semi-phonetic transcription

Sometimes it is necessary to show detail that phonological transcription omits but not to show a mass of irrelevant phonetic details. Semi-phonetic transcription is therefore used, indicated by italics in square brackets. Semi-phonetic transcription shows all emphasis on consonants, whether phonemic or not, e.g. [beṣla] beṣla 'onion' (A). It also shows all assimilation, e.g. [gzāya] xzāya 'to see', and final-devoicing, e.g. [mjāwep] mjāweb 'answer!' With respect to vowels, it indicates all length, even discourse-lengthening, e.g. [balqoš] b-alquš¹ 'in Alqosh' ('o' is always long). It does not show elided vowels, e.g. [qtēlqāleḥ] qtèle qāleḥ 'his voice stopped'.

#### (ii) Transcription of other NENA dialects

Examples from other dialects will be transcribed as in the source. Occasionally in brackets the semi-phonetic transcription (see above) will be given in order to allow comparison with ANA. In this vowels and consonants will be written as in ANA, for instance Mangesh tima 'taste' as tima and Qaraqosh tima 'taste' as tima. Length will be shown for tima and tima (taste' as tima) 'there'.

#### (iii) Kurmanji transcription

Various transcription methods are in use for Kurmanji. To harmonize references from various dictionaries, all are converted into a single transcription. This is the one used by Joyce Blau in *Le Kurde de 'Amādiya et de Djabal Sindjār* (1975) except that emphatics are

marked with a dot below, as in ANA, rather than a line underneath (which is used in Rizgar's dictionary (1993) for unaspirated consonants). The points where this system differs from some others are as follows:

Aspirated consonants are so indicated with an apostrophe after the letter, e.g.  $k^2$ .

f' is written with f', not by underlining the e that follows it.

The trilled rhotic is indicated by  $\underline{r}$  to distinguish it from the tap r.

Short [1] is distinguished from [i:] thus:  $i - \hat{i}$ .

Short [æ] is distinguished from [a:] thus: e - a.

#### Note also that:

```
c is ANA c. e is ANA e.

c is ANA f. e is ANA e.

c is ANA f.

e is ANA f.
```

Other letters are pronounced more or less as in ANA.

#### (iv) Arabic transcription

Classical Arabic is transcribed according to the usual conventions. Baghdadi Arabic is transcribed as it is in the dictionaries (e.g. Woodhead and Beene 1967) except that vowellength is shown with a macron, not doubling of the letter, and the pharyngeals are written as  $^c$  and h, not with the Arabic letter. Qəltu Arabic is transcribed as it is in the source it is taken from (e.g. Jastrow 1978).

Arabic words in the texts are more of a problem, as in some cases they are true loans integrated into ANA and in other cases they are examples of code-switching. When they are considered to be the latter, they are marked with <sup>A</sup>... <sup>A</sup>. The dividing line between the two is however difficult to define with any certainty.

Arabic words thought to be true loans are written with the normal ANA system, e.g. qemma 'summit' (Arab. qimma). Cases of probable code-switching are transcribed as they sound in the text rather than as they are written in Classical Arabic, e.g.  $^{A}ptid\bar{a}^{2}\bar{t}ya^{A}$  (Classical Arab.  $ibtid\bar{a}^{2}\bar{t}ya$ ) 'elementary'. The transcription system in such cases is a little different to that used for ANA: length is always marked and i in a closed syllable is pronounced as ANA /e/.

# (v) English transcription

Code-switching to English in the texts is marked with <sup>E</sup>...<sup>E</sup>. Normal English spelling is used.

#### INTRODUCTION

#### I The Neo-Aramaic Background

The dialect described here is a North-eastern Neo-Aramaic dialect spoken in the town of Alqosh in northern Iraq. Neo-Aramaic is a term used for the surviving dialects of Aramaic spoken in the Near East. The ancient division of Aramaic into Western and Eastern branches is still preserved, but the vast majority of modern dialects belong to the Eastern branch. North-eastern Neo-Aramaic is by far the largest subgroup of this and is spoken in the border areas of Iraq, Turkey, Iran and Syria. These are areas where the majority of inhabitants are Kurds, but Aramaic-speaking Christians form a significant minority. In the recent past there were also Aramaic-speaking Jewish communities, but most left during the 1950s for Israel.

All Neo-Aramaic languages and dialects are under threat. Some, especially those only spoken in exile, are very endangered and in some cases the last remaining speakers have died in recent times. Those dialects which are still spoken in situ are likely to last longer, but they too are being eroded, whether by emigration or by the adoption of the majority languages of the region. Very few speakers are literate in their language, although some literary varieties exist. The vast majority are educated in the official languages of their countries. In the case of Iraq, this is Arabic. The young people also come into contact with majority ethnic groups when they go to work and live in the cities and this inevitably affects their language. Political upheavals have also taken their toll: many villages were destroyed in the 1980s during the war between the government and the Kurds, and people moved to other areas of Iraq or abroad.

Despite the bleak picture, there is still great linguistic diversity within North-Eastern Neo-Aramaic and a great deal that can be documented. Dialects may be quite different in villages which are only a few miles away. There are also linguistic divisions based on communal lines. In some towns, the dialects spoken by Jews and Christians were so different as to make mutual comprehension difficult. In order to preserve this diversity, grammatical descriptions tend to be rigorous in distinguishing the dialects of different villages and towns.

#### II Alqosh

The town of Alqosh is situated in the far north of Iraq, around thirty miles north of Mosul. It lies at the point where the plain of Mosul touches the mountains of the North. The inhabitants of Alqosh are Christians belonging to the Chaldean Church which was formed through the uniting of some communities belonging to the Church of the East with the Roman Catholic Church. The Chaldeans live mostly in the area around Alqosh: the Mosul Plain and the mountains to the north and the east. Alqosh itself is an importance religious centre for the Chaldeans. Only two miles away is the historic monastery of Rabban Hormizd which was once one of the patriarchal residences of the Church of the East. This has since moved, first to Mosul, then Baghdad, but Alqosh remains the seat of a bishopric.

The current population of Alqosh is around 5000 but there are many Alqoshis living outside the village, in Mosul, Baghdad and countries abroad, especially the United States and Britain. Alqosh is a market town used by the surrounding villages, which are populated by various ethnic groups including other Chaldeans, Assyrians and Yazidi Kurds, known as *dasnāye*. The main occupations in Alqosh are agriculture and animal husbandry. The crops grown include wheat, barley, chickpeas, lentils, beans, cucumbers, gourds, melons and figs. There are also many vineyards for the production of wine. Sheep and goats are kept for their milk, meat and wool. In earlier times traditional trades were practised, such as weaving and dying cloth.

Alqosh is set at the foot of a mountain, known as  $t\bar{u}red$ - $2iqu\bar{s}$  ('Alqosh Mountain'). There is no river but springs supply the village with sufficient water. There are many natural landmarks in the vicinity, including  $k\bar{a}fa$  smoqa (kahfa smoqa 'The Red Cave'), gupped-natópa ('The Cave of Dripping'), gupped- $m\bar{a}ya$  ('The Cave of Water'), guppet- $sat\bar{a}na$  ('The Cave of the Devil'), guppa mguregma ('The Thundering Cave' (?)) and swi ed- $gan\bar{a}we$  ('The Bed of the Thieves').

The village itself is divided into quarters, called *maḥallat* (sg. *maḥalle*). Each has a name:

```
maḥalled-bi-qáša ('The Quarter of the House of the Priest')
maḥalled-biséna (originally bi-sēma 'of the Place of Silver')
maḥallet-taḥtáni ('The Quarter Below')
maḥallet-xipárta ('The Quarter of the Digging')
maḥalled-bi-záġla ('The Quarter of the Layabout')¹ or mem-záġla
```

Alqosh is the location of many religious sites.<sup>2</sup> Most famous is the monastery of Rabban Hormizd (*dɛred-rabban-hórmez*). There is another monastery called *dɛred-p ólta* ('Monastery of the Virgin') but often known as *dɛṛa xtāya* ('The Lower Monastery') due to its lower position.

There are three churches in Alqosh: 'ēted-mar-giwárges ('Church of St. George'), 'ēted-mar-míxa ('Church of Mar Mikha') and 'ēted-mar-qárdax ('Church of Mar Qardāġ'). In addition there are many shrines commemorating religious figures, known as texrone. These are mar-zaddíqa, mar-yosep, mart-šmūni, mar-yuḥannan and mar-sahdona.

There is also a tomb believed to that of the Prophet Nahum, which used to be a place of pilgrimage for Jews.

#### III Relationship with the Church

The early history of Alqosh is obscure. The derivation of the name itself is uncertain and there have been various suggestions. One local tradition is that it was named after a Jew deported there by the Assyrians, called Alqōn. Another suggestion is that it derives from El qušti 'God is my bow'. Alqosh is said to be the birthplace of the biblical prophet Nahum 'the Elqoshite' and Jews used to come to visit the tomb there that is believed to be his. Alqosh also appears in the story of the saint Mar Mikha who is said to have

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>  $za\dot{g}la$  or  $za\dot{g}al$  has this meaning in ANA. In Maclean (1901) the verb  $z\dot{g}l$  has the meaning 'to cheat, deceive', which is the meaning in the source language Iraqi Arabic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These are described in Fiev (1965: 395-400).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. Nahum 1:1.

founded a school in the town. Alqosh emerges into the light of history in the seventh century AD when close to the town a monastery was founded by the Persian saint Rabban Hormizd.<sup>4</sup>

Alqosh gained significance through its proximity to the monastery of Rabban Hormizd. The patriarchate of the Church of the East took up residence in this monastery in the aftermath of the devastating invasions of the Mongol ruler Timur Leng in the late fourteenth century. Permanent residence began in the reign of Shimun VI (1504-1538) and remained there, with occasional interruptions, until the late eighteenth or early nineteenth century. In 1807 it became the home of a Chaldean Catholic monastic order.

The monastery also saw the earliest significant inroads of the Catholic church into the Church of the East. Around the middle of the 15<sup>th</sup> century the patriarchal succession became restricted to the family of the then patriarch (henceforth known as Abouna or Bar Mama) and from then on the office passed from uncle to nephew. But in 1551 there was a dispute over the patriarch and a rival was put forward named Yuḥannan Sulaqa, an abbot of Rabban Hormizd and a native of Alqosh. He was sent by his supporters to Rome where in 1553 he was consecrated as 'Patriarch of the Chaldeans'.

Roman Catholicism nevertheless had not yet established a firm hold on the region. A century and a half later Sulaqa's descendants renounced Catholicism. In the meantime another uniat patriarch in Diarbakir had been consecrated. This line ended in 1828 and ten years later a member of the Abouna family who had converted was consecrated patriarch by Rome, but only on condition that the succession would not remain in his family. It was only in 1844 that the Chaldean Catholics were recognized by the Ottoman government as a separate *millet* or religious group.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The above information comes from Fiey (1965: 387-389 and, for Nahum, 396-400).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Murre-van den Berg (1999b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Information on the history of Alqosh's relations with the Church is taken from Murre-van den Berg (1999a: 33-5), Murre-van den Berg (1999b), Fiey (1965: 390-1), and Joseph (2000: 55-8).

#### IV Alqosh Neo-Aramaic (ANA)

The dialect of Alqosh is closest to other Christian (particularly Chaldean) dialects of the surrounding area: the Mosul Plain and the mountainous region to the north. The first descriptions of these in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century and early 20<sup>th</sup> century rarely distinguished between the dialects of the various Chaldean villages but rather treated them as a single dialect. Guidi (1883), Sachau (1895) and Rhétoré (1912) called it Fellīḥi, after the Arabic term for peasant or farmer, the main occupation of the Chaldeans. Maclean, in his grammar (1895) and dictionary (1901) of the Christian NENA dialects, covered the dialects of the Mosul Plain as one dialect which he termed the Alqosh Dialect.

More recent descriptions distinguish between the dialects of the various villages and towns and have revealed far more variation than had been recognized by the early Neo-Aramaicists. The dialects of this group for which there is a description (article or book) are as follows: Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982), Mangesh (1974, 1990, 1993), Telkepe (texts only, Sabar 1978, 1993), Telesqof (the verbal system, Rubba 1993), Zakho (Hoberman 1993, Mole (M.Phil. thesis) 2000) and, most recently, Qaraqosh (Khan 2002).

Alqosh Neo-Aramaic (ANA) is unusual among the NENA dialects in having a literary tradition. Because of the importance of Alqosh in the Chaldean Church, it was for centuries a centre of literary activity. It is here that the earliest Christian Neo-Aramaic literature was written as far back as the 16th century. The literary dialect therefore shows a particular resemblance to the dialect of Alqosh itself, but it would be dangerous to rely on these literary texts alone for information on the dialect. Literary languages are rarely exact imitations of the vernacular. They tend to draw upon several dialects, as well as earlier literary languages (in this case Syriac). Moreover the system of orthography can often obscure the actual pronunciation of the language. It should also be noted that these texts reflect an earlier stage of the dialect. Research on the modern vernacular can therefore reveal some of the developments that have occurred in the language over the last few centuries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Texts of 'Fellīḥi' were also published in Socin (1882).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Some of this literature can be found in Poizat (1990, 1993) and Mengozzi (2000a,b).

ANA, like other NENA dialects, has been greatly influenced by other regional languages, in particular Kurdish and Arabic but also Turkish and Persian. This is particularly evident in the vocabulary, as will be shown in Chapter Seven. These languages are also of course divided into dialects and it can be seen that the influence is greatest from the dialects spoken in the area around Alqosh. In the case of Kurdish these are dialects of Kurmanji. In the case of Arabic they are the Qəltu dialects, which are spoken across northern Iraq and the bordering areas of Turkey and Syria.

The main lexical sources used in this thesis for Kurmanji are as follows: the dictionary by Rizgar (1993) and the glossaries in Blau (1975) and Wurzel (1997). The main lexical sources for Iraqi Arabic are the Muslim Baghdadi dictionaries Woodhead and Beene (1967) and Clarity, Stowasser and Wolfe (1964), the glossary of two Jewish Qəltu dialects in Jastrow (1990b), and various other works on Qəltu dialects by Jastrow (1978, 1979 and 1981).

#### IV Methodology

Working with endangered languages often involves constraints on methodology, for instance when it is not possible to gather data from a large pool of people or to work with the language in its homeland. This is the case with ANA, as it has not yet been possible to conduct fieldwork in Alqosh itself and it has therefore been necessary to track down speakers abroad. There is nevertheless good reason to be assured that the informants used in this study are reliable speakers of the Alqosh dialect (see below).

Two methods of gaining grammatical information have been used. One is to record the informant speaking on a topic. Such recordings are referred to as 'texts'. They are not as natural as recordings of spontaneous discourse, but those are harder to obtain among speakers in exile. Monologues also have some advantages, as they are usually clearer. In spontaneous conversations speakers tend to interrupt and talk over each other which can make transcribing a whole text difficult.

The topics suggested to the informant focus on aspects of traditional life which would be associated with ANA, rather than the parts of their life which they associate with Arabic or English. Texts about school or the army would probably involve a great

deal of Arabic borrowing, as it is Arabic that is used in these situations. Such texts are valid as part of a description of the language of the younger generation, showing the increasing influence of Arabic on the language, but when the emphasis is on recording an endangered language, it becomes a priority to preserve older patterns of speech.

The other method of eliciting information is by asking grammatical questions of the form, 'How do you say ...?' This has the disadvantage of being self-conscious and lacking in context. There is some risk that the speaker will make conscious decisions about what is grammatically 'right', rather than simply thinking of what he would say. The advantages of this method are that it can be used very efficiently to complete paradigms (which it is rarely possible to complete from texts alone) and to confirm deductions made on the basis of textual material. It is also necessary to use it with rare forms or constructions that would seldom occur in the texts. The risks can be minimized by assessing the usefulness of the speaker for grammatical questions and being aware when he or she is confused or making a value judgement.

The grammatical descriptions included here are based on the speech of Alqoshis living in London, Baghdad, Detroit and Alqosh itself. Naturally with exiles there is a risk that their language has become contaminated by the other languages they use or the other NENA dialects they come into contact with. Nevertheless there is such a strong similarity between the idiolects of the different speakers, that it seems very likely that they are all still speaking more or less the same, Alqoshi, dialect. Fortunately there was also the opportunity to work, at a late stage in the investigation, with a speaker still residing in Alqosh (Informant F).

The main informants from whom the data in this study has been drawn are listed below with some relevant information. Most ages given are approximate.

#### Informant A

Informant A is the main informant used for grammatical or lexical questions. In addition, many texts were obtained from him. He is a man in his thirties living in London, who left Alqosh in 1991. He speaks Arabic and English fluently and also speaks some Kurmanji and Greek. Informant A lived until recently with his brother and is in frequent contact with others from Alqosh. He is furthermore conscious of the differences between his dialect and others and is able to indicate them. Texts made of other Alqoshis living in Baghdad have confirmed that his dialect is typically Alqoshi.

#### Informant B and C

Informants B and C, also educated men in their early 30's, left Alqosh in the early 'nineties and at the time of the recordings in 1999-2000 had been living in London for a few years.

#### **Informant D**

Informant D is an educated man in his late sixties living in Baghdad since he left Alqosh as a young man. Quotations in this work that are marked '(D)' are from his collection of Alqoshi proverbs which he kindly read out to the author.

#### Informant E

Informant E is a man in his fifties living in Detroit. After leaving Alqosh as a young man he trained for two or three years to be a priest then left and entered the military where he remained for three years. Immediately afterwards he came to America and settled there.

#### **Informant F**

Informant F is the mother of A, a woman in her mid-fifties. She still lives in Alqosh and recordings of her speech were made during a visit to London.

# Informant G

Informant G is a woman in her fifties living with her family in Detroit.

# **CHAPTER ONE**

# **CONSONANTS**

# 1.1 Phoneme inventory

# **Stops / Affricates**

Unvoiced	p	t	č	k	q	2
Voiced	b	d	$\dot{j}$	g		
Emph. unv.	$p^*$	ţ	č			
Emph. voi.		<i>ḍ</i> *				

#### **Fricatives**

Unvoiced	f	$\theta$	S	š	X	ķ	h
Voiced	v	ð	z	ž*	$\dot{g}$		
Emph. unv.			Ş				
Emph. voi.		Ŏ	Z.				

# Nasal m n

Emphatic  $m^{**}$ 

# Lateral approximant

Emphatic *l*\*\*

# Tap / trill r

Emphatic r

**Approximant** w y

l

<sup>\*</sup> These sounds are of marginal phonemic status (only phonemic in a few loanwords at most).

<sup>\*\*</sup> These sounds are of uncertain phonemic status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This sound (the Arabic  $^c$ ayn) is conventionally described as a fricative, the voiced counterpart of /h, but is now thought to be more accurately described as an approximant (cf. §1.4.2.6).

#### 1.2 General issues

The phonology of ANA has undergone quite significant change from that of earlier dialects of Aramaic. Phonetic developments have occurred, for instance the shift of /h/ to /x/, and phonemic distinctions have been gained and lost. In addition, the large numbers of loanwords from Kurdish, Arabic and other languages have introduced new phonemes, such as /j/ and /č/, or reintroduced ones that had been virtually lost, such as /h/.

One of the more obvious changes from earlier Aramaic is the breakdown of the begadkepat system in which members of a set of phonemes, /b/, /g/, /d/, /k/, /p/ and /t/, each had two allophones: plosive and fricative. After vowels the consonant was realized as a fricative, except when geminated. In all other cases a plosive was found, with some exceptions. This system has been altered by two processes. In the case of /p/ the fricative allophone has been lost and the plosive allophone generalized to all contexts.<sup>2</sup> In the case of /b/, /g/, /d/, /k/ and /t/, the two realizations have been preserved but have been phonemicized. Thus we find the stop [t] after a vowel in  $\dot{s}$  ta 'year' and the fricative [ $\theta$ ] at the beginning of a word in  $\theta \bar{e} la$  'she came', showing that the two sounds are no longer in complementary distribution. This phonemicization has come about through various phonetic processes. In most cases it involves the loss of a consonant such that a fricative allophone occurs in a position previously reserved for a plosive, or vice versa. For instance  $\theta \bar{e}la$  'she came' derives from \* $^{*}$  $^{\circ}$  $^{\dagger}$  $^{\circ}$  $^{\dagger}$  $^{\circ}$  $^{\circ}$ tela,  $\dot{s}$  'ta 'year' from \* $\dot{s}$ atta (<\* $\dot{s}$ anta), wiša 'dry' from \*ibiša. In verbs the old distribution has been further obscured by the generalization of one reflex to all forms of the verb, regardless of context. There are still many traces of the *be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at* distribution, but it is no longer the rule.

#### 1.3 Phonemic status

The phonemic status of the sounds listed above is most cases established by the existence of minimal pairs, such as  $t\bar{e}la$  'fox' and  $\theta\bar{e}la$  'she came'. Such pairs show that a given phonetic distinction can be used to express a distinction in meaning. The distinction is therefore phonemic. There are some problems however with the use of minimal pairs.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But some secondary fricativization occurs with /p/ (cf. §1.4.2.1).

Firstly they are not always available. Phonemic distinctions may be used as the only marker of distinction between two words, but whether this actually occurs is due to chance. Sometimes there are no minimal pairs attested for contrasts which seem well established in the language, for instance between IdI and ItI. Secondly, a single minimal pair only shows a two-way distinction: in the example above, between a voiceless dental stop, t, and a voiceless dental fricative,  $\theta$ . This minimal pair cannot prove, for instance, that [t] is not in an allophonic relationship with its voiced counterpart [d]. This would have to be established with another minimal pair. Listing minimal pairs for every possible combination of consonants is, however, unfeasible and unnecessary, as phonemic status can be established beyond reasonable doubt by more targeted research. Knowledge of common tendencies of phonetic behaviour, the particular phonetic behaviour of the language and the phonologies of earlier and contemporary relatives can direct one to check contrasts that might be doubtful and avoid unnecessary investigation into pairs of consonants that are very unlikely not to be contrasted.

From a cross-linguistic perspective, the sounds that are most likely to be allophones of each other are those that are identical but for one or two characteristics (e.g. voicing, aspiration etc.), or are articulated in close proximity to each other. Sounds that share few if any characteristics are least likely, for example the voiced bilabial stop [b] and the voiceless alveolar affricate [tʃ]. Allophones are occasionally phonetically quite different from each other, like the [?] allophone of /t/ in the London English pronunciation of water, but such examples are rare and, in the case of Aramaic, would probably be known from historical evidence. Therefore we concentrate on presenting phonemic contrasts between consonants that share most of their values, in most cases all but one, as in the following two pairs:

- t- $\theta$  voiceless dental *stop* and voiceless dental *fricative*
- *t-d* voiceless dental stop and voiced dental stop

Knowledge of general traits in the phonetic behaviour of ANA can also help focus investigation. For instance in ANA the distinction between voiced and voiceless is

frequently neutralized, so it is necessary to establish these contrasts, where they do exist. The same applies to the emphatic - non-emphatic and nasal - non-nasal distinctions.

The phonologies of earlier dialects can also be of help. Because at an earlier stage the fricative and plosive realizations of  $be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at$  consonants were in complementary distribution, it is particularly worthwhile to seek phonemic contrasts between the ANA reflexes of these, e.g. between [d] and [ð] and [k] and [x], in order to establish whether this contrast has become phonemicized or not. It is also helpful to compare the phonology of related contemporary dialects. For instance it is worthwhile establishing phonemic contrasts between the dental and alveolar fricatives [ $\theta$ ] and [s], as in some dialects the distinction has been blurred or lost.<sup>3</sup>

With this targeted method, many phonemic distinctions do not need to be confirmed. Sometimes, however, a phonemic distinction does need to be established but there is no minimal pair available. In such cases phonemic contrast can be shown by examining the distribution of the sounds. If they are not in a complementary distribution with each other, then they may be considered to be separate phonemes. This can be established beyond reasonable doubt by targeting certain conditioning factors. For instance the factors found to condition voicing are the voicing of the following consonant (§1.5.1) and whether the consonant occurs at the end of a word (when it is voiceless). If both the voiceless and voiced counterparts of a pair can both be found in positions not conditioned in these ways, then they may be considered to be separate phonemes, even if no minimal pair exists. For instance both /t/ and /d/ may be found in contexts where they are not affected by the conditioning factors mentioned above, e.g.  $tek\theta a$  'drawstring' and  $duk\theta a$  'place'. They may therefore be considered as separate phonemes. Initial, prevocalic position is the position in which there is likely to be the least amount of influence from surrounding sounds. Therefore if both sounds are regularly found in this position, they may be considered to be in phonemic contrast.<sup>4</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As in Jewish Zakho (cf. §1.3.2). Also, in the dialect of Jilu, /q/ is articulated as far forward as /k/ before /i/ or /u/, though /q/ is still distinguished by its lack of aspiration (Fox 1997: 8). Historic \*/q/ is also articulated as unaspirated [k] in the dialect of Urmi (Odisho 1988: 25).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This test does not apply to emphasis distinctions as emphasis can spread (by assimilation) between consonants which are separated by a vowel.

Even when a minimal pair may be found, this is not necessarily proof of a well-established phonemic contrast. In fact there are varying degrees of phonemicity. If a sound is only phonemic in a handful of loanwords, even if it occurs as an allophone in many other words, the sound may be considered as *marginally* phonemic. For instance  $\check{z}$ , common as an allophonic variant of  $/\check{s}/$ , is only attested as a phoneme in a few Kurdish loans. Likewise  $\check{q}$  can be explained by conditioning in all but one case, the loanword  ${}^{\flat}o\check{q}a$  'room'. There are other phonemes that are rare but are found as phonemes in at least one native word, such as /v/ and /z/. It could be argued that these are also marginal but for the purposes of transcription (cf. §1.6) they will not be treated as such.

There are also two sounds (/m/ and /l/) that are of uncertain phonemic status because they are found in ambiguous contexts (cf. §1.3.7).

Some phonemes have been introduced from loanwords but are comparatively common because so many loanwords have been adopted. This is the case with /f/, /j/ and /č/. In the case of /\*/, /h/ and /ġ/ the impact of the loanwords has been reinforced by the occurrence of these phonemes in a few words of native stock,<sup>5</sup> as well as their presence in the liturgical language, Syriac.

Even phonemic contrasts which are well established may be neutralized in certain environments. Most common is the loss of voicing contrast due to assimilation to a following consonant. Also prevalent is emphatic spread which neutralizes the emphatic - non-emphatic contrast. These types of assimilation will be dealt with in §1.5 below. In this section, for the purpose of establishing contrasts, only the basic allophone of each phoneme will be given. For instance, /b/ is defined as a voiced bilabial stop, even though in certain contexts it may be voiceless.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>/h/ and /ġ/ have even developed in native forms where they were not found in earlier Aramaic (cf. §1.3.5-6).

## 1.3.1 Labials: p, b, f, v, w, m

The fricative allophone of earlier Aramaic \*/p/ has been merged with the stop allophone, so /p/ is found in all contexts, e.g. poxa 'air' and 'il' pa 'to learn'. The voiceless labiodental fricative /f/ is, however, found in loanwords, especially from Arabic, e.g. fy 'ta 'to pass' and faqira 'poor', but also possibly from Kurdish. Minimal pairs for the fricative plosive contrast are as follows:

$$p$$
- $f$   $p\bar{e}ra$  'fruit'  $f\bar{e}ra$  'she flew'  $k\bar{e}peh$  'his stone'  $k\bar{e}feh$  'his well-being'

The fricative allophone of earlier Aramaic \*/b/ is realized as the labio-velar approximant [w]. In ANA it is in phonemic contrast with the stop /b/:

The stop /b/ is also in phonemic contrast with the voiced labio-dental fricative /v/ which is only attested in five words, four of which are Kurdish: šiv na 'shepherd', gav na 'cowherd', dargav n 'doorkeeper' and pēl ve 'shoes'. This phoneme is too rare for a minimal pair to be available, but both sounds are attested after vowels:

The only occurrence of /v/ in a native Aramaic word is in  $\check{sevla}$  'ear of grain'. The Syriac cognate is  $\check{sebb}^{\flat}l^{\cdot}$  (or perhaps  $\check{sebl}^{\cdot}$ ). It is not clear why the ANA reflex is not  $\check{sebla}$ .

A phonemic voicing contrast is established for the bilabial stops in several minimal pairs, including the following:

$$p-b$$
  $p re$  'money'  $b re$  'sides'  $pl a$  'to divide'  $bl a$  'to swallow'

<sup>6</sup> Note that in the dialect of Qaraqosh, /v/ is not a phoneme and šiv na is adapted to native phonology as šibana (Khan 2002: glossary). This may reflect a lesser influence from Kurdish on the Qaraqosh dialect (cf. Khan 2002: 9-10).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In Syriac, gemination before a *shwa* might be lost and the *shwa* elided (cf. Nöldeke 1904: §22B).

There is no minimal pair available for the contrast /v/-/f/ but as they usually have different origins (Kurdish and Arabic respectively) they are unlikely to be allophones. A near-minimal pair is as follows:

The nasal bilabial stop /m/ contrasts phonemically with /b/ in the following minimal pair:

It also contrasts with the other nasal phoneme /n/:

# 1.3.2 Dentals, alveolars and post-alveolars: t, d, $\theta$ , $\delta$ , s, z, $\check{s}$ , $\check{z}$ , n, r, l

The fricative allophone of earlier Aramaic \*/t/ has been preserved in ANA, unlike some other NENA dialects, 8 and phonemicized, as in the following minimal pairs:

$$t$$
- $\theta$   $t\bar{e}la$  'fox'  $\theta\bar{e}la$  'she came'  $\check{s}$  ' $ta$  'year'  $\check{s}$  ' $\theta a$  'fever'

The fricative allophone of earlier Aramaic \*/d/ has also been preserved, for instance in  ${}^{\flat}i\delta a$  'arm' (Syriac  ${}^{\flat}i\underline{d}$ ) and  ${}^{\flat}w\dot{}\delta a$  'to do' (Syriac  ${}^{\diamond}\underline{b}\dot{}da$ ). There are no minimal pairs with the stop, but it can be shown that the two realizations are no longer in complementary distribution, as d occurs after a vowel, e.g.  $h\dot{}dax$  'thus', and  $\delta$  after a consonant, e.g.  $kraq\delta i$  'they dance'. The two must therefore be classed as separate phonemes.

Phonemic contrast in voicing is found for both stops and fricatives. In some cases there is no minimal pair, but even in these cases both sounds are found before vowels (or consonants that do not cause voicing assimilation). This is demonstrated by the following pairs:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For example, historical  $*_{\underline{t}}$  has merged with the stop in the Christian dialect of Zakho (Hoberman 1993: 116, Mole 2002: 8).

t-dtora 'bull'dora 'turn' (in game) $tek\theta a$  'cord' $duk\theta a$  'place' $\theta-\delta$  ${}^2e\theta wa$  'there was' ${}^2e\delta wa$  'lot' ${}^2i\theta$  'ya 'to come' ${}^2i\delta$  ' ${}^2a$  'to know's-zsanda 'pot'zanda 'upper arm'sy 'da 'to lock'zy 'da 'to increase'

The voiced post-alveolar fricative  $\check{z}$  (IPA [3]) is usually an allophone of  $/\check{s}/$ , but it occurs as a distinct phoneme in a few Kurdish loanwords:  $de\check{z}men$  'enemy' (also  $de\check{z}men\bar{u}\theta a$  'enmity') and  $p\check{z}mn$  Q. 'to regret' (also  $pe\check{z}man\bar{u}\theta a$  'regret'). It can thus be considered a marginal phoneme.

The nasal dental stop /n/ is distinguished from /d/ in the following pair:

Various phonetic changes are attested among certain Jewish NENA dialects. One is the realization of the historic interdentals \*/t/ and \*/d/ as /s/ and /z/ respectively, found in the Jewish Zakho dialect. In Alqosh the interdentals are clearly preserved and phonemically distinct from /s/ and /z/:

$$\theta$$
-s  $ki\theta e$  'he comes'  $kise$  'bags'  $\delta$ -z  $bo\delta a$  'she'll make'  $goza$  'walnut' (near-minimal pair)

In the Jewish dialect of Arbel, original dental fricatives \*/ $\underline{t}$ / and \*/ $\underline{d}$ / are both realized as [1]. Again, the fricative-lateral distinction is clearly preserved and phonemic in ANA:

$$\theta$$
- $l$  šek $\theta$ a 'testicle' šekla 'appearance'  $\delta$ - $l$   $d$ - $o\delta$ a 'that she may do'  $dola$  'bucket and rope'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Sabar (2002: 5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Khan (1999: 29).

The two liquids, the lateral approximant /l/ and (often lateral) flap /r/, are contrasted in the following pairs:

The post-alveolar fricative /s/ (IPA [ʃ]) is contrasted with the alveolar fricative /s/ in the following pairs:

## 1.3.3 Affricates: $\check{c}$ , j

These phonemes are almost only ever attested in loanwords, mostly from Kurdish and Arabic, but they are well-established in the language. The following are some examples:

/č/	/ <b>j</b> /
č rek 'quarter' (K.)	jēš 'army' (Arab.)
č'y 'tea' (K.)	<i>jr ha</i> 'to be injured' (Arab.)
<i>ču</i> - 'any' (K.)	mjawobe 'to answer' (Arab.)
čanta 'bag' (K.)	msajole 'to record' (Arab.)
čy ka 'to pierce' (I.A.)	julle 'clothes' (K.)
čy qa 'to tear' (I.A.)	jwanqa 'youth' (K.)

There are a few attested instances of /č/ occuring in Aramaic words as a result of the merging of /t/ and /š/. The adverbs 'ačat 'this year' and kučat 'every year' are derived from \*'at-šat (<\*'adθ-šat) and \*kút-šat (<\*kúd-šat) respectively. The word čēri in čēri qam 'ya 'October' and čēri de-trē' 'November' is cognate with Qaraqosh tášri. In ANA, the first two consonants have merged to /č/.

In Christian Urmi the affricate /č/ is an allophone of /k/ found in non-emphatic environments.<sup>11</sup> In ANA both sounds are found in non-emphatic environments and there is a minimal pair available to confirm the contrast:

## 1.3.4 Palatal: y

The only palatal consonant is the approximant /y/. This is distinguished from the labio-velar approximant /w/:

y-w 'ya 'that one (f.)' 'wa 'that one (m.)' 
$$sy$$
'a 'fence'  $sw$ 'a 'to be full'

# 1.3.5 Velars and uvulars: k, g, x, $\dot{g}$ , $\dot{h}$ , q

The velar stop and fricative are in phonemic contrast with each other:

ANA /x/ is derived from the fricative allophone of earlier \*/k/, as in brixa (Syr.  $br\overline{\imath}\underline{k}$ ') 'blessed', or from original /h/, as in  $xw^{\cdot}ra$  (Syr.  $hew^{\cdot}r^{\cdot}$ ) 'white'. The breakdown of the complementary distribution of [k] and [x] has been aided by the change of \*/h/ to /x/ as well as the introduction of loanwords such as  $\check{sappuk}$  (K.) 'trad. jacket'.

The voiced counterparts [g] and [g] are also in phonemic contrast with each other:

ANA /g/ in most cases is not derived from the Aramaic fricative allophone  $\bar{g}$ , which is realized as P/ in ANA, e.g.  $pl^{-2}a$  'to divide' (Syriac  $pl\bar{g}$ , cf. also §1.3.6). Original

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In this dialect  $\check{c}$  is the allophone of k found in 'palatal' (non-emphatic) words, although  $\check{c}$  is also a phoneme in its own right as it occurs in a few 'labial' (i.e. emphatic) words (cf. Hetzron 1969: 113). Cf. also Odisho (1988: 25).

Aramaic  $\bar{g}$  is preserved in  $pa\dot{g}ra$  'body' and the verb  $s\dot{g}$  ' $\delta a$  'to bow down' but these are in fact loans from the liturgical language Syriac and have specialized religious meanings.<sup>12</sup>

Many cases of the voiced velar fricative have arisen through voicing assimilation of /x/. It occurs, for instance, in forms of the verb xzy I 'to see' where the /x/ is adjacent to the voiced consonant /z/, e.g.  $[\gamma]z$  'ya 'to see' (compare kx 'ze 'he sees'). In such cases it is normally non-phonemic, but there is one case where the sound has become phonemicized:  $\dot{g}zd$  I 'to reap'. Originally  $*x\bar{s}d$  ( $<*\dot{h}\bar{s}\underline{d}$ ), voicing has spread by assimilation from the final radical to the middle radical, then to the initial radical. The difference between this verb and xzy I is that  $[\dot{g}]$  is the realization in all forms of the verb, even when it is not followed by a voiced consonant, e.g.  $g\dot{g}$  'zed 'he reaps'.

This consonant is most commonly found, however, in loanwords, especially from Arabic, e.g.  $\dot{g}l$  ba 'to win' and  $m\dot{g}ayore$  'to change'.

Phonemic contrast can also be established for voicing, for both the stops and the fricatives:

The uvular stop /q/ is preserved in ANA.<sup>13</sup> Its phonemic independence from the velars /k/, /g/, /x/ and /g/ is established in the following minimal pairs:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Cf. Tsereteli (1990: 35-42) for a discussion of cases in Neo-Aramaic dialects where the voiced velar fricative has been preserved.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See n. 3.

# 1.3.6 Laryngeals and pharyngeals: ', ', h, h

The laryngeal stop  $/\!\!/$  is a reflex not only of earlier  $*/\!\!/$  but also original  $*/\!\!/$  and in some cases  $*/\bar{g}/$ , e.g.  ${}^{\flat}\bar{e}ta$  'church' (Syr.  ${}^{\iota}i\delta t$ '),  ${}^{\flat}r$  'qa 'to run' (Syr.  ${}^{\iota}rq$ ), sy 'a 'fence' (Syr. sy 'g'), pl 'a 'to share' (Syr.  $pl\bar{g}$ ), r 'a 'to wake up' (Syr.  $r\bar{g}$ ).

The pharyngeal //, however, survives in a few Aramaic words, usually words with religious significance, as a result of the influence of Syriac, e.g. 'išu' 'Jesus', 'm' ða 'to baptize', 'alma 'world', 'ewṛ 'ya 'Hebrew', 'l' ya 'upper' (Syr. 'el' y' 'exalted', 'upper'), 'edd' na 'time'. There are a few words where Syriac influence is not the cause, but rather the influence of a /q/ or emphatic in the word, e.g. 'aqerwa 'scorpion', 'amūqa 'deep' and 'uṭma 'thigh'. This is not a consistent rule, for instance // is lost in 'aqubra 'mouse' (Syr. 'uqb'r'). 14

Most commonly f is found in Arabic loanwords. Some examples are: 'amma 'uncle', 'aq' ṛa' 'farmland', 'y' ša' 'to live' and jm 'a' 'to gather together'. In some other Arabic loanwords f has been weakened to a f, e.g. f ava' 'wedding' (Arab. f ava'). In f sama (f šam'a f Arab. f ava' it may be elided altogether.

A minimal pair exists for the two sounds:

The laryngeal stop is also phonemically distinct from the uvular stop /q/:

The laryngeal fricative is contrasted with the stop in the near-minimal pair h we 'let him be' and 'wa 'that one (m.)'. Both occur regularly in initial position, but /h/ alternates with // in hil na  $\sim$  'il na 'tree' and both may be elided word-initially, following a word ending in a consonant, thus obscuring the distinction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> This tendency is attested more commonly in Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 41-2) where the \*/ is retained not only in 'aqərwa and 'amoqa (ANA 'amūqa) but also in 'aqubra 'mouse' (ANA 'aqubra), 'atiqa 'old' (ANA 'atiqa), t'n I 'to lift' (ANA t'n) and t'l II 'to play' (ANA t'l II).

As mentioned above, original \*/h/ is usually realized as a velar fricative /x/ in ANA. Some cases of the original pharyngeal /h/ do survive: in religious words, e.g.  $m\check{s}iha$  'Christ',  $ma\check{o}epha$  'altar' and hatt ya 'sinner', and in the vicinity of /q/, e.g.  $rah\bar{u}qa$  'far'. This sound has also emerged as an innovation in the 3sg. pronominal suffixes, -eh and -ah, which were originally \*-eh and \*-ah. As will be discussed in §5.2, the cause of this change may have been a need to distinguish clearly between these suffixes and the noun inflections -a (sg.) and -e (pl.).

The phonemic distinction between /h/ and /x/ is demonstrated in the following minimal pairs:

$$h-x$$
  $b\varepsilon\theta ah$  'her house'  $b\varepsilon\theta ax$  'your (fs.) house'  $h-il$  'my condition'  $x-il$  'my maternal uncle'  $h-il$  'he relented'  $x-il$  'wo maternal uncle'  $x-il$  'wo maternal uncle'

There is also a minimal pair for h and h:

1.3.7 Emphatics: 
$$t$$
,  $d$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $s$ ,  $z$ ,  $r$ ,  $\check{c}$ ,  $p$ ,  $(m)$ ,  $(l)$ 

ANA has inherited the two emphatic consonants of Syriac, /t/ and /ṣ/, distinguished from their non-emphatic counterparts in the following minimal pairs:

$$t-t$$
  $p-s$   $ta$  'in the year'  $ps$   $ta$  'to extend (one's arm)'  $s-s$   $sw$  'a 'to be satisfied'  $sw$  'a 'to paint'

ANA's stock of emphatics has been much enlarged by two mutually reinforcing processes: borrowing and emphatic spread. The latter is a phenomenon in which consonants in the environment of emphatics themselves become emphatic, e.g. *besla* 

<sup>15</sup> Also perhaps qyh 'touch', of uncertain derivation. It seems to be related in some way to  $qy\theta$  'to hit' (Syr. qtt 'to remain fixed, stuck'); Maclean (1901: 277, 268), gives the two as synonyms. The voiceless pharyngeal fricative is preserved under similar conditions in the dialect of Qaraqosh. Cf. Khan (2002: 40-

1).

[besila] 'onion' (A). The original emphatic in such cases may be called the 'primary emphatic', in this case /s/. Similar to this is the emphaticization that occurs among certain combinations of consonants that were not historically emphatic, as in pesra [peṣra] 'meat' (A) (compare Syr. besr'). Emphatic spread is a feature common in NENA, as also in dialects of Arabic. Although some patterns of emphatic spread are shared, the extent and nature of the feature varies from dialect to dialect. In the Jewish dialects of Iranian Azerbaijan, for instance, emphasis spreads throughout the entire word and vocabulary can be divided into 'plain' (non-emphatic) and 'flat' (emphatic) words. In ANA emphasis often affects only part of the word, e.g. pxalṣetta [pxalṣetta] 'you'll (m.) finish it (f.)' (A), although some words may be pronounced entirely emphatic, e.g. maṛṭṛa [maṛṭṛa] (\*\* maṛṭṛa [maṛṭra]) 'bitter' (A) and beṣla [beṣla] 'onion' (A). The patterns of emphatic spread in ANA will be discussed in more detail in §1.5.6 below.

r-ŗ	<i>m ra</i> 'master'	m ṛa	'shovel'
	<i>bēra</i> 'well'	bēṛa	'light'
	xy ra 'to look'	xy ra	'cucumber'
	mira 'said (ms.)'	miṛa	'prince'
	'amra 'let her say'	°amṛa	'wool'
	bar 'ya 'happening'	baŗ ya	'outer'

Phonemicization has occurred as a result of different, sometimes complementary factors. An important one is the adoption of loanwords. One phoneme found in many Arabic loanwords is /ð/, e.g. maḥðore 'to prepare', qð 'ya 'to spend' and ḥaðði 'my luck'. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Transcription between square brackets [...] in italics is semi-phonetic transcription. Cf. Notes On Transcription (xxi) for details.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Garbell (1965a: 33-4).

stop d/ is rare; it is presumably merged with d/ in the Arabic dialects from which the loanwords come. In fact the only unconditioned occurrence of it is in the loanword d/ oda 'room', where the Arabic has a d/ that has not merged into d/. It can thus be considered a marginal phoneme.

Another factor in the adoption of new phonemes has been analogy. This is behind the establishment of z as a phoneme in a native Aramaic verb:  $\dot{g}zd$  'to reap'. Originally a voiced allophone of z occurring before voiced consonants, as in  $g\dot{g}a[z]di$  'they reap', it spread to forms of the verb even where voicing assimilation could not be active, e.g.  $g\dot{g}zed$  'he reaps'.

Another factor may be the historic presence of an \*// which has since been lost. This appears to be behind the emphasis in 'amṛa 'wool' (Syr. 'amṛ') which is not found in 'amṛa 'let her say' (Syr. 'm'r'). It is also behind the emphatic rhotics in the verbs r'y 'to graze' (Syr. r'y) and r'l 'to shake' (Syr. r'l).

A particularly rare emphatic phoneme found in ANA is the affricate  $/\check{c}/$ . This is attested in only two lexemes:  $\check{c}hy$  'to hide' and  $\check{c}ym$  'to close'. One of these forms part of a minimal pair:

The occurrence of /c/ can be explained in both cases. The verb c/ is cognate with Syriac c/ to stop up, close'. The regular reflex in ANA would be c/ to hide (intr.)' has a into c/ as a result of contact with the palatal c/. The verb c/ to hide (intr.)' has a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cf. Jastrow (1978: 34) for the merger of d and  $\delta$  in Arabic dialects and their common reflexes in various dialects. In some dialects the common reflex is d/d, in others it is d/d. The latter is found in some Qəltu dialects such as Mardin and Dēr iz-Zor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Perhaps because it is a loanword from Turkish (oda) rather than an original Arabic lexeme. Cf. Jastrow (1981: 4) for an occurrence of  ${}^{5}\bar{o}da$  in the Qəltu Arabic dialect of Mardin, in which  $/\bar{o}/$  is the reflex of original Arabic \*/d/ and \*/ $\bar{o}/$  (cf. previous note).

The form  ${}^{\flat}oda$  is closest to that found in Qəltu Arabic: compare Baghdadi  ${}^{\flat}oda$ , Damascene  ${}^{\flat}uda$ , K. oda (dialect of the Jabal Sinjar, Blau 1975: 244). This form may have been borrowed (perhaps even directly to NENA) from a form of Turkish which had emphasis: the Ottoman Turkish has a t ( $\Diamond \Diamond \Box A$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> In the dialect of Qaraqosh some cases of /t/ are derived from a /t/ which has been pharyngealized by contact with // (Khan 2002: 43). This is also the case with ANA t/l II 'to play' (Syr. t/l Pa., Qar. t/l II).

slightly different origin revealed by the cognate Class  $\Pi^{21}$  verb  $t\check{s}y$   $\Pi$  'to hide (tr.)'. In the Class I verb, /t/ and  $/\check{s}/$  have coalesced to  $/\check{c}/$   $[t\check{s}]$ . The infinitive was therefore  $*\check{c}$  'ya. Because this has only two radicals, another was introduced. The consonant /h/ was perhaps chosen by analogy with a verb of similar form,  $\check{c}h$  'ya 'to be tired'. <sup>22</sup>

This phoneme is quite rare cross-dialectally. It is not attested, for instance, in the dialects of Qaraqosh and Mangesh. It is however reasonably well attested in Aradhin<sup>23</sup> and is also found in the dialect of Jilu.<sup>24</sup> It also occurs in the Assyrian Koine, though only as part of whole-word emphasis.<sup>25</sup>

An even rarer phoneme is p which only has phonemic status in one loanword: p yes 'Autumn' (K. payîz) and thus should be considered marginal.

The phonemic statuses of [m] and [l] are less certain and marginal at best. These are all common as allophones of lm/l and ll/l in cases of emphatic spread. The word lm/l marginal that way but is perhaps a special case, being child language. In lappa 'handful' (K. lep) the emphatic lateral could be explained by emphatic spread from an emphatic lm/l, which is established as a phoneme. But the phonemic status of lm/l is itself so marginal that the emphatic spread might have easily occurred in the opposite direction. In fact, as the word is foreign, it cannot be assumed that it is following normal ANA rules at all. lm/l

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Verbal 'classes' are equivalent to Syriac conjugations (Peal, Pael etc.) (§6.1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Krotkoff (1982: 14) for a discussion of the derivation of  $\check{c}$  and  $\check{c}$  in the Aradhin dialect. He cites  $\check{c}lp$  'to split', from Syr. slp, and  $\check{c}y^{s}$  'to be smooth', from the Syr.  $\check{s}^{cc}$ . Also see Mutzafi (2000: 302-3) for a discussion of the emergence of  $\check{c}$  in the dialect of Maha Khtaya d-Baz.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Krotkoff (1982: glossary) lists fifteen lexemes beginning with this consonant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Fox (1997: 13). Also see the glossary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Odisho (1988: 49-50).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> It is interesting to note that the source word in Kurdish is not emphatic. This case is reminiscent of the practice in Arabic of pronouncing some consonants in non-Semitic loanwords as emphatic, e.g. *t' wula* 'table' (Italian *tavola*), *s' la* 'hall' (Italian *sala*) and *tum' ta* 'tomato'.

#### 1.4 Phonetic realization

The phonetic realization of consonants is greatly complicated when assimilation is taken into account. Because a great deal of assimilation is not indicated in the transcription, a writing of one phoneme may actually represent the sound of another. For instance, the b in  $b\check{s}$  la 'to boil' is realized as [p], a separate phoneme in ANA. For the sake of simplicity such realizations will not be covered in this section. Instead this issue will be dealt with separately in §1.5.

## 1.4.1 General issues

Before going on to describe the phonetic realizations of each consonant phoneme, it is worth outlining some of the issues which affect consonants generally.

## 1.4.1.1 Aspiration

In ANA stops, whether voiced, voiceless, emphatic or non-emphatic, are all unaspirated. This is also the situation found in the dialect of Mangesh, but not in all NENA dialects.<sup>27</sup> In some dialects aspiration exists as a conditioned variant, while in others it is in phonemic contrast with non-aspiration, as it is in the Kurmanji dialect of Kurdish.<sup>28</sup>

## 1.4.1.2 Fricativization

As mentioned, in earlier Aramaic certain consonants were pronounced as fricatives in post-vocalic position. A similar phenomenon occurs in the modern dialect, though it is much less widespread or consistent. Thus in intervocalic position /b/, /p/ and /d/ are sometimes pronounced as fricatives, e.g.  $b \dot{b} i$  [bæ: $\beta i$ ] 'my father' (A:115).<sup>29</sup> It is also

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Sara (1974: 35). In the dialect of Qaraqosh voiceless stops are pronounced with slight aspiration in most environments (Khan 2002: 27).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> For a discussion of aspiration in NENA dialects see Tosco (2002: 737-754). For an outline of the phonology of two Kurmanji dialects with an aspirated-unaspirated distinction, cf. Blau (1975: 21-32).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Intervocalic or postvocalic fricativization is attested in other languages such as Spanish and is explained as a kind of assimilation. Fricatives are closer to vowels than stops are, as they allow some air-flow.

attested with the emphatic allophone of /b/:  $r \cdot [\beta^{\varsigma}]a$  'big' (A). Fricativization is also found in other dialects such as Qaraqosh (/b/ and /d/) and Arbel (/b/, /p/ and /q/). <sup>30</sup>

# 1.4.1.3 Devoicing

Voiced phonemes occurring in final position are normally devoiced, e.g. mj web! [mdzæ:up] 'answer!' (A) and  $qapa\dot{g}$  [qapex] 'lid' (A). In some cases the devoicing has become phonemicized, that is, it is still found even in non-final position. This is the case with p 'yes 'Autumn' (Kurmanji payiz).

$$p'ye[s]$$
-ile 'it is Autumn' (A)

This example may be compared with the following showing non-phonemic voicing:

$$mj$$
 ' $we[p]$  'answer!'  $mjawo[b]e$  'to answer',  $mjuwe[b]li$  'I answered' (A)  $b\dot{g}$  ' $ze[t^{\S}]$  'he'll reap'  $\dot{g}z$  [d] $a$  'to reap',  $\dot{g}ze[d]l\varepsilon$  'they reaped' (A)  $qapa[x]$  'lid'  $qapa[\gamma]ed$ - $desti\theta a$  'saucepan lid' (A)  $ba\dot{g}da[t]$  'Baghdad'  $ba\dot{g}da[d]$ - $ila$  'it is Baghdad' (A)

The loanword  $m\bar{e}z$  [ $m\bar{e}s$ ] 'table' (K.  $m\hat{e}z$ ) seems to be in a state of flux, as the [z] is sometimes restored and sometime not. It will therefore be considered as having two variants:  $m\bar{e}z$  and  $m\bar{e}s$ .

$$m\acute{e}z$$
-ile  $\nsim m\acute{e}s$ -ile 'it is a table' (A)

In the case of yapra[x] 'dolma' the final consonant is not restored but the original voicing is still preserved in the plural form:

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Khan (2002: 26; 1999: 17-18, 25-26).

### 1.4.1.4 Gemination

Doubled consonants are distinguished from single consonants by a longer duration before release, as in Arabic and Italian:

This duration is sometimes shorter in unstressed syllables, e.g. *dekkanéy* [dek.ænéi] 'their shops' and *bassemta* [bas.emtæ] 'nice (f.)'.

## 1.4.2 Phonetic realization of consonant phonemes

# 1.4.2.1 Labials: *p*, *b*, *f*, *v*, *w*, *m*

/p/ is usually realized as an voiceless unaspirated bilabial stop, [p]. Between vowels, /p/ may be pronounced as a bilabial fricative  $[\phi]$ , e.g.  $bil'[\phi]a$  'learning' (B).

/b/ is usually realized as a voiced bilabial stop, [b]. Like /p/ it may be fricativized in intervocalic position, e.g.  $tett\hat{e}$ - $\check{s}a[\beta]\bar{a}\theta a$  'two weeks' (A:31) and  $l\grave{\epsilon}[\beta]i$  (A:228)  $\nsim l\grave{\epsilon}[b]i$  (A:227) 'I can't'. This fricativization also occurs over word-boundaries, e.g.  $x\acute{a}$ - $\check{s}iv$  'na [ $\beta$ ]ix 'la 'a shepherd eating' (A:161).

/f/ is realized as an voiceless labio-dental fricative, [f].

/v/ is realized as a voiced labio-dental fricative, [v].

/w/ is realized as a labio-velar approximant, [w].

/m/ is realized as a bilabial nasal stop, [m].

1.4.2.2 Dentals, alveolars, post-alveolars: t, d,  $\theta$ ,  $\delta$ , s, z,  $\check{s}$ ,  $\check{z}$ , n, l, r

/t/ is realized as a voiceless unaspirated dental stop, [t].

/d/ is usually realized as a voiced dental stop, [d]. In intervocalic position a fricative allophone [ð] is occasionally found, e.g.  $yarìx\varepsilon$ -le h dax [æðex] 'thus' (A:40) and  $m\check{s}$  der [m[æðer $^{\varsigma}$ ] 'send!' (A).

 $\theta$  is realized as an voiceless interdental fricative,  $\theta$ .

/ð/ is realized as a voiced interdental fricative, [ð].

/s/ is realized as an voiceless alveolar fricative, [s].

/z/ is realized as a voiced alveolar fricative, [z].

/š/ is realized as an voiceless post-alveolar fricative, [ʃ]. It is articulated with some liprounding.

/z/ is realized as a voiced post-alveolar fricative, [3].

/n/ is usually realized as a dental nasal, [n], e.g.  $[n]\bar{e}ra$  'river' (A). It frequently assimilates, however, to the articulatory position of a following consonant. Before a bilabial it is therefore often realized as [m], e.g. wo[m] bix 'la 'I'm eating' (A),  $k\acute{e}be[m]$   $pa\theta\grave{e}xti$  'I want my piece of bread' (A:166); before velars as [n], e.g. da[n]k 'mill-stone' (A) and  $k\acute{e}be[n]$   $k\grave{e}twi$  'I want my splinter' (A:157).

/// is realized as a voiced dental<sup>31</sup> lateral approximant []].

/r/ is realized, in most positions, as a moderately retroflex, often lateral,  $^{32}$  alveolar flap, [I]  $\sim$  [ $\mathfrak{r}$ ].  $^{33}$  The lateral realization is similar in sound to the retroflexed lateral flap in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Or possibly alveolar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> I.e. there is airflow either side of the tongue and the sound has an '1'-quality.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> These symbols represent the alveolar lateral flap (there is no way of marking this as retroflex) and the retroflex alveolar flap respectively. Thanks are due to H. Mutzafi for first identifying the Algosh /r/ as

Pashto.<sup>34</sup> Sometimes there is no contact between the tongue and the roof of the mouth and the /r/ is realized as a retroflex alveolar approximant [4]. Very occasionally /r/ is realized as a trill with two taps. The presence of /r/ affects its environment: preceding vowels are 'rhoticized', that is they take on some of the acoustic properties of a rhotic. This is similar to the situation in American English where vowels preceding an r have a rhotic quality.<sup>35</sup> According to Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 216) this is one of the cross-linguistic tendencies of the rhotic family. Examples: tūra [t<sup>c</sup>u·:læ] 'mountain' (A), p-tanūra [pptænú·:læ] 'into the oven' (A:44), 'amra [?æmlæ] 'let her say' (A), and beqr 'ya [bxqlæ.ee.] 'crying out' (A:104), dlá-' wer [dlæ?æ:we·I] 'so that it doesn't open' (A), mira [mi:qæ] 'said (ms.)'.

Word-finally (which is always post-vocalic), /r/ is often realized as a short trill and often devoiced, e.g. 'mer [?æ:me̞r]'let him say' (A) and ṣèr [sɤ̞r] 'ice' (A:191). Sometimes the trill is relaxed into or replaced by an alveolar fricative, [ɹ].

# 1.4.2.3 Affricates: $\dot{c}$ , $\dot{j}$

 $/\check{c}/$  is realized as a voiceless post-alveolar affricate [tf]. It is articulated with some liprounding.

/j/ is usually realized as a voiced post-alveolar affricate [dʒ], articulated with some lip-rounding.

retroflex and to P. Ladefoged and F. Nolan for their parts in identifying the sound. Any mistakes are the responsibility of the author.

Note that Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 230-2) make a distinction (based on Ladefoged 1968) between the terms 'tap' and 'flap' which have often been used interchangeably. According to them 'flaps are most typically made by retracting the tongue tip behind the alveolar ridge and moving it forward so that it strikes the ridge in passing. Taps are most typically made by a direct movement of the tongue tip to a contact location in the dental alveolar region.' An example of an (alveolar) flap is the American allophone of /t/ and /d/ in words such as city, latter and ladder. An example of a (dental) tap is the Spanish r in intervocalic position, as in caro 'expensive'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup>Mackenzie (1990: 134-5). It is interesting to note that Informant A mentioned the *l*-like sound of /r/ unprompted. For more information on lateral flaps and taps and where they are attested, see Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 210-1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See Ladefoged (1996: 313).

### 1.4.2.4 Palatal: y

/y/ is in most cases realized as a voiced palatal approximant [j]. Between two open vowels, it is not usually as close as an approximant, but rather a high vowel such as [e], e.g. beštāya-u [beſtæeɛu] 'drinking and' (A:169).

### 1.4.2.5 Velars and uvulars: k, g, x, h, q

/k/ is pronounced as an voiceless unaspirated velar stop, [k], articulated slightly further forward than the British English /k/. If /k/ precedes /t/ or /p/, it may be pronounced with a double articulation, that is the /k/ is released at the same time as the following consonant, e.g.  $kpa\theta xen$  [kp3 $\theta xen$ ] 'I open' (B).

/g/ is realized as a voiced velar stop, [g], e.g.  $g\bar{u}re$  [gu-:L5] 'men' (A). If /g/ precedes /d/ or /b/, it may be pronounced with double articulation, e.g. gd latta? [gdæ:lɛttæ.] 'can you see it (f.)?' (A) and gbasqila [gb3sqi:l3] 'they sow it (f.)' (B).

/x/ is articulated between between the velar and uvular positions. The furthest forward is around the position of the English k in card, not as far forward as the English k in keen; the furthest back is nearly but not quite as far back as uvular  $[\chi]$ .

/q/ is realized as an voiceless uvular stop [q], though not quite as far back as the classical Arabic /q/.

## 1.4.2.6 Laryngeals and pharyngeals: ', h, ', h

// is realized prototypically as a glottal stop, [?], but in practice, there is not always full closure. Instead the phoneme is often realized as creaky voice (laryngealization), e.g.  $gda^{3}ren$  [gdaaren] 'I (m.) return' (A) or occasionally not pronounced at all, e.g.  $\check{s}a^{3}u\theta ta$  [ $\int \& u\theta t$ ] 'yellow' (A). This is not uncommon cross-linguistically. According to Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 75), 'In the great majority of languages we have heard, glottal stops are apt to fall short of complete closure, especially in intervocalic positions.

In place of a true stop, a very compressed form of creaky voice or some less extreme form of stiff phonation may be superimposed on the vocalic stream.'36

/h/ is realized as an voiceless laryngeal fricative [h].

The laryngeals are often elided before or after a consonant ( ${}^{2}C/hC$  or  $C^{2}/Ch$ ). If before the consonant, then any preceding short vowel is lengthened. There is free variation in many cases. See §1.7.3 for details.

Word-initial laryngeals are sometimes elided when they are followed by a vowel and preceded by a morpheme or word ending in a consonant (*C 'V/C hV*), e.g. *b-àlquš* 'in Alqosh' (A:79), *kēpe 'eθw' lɛ* [kèːpəθwʌːlɛ̞ː] 'they had stones' (A),<sup>37</sup> *yarìxɛ-le h' dax* [...læːðex] '... thus' (A:40), *rabban-àrmez* (<*rabban harmez*) 'Rabban Hormizd' (B:6).

At the end of a word P/ is normally only pronounced in pause. When it is immediately followed by another word (and hence by a consonant), the P/ is normally elided, e.g.  $x^{.'5} p-x^{.'5} bemx^{.}ya$  [x $\land$ :px $\land$ :bemx $\grave{e}$ 3] 'throwing one by one' (A),  $de-tr\vec{e}$ 5  $l\grave{e}\theta-u$  [ditré:l $\grave{e}$ : $\theta$ u:] 'the second (day) there was nothing' (A:137).

/// and /h/: These phonemes are the 'ayn and h' of Arabic, conventionally described as voiced and voiceless pharyngeal fricatives. In fact these definitions have been challenged (see Ladefoged and Maddieson (1996: 168-9) for a summary of research). It is suggested that these sounds are approximants rather than fricatives. Ladefoged and Maddieson suggest that there is in fact 'audible local turbulance' in h' but seldom in 'ayn. This is also the case with the sounds in ANA. They also suggest that the Arabic sounds are

<sup>38</sup> The Handbook of the International Phonetic Association (2000) supports this analysis on p20: 'Although it is traditional to pair Hebrew and Arabic [ħ], [ʕ] as fricatives, the voiced sound [ʕ] is usually perceived as an approximant.' But in the section on Arabic, by R. Thelwall and M.A. Sa'adeddin (p52-3), the Arabic sound is identified as a Retracted Tongue Root (emphatic) glottal stop [ʔˤ].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Cf., for example, the data given by Ladefoged and Maddieson for Lebanese Arabic, showing the creaky voice realization of the glottal stop phoneme.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The final /e/ of  $k\bar{e}pe$  is elided too (cf. §3.3.3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Note also that they exhibit different behaviour: consonants will assimilate in voicing before /h/ but not /\*/ (cf. §1.5.1). This is unlike the behaviour of true voiceless-voiced pairs such as /p/ and /b/ and /t/ and /d/, which all trigger voicing assimilation.

typically made in the epiglottal region, noting however the conclusions of Boff Dkhissi (1983) that 'the movement of the epiglottis is not independent from that of the root of the tongue; rather the two elements work together in forming the constriction.' More appropriate IPA symbols for these sounds might therefore be [\$\frac{1}{2}\$] and [\$\pi\$], which represent epiglottal fricatives (there are no symbols for epiglottal or pharyngeal approximants). But as the exact articulation of the Neo-Aramaic sounds has not been measured, the conventional symbols for the Arabic sounds, [\$\frac{1}{2}\$] and [\$\pi\$], will be used.

The // of ANA, though similar to the Arabic sound, usually sounds weaker and occasionally is hardly distinct from //. 40

## 1.4.2.7 Emphatics: t, d, $\delta$ , s, z, r, $\check{c}$ , p, (m), (l) etc.

Emphatic consonants are distinguished from their non-emphatic counterparts mainly by the feature of pharyngealization, but they are more lightly pharyngealized than in the usual pronunciation of Classical Arabic. They may also be distinguished by a slight degree of lip-rounding. Surrounding vowels are affected by emphatic consonants, being backed, lowered and sometimes rounded, especially at the onset. Examples: t leh [ $t^c$ A:leh] 'to him' (A:162), 'amra [ $t^c$ Am $^c$ r $^c$ A] 'wool' (A) and tera [ $t^c$ A:læ] 'bird' (A).

#### 1.5 Assimilation

Consonant assimilation, where a consonant takes on one or more of the features of an adjacent consonant, is very common in ANA. There are several varieties: voicing, nasal, fricative, place of articulation and emphatic spread. These are often found in combination. This sometimes results in complete assimilation, where a consonant takes on all the characteristics of the neighbouring consonant, e.g.  $re\check{s}e\check{s}-\check{s}'ta$  ( $\langle r\bar{e}\check{s}ed-+\check{s}'ta\rangle$  'New Year' (A).

Some forms of assimilation are morphologically conditioned. For instance the L-set suffix assimilates completely to a preceding /t/ or /n/ in present base forms, e.g.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> In the dialect of Qaraqosh // is often realised as a glottal stop (Khan 2002: 42).

 $kpa\theta x \approx tta (\langle kpa\theta xet + -la \rangle)$  'you (ms.) open it (f.)'. Assimilation does not however occur when the L-set suffix is attached to the past base, e.g.  $fetla (\langle fet- + -la \rangle)$  'she passed'.

Rules of assimilation also apply across word-boundaries, e.g.  $n'\check{s}e$  diyeh  $[n'\check{z}diyeh]$  'his people' (B:41) and  $w-\hat{t}\theta en$   $de-\hat{s}l'wa$   $[w\hat{t}\theta ende\hat{s}l'wa]$  'and there is (the game) of Sl'wa {Crossing}.' (A:71).

Assimilation is a problem for transcription as it complicates the phonological system of the language by neutralizing phonemic contrasts in certain contexts. It is not desirable to show in the texts all the assimilation that occurs. Some is marked in the transcription and some is not. The rules followed for this are described in §1.6 below.

## 1.5.1 Voicing assimilation

Voicing is the most consistent type of assimilation.<sup>41</sup> When two consonants are adjacent, the first one assimilates in voicing to the second, as in the example given above where the root consonant b of  $b\check{s}$  la 'to boil' is realized as a voiceless [p]. The only consonants that do not cause or suffer assimilation are the laryngeals P/, In/, the pharyngeal approximant In/ and the 'sonorants', that is, the nasals In/ and In/, the liquids In/ and In/ and the semivowels In/ and In/ as well as any emphatic counterparts of these. Examples: In/ 'it ripens' (A) (not In/ and In/ (not In/ and In/) (not In/ and In/ and

The following are some examples of voicing assimilation:

```
xz 'ya [ġz 'ya] 'to see' (A)

'maðta ['maθta] 'baptism' (A)

sedta [setta] 'closed (fs.)' (A)

kyaqði [kyaσði] 'they burn' (A)

xūred + xeθna [xūretxèθna] 'friends of the groom' (B)

'rūted + hešša ['rūtethèšša] 'Good Friday' (lit. 'Friday of Sadness') (B:25)
```

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Voicing assimilation is common in NENA dialects; it is attested for example in Mangesh (Sara 1974: 35, 41) and Aradhin (1982: 14). In some dialects it is not consistently applied; see for example Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 28-9).

```
y'yet gòrε-wet-u [''yedgòrεwetu] 'you are a man' (A:208)
gy 'nux bassèmta [gy 'nuġbassèmta] 'thank you' (A)
```

## 1.5.2 Nasal assimilation

Nasal assimilation occurs when a nasal (/m/ or /n/) is preceded by /b/ or /d/. There are therefore four possible combinations: b-m, b-n, d-m and d-n. Before /m/, /b/ is almost always nasalized:

```
mmáḥkex (< b- + maḥkex) 'we'll speak' (B:38)

mmaḥkóye (< b- + maḥkoye) 'talking' (A:21)

[m]-madrassa (< b- + madrassa) 'at school' (A)
```

The verbal prefix //b-// is also often nasalized before /n/:

$$mn$$
 'peq (<  $b$ - +  $n$  'peq) 'he'll go out' (A)  
 $mn$  'pel (<  $b$ - +  $n$  'pel) he'll fall' (A)

/b/ is not usually nasalized before /n/ in other contexts:

```
bn \dot{a} (not mn \dot{a}) 'to build' (A)

bn \dot{\theta} (not mn \dot{\theta}) 'girls' (B)

b-n\dot{e} (not m-n\bar{e} (not m) 'in the river' (A)
```

Nasalization occurs sporadically with /d/ before /n/:

```
kún-naqla (< kud- + naqla) 'every time' (A)
n-nobèlla (< d- + nobella) 'that he may lead it' (A)
```

It is also attested with d-m:

kud [kun] máḥkɛw lan 'when they spoke to us' (A:48)

Nasal assimilation is not attested in the opposite direction; that is, nasality is not lost before a non-nasal consonant. For instance, the /m/ in m-b ra 'from the side' (A) is not realized as [b] and the /n/ in sanda 'pot' (A) is not realized as [d].

### 1.5.3 Assimilation to a fricative

Occasionally a stop assimilates to a following fricative. This occurs when the two are found at the same or nearest point of articulation. The types attested are as follows:

```
(i) k to x
là-xxašxen (<kxašxen) 'I am not suited' (A:215)
ma de-xxášwex (<kxašwex) 'What we think' (A:150)</li>
(ii) g to ġ
mán ġġ `leb (<gġ 'leb) 'whoever wins' (A)</li>
(iii) p to f
ffaṭri (<pfaṭri) 'they'll fly' (A)</li>
(iv) t to θ
θ-θēle' (<t-θēle) 'who came' (B:5)</li>
```

### 1.5.4 Assimilation of l// to l/r/ and l/d/

The preposition /l/ regularly assimilates to a following rhotic:

```
r-ràqqa 'on to thin-bread' (D)
r-rēšèy 'on top of them' (A:68)
r-rāze xarāya 'to the Last Mass' (A:120)
```

Similar to this is the assimilation to /d/ of wel 'until':

```
wedd' (<wel d') 'until now' (B:4)
wél [wéd] de-ġzèdlɛ 'Until they harvested' (A:141)</pre>
```

### 1.5.5 Assimilation of the place of articulation

Occasionally a consonant assimilates to the place of articulation of the following consonant. This form of assimilation only occurs in certain cases. One case is /n/ before the voiceless bilabial /p/ (/n/ before /b/ is not attested). This occurs with forms of the verbs npl 'to fall' and npq 'to go out':

```
[m]pélta 'fallen (f.)' (C:1) (compare bedn 'pel 'he will fall' (A))

ma[m]pòle 'bringing down' (D) (compare manepla 'she'll bring down' (A))

[m]péqle 'he left' (D:5) (compare mn 'peq 'he'll leave' (A))
```

It also occurs over word boundaries:

```
kében [kébem] paθèxti 'I want my piece of bread' (A:166)
```

This type of assimilation also occurs to the //k-// verbal prefix before /q/:

$$l\acute{a}$$
- $qq$   $leb$  (<  $l\acute{a}$ - $k$ - +  $q$   $leb$ ) 'it (m.) does not turn' (D)

Assimilation of the place of articulation also occurs in combination with other kinds of assimilation (cf. §1.5.7).

#### 1.5.6 Emphatic spread

Emphatic spread is strictly speaking the spread of emphasis from a primary emphatic (i.e. one that was historically emphatic) to surrounding consonants. This is found in words such as  $pl^{-}ta$  [ $pl^{-}ta$ ] (Syr. plt) 'to go out'. There is also non-original emphasis, where there was no emphasis historically but where the occurrence or combination of certain consonants has tended to lead to the appearance of emphasis. For example combinations of labials and /r/ frequently become emphatic, e.g. marira [marira] 'bitter' (Syr.  $marr\bar{t}r$ ') and  $bar^{-}ya$  [ $bar^{-}ya$ ] 'outer' (Syr.  $barr^{-}y$ '). Non-original emphatics can trigger emphatic spread just like original emphatics. Non-original emphasis is less predictable than emphatic spread, although some tendencies can be observed.

Not all consonants may be pronounced with emphasis. The emphatic phonemes are t, d,  $\delta$ , s, z, r,  $\delta$  and p. In addition there are emphatic sounds that occur as allophones: [m], [l], [p], [n], [n], and [n]. Of these the first two have uncertain phonemic status.

The patterns of emphatic spread that are found in ANA are described below. In the examples the primary (historic) emphatics are marked in bold.

(i) Emphasis consistently spreads to an immediately adjacent consonant if that consonant may be emphatic. This assimilation occurs both backwards and forwards:

Backwards assimilation	Forwards assimilation
$[m] \mathbf{t}$ ya 'to ripen' (A)	xamuṣṭa (*xamūṣ-Та) 'sour (f.)' (A)
[b]etṣafnen 'I will be pensive' (A)	bes[l]a 'onion' (A)
pxa[l]setta 'you'll finish it (f.)' (A)	met[r]a 'rain' (A)

(ii) Emphasis also spreads to neighbouring consonants when they are separated by a vowel. Backwards spread is the type most consistently found, but forwards spread is also common. Emphasis may only spread over one syllable or it may spread further, e.g.  $[m] \dot{a}[pl] e t[l] e$  'take (sg.) it (m.) out!'

Backwards assimilation	Forwards assimilation
$[\underline{m}]$ á $\mathbf{\bar{s}}\bar{e}\theta u$ 'listen (pl.)!' (A)	pqaţèṭṭe 'you will cut it (m.) off' (A:207)42
[pal]tiwa 'came out' (A:65)	qta[!!]e 'kill him!' (A)
[pl]ètta 'gone out (f.)' (A:128)	$s\delta[m]a$ (B)
[b]eṣla 'onion' (A)	$te[m]\dot{\epsilon}y$ 'their taste' (B)
[ <i>m</i> ] <i>eţra</i> 'rain' (A)	ţūṛa (≁ ţūra) 'mountain' (A)
[n] tora 'guard' (A)	
k[m] te 'he reaches' (A)	
k[f.] ter 'he breakfasts'(A)	
[l] '- <b>t</b> we 'not good (pl.)' (B)	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> But compare betxalşette 'you (m.) will finish it (m.)' (A) and pxalşetta 'you'll (m.) finish it (f.)' (A).

The distribution of non-original emphasis is less predictable. In most cases, there is a rhotic present, but there are many words with rhotics that are not emphatic. In some cases there was historically an // that has been lost (cf. §1.3.7).

```
[bedṛa] 'threshing floor' (A)
[peṣṛa] 'meat' (A)
[ʔaḍṛa] 'land' (A)
[xamṛa] 'wine' (A)
[qoṛa] 'grave' (A)
[r' ba] 'big' (A)
[šaṛba] 'water-cooling pot' (A)
[tl θa] 'three (m.)' (A)
[tellaḍ] 'three (f.)' (A)
[ṣloni] 'swallow' (A)
[ʔamṛa] 'wool' (A) (Syr. 'amr')
[tara] 'door' (A) (Syr. tar')
```

# 1.5.7 Combinations of assimilatory processes

Where more than one assimilatory force is active, they will have a combined effect on the consonant. The morpheme  $/\!/d/\!/$ , whether in its genitival (§10.2.1), relativizing (§10.4.1) or complementizing (§10.4.3) functions, assimilates consistently in voicing and emphasis to a following consonant, according to the rules outlined above, e.g. l-qemmet-t $\dot{u}$ ra (<t-qemmet-t $\dot{u}$ ra) 'summit of the mountain' (C:1). Additionally it may assimilate fully to a following sibilant (alveolar/post-alveolar fricative) or dental fricative. Such complete assimilation is optional but may occur in all the various functions of the morpheme:

```
/s/ maqlobes-sékθa 'Turning of the nail' (a game) (A)
/š/ rēšeš-š 'ta 'New Year' (lit. 'Head of the Year') (A)
čakkeš-šaðāya 'instrument for teasing cotton' (A)
š-š 'tex 'that we may drink' (A:192)
/z/ méšḥez-zèθe-u 'olive-oil' (A:101)
z-z 'la 'that she may go' (A:122)
```

```
/ṣ/ risáqteş-ṣalóye 'rosary beads' (lit. 'beads of praying') (A:147)

/\theta/\theta-\thetaele (< t-\thetaele) 'who came' (B:5)
```

There are no examples for  $\partial / \text{or} / \text{i} / \text{because they are not attested word-initially.}$ 

A similar type of assimilation is found with the particle *beš* 'more'. This may assimilate fully to /s/ and /z/ (other cases are not attested).

```
b\acute{e}z-zora (\nsim b\acute{e}[\check{z}]-zora) 'younger' (A)
b\acute{e}s-s 'wa (\nsim b\acute{e}\check{s}-s 'wa) 'older' (A)
```

Assimilation to z is also attested with  $\theta$  'this', lappe 'no longer' and  $\theta$  'when':

```
^{2}az-zahme 'this trouble' (A)
l^{'}pe[z]^{43} zonet 'You can no longer buy (G)
ku[z] zaw le 'When he went' (G)
```

Completely assimilated consonants are sometimes lost entirely. This occurs frequently with the //b-// verbal prefixes (§6.8.3, §6.8.9) before labials, e.g. manepla ( $\sim mmanepla$ ) 'she'll bring down' (A). When //b// precedes the sequence /mC/ in Class II verbs, it is always elided, e.g. w'ole mzab'one 'he is buying' (A). When it precedes other labials which are in a consonant cluster, i.e. /bC/, /pC/ or /fC/, it may either be realized as /be-/ or elided:

```
k'we bn'ya 'he is counting' (A); kpéši bebn'ya 'they start counting' (A)
péšle pl'xa (A) ≁ péšle bepl'xa (A) 'he began working'
péšle [p]x'ya (A:175) ≁ péšle be[p]x'ya (A:157) 'he began to cry'
péšle fy'ra (A:160) ≁ péšle befy'ra (A:168) 'he is flying'
```

Likewise //d//, in contexts where it may be fully assimilated, is sometimes elided altogether. For instance,  $r\bar{e}\check{s}e\check{s}-\check{s}\dot{t}a$  'New Year' (A) may be pronounced as  $[r\bar{e}\check{s}e\check{s}\dot{t}a]$ ,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> The pronunciation heard. But A rejects l pes or lapes, only accepting lappes.

kében š-šaqlen 'I want to take' (A:209) as [kebenšaqlen], qémen zz 'li 'Let me rise to go' (A) as [qémenz 'li] and kében z-z 'li 'I want to go' (A:199) as [kébenz 'li].

# 1.6 Questions of transcription

# 1.6.1 Showing assimilation

Assimilation blurs the distinctions of what is and is not phonemic. In a phonemic transcription this creates a problem. When assimilation takes place, do we represent the assimilated consonant more phonetically or as an *archiphoneme*, leaving the reader to apply the assimilation rules? In the first method we would write [ $r\bar{e}\check{s}e\check{s}\check{s}'ta$ ] 'New Year' as  $r\bar{e}\check{s}e\check{s}-\check{s}'ta$ , in the second,  $r\bar{e}\check{s}ed-\check{s}'ta$  (or  $r\bar{e}\check{s}eD-\check{s}'ta$ ). In fact there are various factors that must be considered and to apply one method to the exclusion of the other would create more problems than it solves. The problem is therefore where to draw the line. From a linguistic point of view it is mostly arbitrary, so the main issue is what is most suitable for the function the transcription serves.

The purpose of the transcription here is to provide a faithful and efficient representation of speech. A transcription that hides assimilation reveals the underlying morphology more clearly but is more removed from actual utterance; for instance the suffix -ed might represent any one of the following: [ed], [et], [et], [es], [es], [es], [es], if not others. To write -ed would help the reader, by acknowledging that these are all only surface manifestations of the same morpheme. But this help is not required for a hearer to understand, so there is no reason why it should be necessary for a reader. Furthermore a more phonetic transcription makes certain phonetic processes such as elision more easy to understand. For instance, if we write the verbal prefix //b// as m before another /m/, e.g. mmanepla 'she'll bring down', we can see why this prefix is so easily elided (i.e. manepla). Likewise if we can see that //d// is often fully assimilated to a following /š/, as in rešeš-š'ta, its elision in other cases (i.e. [rēšeš'ta]) is more understandable than if we wrote it as rēšed-š'ta in all cases.

An issue that is particularly relevant to the transcription of speech is variation. Natural speech tends to show more variation than literary or standard languages. Where there is a great deal of variation, a more morphological transcription can simplify and make the meaning clearer to the eye, but is less helpful in representing the true condition of a natural spoken language. The reader is also unable to ascertain the relative commonness of the variants which might suggest whether or not a change is in process.

Because of these factors, the transcription used here to represent ANA tends to show assimilation. The exceptions to this principle are as follows:

## (i) Non-phonemes

Assimilation that results in consonants without phonemic status, such as [n, l], is not shown. This also applies to the marginal phonemes  $(d, \xi, p)$  and uncertain phonemes (m, l). So, for example, qta[ll]e 'kill him!' (A) is written as qtalle, though pqate[tt]e 'you will cut it (m.) off' (A:207) is written as pqatete.

### (ii) Root consonants

In derived forms, for instance all verbal forms and feminines of nouns, root consonants are shown without assimilation. This is only done when cognate forms without the assimilation can show what the basic phoneme is. For instance  $kale[p]\theta a$  'bitch' is written as  $kaleb\theta a$  because the plural is  $kale[b]y \cdot \theta a$  but  $qale[p]\theta a$  'flake of dandruff' is written as  $qalep\theta a$  because it is a derivative of qalpa 'peel'. Likewise  $[p]x \cdot ya$  'to weep' is written as  $bx \cdot ya$  because the underlying consonant is revealed as b in forms such as b a b a b a b b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a a b a b a b a a b a a b a a b a a b a a b a a b a a b a a b a a a b a

```
[\dot{g}]z'ya 'to see', written as xz'ya (compare kx'ze 'he sees')

[k]x'ka 'to laugh', written as gx'ka (compare gg'xek 'he laughs')

[m]pelle 'he fell', written as npelle (compare bedn'pel 'he will fall')

'ma[\theta]ta 'baptism', written as 'ma\delta ta (compare 'm' \delta a 'to baptize')

ge[t] \check{s}a 'accident', written as ged\check{s}a (cognate with gde\check{s}le 'it happened')

\check{s}a[p]\theta a 'week', written as \check{s}ab\theta a (pl. \check{s}ab'\theta a)

ra[p]\theta a 'big (fs.)', written as rab\theta a (ms. r'ba)
```

Assimilation of the root is however marked where it is a type of assimilation that is restricted in its application, such as the assimilation of a rhotic to /t/ in the feminine adjectives *qaretta* 'cold' (m. *qarira*) and *maretta* 'bitter' (m. *marira*  $\nsim$  *marira*). This is because this assimilation is not universal (compare 'aterta' 'rich (f.)' and xerta 'other (f.)') and hence the phonetic realization would not be predictable from transcription as 'qarerta' and 'marerta'. 44

Assimilation is also marked where there are no contemporary cognate forms, such as in  $p\theta olta$  'virgin', ultimately from  $b\theta olta$  (compare Syr.  $b^{2}t\bar{u}lt$ '). Assimilation is likewise marked where cognate forms are not related in a regular way, such as  $g\bar{\partial}a$ - 'one (f.)' (Syr.  $h\underline{d}$ ') and xa- 'one (m.)' (Syr.  $h\underline{a}\underline{d}$ ). In some cases a historical assimilation has become the basic form and has spread to all forms based on the root. This has happened in the case of the verbal roots txr 'to remember' (Syr. dkr) and gzd (Syr. hsd) 'to reap' and partially in the case of  $\check{c}hy$  ( $\not\sim jhy$ ) 'to become tired'. Another example is gupta 'cheese'. This is cognate with Syr.  $g\underline{b}ett$   $\not\sim g\underline{b}ent$ , so the p/ is clearly in origin a p/ that has been devoiced, but it has been reanalysed, as shown by the plural form gupy p/ p.

b-madrassa [mmadrassa] 'at school'm-madrassa [mmadrassa] 'from school'

<sup>44</sup> Dissimilation of the two rhotics may also be playing a role here, but as there are no other examples or counterexamples from which to derive a rule, it is best to treat these as exceptions.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Excepting the phonemes that are marginal such as /d/.

The same aid is not necessary for the b-infinitive construction (e.g. mmaploxe 'using'), as the preposition m- rarely occurs before an infinitive and would in any case be distinguished by the hyphen (m-maploxe 'from using').

## (iii) Emphatic spread

Emphatic spread, other than to grammatical morphemes, is not indicated in order to minimize the number of diacritics marks. Only the primary emphatic is written. Thus, for instance, [besla] 'onion' (Syr. besl') is written as besla. The spread of the emphasis is not entirely predictable, not least because of the degree of variation that is found. Nevertheless the distribution of secondary emphasis is not phonemic and so there is no vital need to represent it.

Emphatic spread in verbal roots is shown when the consonants are fully phonemic and the emphasis is found in all forms of the verb, e.g. ntr 'to guard'  $(n \cdot t \hat{e} rux$  'may he protect you' (A),  $nt\hat{e}w$ ' re 'he guarded' (A), natora 'guard' (A) etc.).

Where there is non-original emphasis, in most cases there is a rhotic present. To keep diacritic marks to a minimum, it is therefore most efficient to mark the rhotic as emphatic and let the reader apply the rules of emphatic spread. Thus [bedra] 'threshing floor' is written as bedra and [xamra] 'wine' as xamra. There are a few exceptional cases which must be considered. Rhotics are also not present in [tl] ' $\theta a$ ] and [ $tella\theta$ ] 'three' (m. and f. respectively), but as t is a full phoneme and not t, we will only mark the t as emphatic. Likewise for [tl] 'swallow' (Syr. tl), to be written as tl0. We also find tl1 being realized as an emphatic in the vicinity of t2 but as emphatic t3 is not a phoneme, this will not be marked.

### (iv) Sandhi

Assimilation over word boundaries (sandhi) will *not* be shown. Thus  $\ref{ye}[d]$   $\ref{gore-wet-u}$  'You are a (real) man' (A:208) will be written as  $\ref{yet}$   $\ref{gore-wet-u}$ , ku[z] z'aw  $\ref{le}$  'When he went' (G) as  $\ref{kud}$  z'aw  $\ref{le}$  and  $\ref{kebe}[m]$   $\ref{pa}\theta\`exti$  'I want my piece of bread' (A:166) as  $\ref{keben}$   $\ref{keben}$   $\ref{pa}\theta\`exti$ . Where a morpheme is dependent (cannot stand alone), it is not considered as a separate word for this purpose, e.g.  $\ref{be}z$ - $\ref{be}$ 

 $(<^{3}a\theta + baxta)$  'this woman',  $k\dot{u}t$ - $\dot{s}ab\theta a$  ( $< kud + \dot{s}ab\theta a$ ) 'every week'. But where the morpheme can also stand alone, it will be treated as a separate word, e.g. ' $e\dot{s}\dot{s}\acute{e}t$ - $b\bar{e}deky\bar{a}\theta a$  [' $e\dot{s}\dot{s}\acute{e}d$ - $b\bar{e}deky\bar{a}\theta a$ ] 'six female sparrows'.

## 1.6.2 Showing elision

Elisions that take place within a word or a word group (indicated by a hyphen) will be shown, e.g.  $d\bar{a}wa$  ( $<da^2wa$ ) 'wedding party', b-alqu $\check{s}$  (<b- +  $^2alqu\check{s}$ ) 'in Alqosh' and  $hil\bar{a}ned$ -arm $\acute{o}ta$  ( $<hil\bar{a}ned$ - +  $^2armota$ ) 'pomegranate tree'.

Elision of P/ over word or word-group boundaries will not be shown. This covers initial and final P/. Thus  $[x\vec{a}px\vec{a}bemx\vec{a}ya]$  'throwing one by one' (A) is written as  $x\vec{a}'$  p- $x\vec{a}'$   $bemx\vec{a}ya$ ,  $[detr\vec{e}l\grave{e}\theta\bar{u}]$  'the second there was nothing' (A:137) as de- $tr\vec{e}'$   $l\grave{e}\theta$ -u and  $[k\grave{e}pe\theta w\bar{a}l\epsilon]$  'they had stones' (A) as  $k\grave{e}pe$   $^{2}e\theta w\bar{a}l\epsilon$ .

## 1.7 Historical development

Some issues of historical development have been covered in the relevant sections, for instance the shift from h to x. The development of the  $be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at$  consonants has also been briefly covered in §1.2 but will be dealt with in more detail below.

### 1.7.1 Development of the *be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at* consonants

As described above, the  $be\bar{g}a\underline{d}ke\bar{p}a\underline{t}$  consonants are those consonants of earlier Aramaic that had both stop and fricative allophones. These consonants were /b/, /g/, /d/, /k/, /p/ and /t/. In post-vocalic position, these consonants were realized as a fricative, except when geminated. In all other cases a plosive was found.

For all these consonants except for \*/p/ the two-way distinction has been preserved in ANA. In two cases the fricative allophone has undergone further development. The bilabial fricative  $\underline{b}$  has become a labio-velar approximant<sup>47</sup> and the voiced velar fricative  $\bar{g}$  has become a glottal stop:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> There were a few exceptions to these rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> This development probably occurred quite early. According to Nöldeke (1904: §27) 'The East-Syrians have, from remote times, pronounced ≠ quite like •;'.

Stop	Fricative
$b \rightarrow b$	$\underline{b} \rightarrow w$
$g \rightarrow g$	$\bar{g} \rightarrow $
$d \rightarrow d$	$\underline{d} \to \eth$
$k \rightarrow k$	$\underline{k} \longrightarrow x$
$p \rightarrow p$	$\bar{p} \rightarrow p$

The different realizations have in ANA become phonemicized, i.e. they are now no longer in complementary distribution. This change in distribution was the result of several phonetic developments in the language, listed below:

## 1.7.1.1 Consonantal change and gemination loss

In some cases consonant elision (described in §1.7.3) has left a soft consonant in word-initial (i.e. not post-vocalic) position, e.g. \*\*\* $^{2}bid$ -le > weðle 'he made'. In other cases a consonant that was realized as a stop because it was geminated has lost the gemination and yet is still a stop (cf. §1.7.2), e.g. \* $^{4}dukk^{2}t\bar{a} > duk\theta a$  'place'.

In some cases the monophthongization of  $*e\underline{b}$ ,  $*a\underline{b}$  and  $*o\underline{b}$  to  $/\overline{u}/$  and /o/ has left the //-Ta// suffix in post-vocalic position:

\*
$$qarri\underline{b}t\bar{a} \rightarrow *qare\underline{b}ta \rightarrow *qarewta \rightarrow qar\bar{u}ta$$
 'near (f.)'

Elision of P/ has also sometimes left //-Ta// in post-vocalic position:

## Earlier stage ANA

\*swe' $ta \rightarrow sw\bar{e}ta$  'satisfied (fs.)'

#### 1.7.1.2 Dissimilation in nouns

There are sporadic cases of an original \*\darklet d\ or \*\textit{t}\ being realized as a stop in the neighbourhood of another dental fricative, e.g. \*\sigmaida\tau data > \sigmaida\tau data \text{or idata} a\ \text{or hands'}\ and \*\text{bi-sadita}^{48} > \sigmapsilon \text{spadita} a\ \text{or cushion'}\text{. The same process can be seen in the dialect of Qaraqosh.}^{49}

## 1.7.1.3 Analogy in verbal roots

In verbal forms the allophonic distribution of  $be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}a\underline{t}$  consonants has broken down under the influence of analogy. For any given verbal root the realizations of the radicals, whether stop or fricative, have been fixed for all forms within a single class. Thus we have the  $/\partial/$  (<\* $\underline{d}$ ) of  $yq\partial$  'to burn' occurring in both post-vocalic and post-consonantal positions e.g.  $ky\bar{a}qe\partial$  'he burns' and  $kyaq\partial i$  'they burn'.

## 1.7.2 Loss of gemination

Another phonetic development that has occurred in many words of Aramaic origin is loss of original gemination. The effect of this varies according to the context.

### 1.7.2.1 In stressed syllables

In stressed syllables, after a or u, gemination has been lost and the vowel lengthened in compensation. This has occurred in words that were of the form  $*CaCC\bar{a}$  or  $*CuCC\bar{a}$ :

Syr.	ANA	
qaššā	$qar{a}\check{s}a$	'priest'
rabbā	ŗāba	'big'
mayyā	māye	'water'
dukkā	$dar{u}ka$	'place'
guddā	gūda	'wall'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Cf. §7.9.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 36-7).

It also occurred with the Pael present base:

Syr. ANA

*mzabbēn mzāben* 'let him sell'

Gemination was retained in *šmayya* 'sky, heaven' (Syr. *šmayyā*) and *ṛabban* 'monk' (Syr. *rabban* 'our master') perhaps because words used in the church are often preserved in an archaic form.

Gemination was not lost when preceded by an  $/e/(CeCC\bar{a})$ . The gemination was preserved and the vowel left short:

Syr. ANA
'emmā yemma 'mother'

lebbā lebba 'heart'

Gemination is also preserved where it derives from the merging of L-set suffixes to a preceding consonant:

 $kpa\theta xan + le \quad kpa\theta xanne$  'I (f.) open it (m.)'  $kpa\theta xat + le \quad kpa\theta xatte$  'you (f.) open it (m.)'

# 1.7.2.2 In pretonic syllables

In pretonic syllables, after /a/ or /u/, gemination has been lost but the vowel has been left short.

Syr.	ANA	
šappīrā	šapira	'beautiful'
xammūṣā	xamūṣa	'sour'
barrāyā	baṛāya	'outer'
$rabbar{u} heta a$	$r$ ab $\bar{u}$ θa	'old-age'
burrā <u>k</u> ā	burāxa	'blessing'

This has also occurred in certain Class II verbal forms: the infinitive, the past base, and the feminine stative participle:

### Earlier stage ANA

```
*mzabbone mzabone 'to sell'

*mzubbenni mzubenni 'I sold'

*mzubbanta mzubanta 'sold (f.)'
```

There are a few exceptions to this rule: *dukkāne* 'places' (sg. *dūka*, Syr. *dukkā*), *bassima* 'nice' (Syr. *bassīmā*), *gabbāra* 'champion' (Syr. *gabbārā*).

### 1.7.2.3 In verba tertiae /r/

In forms of *verba tertiae /r/* or /r/, the rhotic merges with L-set suffixes. Originally this resulted in gemination, as is still found in other dialects, but in ANA the gemination has been lost and any preceding short vowel is lengthened in compensation, e.g. \*twerre > twēre 'he broke'. See §6.3.5 and §6.18.1.1 for details.

### 1.7.3 Elision of consonants

### 1.7.3.1 Loss of //, /h/ and /y/ before a consonant

In nouns the laryngeals have usually been elided after \*/e/ and the vowel lengthened in compensation, e.g.  $d\bar{e}wa$  'gold' (\* $deh\underline{b}a$ ). This process had already occurred in Syriac to //, although the elided consonant was still written, e.g. Syr.  $b\bar{e}(^{2})r\bar{a}$  (2525), cognate with ANA  $b\bar{e}ra$  'well'. In some cases the elided \*// goes back to an earlier \*/-/. See §7.3.1.2(7) for more discussion and examples. After /a/ the laryngeal may be elided or preserved:

 $d\bar{a}wa \nsim da'wa$  (Arab. da'wa) 'wedding party'  $q\bar{a}wa \nsim qahwa$  (Arab. qahwa) 'coffee'  $s\bar{a}dona \nsim sahdona$  (<sahdona) '(the saint) Sahdona'.

<sup>50</sup> A Chaldean dialect that retains gemination is Aradhin, e.g. *gwirre* 'he married' (1982: 127).

In verb forms elision of the laryngeals is sometimes morphologically conditioned. Compare  $\check{s}m\bar{e}li$  ( $<*\check{s}me^{2}-li$ ) 'I heard' and  $be^{2}ya$  'wanted (ms.)', and  $\check{s}mola$  ( $\check{s}mo^{2}+la$ ) 'hear it (f.)!' and  $mto^{2}la$  'having played (ms.)'. Sometimes it is optional, e.g.  $gda^{2}ri \nsim gd\bar{a}ri$  'they return'.

Initial // and /y/ have been lost in certain forms of some *verba primae* // and *verba primae* /y/, in both Classes I and III. See the relevant sections in chapter 6 for details.

### 1.7.3.2 Elision of //, /h/ and /y/ after a consonant

The laryngeals // and /h/ are also frequently elided *after* a consonant. Such forms exist alongside the more archaic forms which preserve the laryngeal, but the elided forms seem to be more common. When elision takes place, ANA preserves the short vowel despite the opening of the syllable.

There are a number of nouns where elision usually occurs, e.g.  $^{2}ar^{2}a \approx ^{2}ara$  ( $<^{*2}ar^{2}a$ ) 'earth' and  $tem^{2}a \approx tema$  ( $<^{*tem^{2}a}$ ) 'taste'. In all cases the P/ derives from an original 'or  $\bar{g}$ . See §7.3.1.3 (11) for more examples.

In verb forms both the laryngeals may be elided following a consonant, sometimes optionally, and sometimes obligatorily:

*kšam*'a ≁ *kšama* 'she hears'

 $zedu (<*zed^{3}u)$  'be afraid (pl.)!'

k²arqi ≁ karqi 'they run'

*čhēle* ≁ *čēle* 'he became tired'

 $k\bar{a}we (<*k- + h\bar{a}we)$  'he is'

However P/i is not elided in forms of  $b^2y$  'to want':

 $b^{2}\bar{a}ya$  'to want'

In *verbae mediae /y/*, the /y/ has been elided in the present and imperative bases, e.g. *masem* (<\**masyem*) 'put (sg.)!'

### **CHAPTER TWO**

# **VOWELS**

### 2.1 *Phoneme inventory*

There are nine vowel phonemes, six of them long and three short. The distinction between long and short is only phonemic in certain environments, as will be discussed below. The phonemes lol, le, and li are usually realised as long but not marked as such in order to minimize the number of diacritics.

# 2.2 Phonology of vowel quality

The following tables contain minimal pairs showing phonemic distinctions, firstly among the long vowels and then among the short vowels. The question of phonemic length is dealt with in §2.3.

### 2.2.1 Long vowels

The following table follows the order given above, pairing each vowel in turn with the remaining vowels.

$i$ - $ar{e}$	²iða	'hand'	'ēða	'festival'
i-ε	xzila	'see (ms.) her!'	xzɛla	'see (fs.) her!'
	pišen	'there remains'	рεšen	'let me (m.) remain'
$i$ - $\bar{a}$	zila	'gone (ms.)'	zāla	'let her go'
i-o	xzila	'see (ms.) her!'	xzola	'see (pl.) her!'
i-	riša	'awake (ms.)'	r`ša	'shoulder'

$ar{e}$ - $arepsilon$	xzēla	'she saw'	xzɛla	'see (fs.) her!'
	wēwa	'he was'	wεwa	'they were'
$\bar{e}$ - $\bar{a}$	wēwa	'he was'	wāwa	she was'
$\bar{e}$ - $o$	xzēla	'she saw'	xzola	'see (pl.) her!'
$ar{e}$ - $$	nēra	'river'	n ra	'fire'
$\varepsilon\text{-}\bar{a}$	wewa	'they were'	wāwa	'she was'
	<sup>2</sup> Ena	'eye'	²āna	'I'
<i>E-0</i>	xzɛla	'see (fs.) her!'	xzola	'see (pl.) her!'
<i>E</i> - ·	ţɛra	'bird'	ţ ra	'mountain'
$\bar{a}$ - $o$	yāma	'sea'	yoma	'day'
	tāma	'there'	toma	'Thomas'
$\bar{a}$ - $$	tāma	'there'	t ma	'garlic'
o- ·	xori	'my friend'	$x^{\cdot}ri$	'my friends'
	toma	'Thomas'	t ma	'garlic'
	málpo-	la 'she is a teacher'	málp l	a 'teach her!'

# 2.2.2 Short vowels

e-a	yerxa	'length'	yarxa	'month'
	wenwa	'I (m.) was'	wanwa	'I (f.) was'
	<sup>2</sup> erba	'sheep'	°arba	'four'
e-u	xerta	'another (f.)'	xurta	(type of tree)
	jelle	'he searched'	julle	'clothes'
	dekkāneḥ	'his shop'	dukkāneḥ	'his places'
а-и	<sup>2</sup> arxa	'guest'	<sup>2</sup> urxa	'road'
	та $h$ к $\epsilon  heta$ а	'speech'	mu $h$ $k$ ε $ heta$ $a$	'spoken (fs.)'
	malapta	'teaching (fs.)'	mulapta	'taught (fs.)'

#### 2.3 Phonology of vowel length

Distinction of vowel length in ANA is phonemic but only in certain environments. In many other cases length is predictable. Most notable is the rule that long vowels, except for /o/,  $/\varepsilon/$  and a few exceptional cases of  $/\bar{a}/$ , do not normally occur in closed syllables. The distribution of short and long vowels is also limited by historical accident. Because of this complexity there are only three minimal pairs available for vowel length, and only for  $a-\bar{a}$ 

$$a$$
- $\bar{a}$   $mara$  'illness'  $m\bar{a}ra$  'master'  $dara$  'step'  $d\bar{a}ra$  'century'  $qata$  'let her cut'  $q\bar{a}ta$  'tomcat'

Nevertheless the other distinctions, /e/-/ē/ and /u/-/⁻/, can also be said to be phonemic. In certain types of open syllables both the long and the short vowels may occur, being restricted only by historical or morphological factors, not phonetic ones. Therefore minimal pairs contrasting length are theoretically possible within the phonological system of ANA. The following are near-minimal pairs showing unconditioned length distinction:

$$e-\bar{e}$$
  $keba$  'she wants'  $k\bar{e}pa$  'stone'  $u-\bar{e}$   $du\check{s}u$  'trample (pl.)!'  $d\check{s}a$  'honey'  $puqa$  'frog'  $\check{s}'qa$  'market'

#### 2.3.1 Distribution of vowel length

As stated, length is not contrastive in every position. The rules for the distribution of long and short vowels are conditional on three factors:

- i. whether the syllable is *non-final* or *final*
- ii. whether the syllable is *open* or *closed*
- iii. where the syllable is in relation to the *stress* (which is usually penultimate)

Although length is non-phonemic in some environments, it will nevertheless be marked in all environments in order that the transcription system may show simple one-to-one correspondances between symbol and sound.<sup>1</sup>

Final syllables behave in a markedly different manner to other syllables. Firstly non-final syllables will be discussed, and then final syllables.

#### 2.3.1.1 Non-final syllables

#### 2.3.1.1.1 Closed syllables (-CvCC-)

In non-final closed syllables only short vowels (/a/, /e/, /u/) are regularly found, with the exception of /o/ and /e/, though those also tend to contract to /a/ (§2.3.2.1). Other long vowels are only rarely found: in the loanword  $r\bar{a}dyu$  'radio' and as a variant of  $/a^2a/$  in  $t\bar{a}lta$  ( $\sim ta^2alta$ ) 'game'.

### 2.3.1.1.2 *Open syllables (-CvC-)*

There is a tendency for open syllables to have long vowels. There is however a significant number of cases where short vowels are found in open syllables, and so short and long vowels must be distinguished.

The precise distribution of long and short vowels is discussed below. The rules for non-final open syllables vary according to their position relative to the stress: (i) tonic or preceding the tonic or (ii) post-tonic:

#### (i) Tonic or preceding syllables may have long or short vowels.

#### (a) *Tonic* (-CvCv-)

The vast majority of these syllables have a long vowel, but a few have a short vowel. All vowels are therefore attested in this position. Short vowels occur in the plural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An alternative would be to leave length unmarked when predictable, for instance write [kθu:] 'write (ms.)!' as  $k\theta u$  because only long vowels are found in final open stressed syllables. Or, given that there is a general tendency towards short vowels in closed syllables and long vowels in open, to mark only those vowels which violate this. This would result in [a] being transcribed as a or  $\check{a}$ , depending on the context, and [a:] being transcribed as a or, rarely,  $\bar{a}$ . Transcription involves a conflict between reflecting the phonemic system in the most faithful manner and creating a system that is easy for the reader to interpret. I have tended towards the latter and preferred one-to-one correspondances.

imperatives of *verba mediae /y/* and */w/*, e.g. *qumu* 'get up!' ( $\sqrt{qym}$ ) and *zunu* 'buy!' ( $\sqrt{zwn}$ ).<sup>2</sup> These short vowels seem to be contractions from original long vowels which are still found in other dialects (e.g. Aradhin *qu:mu* 'stand!', *dwu:qu* 'seize!' and Tkhuma *q'mu* 'stand up!',  $\check{s}(w)oqu$  'leave!').<sup>3</sup> Other short vowels are usually explained by the historical loss of a consonant which has opened a previously closed syllable (cf. §2.5.6.3). A few are found in loanwords, e.g. *tutun* 'tobacco' and *tanaga* 'tin' (cf. §2.5.6.6).

The following examples show cases of all the vowels in this position and near-minimal pairs between short and long vowels of the same or similar quality:

e-i	ţ <b>é</b> ma	'taste'	ţ <b>í</b> ma	'value'
	<b>'é</b> tu	'sit (pl.)!'	<b>'í</b> tu	'sit (sg.)!'
$e$ - $ar{e}$	k <b>é</b> ba	'she wants'	k <b>ế</b> pa	'stone'
е-є	k <b>é</b> ba	'she want'	k <b>é</b> ba	'let her bow'
$a$ - $\bar{a}$	m <b>á</b> ra	'illness'	m <b>ā́</b> ra	'master'
а-ғ	q <b>á</b> ṭa	'let her cut'	<b>q€</b> ṭa	'summer'
<i>u</i> - ·	d <b>ú</b> šu	'trample!'	d <b>ū</b> ̇́ša	'honey'
и-о	p <b>ú</b> qa	'frog'	р <b>о́</b> ха	'air'

#### (b) Pretonic

All long vowels occur in this position. Long  $/\bar{a}/$  is not common in this position except in verbs, as in other forms pretonic shortening occurs (§2.3.2.2.2.i). It is however found as an exception in the active participle form  $C\bar{a}CoCa$  (e.g.  $n\bar{a}tora$  'guard') and loanwords such as  $k\bar{a}lekke$  'crocheted shoes'. Long /'/ is restricted to verbs, again because of pretonic shortening. Of the short vowels, /a/ and /u/ are common but /e/ is rare.

<sup>2</sup> The *initiae /*/ verb <sup>3</sup>mr, 'to say', has the same form in ANA (muru 'say!'), perhaps by analogy with verba mediae /y/ and /w/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. Krotkoff (1982: 29) and Jacobi (1973: 109-110).

Long vowels			Short vowels		
/i/	$b$ - $m{i}dar{a} heta$ a	'in the hands'	/e/	b <b>e</b> dā́mer	'he will say'
/ē/	k <b>ē</b> bā́ye	(a type of dish)		k <b>e</b> bénna	'I want her'
/ε/	b <b>ē</b> rấθa x <b>ε</b> ṭấwa	'wells' 'she used to sew'		mmaš <b>e</b> mā́li	'she'll make me hear'
/ā/	p <b>ā</b> θéxwa n <b>ā</b> ṭóṛa	'he used to open' 'guard'	/a/	p <b>a</b> θéxta	'piece of pitta bread'
	k <b>ā</b> lékke	'crocheted shoes'		k $m{a} hetaar{a}$ wa	'writer'
/0/	<b>'o</b> ðíwa	'they used to make'	/u/	$p \mathbf{u} q \ddot{a} \theta a$	'frogs'
/ /	k <b>ū</b> ðíla	'they make it'		g <b>u</b> dā́ne	'walls'

### (c) Propretonic and pro-propretonic

All three short vowels are found in propretonic and pro-propretonic position, but not all the long vowels. Of the long vowels, only  $/\bar{e}/$ , /i/, /o/ and /e/ are found. Where  $/\bar{a}/$  and /// might be expected from the morphology, their short equivalents /a/ and /u/ are normally found, e.g.  $garaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'roofs' from  $g\bar{a}re$  'roof'. This is because of pretonic vowel shortening which affects any syllables prior to the tonic (cf. §2.3.2.2.2.i). Pretonic vowel shortening does not affect verbs, which is why long vowels can be found in immediately pretonic syllables e.g.  $p\bar{a}\theta\acute{e}xwa$  'he used to open' and  $k\bar{u}\delta\acute{l}la$  'they make it'. But there are no possible cases where the  $/\bar{a}/$  or  $/^{-}/$  of a verb can be pushed into propretonic or pro-propretonic position, as additional suffixes do not change the stress. There are however some cases of propretonic  $/\bar{a}/$ . As mentioned above, active participles, like  $n\bar{a}tora$  'guard', are unaffected by pretonic shortening. When a stressed suffix such as  $-\acute{e}y$  ('their') shifts the initial syllable into propretonic position, one might expect the long  $/\bar{a}/$  still to be preserved (i.e.  $n\bar{a}tor\acute{e}y$ ). In fact a long vowel in that position is only pronounced mid-long and sometimes the realization is in fact short:  $n\bar{a}tor\acute{e}y$  'their guards'.

Long vowels are also occasionally found in this position when pretonic shortening is not applied, but not usually in the speech of Informant A (cf. §2.3.2.2.2.i).

Long vowels			Shor	Short vowels		
/i/	<b>ʾi</b> xalā́ne	'foods'	/a/	g <b>a</b> rawā́θa	'roofs'	
/ē/	š <b>ē</b> rawā́θa	'vigils'		x <b>a</b> weðrā́neḥ	'around him'	
/ε/	$boldsymbol{arepsilon} heta awar{d} heta a$	'houses'	/e/	b <b>e</b> dāmḗra	'he will tell her'	
/0/	x <b>o</b> $r$ a $w$ ā $ heta$ a	'friends'	/u/	g <b>u</b> daní $ heta$ a	'little wall'	

Most, if not all, cases of pro-propretonic syllables involve one of the two stressed suffixes  $-\acute{e}y$  'their' and  $-\acute{o}xun$  'your (pl.)' which have the effect of making any propretonic syllable pro-propretonic:

Long vowels			Short vowels		
/i/	<b>'i</b> xalanéy	'their foods' /a/	g <b>a</b> rawaθέy	'their roofs'	
/ē/	š <b>ē</b> rawaθέy	'their vigils'	x <b>a</b> weðranéy	'around them'	
/ε/	b <b>ε</b> θαwαθέy	'their houses' /e/	b <b>e</b> dāmḗra	'he will tell her'	
/0/	x <b>o</b> rawaθέy	'their friends' /u/	g <b>u</b> daniθέy	'their little wall'	

# (ii) Post-tonic syllables (that are non-final) may have long or short vowels.

Post tonic non-final syllables only occur in a restricted set of circumstances: imperatives and some forms with L-set suffixes. They are also found in stress groups, especially forms with the enclitic copula. If the syllable is open, the length of the vowel depends on morphological factors.

A long /ē/ is found in this position in plural imperatives of Class III *verba mediae* /y/ and /²/, e.g. *másēmu* 'put (pl.)!' and *mádēṛu* 'return (pl., tr.)!' The /ē/ is derived from \*/ey/ or \*/e²/.

Long vowels are also found before L-set suffixes:

/ē/	m-ấw <b>ē</b> li	'what may it (m.) be for me?'
/ε/	máḥk <b>ɛ</b> la	'speak (fs.) it (f.)!'
/ā/	pθéxw <b>ā</b> le	'he had opened'
	m-óy <b>ā</b> li	'what may it (f.) be for me?'
	mék <b>ā</b> li	'from where for me?'
/ /	péθx <b>ū</b> le	'open (pl.) it!'

A short /e/ is found in the following verb-form:

```
/e/ mášem la 'make (pl.) her hear!'
```

This is the plural imperative of a Class III *verbum tertiae* // and is derived from *mášem* ia, the // having been elided.

The word  ${}^{2}\bar{a}l\acute{a}ha$  'God' sometimes takes the stress on its first syllable, perhaps because the pretonic syllable is short:  ${}^{2}\bar{a}laha$ . In such cases the short /a/ is therefore in post-tonic position.

Short vowels are also found in this position in stress groups where the stress is on the first element. Such cases include words attached to the copula:

```
díyax
               'yours (fs.)
                                      díyax-ile
                                                     'it is yours (fs.)'
díyux
               'yours (ms.)
                                      díyux-ile
                                                     'it is yours (ms.)'
bέθeh
               'his house'
                                      bέθeh-ile
                                                     'it is his house'
nísan
               'April'
                                     nísan-ile
                                                     'it is April'
               'from Algosh' →
m-álguš
                                     m-álquš-ile 'he is from Alqosh'
pāyes
               'Autumn'
                                      pāyes-ile 'it is Autumn'
```

The same applies when the object markers -ile, -ila and -ile (§6.18) are suffixed to verbs:

```
kšātótun 'you (pl.) drink' \rightarrow kšātótun-ile 'you (pl.) drink it (m.)' byāwénnux 'I'll give you (m.)' \rightarrow byāwénnux-ile 'I'll give you (m.) it (m.)'
```

Likewise in some other stress groups where stress falls on the first component:

```
xá-ṭara 'a door'
lá-mašēlet 'don't take a long time'
```

## 2.3.1.2 Final syllables

Final syllables naturally cannot precede the stress. Moreover in post-tonic position degree of distance from the stress (post-tonic, post-post-tonic or post-post-post-tonic, e.g.

 $p\theta \acute{e}xw\bar{a}loxun$ ) is not a factor. The only relevant fact therefore is whether the syllable is stressed (tonic) or unstressed.

As in the case of non-final syllables, length is also affected by whether the syllable is opened or closed.

#### 2.3.1.2.1 *Closed syllables (-CvC)*

### (i) Stressed syllables usually have long vowels but may have short vowels.

For most ANA words stress lies on the penultimate syllable. There are however some bior trisyllabic words, mostly of foreign origin, which are stressed on their final syllable, e.g. zangin 'rich'. There are also many words with only one syllable, e.g.  $p\theta \acute{o}x$  'open!'. Unless such a word is a particle (see §2.3.1.2.1.ii), it normally takes stress and can be grouped with final-stress words. Words with final stress are found in many different categories including imperatives, pseudo-verbs, question words, adverbs, numbers, pronouns, adjectives and a few foreign nouns. Unlike other closed syllables final-stressed syllables are almost always, but not exclusively, long. Examples are  $p\theta\acute{o}x$  'open!', ' $il\acute{o}p$  'learn', ' $il\acute{o}p$  'there is',  $q\vec{a}y$  'why?',  $d\vec{e}x$  'how?',  $lt\acute{e}x$  'below',  $x\vec{a}$ ' 'one (m.)',  $x\eth{o}$ ' one (f.)',  $tett\acute{e}$ ' 'two (f.)', ' $il\acute{o}w$  'he',  $d\vec{u}n$  'lowly',  $jaw\vec{a}b$  'answer',  $m\acute{e}s$  'table' and  $d\vec{u}k$  'knitting needles'. Exceptions include  $l\acute{a}$ ' 'no' and the loanword  $s\acute{e}r$  'ice'.

#### (ii) Unstressed syllables have short vowels.

The contrast between the long and short vowels  $e - \bar{e}$ ,  $a - \bar{a}$  and  $u - \bar{c}$  is not phonemic in this position. The short vowel is the unmarked realisation (given, for example, in citation forms), but it may be lengthened in some discourse contexts (§2.3.2.3). Examples include  $b\dot{\epsilon}\theta eh$  'his house',  $^{2}\dot{a}yet$  'you (ms.)',  $h\dot{a}wan$  'mortar',  $^{2}\dot{a}\partial ar$  'March',  $p\theta\dot{\epsilon}xlax$  'you (fs.) opened',  $p\theta\dot{\epsilon}xw\bar{a}lan$  'we opened',  $^{2}\dot{\epsilon}xtun$  'you (pl.)',  $p\theta\dot{\epsilon}xw\bar{a}loxun$  'you (pl.) opened'. Unstressed particles of the form CvC might also be said to belong to this category, e.g. wel 'until', qam 'before' and kud 'when' (§4.2.3).

#### 2.3.1.2.2 *Open syllables (-Cv)*

### (i) Stressed syllables apparently only have long vowels.

There are too few final open syllables that are stressed to formulate firm rules, but only long vowels are attested, e.g. si! 'go (ms.)!', i! (expression of frustration), i! 'yes', si! 'go (fs.)!',  $m\vec{a}$ ? 'what?',  $h\vec{a}$ ! 'hey!', wi 'O!', si! 'go (pl.)!',  $k\theta\vec{u}$  'write (sg.)!' and i! (expression of complaint).

#### (ii) Unstressed syllables have short vowels or /i/, /o/ or /ɛ/ only.

As with closed syllables, the contrast between the sets of long and short vowels  $e - \bar{e}$ ,  $a - \bar{a}$  and  $u - \bar{b}$  is not phonemic in this position. The short vowel is the usual realisation but it may be lengthened in some discourse contexts (§2.3.2.3). Examples include g're' men',  $b\dot{e}\theta a'$  house' and  $ky\bar{a}tu'$  he sits'. The other long vowels li/, lo/ and le/ do however occur in this position, e.g.  $p\theta\dot{e}xli'$  'I opened', layo' 'come! (pl.)' and layo' 'they came'. Unstressed particles of the form layo' might also be said to belong to this category, e.g. layo' for' and layo' in' (§4.2.3).

#### 2.3.2 Allophonic relationships between short and long vowels

As shown above, in certain contexts length distinctions for a- $\bar{a}$ , e- $\bar{e}$  and u- $\dot{}$  are non-phonemic, i.e. only one length is found. In such cases length is conditioned by the environment and long and short vowels may be viewed as being allophones of the same archi-phoneme. These allophonic relationships are clearly seen when synchronic processes are applied which lengthen or shorten a vowel. For instance when the feminine inflection is added to the infinitive  $p\theta \bar{a}xa$  'to open', the syllable is closed and the vowel shortened as a result:  $p\theta \hat{a}xta$  'opening'. Likewise when a suffix is added to  $kx\bar{a}ze$  'he sees', the vowel e becomes stressed and non-final, causing it to lengthen:  $kx\bar{a}ze$  'he sees her'.

The allophonic relationships between short and long vowels are not restricted to those of the same quality (e and  $\bar{e}$ , a and  $\bar{a}$ , u and  $\dot{a}$ ). The other long vowels i, o and  $\varepsilon$  are also in allophonic relationships with short vowels. For instance the feminine of  $p\theta ixa$ 

'open (ms.)' is  $p\theta \acute{e}xta$  and the feminine of  $z\acute{o}ra$  'small' is  $z\acute{a}rta$ . We will also show that in some cases a final short u in an open or closed syllable is cognate with o.

The precise nature of the relationships between the short and long vowels is best described through the processes that exhibit them: *syllable closure*, *lengthening and shortening due to changes in stress position*, and *discourse lengthening*. Finally in  $\S 2.3.2.4$  the special case of final unstressed u and uC will be considered.

### 2.3.2.1 Syllable Closure

There are many cases where the addition of a morphological suffix results in the closure of an open syllable. In such cases, where the vowel was initially long, it is usually shortened. For instance the feminine of the adjective  $r\bar{a}ba$  'big' takes  $-\theta a$  as an ending (in place of -a), creating a closed syllable and short vowel:  $rab\theta a$ . If the long vowel is i, then the contracted vowel is e. For each long vowel, there is a short vowel equivalent.

Two vowels which are sometimes resistant to shortening are o and  $\varepsilon$ . When they do shorten, it is normally to a.<sup>4</sup> Both are in most cases reflexes of original diphthongs, \*aw and \*ay, but o is sometimes original, as in imperative forms such as  $p\theta ox$ ! 'open!' (Syr.  $pt\bar{o}h$ ). Shortening to a occurs to both historical \*/aw/ and historical \*/ $\bar{o}$ /.

There are only two examples of syllable closure for /ē/. One is *telkēpe* 'Telkepe' - *telkepnāya* 'man of Telkepe'. The other is less expected: *meskēna* 'poor (ms.)' - *meskyanta* 'poor (fs.)'.

The relationship between the long vowels and their equivalents in closed syllables is represented in the following table:

Long	ā	i	•	$\bar{e}$	0	$\varepsilon$
Short	a	e	и	(e,ya)	a ≁o	a≁ε

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Contraction of /o/ to /a/ in a closed syllable also occurs regularly in the dialect of Tisqoopa (Rubba 1993: 278; 284). It is also attested in feminine infinitives of Classes II and III in the dialects of Mangesh and Aradhin, e.g. Mangesh *mpaloţii* 'to expel', *mpalaţta* 'a single expulsion' (Sara 1974: 75) and Aradhin *mxawo:re* 'to associate', *mxawarta* 'an association' (Krotkoff 1982: 24-5). It is not clear whether it occurs in other cases in these dialects. Contraction of /o/ to /a/ is also attested in the Central Aramaic dialect of Turoyo (Jastrow 1993: 239).

Below are examples of syllable closure. Most cases involve one of the following suffixes: the feminine suffix (//-Ta//), the plural endings - $w\bar{a}\theta a$  and - $y\bar{a}\theta a$ , or verbal affixes.

```
\bar{a} \rightarrow a \ p\theta \bar{a}xa 'to open (m.)'
                                                     p\theta axta 'opening (fs.)'
          rāba 'big (ms.)'
                                                     rab\theta a 'big (fs.)'
          m\bar{a}\theta a 'village'
                                                     m\mathbf{a}\theta w\bar{a}\theta a 'villages'
          kp\bar{a}\theta ex 'he opens'
                                                     kpa\theta xa 'she opens'
i \rightarrow e \quad yarixa \text{ 'long (ms.)'}
                                                     yarexta 'long (fs.)'
          p\theta ix\bar{a}li 'I opened it (f.)'
                                                     p\theta exli 'I opened'
          i\theta 'there is'
                                                     e\theta wa 'there was'
  \rightarrow u 'am\bar{u}qa 'deep (ms.)'
                                                     'amuqta 'deep (fs.)'
          tara white cucumbers
                                                     tara 'white cucumber'
          rūta 'Friday'
                                                     ruty\bar{a}\theta a 'Fridays'
          sūsa 'horse'
                                                     susta 'mare'
\bar{e} \rightarrow e \ telk\bar{e}pe 'Telkepe'
                                                     telkepnāya 'man of Telkepe'
o \rightarrow a \ smoqa \ \text{'red (ms.)'}
                                                     smaqta ≁ smoqta 'red (fs.)'
          p\theta ox 'open!'
                                                     p\theta axle \nsim p\theta oxle 'open it (m.)!'
\varepsilon \to a \ km\varepsilon\theta e 'he brings'
                                                     kma\theta ya \nsim km\varepsilon\theta ya 'she brings'
          l \varepsilon \theta 'there is not'
                                                     la\theta wa 'there was not'
```

There are three cases where original\*/o/is replaced by /u/:5

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Like \*/'/. There are other dialects where it is the rule for /'/ and /o/ to coalesce in closed non-final syllables. This is the case in Jewish Amadiya (Hoberman 1989: 152-3), where both become /#/ (lower, centralised [u]) and in Hertevin (Jastrow 1988: 13-4), where they become / $\delta$ / ([o]  $\sim$  [v]). In other dialects the distinction is preserved, e.g. Qaraqosh bah ra (ms.), bahurta (fs.) 'bright' and 'amoqa (ms.), 'amoqta (fs.) 'deep' (Khan 2002: 216).

$$o \rightarrow u$$
 'axona 'brother' 'axunwā $\theta a$  'brothers' ' $\varepsilon na$  'spring' + dim. -ona ' $\varepsilon nunta$  'little spring' tesqopa 'Telesqof' tesqupnāya 'of Telesqof'

The following may be another example:

The form 'alqu's is in fact more common, but 'alq'o's is found in other dialects and seems to be the older form. See §2.3.2.2.2.ii for more discussion.

### 2.3.2.2 Lengthening and shortening due to changes in stress position or word structure

### 2.3.2.2.1 *Lengthening*

As stated, final unstressed vowels are normally short, except for /i/, /o/ and /ɛ/. If a synchronic process is applied that makes such a vowel either stressed or post-tonic non-final, while keeping the syllable open, the vowel is lengthened.

#### (i) Syllable becomes stressed open:

There are two ways in which this occurs:

#### (a) Through suffixation

When a suffix is added, a final syllable becomes non-final. If the resulting syllable is also open and stressed, a short vowel (e, a, u) is lengthened to  $\bar{e}$ ,  $\bar{a}$  or respectively. The affixes involved are some plural endings (added to words ending in a consonant), pronominal suffixes, and the L-set object suffixes or verbal affix //-wA// (added to a vowel). The following are some examples:

$$e \rightarrow \bar{e} kx\bar{a}ze + -la$$
  $kx\bar{a}z\bar{e}la$  'he sees her'
$$kx\bar{a}ze + -wa$$
  $kx\bar{a}z\bar{e}wa$  'he used to see'
$$a \rightarrow \bar{a} k\bar{a}rwan + -at$$
  $karw\bar{a}nat$  'caravans'
$$s\bar{a}rdab + -e$$
  $sard\bar{a}be$  'cellars'

	ṛább <b>a</b> n + -e	ṛabb <b>ā</b> ne	'monks'
	bán $as + -i$	ban <b>ā</b> ́si	'my fault'
	$b$ ín $\mathbf{a}\theta$ + -an	bin $m{ ilde{a}} heta$ an	'between us'
	$k\acute{a}rw\mathbf{a}n + -an$	karw <b>ā</b> nan	'our caravan'
	$kp \acute{a} \theta x a + le$	$kpa heta x oldsymbol{ec{a}} le$	'she opens it (m.)'
	$kp \dot{a} \theta x a + w a$	kpaθx <b>ā́</b> wa	'she used to open'
$u \rightarrow$	gárg <b>u</b> r + -eḥ	garg <b>ū́</b> reḥ	'his burghul wheat'
	$b$ árq $oldsymbol{u}l$ + - $i$	$barqoldsymbol{ar{u}}$ li	'opposite me'
	$kk\ddot{a}\theta u$ + -le	kkāθ <b>ū</b> le	'he writes it (m.)'
	$kk\bar{a}\theta u + -wa$	kkāθ <b>ū́</b> wa	'he used to write'

### (b) In vocatives

When a person is called, usually in conjunction with the vocative particle wo (§10.5), the name of the person<sup>6</sup> takes the stress on the second syllable, if the word is bisyllabic (§4.2.5.1). The final vowel is accordingly lengthened. Thus a is lengthened to  $\bar{a}$  and e to  $\bar{e}$ . It is interesting to note, however, that u is not lengthened to  $\bar{a}$ , but to a, e.g. a sóta0 old woman', a0 old woman'. The implications of this will be discussed in §2.3.2.4.ii. The following are some examples:

kálb <b>a</b>	'dog'	wó kalb <b>ā</b>	'O Dog!'
máqq <b>a</b> ş	'scissors'	wó maqq <b>ā</b> ṣ!	'O Scissors!'
sotu	'old woman'	wó sot <b>ò</b> !	'O Old Woman!'
šāb <b>u</b>	(a name)	wó šāb <b>ò</b> !	'O Shabu!'
šwāw <b>e</b>	'neighbours'	wó šwāw <b>è</b> !	'O Neighbours!'
mátt <b>e</b>	'Matthew'	wó matt <b>è</b> !	'O Matthew!'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Or a noun used as a name, e.g.  $kalb\hat{a}$  'Dog' (A:228) and other examples in 'The Story of the Two Goats' (pp. 345-349).

Sometimes the /o/ is even diphthongized to /aw/, perhaps in order to make the call louder and clearer when calling across a long distance. There is also the tendency to cut off the sound abruptly with a glottal stop:

šāb <b>u</b>	( <xošā́ba)< th=""><th>wó šāb<b>àw</b>!</th><th>'O Shabu!'</th></xošā́ba)<>	wó šāb <b>àw</b> !	'O Shabu!'
míx <b>u</b>	'Mikey'	wó mix <b>ò</b> '!	'O Mikey!'
ḥann <b>u</b>	'Johnny'	wó ḥann <b>aw</b> '!	'O Johnny!'
ḥánn <b>e</b>	$(<\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	wó ḥann <b>è</b> !	'O Hanne!'
mátt <b>e</b>	'Matthew'	wó matt <b>è</b> !	'O Matthew!'

### (c) In numerals

Numerals between 2 and 10 usually take promoted stress (§4.3.2.2 (3)) and the stressed vowel is often lengthened (§9.1.1), e.g. *xamšā́-x re* 'five friends' (A).

### (ii) Syllable becomes post-tonic non-final open:

In §2.3.2.2.1.i.a it was shown how suffixation may create a stressed open syllable in which a vowel will be lengthened. In some cases a suffix may have a different effect: that of making a final syllable post-tonic non-final, e.g. *máplex* 'use!', *máplexla* 'use it (f.)!' The suffix that has this effect is the L-set, but only in the situations where it does not affect the stress: after //-wA//, after imperatives and in a few other cases. If the resulting syllable is also open, then the vowel will be lengthened:

$e \to \bar{e} \ m - \bar{a} w e + lan$	m-ā́w <b>ē</b> lan	'what (good) may it (m.) be for us?'
$a \to \bar{a} \ p\bar{a}\theta \acute{e}xwa + le$	pāθéxw <b>ā</b> le	'he used to open it (m.)'
$m\acute{\epsilon}ka + -li$	mék <b>ā</b> li	'from where for me?'
$m$ - $\acute{o}ya + li$	m-óy <b>ā</b> li	'what (good) may it (f.) be for me?'
$u \rightarrow \dot{p} \theta x u + -le$	péθx <b>ū</b> le	'open (pl.) it (m.)!'
máxr <b>u</b> + le	máxr <b>ū</b> le	'ruin (sg.) it (m.)!'

This rule does not apply when the affix is the copula or another word as part of a stress group (§4.3.2):

```
diyax-ile, not *diy\bar{a}x-ile 'it (m.) is yours (fs.)' x\dot{a}-tara, not *x\dot{a}-t\bar{a}ra 'a door' 'k\bar{s}at\dot{o}tun-ile, not *k\bar{s}at\dot{o}t\bar{u}n-ile 'you (pl.) drink it (m.)'
```

### 2.3.2.2.2 Shortening

Shortening due to changes in stress position is the reverse of the process described above. There are two types: pretonic shortening and post-tonic shortening:

### (i) Open stressed syllable becomes pretonic (pretonic shortening)

This occurs through the addition to a noun or particle of an affix which promotes the stress. Any long  $/\bar{a}/$  or  $/^{-}/$  in an open syllable that loses the stress (becoming pretonic, propretonic or pro-propretonic) will normally be shortened, e.g.  $y\bar{a}ma$  'sea',  $yam\bar{a}\theta a$  'seas'. The affixes that have this effect must of course be ones that take stress and do not close the previous syllable. They are the 2pl. and 3pl. pronominal suffixes  $-\delta xun$  and  $-\delta y$ , the abstract suffix  $-\dot{\theta}a$  and the plural suffixes:  $-\dot{a}ne$ ,  $-\dot{a}\theta a$  and  $-aw\bar{a}\theta a$ .

$\bar{a} \to a$ 'ix $\bar{a}$ la	'food'	'ix <b>a</b> léy	'their food'
xāŗ <b>ā</b> ́ya	'last'	xaŗ <b>a</b> y ˙θa	'end'
y <b>ā́</b> ma	'sea'	y <b>a</b> m $ ilde{a} heta a$	'seas'
b <b>ā</b> ra	'side'	b <b>a</b> rā́ne	'sides'
³ix <b>ā́</b> la	'food'	'ix <b>a</b> lā́ne	'foods'
daṛm <b>ā</b> ́na	'medicine'	daṛm <b>a</b> nā́ne	'medicines'
g <b>á</b> ra	'roof'	g <b>a</b> rawā́θa	'roofs'
b <b>ā</b> ́ba	'father'	$b {m a} b a w ar{a}  heta a$	'fathers'
ţ <b>ā</b> leḥ	'to him'	ţ <b>a</b> léy	'to them'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Pretonic shortening is also attested in other dialects. Cf. for instance Tkhuma  $t^*r^*$  'mountain', pl.  $t^*$  turāne (Jacobi 1973: 69).

$$t\vec{u}$$
 'job'  $sul\acute{e}y$  'their jobs'  $t\vec{u}$ ra 'mountain'  $tur\bar{a}ne$  'mountains'  $g\vec{u}$ da 'wall'  $gud\bar{a}ne$  'walls'  $s\vec{u}$ sa 'horse'  $susaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'horses'

Pretonic shortening also occurs when stress is promoted for other reasons, as with numbers:

$$tl\vec{a}\theta a$$
 'three'  $tla\theta \dot{a}-k\bar{e}pe$  'three stones'  $tm\vec{a}ne$ ' 'eight'  $tman\bar{e}-m\delta in\bar{a}\theta a$  'eight towns'

Pretonic shortening might be expected in present base verb forms, when an affix pushes the  $/\bar{a}/$  of the base into pretonic position. In fact the length is preserved in such cases:

$p\vec{a}\theta ex$ 'let him open'	p <b>ā</b> θéxwa	'he used to open'
$kp\vec{a}\theta ex$ 'he opens'	kp <b>ā</b> θéxla	'he opens it (f.)'
∂amer 'let him say'	³ <b>ā</b> mérwa	'he used to say'
$kx\vec{a}'z\varepsilon$ 'they see'	kx <b>ā</b> zéla	'they see her'

It is also preserved in cases of vocative stress shift:

Pretonic shortening can therefore be said to be morphologically conditioned. It is also not entirely consistent. Pretonic shortening almost always occurs in the speech of Informant A, but in the speech of B it sometimes does not occur:

$$b\bar{a}ba$$
 'father'  $b\bar{a}baw\dot{a}\theta a$  'fathers' (B:2)   
 $\check{s}\bar{u}la$  'job'  $\check{s}\bar{u}l\dot{a}ne-u$  'jobs' (B:2)

It has not yet been established how consistent pretonic shortening is in the speech of other informants, but it is certainly attested:

'y <b>ā</b> la	'child'	<sup>5</sup> y <b>a</b> léy	'their child' (F)
š <b>ū</b> qa	'market'	p-š <b>u</b> qā́ne	'in the markets' (D)

### (ii) Closed stressed final syllable becomes post-tonic (post-tonic shortening)

Final closed syllables that are stressed are usually long, as mentioned in §2.3.1.2.1.i. Ones that are unstressed are short. If for any reason stress is retracted, making a stressed syllable unstressed, the vowel is shortened. As a synchronic process this is in fact rare. As a historical process it is very common (cf. §2.5.4).

This process occurs synchronically with the imperative of ylp 'to learn': ' $il\acute{o}p$ . While other *verba primae* /y/ take stress on the initial 'i (e.g. 'isuq 'climb!'), this verb is usually stressed on the second syllable. The stress may be retracted however before an object such as ' $\bar{a}\check{o}i$  'this'. In such a case, the vowel is reduced from [o] to a short [u]:

The same process seems to have occurred with the proper noun 'alqu's. The variant 'alq's which is also found in other dialects may be the older form as 'alqu's is more easily derivable from 'alq's through common processes: the historic stress shift to the penultimate and contraction of /o/ to /u/ in a final unstressed syllable.<sup>8</sup>

This process also occurs with the adverb  $d\bar{e}x$  'how'. When it is combined with  $ba\theta er$  'after' in a stress group,  $ba\theta er$  takes the stress and  $d\bar{e}x$  is shortened to dex:  $ba\theta \acute{e}r$ -dex 'afterwards'. If both are stressed, then  $d\bar{e}x$  has its normal length:  $b\acute{a}\theta er$   $d\acute{e}x$ .

Vowel reduction occurs less often in nominals (nouns or adjectives), when forming the second part of a stress group. One case is attested:

```
béš-dun m-qamèθa 'more lowly than before'
```

However there are also two counter-examples:

```
\dot{a}\partial - d^{\dagger}k 'this spindle' \dot{a}\partial - m\bar{e}s 'this table'
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Maclean gives <sup>3</sup>alqôsh as the form found in the Mosul Plain (1901: 13). In Aradhin it is alqoš (Krotkoff 1982: 118). It it not given for Qaraqosh but the gentilic is <sup>3</sup>alqošnaya (Khan 2002: 184).

#### 2.3.2.3 Discourse lengthening

As shown in §2.3.1.2.1.ii and §2.3.1.2.2.ii, length is non-phonemic in unstressed word-final syllables. These may be open, as in  $p\theta exla$  'she opened', or closed, as in  $b\varepsilon\theta ax$  'your (fs.) house'. In these contexts the short vowels normally occur. In open syllables i, o and  $\varepsilon$  also occur. The following are some examples:

Closed syllables		Open syllables		
a	pθéxl <b>a</b> x	'you (fs.) opened'	pθéxl <b>a</b>	'she opened'
e	$kp  ext{d}  heta x  extbf{e} x$	'we open'	pθéxl <b>e</b>	'he opened'
и	$p\theta$ éxl $oldsymbol{u}$ x	'you (ms.) opened'	háyy <b>u</b>	'come (sg.)!'
i	-		pθéxl <b>i</b>	'I opened'
0	-		háyy <b>o</b>	'come (pl.)!'
$\varepsilon$	-		$p heta \acute{e}xlm{arepsilon}$	'they opened'

The 'long vowels' /i/, /o/ and /ɛ/, like all long vowels in unstressed syllables, are also usually pronounced only half-long. Final /ɛ/ even alternates in some forms with final /a/, e.g.  $p\theta exl\epsilon \nsim p\theta exla$  'they opened'. However final /i/ and /o/ always retain the vowel quality which marks them as distinct from the 'short' vowels /e/, /a/ and /u/.

In unmarked contexts, as shown above, vowels in final unstressed syllables are short (or, in the case of /i/, /o/ and /ɛ/, phonetically shorter). In certain discourse contexts, however, such vowels may be lengthened, for instance to link one intonation group to another. This is usually accompanied by non-falling (i.e. rising or level) intonation but can be accompanied by a fall to mid-pitch when marking the topic of a sentence (cf. §4.4). Lengthening combined with rising intonation also often occurs in the final word of a question, e.g. m-ile?, 'What is it', pronounced [ $mil\bar{e}$ ]. Vowels in final unstressed syllables should not therefore be considered as truly phonemically short. Instead a, e, and u are archi-phonemes whose phonetic length is conditioned by discourse factors. It would be more phonemically valid to write them as distinct from phonemic /a/ and / $\bar{a}$ / etc., for instance with a capital letter (e.g. /A/), but this would make the transcription cumbersome. The short realization is the citation form and so that is the one that will be

given in the transcription. Discourse lengthening will not be indicated as the precise realisation is not vital to understanding meaning.

In discourse lengthening final a is realised as  $[\bar{a}]$  and e as  $[\bar{e}]$  or occasionally as [9:]. Final [u] is realised not as  $[\dot{a}]$  but as [a]. The following are some examples of discourse lengthening:

```
'Have you sent?'
/aC/
          mšudērax?
                               = m\check{s}ud\bar{e}r[\bar{a}]x?
          ellah.
                              = ^{\circ}ell[\bar{a}]h
                                                             'about it (f.)' (B:2)
/eC/
          b\varepsilon\theta eh,
                              =b\varepsilon\theta[\bar{e}]h
                                                             'his house,'
          'en 'ēðet, '
                              = 'en '\bar{e}\delta[\bar{e}]t
                                                             'if you (ms.) know,'
          b-alquš<sup>1</sup>
/uC/
                              = b-alq[o]\check{s}
                                                             'in Algosh'
          ²iðēlux,¹
                              = i \partial \bar{e} l[o]x
                                                             'you (ms.) knew,'
          raqiqa,'
/a/
                              = ragiq[\bar{a}]
                                                             'fine'
          ragige,
                              = ragiq[\bar{e}]
                                                             'fine (pl.)'
/e/
          naxpu,
                              = naxp[o]
                                                             'shy'
/u/
          en kāθu,'
                              = 'en k\bar{a}\theta[o]
                                                             'if he writes,'
```

The 'long' vowels /i/, /o/ and /ɛ/ also undergo lengthening but this has no phonological implications.

A similar kind of lengthening, combined with stress, occurs with particles that are normally short and unstressed, such as *wel* 'until' and *kul* 'all of'. When the speaker wishes to emphasize the particle for dramatic effect, the vowel may be greatly lengthened:

```
w[\acute{e}::]l ... de-kxal\acute{s}\acute{l}l\epsilon . 'right until they finish all of them.' (A:75) m-k[\grave{o}::]l-n\ddot{a}\acute{s}ed-m\acute{a}da. 'from all the people of the town.' (A:221)
```

# 2.3.2.4 Notes on the phonology of final unstressed /uC/ and /u/

In final syllables, both open and closed, there is a phonological and historical relationship between /u/ and /o/.

### (i) Closed syllables

In unstressed closed syllables ( $\ 'vC$ ) there is no contrast between the two vowels: only u is found. There is however synchronic and diachronic evidence showing that in some cases u is underlyingly  $\ 'o'$ . One example already mentioned is  $\ ''alqu" (<\ ''alq" )$  'Alqosh', though the earlier form is still found (cf.  $\S 2.3.2.2.2.ii$ ). Also mentioned in 2.3.2.2.2.ii is  $\ ''lup$ , a variant of  $\ ''il\'op$  found when the stress is retracted. With other  $\ ''verba$  primae  $\ 'y'$  ( $\S 6.11.6$ ), such as  $\ ysq$  'to climb', the form with retracted stress,  $\ ''isuq$ , has entirely displaced the  $\ ''iC\'oC$  form, and so it should be viewed as a historical change (cf.  $\S 2.5.4$ ). The same is true of the  $\ ''verba$  primae  $\ ''verba$  Type 2,  $\ ''ixul$  'eat!', which has an identical form, perhaps by analogy with  $\ ''verba$  primae  $\ 'y'$ .

Historically the *verba primae /y/* form can be explained as a result of regular changes from the strong pattern  $C_1C_2\bar{o}C_3$ . Initial /y/ was realised as  ${}^{2}i,{}^{9}$  as in other forms such as  ${}^{2}is\bar{a}qa$  ( ${}^{*}vs\bar{a}qa$ ) 'to climb'. This caused the word to be analysed as having *two* syllables, rather than one:  ${}^{*}vis\acute{o}q$ . As stress is normally penultimate, in most cases the stress shifted back on to the first syllable /ii/. The vowel in the final syllable was accordingly shortened to [u], e.g.  ${}^{2}isuq!$  'climb!' and  ${}^{2}isur!$  'tie!' This change was not applied in every case, however, and final-stress forms still exist for ylp 'to learn',  $y\eth$  'to know' and ypy 'to bake'.

The same shift of stress on to an epenthetic has happened to one strong verb,  $\check{s}ql$  'to take':  $\check{s}qul$  ( $\check{s}qul$ ) ( $\check{s}6.15.6$ ). It is not clear what the motivation was in this case.

Further evidence for this explanation is found in the behaviour of the second syllable when it is closed by an L-set object suffix. The vowel in the second syllable may be replaced by an /a/, e.g. 'ixalla 'eat it (f.)!' (A:227). This is clearly the regular behaviour of the /o/ phoneme, not /u/ (§2.3.2.1).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Except in those verbs where it is elided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> But  $^{2}$ ixulla is also possible, suggesting that the [u] may now also be analysed as  $^{2}$ u/. Cf. also  $^{2}$ ešqulla 'take (sg.) it (f.)!'

### (ii) Open syllables

Final unstressed u in nominals, e.g.  $n\acute{a}xpu$  'shy', is also in a phonological relationship with o. When stress is shifted on to the final syllable, as in vocatives, the u is replaced by o, e.g.  $w\acute{o}$   $š\bar{a}b\grave{o}$ ! 'O Shabu!' (§2.3.2.2.1.i.b).

Further evidence is found in the behavour of the vowel in combination with the copula. When the copula is added, the /i/ of the copula is deleted and the final /u/ lengthened not to  $/^{-}/$  but to /o/. This applies not only to words with the diminutive suffix -u but also to any nouns ending in u, such as the place name  $z\bar{a}xu$  'Zakho' and the loanword  $r\bar{a}dyu$  'radio':

náxpu	'shy (fs.)'	náxpo-la	'she is shy'
málpu	'female teacher'	málpo-la	'she is a teacher'
mapélxu	'female employer'	mapélxo-la	'she is an employer'
m-zā́xu	'from Zakho'	m-zā́xo-le	'It (m.) is from Zakho'
rādyu	'radio'	rā́dyo-la	'it is a radio'

In other dialects these words sometimes have final /o/ in the form without the copula.<sup>11</sup> Taking all these factors into account, it seems that in ANA this final /u/ is derived from historical \*/o/ and the /o/ before the copula is a restoration of the original vowel.

Discourse lengthening also causes lengthening to [o], but this occurs to all final /u/ vowels, even those in verb forms that do not derive from \*/o/.

In Aradhin, for instance, -o is the suffix used on pet names, e.g.  $\delta a:bo$  (=ANA  $\delta \bar{a}bu$ ) (Krotkoff 1982: 115-6). The pronounciation of 'Zakho' is likewise za:xo 'Zakho', as is the case in the Christian dialect of the town itself (Hoberman 1993: 122 and Mole 2000: 78). The word  $r\bar{a}dyu$  is of course a loanword. The forms of this word in possible source languages have final /o/, e.g. Kurmanji radyo and I.A.  $r\bar{a}dyo$ .

#### 2.4 Phonetic realisation

Each vowel phoneme in ANA has a wide range of realizations, depending on a number of factors, including stress, adjacent consonants, position in the word and discourse context.

#### 2.4.1 General issues

Before going on to describe the phonetic realizations of each vowel phoneme, there are some issues which affect vowels generally.

### 2.4.1.1 Phonetic realization of length

Vowel length is relative and in fast speech long vowels may be pronounced as short as short vowels are in slower speech, e.g. *mā-mendi* [*mámendi*] 'whatever' (A:203). Furthermore long vowels in unstressed syllables are normally a little shorter than those in stressed syllables.

#### 2.4.1.2 Elision of /e/

This vowel is very prone to elision in unstressed open syllables following a vowel, most commonly at the end of a word, e.g.  $qt\hat{e}le$   $q\bar{a}leh$   $[qt\hat{e}lq\bar{a}leh]$  'his voice stopped' (A:112). To aid comprehension the vowel is nevertheless written in the transcription. See §3.3.3 for details.

#### 2.4.1.3 Devoicing of final vowels

Before a pause final vowels are often devoiced, as voicing stops in anticipation of the break in speech. Examples: barani. [bɜɾæːni] 'my ram' (A:174) and  $be^{r}naqa$ ; [bəɜ̞Jæːqx̞] 'running' (A).

#### 2.4.1.4 Effect of the consonantal environment on vowel quality

Vowel quality is greatly affected by the consonantal environment, as the tongue and lip position of surrounding consonants affects the positions of the vowels. Emphatic consonants and /q/ tend to cause retraction and lowering as do /x/ and /w/ to a lesser degree, while the palatal /y/ may raise the vowel a little.

Consonants that involve some lip-rounding may cause slight rounding in certain neighbouring vowels. Such consonants include the labials /m/, /b/ and the dental-labial /f/ as well as the post-alveolar fricative /š/ and affricates /č/ and /j/.

Rhotics /r/ and /r/ impose a rhotic colouring (rhoticization) on a preceding vowel, e.g.  $m[\underline{e}:]ra$  'she said' (A) and  $t[\underline{u}:]r\bar{a}ne$  'mountains' (A) (cf. §1.4.2.2.).

#### 2.4.2 Phonetic realization of the vowels

#### 2.4.2.1 /i/

After front consonants or P/I this is usually realized as a high front vowel, e.g. P/I it has' (A:23) and P/I it has' (A:23) and P/I it has' (A:23) and P/I it has' (A). After emphatic consonants or P/I there is a retracted and lowered glide into the vowel, e.g. P/I in a line' (B) and P/I it has 'standing' (A). When stressed the vowel is usually long [i:], e.g. P/I in a line' (B) and P/I it has 'standing' (A). When stressed the vowel is usually long [i:], e.g. P/I if has 'what is he' (A:110), P/I it has 'when' (A:74). In an unstressed syllable it is usually shorter; either short or half-long, e.g. P/I if P/I in the towns' (A:24). Sometimes in unstressed position it is centralised to [I] e.g. P/I in the towns' (A:24). Sometimes in unstressed position it is centralised to [I] e.g. P/I in P/I in hard P/I in hard P/I in hom-final post-tonic position it may be long or shortened, e.g. P/I how it is' (A:117) and P/I in how are you (m.)?' (A). In a final unstressed syllable it is short, e.g. P/I in P/I in hard P

#### $2.4.2.2 / \bar{e}/$

In stressed open position  $/\bar{e}/$  is usually realized as [e:]. In pretonic position, it is usually mid-long, e.g. b[e.]dika 'sparrow' (A) or occasionally as short as /e/, e.g.  $^{\prime}[e]t\bar{a}\theta a$  'churches' (B:9). In unstressed post-tonic non-final positions it is long, e.g  $sw\bar{a}'ed-b\bar{e}'[e:]-l\epsilon$  'painting of eggs' (B:27).

After emphatic consonants and /q/ there is a centralised glide on to  $/\bar{e}/$ , e.g. bed- $maṣ[^{\circ}e:]\theta i$  'they will listen' (A). Before /r/,  $/\bar{e}/$  is rhoticized, e.g. m[e:]ra 'she said' (A:228).

#### 2.4.2.3 /ε/

This vowel is usually long, close to the quality of IPA [ $\varepsilon$ ] but slightly higher, e.g.  ${}^{2}[\varepsilon]$ ] we 'cloud' (A) and b- $b[\varepsilon]$   $\theta a$  (A:116). Following emphatic consonants the on-glide or the whole vowel is more open and back, e.g.  $f[\varphi]$  ra 'bird' (A). In a final open unstressed syllable it is usually realised with the same quality but mid-long or short, unless it undergoes discourse lengthening. The ending  $-\varepsilon$  on a word is usually part of a 3pl. morpheme, e.g.  $kx\bar{a}z\varepsilon$  'they see',  $d\bar{e}x$ - $il\varepsilon$  'how are they?',  $gell\varepsilon$  'they took' and  $gll\varepsilon$  'all of them'. In fast speech the copula  $-il\varepsilon$  and pronominal suffix  $-l\varepsilon$  are frequently reduced to -ila and -la respectively, so that they are identical to the feminine singular form, e.g. gllow gllow

#### 2.4.2.4 /ā/

When stressed, this phoneme is usually pronounced at a height between open and midopen. After most consonants it is realised as a (usually slightly centralized) front vowel [æ:], e.g.  $k[\grave{x}:]lu$  'bride' (A:176),  $bar[\grave{x}:]ni$  'my ram' (A:174) and  $w[\thickapprox:]rina$  (a female name) (A). After emphatics or /q/ it is usually pronounced as a back [ $\land$ :], e.g.  $q[\land:]leh$  'his voice' (A:112),  $t[\land:]leh$  'to him' (A:162) and  $xw[\land:]na$  'low round table' (A:36). After /w/ or /x/ it may be retracted to a central vowel [ $\ix:]$ ], e.g.  $w[\ix:]]\delta a$  'to do' (A) and  $x[\ix:]]la$  'maternal uncle' (A).

In pretonic position  $/\bar{a}/$  is mostly mid-long in duration, e.g.  $pxz[v.]z\acute{e}nnoxu$  'I'll (m.) see you (pl.) (A). It is also shorter before the semi-vowels /y/ and /w/ and the laryngeals // and /h/, e.g. x[x:]yeh 'his life' (A:222),  $k\theta[a.]wa$  'book',  $mar-\dot{g}ira-w[a]wa$  'she was an active woman' (A:116),  $sw[v.]^2a$  'to paint' (A) and sb[x.]ha 'to resemble' (A). In a few cases before /h/ the shortening has become fixed (cf. §2.5.6.4).

A similar contraction occurs in the dialect of Aradhin. There the /a:/ of the Class I infinitive and active participle is regularly shortened to /a/ before //, e.g. šma²a 'to hear'. 12

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Krotkoff (1982:10).

#### 2.4.2.5 /o/

This is at the same height as IPA [o:] but usually further forward, being closer to the rounded highish-schwa [o:], e.g.  $f[\hat{o}:]t$  'pass!' (A:229) and  $s[o:]t[\hat{o}:]$  'Old Woman!' (A:155). In pretonic position or in a closed syllable it is pronounced mid-long or short, e.g. '[o.] $\delta iw\bar{a}$  'they used to make' (A:28), mz[o.]bna 'sold (m.)' (A) and k[o]mta 'black (f.)' (A). After emphatics, f(a), f(a),

#### $2.4.2.6 / \bar{u} /$

This phoneme is pronounced as a long high back vowel [uː], e.g. g[u:]da 'wall' (A). In a pretonic syllable it is usually mid-long, e.g.  $k[u.]\delta ila$  'they make it (f.)' (B).

#### 2.4.2.7 /e/

Before a labial (/b/, /p/, /f/, /m/) /e/ is often rounded, e.g.  $p\acute{e}šl[\phi p]x\grave{a}ya$  'he started crying' (A:175),  $m-k[\dot{\phi}]pne\dot{h}$  'from his hunger' (A:196),  $b[\phi]fy\grave{a}\dot{r}a$  'flying' (A:155) and  $k[\phi]m\bar{a}m\dot{e}\dot{r}an$  'he said to us' (A:109). It is also rounded after the post-alveolar consonants (/č/, /š/ and /j/) and sometimes after /w/, e.g.  $\check{c}[\phi]kle$  'it got stuck' (A:154),  $\check{s}[\phi]kled-\acute{o}-n\bar{a}\check{s}a$  'the appearance of that man' (A:206)  $j[\phi]hya$  'tired' and  ${}^{2}\varepsilon w[\phi]$  'clouds' (A). It appears that labials do not usually cause rounding when they precede /e/ and post-

alveolars do not systematically cause it when they follow /e/, e.g.  $p[\notin] \check{s}le$  'he started' (A:160) and  $b[\notin] jy \hat{a}la$  'searching' (A:140).

Rounding is also caused by the presence of /r/, which has this effect whether it precedes or follows /e/, e.g.  $r[\phi]zza$  'rice' (A) and  $\mathring{p}[\phi]rya-u$  'caught and' (A:76).

When /e/ undergoes discourse lengthening, it has the same realisations as /ē/.

#### 2.4.2.8 /a/

In stressed closed syllables between two front, non-emphatic consonants /a/ is usually articulated at open-mid height, between a (slightly centralized) front vowel [ $\epsilon$ ] as in  $m[\epsilon]sta$  'yoghurt' (A:162) and  $p-p[\epsilon]lga$  'in the middle' (A:36) and a central vowel [ $\mathfrak{I}$ ], e.g.  $h[\mathfrak{I}]lli$  (A:159) and  $l[\mathfrak{I}]\theta wa$  (A:154). Sometimes it is pronounced lower as a (slightly centralized) [ $\mathfrak{I}$ , e.g.  $\mathfrak{I}$ [ $\mathfrak{I}$ ]mra 'let her say' (A). After emphatic consonants or /q/ it is usually backed to [ $\mathfrak{I}$ ], e.g.  $t[\mathfrak{I}]b\bar{a}qe$  'layers' (A) and  $q[\mathfrak{I}]lle$  'storks' (A:141).

When a undergoes discourse lengthening, it has the same realisations as  $\bar{a}$ .

#### 2.4.2.9 / u /

This phoneme is realised as a short high vowel [u], e.g. p[u]qa 'frog' (A) and  $\check{c}[\check{u}]$ -mendi 'nothing' (A:171). Sometimes it is slightly lowered and fronted as [u], e.g. g[u]pted-'érwe 'cheese' (A:57).

When /u/ undergoes discourse lengthening, it has the same realisations as / '/.

### 2.5 Historical development of vowel phonology

The following are some of the processes that are behind the ANA system of vowel phonology.

#### 2.5.1 Contraction of long vowels in syllables that became closed

Long vowels are only very rarely found in closed syllables. The contraction of long vowels in closed syllables is a synchronic process, occurring when an affix causes an open

syllable to become closed (cf. §2.3.2.1). But it may also be viewed historically as a development from earlier Aramaic when long vowels were allowed in closed syllables:

Syr.	ANA	
p <b>ā</b> ṯḥā	$p\mathbf{a}\theta xa$	'let her open'
pθ <b>ī</b> h <b>t</b> ā	pθ <b>e</b> xta	'opened (fs.)'

# 2.5.2 Monophthongization

In ANA original diphthongs have been monophthongized in closed syllables with few exceptions. Thus \*/aw/ is realised as /o/, \*/ay/ as /ɛ/ and \*/ew/ as /'/ or /u/. The new monophthongs /o/ and /'/ (or /u/) are identical to original /o/ and /'/ (or /u/), but /ɛ/ is a new vowel, distinct from /ē/. Monopthongization has occurred in many other NENA dialects, though not all. In some cases the /w/ of the historic diphthong is actually derived ultimately from soft \* $\underline{b}$ . Sometimes there was an intermediate stage where a long vowel was shortened, e.g. \*/ $\overline{a}$ w/ > \*/aw/, and \*/ $\overline{t}$ w/ > \*/ew/.

Syr.	ANA	
b <b>ay</b> ṯā	$b \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}  heta a$	'house'
q <b>āy</b> mīn	$qoldsymbol{arepsilon}mi$	'let them rise'
y <b>aw</b> mā	y <b>o</b> ma	'day'
g <b>a<u>b</u>rā</b>	g <b>o</b> ra	'man'
l <b>ā<u>b</u>šī</b> n	l <b>o</b> ši	'let them dress'
kāṯ <b>ē<u>b</u></b>	$kar{a} heta$ u	'let him write'
$k\underline{t}\overline{t}\underline{b} + l\overline{t}$	$k  heta ar{m{u}} li$	'I wrote'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Of the more closely related dialects, monophthongization is found in Mangesh and Qaraqosh (Sara 1974: 50, Khan 2002: 54). Judging from the texts and examples given, it has also occurred in Telesqof (Rubba 1993: 277-8) and Telkepe (Sabar 1978: 412-3). In all these dialects \*/ay/ has merged with  $/\bar{e}$ /. Further north in Ch. Zakho the diphthongs are preserved (cf. Hoberman 1993: 117; Mole 2000: 16-7). In another northern dialect, Aradhin, \*ay has been monophthongized to a distinct /ɛ/, as in ANA, while \*aw has been preserved (cf. Krotkoff 1982: 8).

Diphthongs may be preserved in Syriac words borrowed into the language or in loanwords from another language:

```
surayt θ a 'Christianity' (Syr. suryāy tā)
'ewṛāya 'Hebrew' (Syr. 'eḇrāyā)
tawle 'backgammon' (Arab.)
```

All cases of  $\epsilon$  derive from the diphthong \*/ay/ but some cases of  $\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon$  are original monophthongs, e.g.  $\epsilon$  or  $\epsilon$ 

# 2.5.3 Origin of /ē/

This vowel in most cases derives from original \*/ey/, \*/e<sup>2</sup>/ or \*/eh/, the vowel having been lengthened to compensate for the loss of the consonant. The shift from \*/e<sup>2</sup>/ to  $/\bar{e}$ / had already occurred in ancient times (cf. §1.7.3.1).

Earner stage	ANA	
*be³rā	bēra	'well'
*šehrā	šēra	'vigil'
*xzey-li	xzēli	'I saw'

#### 2.5.4 Vowel shortening in final unstressed syllables

Vowels in final syllables that were long in Syriac are normally short in ANA. This applies to both open and closed syllables:

Syr.	ANA	
šemšā	šemša	'sun'
pā <u>t</u> ḥā	$pa\theta xa$	'may she open'
<u>ķeţţē</u>	xeṭṭe	'wheat'
xāzē	xāze	'may he see'
pā <u>t</u> ēḥ	$p\bar{a} heta ex$	'may he open'
nīsān	nisan	'April'
۶īl`l	?ilul	'September'

tām z	tāmuz,	'July'
Pišō <sup>c</sup>	<sup>2</sup> išu <sup>c</sup>	'Jesus'
paṭrāws	paṭrus	'Peter'

The noun ending -u probably underwent vowel shortening from \*/o/, which is still found in some other dialects such as Aradhin. As demonstrated in §2.3.2.4.ii, it is probable that /o/ is the earlier form. 14

Aradhin	ANA	
šābo	šābu	(a name)
za:xo	zāxu	'Zakho'
<sup>2</sup> idyo	?edyu	'today'

The questions remains of why /o/ is preserved in hayyo! 'come (pl.)!' and verba tertiae /y/ plural imperatives such as mahko! 'speak (pl.)!' A clue may lie in the cognates of these found in some dialects with final /aw/, e.g. Ch. Zakho hayyaw 'come (pl.)!'. A form maḥkaw is not given but would be expected based on the Class I form xzaw 'see (pl.)!' 15 Maclean (1895) in fact gives, among others, the form hayyāw 'come (pl.)!' (مَرْمُ عَلَى) and, for verba tertiae /y/ Classes I and II, glāw 'reveal (pl.)!' and mṭāšāw 'hide (pl.)!'. 16

If /o/ in these cases is actually derived from original \*/aw/, then this might explain why it has been preserved in ANA and not replaced by /u/, as happened with original \*/0/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> There is not a great deal of data available for other NENA dialects in this matter but enough to see that there is more variation in some other dialects. For instance in Mangesh (Sara 1974) there is zaxu 'Zakho' (p89), 'idyu 'today' (p87) and the pet-names bahhu (p39) and jajju (p41), yet there is also the pet-name katto. The fact remains that ANA has a consistent system.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Hoberman (1993: 119-20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See pages 120, 100 and 102 respectively. In some dialects there is apparently less consistency. The form heyyaw 'come (pl.)!' is found in Tisqoopa, yet for verba tertiae /y/, o is given, e.g. nšoo 'forget (pl.)!', not nšaw (Rubba 1993: 285). Sachau (1895: 52-3) likewise gives hájjau 'come (pl.)!' but dro 'put (pl.)!', but in this case it may be because he was using informants from a variety of places.

Shortening has also occurred where stress has been retracted from a final syllable. This has happened in the cases of the imperatives of  $\check{sql}$  I 'to take' and some verba primae /y/ and P/, where the form has become bisyllabic with initial stress (§2.3.2.4.i):

The same shortening has occurred where a morpheme has been moved into unstressed position:

\*
$$l\bar{a} + p\bar{i}\check{s} \rightarrow l\acute{a}ppe\check{s}$$
 'no longer'  
\* $na + pal\bar{a}\acute{x} \rightarrow n\acute{a}ppalax$  'lazy'  
\* $be\check{s} + t\bar{a}\acute{b} \rightarrow b\acute{e}\check{s} - taw \rightarrow b\acute{e}\check{s} - to$  'better'  
\* $ba\theta er + d\acute{e}\acute{x} \rightarrow ba\theta \acute{e}r - dex$  'afterwards'  
\* $kud + y\acute{o}\acute{m} \rightarrow k\acute{u}d - yum$  'every day'

Some loanwords have also undergone stress retraction and consequent vowel shortening:

Source language	ANA
Arab. nīšán/K.nîšán	níšan 'mark of engagement'
Arab. ḥaywān/K. ḥeywán	ḥśwan 'animal'
Arab. sirdā́b/K. serdáb	sárdab 'ground-floor storage area'

Stress retraction is also responsible for the form of the loan-plural -at, derived from Arabic -at, e.g. karwanat 'caravans'.

### 2.5.5 Contraction of vowels in words that have became particles

There are two cases where a vowel has become contracted in a word that has become a (normally) unstressed particle:

Syr.	ANA	
rēš	reš	'on top of'
$b\bar{a}\theta ar$	ba heta er	'after'

#### 2.5.6 Reasons for the emergence of short vowels in open syllables

In Classical Syriac short vowels were normally only found in closed syllables. In ANA they are also common in open syllables. There are several processes that have brought about this change.

#### 2.5.6.1 Pretonic shortening

As shown above (§2.3.2.2.2), long vowels in nouns and prepositions become short when they are moved into pretonic position. This may also be viewed as a historical change:

#### Earlier stage ANA

\*bārāne barāne 'sides' \*š gāne šugāne 'markets'

#### 2.5.6.2 Loss of gemination in unstressed syllables

In unstressed syllables loss of gemination does not cause compensatory lengthening. Therefore many short vowels in open unstressed syllables are the result of gemination loss.

#### Earlier stage ANA

\**šappīra šapira* 'beautiful' \**mzubbanta mzubanta* 'sold (fs.)'

#### 2.5.6.3 Elision of a consonant

In fluent speech P/ and h/ are usually elided following the sequence vowel-consonant (vC), vCh). The result of this is that a closed syllable becomes open but the short vowel is preserved, e.g. \*' $ar^{3}a > ar^{3}a > ar^{3}a$  (earth'. Discussion and further examples can be found in §1.7.3.2.

Usually the loss of P/ or h/ before a consonant causes compensatory lengthening, as in \*be'ta > bēta 'egg'. But in the infinitives of Class III tertiae P/ or l/y/ verbs such lengthening has not taken place, e.g. \*ma'\theta oye > ma\theta oye 'to bring'. Alternatively the

vowel may have been lengthened in compensation but then shortened under the rule of pretonic shortening.

#### 2.5.6.4 Contraction of /ā/ before /h/ or /<sup>2</sup>/

Before /h/,  $/\bar{a}/$  has a tendency to contract (§2.4.2.4). In some cases the long vowel is no longer found:

Syr.	ANA
gāhā (< P.)	gaha
²alā̈́hā	²ālaha
lā	la'

### 2.5.6.5 Rearrangement of syllabic structure

As will be described in Chapter 3, the syllabic structure of many words has been changed in order to avoid consonant clusters. Thus if we add the feminine suffix //-Ta// to kalba 'dog', we have not  $kalb\theta a$  but  $kal\theta b a$ . The cluster is broken up with the epenthetic vowel e, with the result that the short a/ in is now found in an open syllable. This also appears to be a historic change from original \* $kalb\theta a$ , where the epenthetic was inserted after the second consonant of the cluster. See §3.2.3 for a discussion and more examples.

The formation of stress groups has also produced short vowels in open syllables. See 2.3.1.1.2.ii for examples.

#### 2.5.6.6 Loanwords

Words from other languages are not always adapted to native phonology. The following loans preserve short vowels in contexts where ANA usually avoids them:

```
čalábi 'good-looking'dežméne 'enemies'tútun 'tobacco'sér 'ice'
```

### **CHAPTER THREE**

### SYLLABIC STRUCTURE

#### 3.1 Introduction

There are two systems of syllable structure, one which is word-internal and one which concerns the boundaries of words in speech. As they differ in some particulars, they will be described separately.

#### 3.2 Word-internal structure

### 3.2.1 Syllable types

Syllables may be of the following types:

Cv e.g. si 'go!', go.ra 'man'

*CCv* e.g. *xzi* 'see!', *pli.ma* 'bent'

CvC e.g. mor 'speak!', bax.ta 'woman'

CCvC e.g.  $p\theta ox$  'open!', smaq.ta 'red (fs.)'

In a non-final closed syllable long vowels are not usually allowed, with the exception of /o/ and /ɛ/.¹ With a few exceptions consonant clusters of three or more consonants in succession are also not allowed. The attested exceptions are *xerṭmāne* 'chickpeas', *meskyanta* 'poor woman', the place-name *bendwāya* and the gentilic *sarsenknāya* 'man from Sarsenk'. These are tolerated perhaps because in each case one of the consonants is a sonorant² or semi-vowel which are easier to pronounce in combination with other consonants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. §2.3.1.1.1.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  A sonorant is one of the following: /l/, /r/, /m/, /n/.

#### 3.2.2 Initial clusters

ANA allows initial clusters of two consonants but after a pause they are often realized with an epenthetic vowel of the same quality as /e/. In most cases the epenthetic appears in initial position:

\*p-palga 'in the middle
\*xzēle 'he saw'
\*Oā? 'one'
\*pqāreṭlux 'he'll bite you'
\*šðāya 'to tease'
\*ṛ²āya 'to graze'
\*n²esle 'he bit'

Occasionally the epenthetic occurs between the two consonants:

 $k^e m \bar{a} n \bar{e} l \varepsilon$  'he counts them'  $b^e n \bar{a}^2 e s l u x$  'they'll bite you'  $b^e \cdot a z d i$  'they'll reap'

Sometimes there is no epenthetic, apparently because the combination can be pronounced easily without one. This happens more often when the second consonant is a liquid or fricative but also with other combinations such as /sm/.

plima 'bent'  $tr\bar{e}^{\circ}$ 'two' grosa 'big' brona 'son' 'he opened'  $p\theta exle$  $k\theta \varepsilon \theta a$ 'hen' *bšāla* 'to boil' mz.obna 'sold' smoqe 'red'

## 3.2.3 Rearrangement of syllabic structure in synchronic and diachronic perspective

Consonant clusters of more than two adjacent consonants are not usually allowed in ANA. Where historically one was found, an epenthetic vowel (always [e]) is added in order to avoid it. For instance the feminine of kalba 'dog' is  $kaleb\theta a$  'bitch'. The underlying structure is  $*kalb\theta a$  so theoretically an epenthetic could be inserted either after the second consonant ( $*kalbe\theta a$ ) or after the first ( $kaleb\theta a$ ) to break up the cluster. The former is the solution originally used in Syriac ( $kalb^e t \dot{a}$ ). It was also the solution used in the ancestor of ANA, to judge by the fricative realization of the \*/tt which indicates that it was at some point preceded by a vowel. In ANA, however, as stress is penultimate, the first solution would result in an [e] in an open syllable ( $*kalbe\theta a$ ), something which is usually only found where a post-consonantal glottal stop has been elided (§2.5.6.3). The second solution ( $kaleb\theta a$ ) results instead in a short /a/ in a pretonic syllable, something which is very common in ANA. This therefore is the form found in ANA.

The addition of verbal suffixes is another cause of syllabic rearrangement. For instance the present base of Class III verbs is maplex (Syr.  $ma\bar{p}l\bar{e}h$ ). With a suffix, the stem becomes mapelx-, e.g. mapelxa, rather than \* $mapl\acute{e}x$ a, thus avoiding a stressed short /e/. Again in Syriac the epenthetic was put after the second consonant:  $ma\bar{p}l^{2}h\bar{a}$ . The same rearrangement occurs with the Class III past base muplex-/mupelx- (cf. §6.3.3).

The same process seems to have occurred with 'aqubra' 'mouse' (Syr. 'uqb'  $r\bar{a}$ ), with some additional vocalic change.<sup>4</sup>

Although the original system in Syriac was to insert the epenthetic after the second consonant of a three-consonant cluster, the practice of inserting it after the first, as in ANA, did occur in Syriac poetic pronunciation, according to Nöldeke (1904: §52A-B) and the vowel used was in fact /e/ (cognate with ANA /e/). For instance dehltā 'fear'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cf. Nöldeke (1904: §23C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Compare 'aqerwa' 'scorpion' which had already undergone syllabic rearrangement in Syriac, in which it was pronounced as 'eqar<u>b</u> $\bar{a}$  ('qar<u>b</u> $\bar{a}$  in eastern pronunciation) (Nöldeke 1904: §52C). That this was not the original structure is shown by the fricative pronounciation of the /b/, indicating that it was originally \*caqr<sup>2</sup><u>b</u> $\bar{a}$ .

might be vocalized as  $de hel \bar{t}a$ . That this structure was not the original is shown by the fricative pronunciation of the \*/t/ indicating that the original pronunciation was  $de h l^2 t \bar{a}$ .

The same epenthetic insertion occurs after verbal prefixes. When a prefix such as bed- 'will' or šud- 'should' is attached to a verb that begins in a cluster of two consonants, e.g.  $mz\bar{a}ben$  'may he sell', an epenthetic vowel is inserted:  $bedemz\bar{a}ben$  'he will sell'. Likewise the prefixes b-, l-, m- and d- take an epenthetic vowel before a consonant cluster, e.g. b- +  $št\bar{a}ya \rightarrow bešt\bar{a}ya$  'drinking', l- +  $m\delta ita \rightarrow le$ - $m\delta ita$  'to the town'

When the prefix b- is joined to an infinitive beginning in a labial, rather than an epenthetic being inserted between the two, the prefix may be elided altogether. e.g. b- +  $mzabone \rightarrow mzabone$  'buying' and b- +  $pl\bar{a}xa \rightarrow pl\bar{a}xa$  'working'. See §6.8.9 for details.

#### 3.3 Word-boundaries

In fluent speech word boundaries are not marked by gaps. Instead the words are pronounced as a continuous stream, at least between intonation group boundaries. Vowels and consonants therefore combine across the word boundaries, forming syllables. Such syllables follow less restrictive rules to those described above for word-internal syllables. These rules apply not only to syntactically independent words but also word groups linked by a hyphen (-).

### 3.3.1 Initial clusters of two consonants

If two consonants are immediately preceded by a word ending in a consonant, an epenthetic [e] is inserted to avoid a consonant cluster, but this is not written:

```
<sup>2</sup>άθ-<sup>e</sup>kθāwa [<sup>2</sup>aθekθāwa] 'this book' (B)

kud <sup>e</sup>brēwāle 'when it happened' (A:95)

hādax <sup>e</sup>brēle 'it happened like that' (A:106)

<sup>2</sup>emmed-<sup>e</sup>štèθa 'with the drink' (A:171)

hādax <sup>e</sup>mmanšóyeḥ 'distracting him in this way' (A:99)
```

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Nöldeke (1904: §23C).

Epenthetics were also found in this position in Syriac poetic pronunciation. An example is  $\sqrt[3]{t}$  lhōn 'there is to them', pronounced with three syllables as  $\sqrt[3]{t}$  elhōn.

Occasionally an epenthetic is not used, as in  $s\neq ppet-tl\ddot{a}\theta a$  (not  $s\neq pped-tl\ddot{a}\theta a$ ) 'third grade' (B). Here the d/of the genitive suffix -ed has assimilated to the initial t// of the cluster, something which occurs regularly when the second word begins with Cv, but which is not expected when it begins with a cluster. The reason it is allowed in this case may be that t//, being a sonorant, can be pronounced fairly easily in a consonant cluster.

If two consonants are preceded by a word ending in a vowel, then no epenthetic is needed. Instead the vowel combines with the consonants to form a syllable:

```
ta z-zālɛ [tazzālɛ] 'so that they might go' (A:131)

kémmadēṛa l-šòpaḥ [keṃṇaḍēṛalšopaḥ] 'he put it back into its place' (A:102)

tūma p-pàlgaḥ [tūmappalgaḥ] 'garlic in the middle of it' (A:66)

'u qqārɛ ['uqqārɛ] 'and they call out' (A)

lo p-sànde [lopsande] 'or in pots' (A:17)
```

### 3.3.2 Long vowels in closed syllables

Long vowels are preserved in closed syllables across word boundaries:

```
méndi t-kèbet [menditkebet] 'whatever you want' (A:201)

'āni b-yumāθa ['ānibyumāθa] 'These things were on the days' (A:6)

mā kpalxet? [mākpalxet] 'What do you do for a living?' (A)
```

## 3.3.3 Elision of /e/ in final syllables

Though always written, final /e/ is normally elided, unless:

- (a) it is immediately followed by an intonation group boundary or pause or
- (b) its elision would cause a cluster of three consonants. In practice this means that it is elided in the sequence /vCe Cv/ but not in the sequences /vCCe Cv/ or /vCe CCv/.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cf. Nöldeke (1904: §52A).

#### Examples of (a)

```
là-wellēbe, [lawellēbe]'he couldn't cope' (A:112)
kem'āmēre. [kem'āmēre] 'spoke to him!' (D:4)
```

## Examples of (b)

```
šqélle qurbāna [šqellequrbāna] 'he has taken communion' (B) kémmaqléble l-xāṣeḥ. [kemmaqleblelxāṣēḥ] 'He turned him over on to his back.' (A:107) péšle mmanšóyeḥ [pešlemmanšoyeḥ] 'He started to distract him' (A:108)
```

Elision of final /e/ often results in long vowels in closed syllables, as described in §3.3.2:

```
h \in w \hat{a} n e \ d - e \theta w \bar{a} l \in [h \in w \bar{a} n d e \theta w \bar{a} l \in ] 'the animals which they had' (A:14) q t \hat{e} l e \ q \bar{a} l e h \ [q t \bar{e} l q \bar{a} l e h] 'his voice stopped' (A:112) s \hat{e} p e \ k \hat{u} l l a n \ [s \hat{e} p e k \hat{u} l l a n] 'in a line, all of us' (B) k \hat{u} l - n \bar{a} s e \ b \hat{e} n x \bar{a} \theta a \ [k u l n \bar{a} z b e n x \bar{a} \theta a \ ] 'all the people going down' (A:140)
```

Elision occurs almost without exception before the enclitic conjunction -u 'and':<sup>7</sup>

```
bnóne-u bnầθa [bnonubnāθa] 'boys and girls' (B) xerṭmầne-u [xerṭmānu] 'chickpeas and' (A:101) mấye-u mèlxa [māyumelxa] 'water and salt' (A:68)
```

Elision of final /e/ occurs also in the dialect of Qaraqosh.8

The /e/ of the genitive suffix -ed is also sometimes elided to avoid a short /e/ in an open syllable. Sometimes this also results in long vowels in closed syllables or consonant clusters. This indicates that -ed does not observe the word-internal rules of syllable structure and therefore should not be considered a fully integrated part of the word:

```
p-qard-a\theta-mesk\bar{e}na (<p-qared-...) 'to the lot of this poor man' (A:189) yomd-etl\bar{a}\thetaa (<yomed-...) 'the third day' (A)
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Alternatively the replacement of /e-u/ with /u/ could be seen as the monophthongization of the sequence /ew/ to  $/\bar{u}$ / and reduction, as an unstressed final vowel, to /u/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Khan (2002: 49-50). Note that ANA /e/ is transcribed as /ə/ in Qaraqosh.

```
g\bar{e}bd-\acute{e} \eth \bar{a}\eth e-u (< g\bar{e}bed- ...) 'chez each other' (B:20)
```

Elision of the /e/ in -ed does not, however, occur in every case where it occurs in an open syllable:

mέked-aql $\dot{a}$ θe $\dot{h}$  'by his legs' (A:109)

#### **CHAPTER FOUR**

# STRESS AND INTONATION

#### 4.1 *Introduction*

In Alqosh Neo-Aramaic, words are most commonly stressed on their penultimate syllable. There are two possible causes behind other stress patterns. One is that the word belongs to one of the categories of regular exceptions. These include single words which do not normally have penultimate stress, as well as groups of words which may only have a single stress between them (stress groups). In section §4.2 word stress will be discussed; in §4.3, the stress patterns of stress groups will be described. The other reason that penultimate stress may not be found is that stress position is relatively fluid in natural speech. Stress position in most cases (though not all)<sup>2</sup> is not phonological and can be altered for discourse reasons. The rules outlined in this chapter describe the normal stress patterns which are the most common and which an informant gives when a word or phrase is elicited in isolation.

At a higher level words are grouped into intonation groups which are marked by intonational boundaries. Within each intonation group there is one main stress. In addition there may be other lesser stresses. These stresses have functions in the discourse (§4.4).

Intonation is a feature that affects the meaning in various ways, by structuring the discourse and by distinguishing between certain types of utterance such as statements, commands and questions. It is not however marked in the texts, as the same role is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This matches the situation in most if not all other Christian NENA dialects. Stress is final in many Jewish dialects, including those of Azerbaijan (Garbell 1965a: 34-5), Arbel (Khan 1999: 70), Koy Sanjaq and Halabja (Fox 1994: 156), but not those of the North-western Group (Sabar 2002: 36). Fox (1994: 156) and Garbell (1965b: 170) suggest that this is due to Kurdish or Turkish influence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. subjunctive - imperative pairs such as mzābénna 'let him sell it (f.)' and mzābenna 'sell (sg.) it (f.)!'

fulfilled by punctuation and the extra diacritics would overload the transcription. The main intonational patterns are described in §4.4.

#### 4.2 Word stress

### 4.2.1 Nominals

Most nouns and adjectives (nominals) of Aramaic origin, and some loanwords, end in a vowel, usually -a, //-Ta// or -e. Nominals are all normally stressed on the penultimate syllable:

bέ a 'house'
bấte 'houses'
xayấṭa 'tailor'
šivấna 'shepherd'
gấre 'roof'

Some nominals end instead with a consonant. This group includes many loanwords but also Aramaic words which have preserved the old absolute state, such as many proper nouns. Some final-consonant nominals have final stress and others have penultimate stress. If stress is final, there is usually a long vowel in the final syllable; if stress is penultimate, there is a short vowel. With single-syllable nominals penultimate stress is of course impossible.

nísan 'April' *tábbax* 'August' <sup>2</sup>álquš 'Alqosh' pāyes 'Autumn' rábban 'monk' bánas 'fault' hāwan 'mortar' kāsḗt 'cassette' bahār 'Spring'

```
dargavấn 'gatekeeper'
mếs 'table'
xấm 'linen'
```

Words with non-enclitic suffixes, such as pronominal or plural suffixes, also have penultimate stress. If the suffix adds one or more syllables to the word, then the stress position will change accordingly.

```
yárxe 'months' (<yárxa 'month')
bέ i 'my house' (<bέ a 'house')
bε όxun 'your (pl.) house'
bε awā a 'houses'
banāsi 'my fault' (<bánas 'fault')
ṛabbāne 'monks' (<rábban 'monk')
```

Most of the words for days of the week, e.g. *xamšóšāba* 'Thursday', are compounds in origin and will be discussed with stress groups (§4.3.2.2(2)).

#### 4.2.2 Pronouns

Independent pronouns may take stress. In non-compounds this is usually penultimate.

```
    ²ā́na 'I'
    ²ā́wa 'that' (m.)
    ²ā́ði 'this'
    ²é́ma 'which?'
```

The reciprocal pronoun,  ${}^{2}\dot{e}\dot{g}\delta\bar{a}\delta e$  'each other', has initial stress, despite the fact that the initial vowel is epenthetic in origin, the Syriac cognate being  $\hbar \delta \bar{a}\delta \bar{e}$ .

#### 4.2.3 Particles

Prepositions and most conjunctions are usually unstressed. If they are of more than one syllable, there may be a slight stress.

```
ta bàxteḥ 'to his wife' (A:184)
go 'ēta 'in the church' (B:23)
kud brēwāle ḥāde ' 'when an accident happened' (A:95)
ta z-zālɛ jɛli-llaḥ 'to go and search for her' (A:131)
bá er-ma š-šalqìla, 'after they boiled it,' (A:62)
```

Adverbs usually take stress. Like nouns they mostly have penultimate stress but can have other stress patterns:

### **Penultimate stress**

²ā́xa	'here'
gawā́ye	'inside'
²éka	'where?
<sup>2</sup> íman	'when?'
kabíra	'very'
<sup>2</sup> édvu	'today'

## Other stress patterns

bấrēli	'northwards'		
bártaxti	'southwards'		
ba <sup>c</sup> dḗn	'then' (Arab.)		
b-éġðāðe	'together'		

## 4.2.4 Verbs and pseudo-verbs

Verbs, except for imperatives, most commonly take stress on the penultimate syllable:

```
kšáqli 'they take'
kében 'I want'
```

kemréxle 'they call it'

 $nax\theta iwa$  'they used to go down'

*kemxāzéxlε* 'we saw them'

*zélle* 'he went'

*šetyāli* 'I drank it (f.)'

If verbal suffixes are added, penultimate stress still applies, as in some of the examples above. The only exception is verbs with the past suffix //-wA// followed by the L-set suffix. While the addition of either of these suffixes usually causes the stress to be promoted, //-wA// itself never takes stress. So in any verb which ends in //-wA// then an L-set suffix, the stress will not be penultimate:

'oðíwāla 'they used to make it (f.)'

zélwāli 'I had gone'

The same applies to pseudo-verbs with the //-wA// suffix:

'eθwāli 'I had'

'eθwābi 'I couldn't'

Imperatives follow different rules to other verbs. Most Class I singular imperatives are of the single-syllable *CCoC* form which takes stress. Imperatives with two or more syllables are normally stressed on the *first* syllable, whether this is penultimate or not. These are mostly Class II and III verbs and plural forms of Class I:

 $p\theta \dot{o}x$  'open (sg.)!'  $p\dot{e}\theta xu$  'open (pl.)!'  $m\dot{a}plex$  'use (sg.)!'

mápelxu 'use (pl.)!'

Unlike with other verb forms this rule is not affected by the presence of L-set suffixes marking the object:

```
máplexle 'use (sg.) it (m.)!'
málūšūle 'dress (pl.) him!'
```

In some cases the stress pattern is the only thing distinguishing an imperative from a third masculine singular subjunctive form, which would have penultimate stress:

```
máplexle 'use (sg.) it (m.)!'

mapléxle 'may he use it (m.)'
```

```
'ixul 'eat!'
'isuq 'climb!'
```

There are two other cases where L-set suffixes do not affect the stress. These are both constructions involving interrogatives, which normally take stress. The first case is the construction  $m\acute{\epsilon}k\bar{a}li$  'where could I get ...?' (lit. 'from where for me?') (§6.24). The second is the construction  $ta\ m-\acute{a}w\bar{e}li$ ? (§6.20.2).

The object suffix series *-ile* (§6.18, §6.18.3) does not affect the stress of the verb, e.g. *byāwéllaḥ-ile* 'he'll give him to her'.

Negated verbs usually take the stress on the negator la- (§6.9). The only verbal prefix that takes the stress is di- (§6.8.5), e.g.  $dinax\theta en$  'I'm just going down'.

# 4.2.5 Retraction and promotion of stress

# 4.2.5.1 Stress promotion with vocatives

Names normally have penultimate or final stress, as mentioned above. When a name is called out, however, the stress is usually on the final syllable.<sup>3</sup> This is often in conjunction with the vocative particle *wo* 'O!', 'hey!' (§10.5). The stressed syllable is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The same stress promotion occurs in Qaraqosh with vocatives, e.g. katù. 'Katu!' (Khan 2002: 472).

usually lengthened and may even be diphthongized (see §2.3.2.2.1.i.b). The final stress rule is applied to any word called out in lieu of a name.

```
wó ḥabbē! 'O Habbe!' (A)
wó dēwā! 'O Wolf!' (A:227)
wó maqqāṣ! 'O Scissors!' (A)
xaltí! '(maternal) Auntie!' (A)
'amtí! '(paternal) Auntie!' (A)
```

#### 4.2.5.2 Stress retraction in verbs

As stated above, the singular imperative of ylp 'to learn' has initial stress. This stress is retracted however if followed by  ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$ :  ${}^{2}(lup){}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$ , presumably because  ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$  has a light initial stress and final stress on the verb would lead to two consecutive stressed syllables.

Stress is also sometimes retracted in other verb forms where penultimate stress is the norm. This is especially common with the *Qaṭlenwa* forms of 'mr' 'to say', used frequently in narrative. It may be that such words are in fact unstressed but that the long vowel causes the initial syllable to seem prominent.

```
'žámerwa 'He said,' (A:157)
'žámrāwa 'She said, (A:155)
```

### 4.3 *Stress groups*

Words are frequently combined in stress groups composed of two or more components, in which one of the components has the sole stress. These stress groups can be divided into two groups: those which stress the first component and those which stress the last. The 'rules' described below are not applied in all cases as stress may be altered to give prominence to a particular word. They can however be said to represent the norm.

## 4.3.1 Stress on last component (stress group with final stress)

When words are combined in a genitive relationship marked on the first word by the suffix -ed or one of its allomorphs (§10.2.1), the second word often takes the only stress:<sup>4</sup>

```
lires-sēma 'a silver lira' (lit. 'lira of silver') (A:219) 'aqlā\thetaeš-šamāše 'the feet of the deacons' (B:25) l-sep\thetaeṭ-ṭūra 'on the foot of the mountain' (C:1) gēbet-xayāṭa 'to the tailor' (A:84)
```

The same is true of some compounds:

```
mar-dekkā́na 'shopkeeper' (A) (§7.9.1)
hēdi-hḗdi 'slowly' (A)
```

Epenthetic vowels such as those after prefixes are not stressed:

```
me-l-t\acute{ex} 'from below' (A)
```

The stress may be on the first word if it has prominence in the sentence:

```
b-bénget-palgedyum 'at high noon' (A)
```

### 4.3.2 Stress on first component (stress group with initial stress)

In other stress-groups it is the first component which takes the stress. In some cases it has normal (i.e. penultimate) word stress. In other cases the stress is promoted on to the final syllable.

### 4.3.2.1 Unchanged stress on first component

Stress groups of this type are very common and involve many different components. They will be dealt with mainly in the chapters in which they occur, but some of the many examples will be outlined here. Examples where the first component is monosyllabic are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sometimes the first word has a lesser stress, e.g. *yémmed-bàbi* 'my father's mother' (A:115), *dáqneš-šivàna* 'the shepherd's beard' (A:229).

mostly included here but in fact the formal distinction between 'unchanged' or 'promoted' is neutralized in such cases.

This pattern of stress is sometimes conditioned by the first component, and sometimes by the second. In some cases, especially with the copula, the stress is doubly conditioned.

The following are the types of combination that take this stress pattern:

(1) The enclitic copula (§6.17) as second component

```
ràndε-wen 'I'm fine.' (A)
bròneḥ-wēwa 'he was his son' (D:9)
dēx-iwet? 'How are you?' (A)
ġðā'-ila 'it is one' (A:8)
```

(2) Enclitic -ll- or -mm- (§10.2.1, §10.2.2)

```
qèmla-lleḥ 'she challenged him' (A:182)
wolɛ šmì'e-lleḥ 'they have heard about it' (B:12)
dārɛwa xmíra-mmeḥ-u 'they put yeast with it' (A:32)
mmaḥkòye-mmeḥ 'speaking with him' (A:99)
```

(3) Enclitic -*u* (§10.4.2) *tū́ma-u* 'garlic and' (A:111)

(4) Deictic pronoun as first component (§5.3)

The far-deixis 3pl. pronoun has unchanged stress. The other pronouns are monosyllabic:

```
w-án\varepsilon-yum\bar{a}\theta a 'those days' (A:123) ^2á\theta-tan\bar{u}ra 'this oven' (A:29) ^2έ-^2ara 'that field' (A:134)
```

# (5) Interrogative pronoun as first component (§5.4)

Most of these pronouns are monosyllabic.

```
màn ibe?' 'Who is able to?' (A)

mā 'oðen?' 'What should I do?' (A:163)

mā-ranga kebet,' 'what colour do you prefer?' (A:204)

'èma-mendi kébet?' 'What thing do you want?' (A)

kmá-karme?' 'How many vineyards?' (A)
```

# (6) Adjectives that precede the noun

The only examples attested are 'áwwal- (Arab.) and xós- (K.) (§8.3(20)), the second of course being monosyllabic.

- (7) báθer-mennaḥ 'afterwards' and qám-mennaḥ 'beforehand' (§10.3.4(1)).
- (8) bareli 'north' and bartaxti 'south' (§7.9.3)

These two are each composed of two components with stress on the first  $(bar/b\bar{a}r \text{ 'side'})$ . They are only written as one word because the components are not productive.

# (9) Some particles, adverbs and noun-modifiers

This category is almost only composed of monosyllabic elements. It includes both negators la- (with verbs) and  $l\bar{a}$ - (with other words), and the following particles: dla-(§10.4.4), har-, ham-, bas-,  $be\bar{s}$ -,  $c\bar{s}u$ -, kud-, kul-,  $fl\bar{a}n$ -,  $xatr\bar{e}$ - (m.),  $xat\bar{e}$ - (f.) (cf. §10.6-7).

```
lá-kpešet 'you will not become' (D:5)
lá-kēðex 'We don't know' (A:128)
lá-resiwāle 'they did not sprinkle them' (A:54)
lá-kmeθetwāli 'you would not have brought me'(D:17)
là-'penše,' 'not women' (A)
béš-'atira 'richer' (A:221)
čù-mendi 'nothing' (A:113)
```

This pattern of stress is very common in NENA, especially with the enclitic copula, deictic pronouns, the negator and other particles. There is however considerable variation between the dialects in its precise distribution.<sup>5</sup>

### 4.3.2.2 Promoted stress on first component

The two types of combination that take this stress pattern are as follows:

### (1) Certain idioms

There are a number of idioms with this stress pattern:

parčá-xenna 'another piece' 'next month' yarxá-xenna  $\check{s}ap\theta \acute{a}$ -xerta 'next week' pāθá-xerta 'the other side' yarxá-u palgeh 'a month and a half' 'a week and a half' šabθá-u palgah palgú-bšāla, palgú-bšila 'half-cooked' 'middle of the day' palgéd-yum baθér-dex 'then' 'slowly', 'little by little' qeşşá-qeşşa 'in slices' zelpé-zelpe rangé-range 'multi-coloured' šeklé-šekle 'of different types'

Sometimes *hēdi-hēdi* 'slowly' is also stressed in this manner: *hēdí-hēdi*.

The idiom  $g\tilde{a}$ -xerta 'again' perhaps belongs to this group, given its similarity to the first three examples, though with only a monosyllabic first component it cannot be allocated with certainty.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cf., for instance, Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 17), Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 68-72), Ch. Zakho (Hoberman 1993: 117, Mole 2000: 19), Bēṣpən (Sinha 2000: 64), Särdä:rïd (Younansardaroud 2001: 68-9).

#### (2) Certain numerals before nouns

The numerals 2-10 (except 10 (f.) 'essar) and the tens (20, 30, 40 etc.) also have promoted stress in their attached form (§9.1.1). The number 1/indefinite article is monosyllabic.

```
tlaθā-'alpe 'three thousand' (B:1)
'arbì-yome 'forty days' (D)
xà-xmāra 'one donkey' (A:15)
```

With numerals involving both units and tens, the units, which come first, take promoted stress:

```
'arbó-esri 'twenty-four' 
teš'ó-'ešti 'sixty-nine'
```

Except for Sunday the days of the weeks which have numeric components (Monday-Thursday), take stress on the final syllable of the numeral (§7.9.6).

```
tlaθόšāba 'Tuesday' 
xamšóšāba 'Thursday'
```

This pattern of stress is attested in other NENA dialects in the same or similar cases, in particular with numerals modifying nouns. 6 In ANA and cross-dialectally it seems to be used with combinations of words that are particularly common.

In the dialect of Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 16-7) this stress pattern is found with distributive reduplication, e.g. *šiklé-šikle* 'in different ways', and in numerals modifying nouns. It is also found in noun-adjective combinations, e.g. *sa:má-ra:ba* 'a large part', *gawrá-qama:ya* 'the first man' and some other expressions. In Jewish Zakho it is found with distributive reduplication, e.g. *šiklé-šikle* 'all kinds of shapes and colours' (Sabar 2002: 56). In Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 69, 226) and the Assyrian Koine (Odisho 1988: 84, 6) it is found in numerals modifying nouns and in the names of the days of the week. In Tkhuma (Jacobi 1973: 35-36), it is found in numerals and in the expressions 'ɔīdā 'gora 'Easter' and 'ɔīdā 'sora 'Christmas'. (The stress marks have been changed to the system used here.) In Bēṣpən (Sinha 2000: 64-5) it is found in numerals and deictic pronouns. In Särdä:rīd (Younansardaroud 2001: 69) it is found in 'v̄:dá:-"gu:ra 'Easter' and in genitival annexation, e.g. 'bä:bíd 'brä:tä 'the girl's father'.

# 4.3.3 Groups of more than two components

These occur where one (or more) of the components of a stress group is itself a stress group. In these groups there is often an additional lesser stress, so that it is not strictly a stress-group, but the main stress in such cases can still be predicted in the same way as the sole stress in cases where there is only one. For this reason groups with two stresses will also be considered below.

In the first two cases below it does not matter whether the subordinate stress group is the first or final component of the higher stress group. In some cases the words could be grouped in more than one way, e.g.  $m-k\dot{u}l-n\bar{a}\check{s}ed-m\bar{a}'\theta a$  'ALL the people of the village' (A:221)  $(m-k\acute{u}l-n\bar{a}\check{s}ed-m\bar{a}'\theta a)$  or  $m-k\acute{u}l-n\bar{a}\check{s}ed-m\bar{a}'\theta a$ ).

(1) Stress group with final stress as component of another group with final stress. The main stress will be on the final component:

 $^{\circ}\dot{e}\dot{\partial}ed$ -lebbed- $i\dot{s}u^{c}$  'the Festival of the Heart of Jesus' ( $^{\circ}\dot{e}\dot{\partial}ed$ - + lebbed- $i\dot{s}u^{c}$ ) (A)

(2) Stress group with initial stress as component of another group with initial stress. The main stress will be on the first component of the chain:

```
l\vec{a}-xo\check{s}-n\bar{a}\check{s}a 'not a nice person' (l\vec{a}-+x\acute{o}\check{s}-n\bar{a}\check{s}a) (A) l\vec{a}-har-b-\bar{a}\check{o}i 'not only with this one' (l\vec{a}-+h\acute{a}r-b-\bar{a}\check{o}i) (A) b\grave{e}\check{s}-bassemt\varepsilon-la-u 'it is nicer' (A:57)
```

(3) Stress group with initial stress as final component in group with final stress. The main stress will be on the penultimate component:

## (4) Stress group with initial stress as first component in group with final stress

The main stress will either be on the initial or on the final component:

```
m-kùl-nāšed-máθa 'ALL the people of the village' (A:221) '2arbò-'2esri-šénne.' 'twenty-four years' (B) dlá-berāšed-yèmmi 'Without my mother's knowledge' (A:120) '26-rābet-kùllɛ 'the biggest of all' (A)
```

#### 4.4 Intonation groups

Speech is divided into intonation groups, marked by intonational boundaries (...<sup>1</sup>...). These usually match syntactic boundaries, such as the ends of phrases or sentences. In each intonation group there is one main stress called the nucleus, marked with a grave accent ( $\dot{}$ ). In addition there may be one or more lesser stresses, marked with an acute accent ( $\dot{}$ ). The nucleus is most often the final stress, but sometimes one or more lesser stresses follow. The nucleus is often used to mark the prominence of a word in the discourse, as in the examples below. The first, a proverb, means that we are affected by events far away from where we are. The words  $b\varepsilon\theta ed$ - $b\lambda bbux$  'house of your Babbe' are stressed because this is the part of the sentence which is surprising. These words could be translated as 'even the house of your Babbe' to make this explicit in the English. The second sentence could alternatively be translated as 'It was my mother who cut my hair.'

*mennāṛet-ḥálab npèlla*' ' *u bεθed-bàbbux xrūle*.' 'The minaret of Aleppo has fallen and the house of your Babbe is ruined.' (A)

yèmmi kemgarāli.' 'My mother cut my hair.' (A)

Intonation concerns the rise and fall of pitch through the intonation group. The main types of intonation pattern found on nuclei will be discussed below (the patterns on other stresses will not be discussed here). The intonation contours occur from the nucleus to the end of the intonation group or the next stress.

104

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This function is described for the related dialect of Qaraqosh in Khan (2002: 396-461).

### (1) Fall to mid-pitch accompanied by lengthening of the final syllable ( \\_)

This is often found marking the topic of a sentence. It is also used to mark incompleteness in the same way as (4).

gùpta: \\_' šalqìwāla;' 'Cheese: they boiled it;' (A:63)

šwāwi tòma, \\_' ġðà-gāθa,' kud brḗwāle ḥādeθ' p-kòstar' 'My neighbour Toma,
once, when an accident happened in a Coaster<sup>8</sup> ...' (A:95)

²eθwa mennéy s-sèsqe, \\_' mennéy d-cèruq, \\_' d-gèrsa \\_' 'there was among them

sheep's-tail-fat-bread, among them 'eruq'-bread, cracked-wheat-bread,' (A:35)

# (2) Fall to mid-pitch without lengthening (\scales)

This is often found with commands. It is also sometimes found with questions which involve a question word.

```
là-mta'edyat-élli! \\ 'Don't annoy me!' (A)

màlpūla! \\ 'Teach (pl.) her!' (A)

²íman pxazyànnux? \\ 'When will I see you?' (A)

qāy, mā-weðli? \\ 'Why? What have I done?' (A)

kmà-karme? \\ 'How many vineyards?' (A)
```

### (3) Fall to low pitch (1)

This is found marking a major juncture in the text, such as the end of a topic or section of a story, or the end of a list or sequence of events.

 ${}^{2}\dot{a}y$  go  $q\grave{e}ta$  damrex.  ${}^{1}{}^{2}\ddot{a}ni$  b-yum $\dot{a}\theta a$  t- $\ddot{a}w\varepsilon^{10}$  bassime.  ${}^{1}{}^{1}$  men  $d\grave{a}rta^{1}$  ... 'That was in the summer, I'd say. <sup>11</sup> These things were on the days which were fine. // [new topic] Off the courtyard there were ...' (A:6-7)

<sup>9</sup> A type of yellow powdered spice, perhaps turmeric.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A type of minibus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The subjunctive is used here rather than t- $k\bar{a}w\varepsilon$  bassime. It is perhaps because the fine weather is hypothetical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Literally: we'd say.

lánnahu zuyāḥa 'iθen-u' manšoqeṭ-ṣúrted- ... d-lébbed-ìšu'. ↓' (121) pléṭla m-ṛāze qamāya, soti,' 'because there was a procession and kissing of the picture of the Heart of Jesus. [returning to the topic after diverstion:] She left the First Mass, my grandmother, ... (A:120-1)

kúlle bikarw $\hat{a}\theta a$ -u' tor $\hat{a}\theta a$ -u' sard $\hat{a}be$ -u' ... ' $\hat{a}ni$ . ' 'all bik $\hat{a}res$  and cows and cellars and so on.' [end of text] (A:27)

## (4) Rising or level pitch usually with lengthening of the final syllable (/\_)

This indicates incompleteness, showing that a clause is followed by another that is linked in meaning, such as in a chain of events or a list of items. It is also used to link subordinate clauses to their main clause. It is very often accompanied by lengthening of the final syllable. A rising pitch is also found with questions, sometimes with a very slight fall at the very end:

kúlle bikarw $\hat{a}\theta a - u \nearrow \_^{\dagger}$  tor $\hat{a}\theta a - u \nearrow \_^{\dagger}$  sard $\hat{a}be - u \nearrow^{\dagger}$  ...  $\hat{a}ni. \downarrow^{\dagger}$  'all bikāres and cows and cellars and so on.' (A:27)

qtéle xammès-armone,  $\nearrow$  kémdārēle p-čànte $\not$  - b-zawwāde $\not$ -u $\nearrow$  kémdārēla l-rū́sa-u zèlle.  $\downarrow$  He picked five pomegranates, he put them in his bag, in his provisions bag and he put it on to (his) shoulder and went. (A:211)

*méndi t-kèbet* ∕¹ *byāwènnux-ile*.↓¹ 'Whatever you want, I will give it you.' (A:208)

šetyā́lux qàhwux? ✓ 'Have you drunk your coffee?' (B)

gdālàtta? ✓ \_¹ 'Can you see it (f.)?' (A)

 $h\vec{a}'\theta \hat{e}lax?$  /\_' 'Well, have you come?' (A)

'éka bdámxex dàha? / \_ ' 'Where will we sleep now?' (A)

### **CHAPTER FIVE**

# **PRONOUNS**

## 5.1 Independent personal pronouns

The independent pronouns distinguish between singular and plural and, in the singular, masculine and feminine, except in the  $1^{st}$  person where  ${}^{2}\bar{a}na$  serves for common gender. The only variant attested in these forms is  ${}^{2}axtu$  for  ${}^{2}axtu$  'you (pl.)'.

As verbs take pronominal inflection, the independent personal pronouns are reserved for emphatic use, e.g. 'áyet màn-iwet-u 'You, who are you?' (A:198).

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $2^{rd}$  w

fs.  $2^{rd}$  y

pl.  $2^{rd}$  per. ms.  $2^{rd}$  per. ms.  $2^{rd}$  yet

fs.  $2^{rd}$  yat

pl.  $2^{rd}$  per. sg.  $2^{rd}$  per. sg.  $2^{rd}$ 

pl.

<sup>2</sup>axni

In speaking to very important people such as a minister, bishop or patriarch, one uses the second person plural, e.g.  $d\vec{e}x$ -iwotun 'How are you?'. Such a form is restricted to people of very elevated status and not used more generally to express respect as in French or German.

The third person singular forms occur in some other NENA dialects as  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}hu$  and  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}hi$ . Hoberman (1990: 84-5) suggests that these are the Proto-NENA forms from which

107

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For instance Qaragosh (2002: 75) and Christian Hertevin (Jastrow 1988: 22).

all others, including  ${}^{2}\bar{a}w$  and  ${}^{2}\bar{a}y$ , are derived. Nöldeke (1868: 75) and Sachau (1895: 7) suggest that these forms are derived from  ${}^{*}h\bar{a}h^{\bar{i}}$  and  ${}^{*}h\bar{a}h\bar{i}$  respectively. Hoberman suggests however that these forms were derived from Old Aramaic  $h^{\bar{i}}$  and  $h\bar{i}$  through the addition of initial  ${}^{2}a$ , by analogy with the  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  person forms.

The third person plural form 'āni is extremely common in NENA and Hoberman (1990: 85) lists it as one of the Proto-NENA forms.

For the 2<sup>nd</sup> person singular, Hoberman (1990: 83) suggests that in Proto-NENA there was a common gender form 'at (a form still found in some dialects) and that the gender distinction arose through analogy with the verbal A-set suffixes, -et (ms.) and -at (fs.).<sup>3</sup> Other dialects with medial /y/ are the Christian dialects of Mangesh, Aradhin, Barţille, Senaya and some of the Cudi dialects.<sup>4</sup>

The plural form  ${}^{3}axtu(n)$  is the most common 2pl. form in NENA and is therefore listed by Hoberman as the Proto-NENA form.<sup>5</sup>

The first person pronouns are identical in virtually all the NENA dialects (Hoberman 1988: 561 and 1990: 82). In some, 'axnan or 'atxan<sup>6</sup> is found; these do not occur in ANA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> (Hoberman 1990: 85-6). Cf. also Khan (2000: 75). One piece of evidence for the former theory is the variant  $h\bar{a}w$  for the demonstrative  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}w$  (ANA  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}wa$  or  ${}^{\flat}o$ -) found in  $17^{th}$  century texts in the Mosul Plain dialect. As there is a tendency for initial h/d to be elided (cf.  $h\bar{a}dax \nsim {}^{\flat}\bar{a}dax$  'thus'),  $h\bar{a}w$  may be the more original form. However h/d is not attested in these texts with the  $3^{rd}$  person personal pronouns  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}hu$  and  ${}^{\flat}\bar{a}hi$ . Nor is it attested with the feminine  ${}^{\flat}ay$  (Mengozzi 2002a: 209,177,179).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> His justification is as follows: 'Gender-differentiating forms are found in all the dialects of Iraq, but not in Iran, while the common-gender *at* is geographically widespread. Therefore the gender-differentiating forms are an innovation of Iraqi NENA'. In fact the area of the gender-differentiating forms extends just over the Iraqi borders to the Cudi region of Turkey and the city of Senandaj in Iran (Senaya dialect) but this does not affect the validity of the argument. As for the medial /h/, // or /y/ found, Hoberman suggests that it is a hiatus-breaking glide. Khan (2002: 75) suggests that the medial /h/ of Qaraqosh 'áhət and 'áhat developed by analogy with the 3<sup>rd</sup> person singular forms 'áhu (m.) and 'áhi (f.). Both factors may have been at work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sara (1974: 63), Krotkoff (1982: 19), Hoberman (1990: 83), Panoussi (1990: 111) and Sinha (2000: 69).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Hoberman (1990: 83).

<sup>(1990. 65).</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>atxan is found alongside <sup>2</sup>axnan in the Jewish dialect of Arbel (Khan 1999: 81).

#### 5.2 Pronominal suffixes on nouns and particles

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $-eh$ 
fs.  $-ah$ 
pl.  $-\dot{\epsilon}y$ 
 $2^{nd}$  per. ms.  $-ux$ 
fs.  $-ax$ 
pl.  $-\dot{\delta}xu(n)$ 
 $1^{st}$  per. sg.  $-i$ 
pl.  $-an$ 

This set of suffixes is found on nouns and prepositions. On nouns it indicates a genitive relationship (equivalent to //d// + noun). The suffix is added to the stem of the noun, replacing any final /a/ or /e/, e.g.  $b\varepsilon\theta eh$ , 'his house' (from  $b\varepsilon\theta a$  'house' + -eh 'his). There is no difference in form between the suffixes added to singular and plural nouns. This can lead to ambiguity with some nouns which form their plural with -e without changing the stem. For instance  $\check{s}w\bar{a}wi$  may mean 'my neighbour' or 'my neighbours', depending on whether -i has been affixed to the singular form  $\check{s}w\bar{a}wa$  or the plural form  $\check{s}w\bar{a}we$ . There is no ambiguity where the stem of the plural is different, as with xori 'my friend' (< xora 'friend') and xora 'my friends' (< xora 'friends').

Prepositions usually have a special stem for attaching to the suffix, e.g. *menn*- for m- 'from' and  $t\bar{a}l$ - for ta 'to' (cf. §10.2.1-2).

The pronominal suffixes do not take stress, except for 2pl.  $-\delta xu(n)$  and 3pl.  $-\delta y$ . When an unstressed pronominal suffix is added to a word ending in -a or -e, the word is not lengthened as it simply replaces the ending, and so there is no change in stress, e.g.  $b\dot{\epsilon}\theta a$  'house',  $b\dot{\epsilon}\theta i$  'my house'. When an unstressed suffix is added to a form ending in an unstressed closed syllable, e.g.  $b\dot{a}nas$  'fault', a syllable is added to the word, and so the final syllable of the noun is now the penultimate syllable and as such takes the stress. This results in the lengthening of the stressed vowel (§2.3.2.2.1.i).

<sup>7</sup> Compare the more archaic system in the Christian dialect of Qaraqosh, where there is a special set of suffixes used with plurals ending in  $-\partial$  (equivalent to ANA -e) (Khan 2002: 76-77).

109

When the suffix is stressed (2pl. or 3pl.), any long  $/\bar{a}/$  or  $/^{-}/$  moved to pre-stress position is usually shortened (§2.3.2.2.2.i).

$$gy\bar{a}na$$
 'self'  $gyan\acute{o}xun$  'yourselves'  $t\bar{a}l$ - 'for'  $tal\acute{e}y$  'for him'

Any short /a/ or /u/ remains short:

The following table shows the suffixes as attached to nouns and prepostions:

	With noun	With particle	
3 <sup>rd</sup> per. ms.	$b\varepsilon\theta e\dot{h}$ 'his house'	<i>ṭāleḥ</i> 'for him'	
fs.	$b\varepsilon\theta ah$ 'her house'	<i>ṭālaḥ</i> 'for her'	
pl.	$b\varepsilon\theta\dot{\varepsilon}y$ 'their house'	taléy 'for them'	
2 <sup>nd</sup> per.ms.	$b\varepsilon\theta ux$ etc.	<i>ṭālux</i> etc.	
fs.	$b\varepsilon\theta ax$	ṭālax	
pl.	bεθόxun	ṭalóxun	
1 <sup>st</sup> per. c.	barepsilon heta i	ţāli	
pl.	barepsilon hetaan	ṭālan	

The 3<sup>rd</sup> person singular suffixes are of particular interest because original \*/h/ has become a pharyngeal /h/. This feature was recorded for the dialect of Alqosh in Rhétoré's grammar of the dialects of the Mosul Plain. Forms with /h/ are also found in Qaraqosh,

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Rhétoré (1912: 55, n. 2). Maclean used the term Alqosh to refer to the dialects of the Mosul Plain in general, but this is not the case with Rhétoré.

Mangesh, Telesqof, Christian Zakho and Hassane,<sup>9</sup> though not in the closely related dialects of Aradhin and Telkepe (see below).

Hoberman (1988: 563) sees this innovation as a means of distinguishing the possessive nominal suffix and the L-set suffix but wonders why such a distinction should have been thought necessary. In fact, in the case of ANA at least, the distinction required was probably between the possessive suffixes and the singular/plural noun inflections -a and -e. In many dialects the original /h/ of the possessive suffixes -eh and -ah has been lost, as in the L-set suffixes -le and -la.<sup>10</sup> Elided forms -e and -a exist side by side with -eh and -ah in some dialects, while in others the forms with /h/ have been lost altogether.<sup>12</sup> In such cases there is potentially no distinction between 'neighbour' (šwāwa) and 'her neighbour' (šwāwa). Likewise there could be confusion between 'neighbours' (šwāwe) and 'his neighbour' (šwāwe). The strengthening of /h/ to a more audible pharyngeal is one way of ensuring the distinction is preserved. It may be that in other dialects other mechanisms are found of avoiding ambiguity. In Aradhin, for instance, the possessive pronouns are in most cases expressed with independent forms (the di:y- set).<sup>13</sup> This may be one such mechanism. In ANA and Qaraqosh, <sup>14</sup> which have -eh and -ah, the independent forms are much less common than the suffixes.<sup>15</sup>

The  $3^{rd}$  person plural suffix - $\dot{\epsilon}y$  is probably derived from the form -ayhin still found in related dialects. The \*/ay/ has been monophthongized and the /h/ and /n/

<sup>9</sup> Cf. respectively Khan (2002: 76), Sara (1974: 64), Rubba (1993: 280), Hoberman (1993: 118) but not in Mole (2000: 25), and Jastrow (1997a: 277-8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Hoberman (1988: 563) gives *-eh* and *-ah* as the Proto-NENA 3sg. pronominal suffixes. These forms are still found in Barṭille (op. cit.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In the closely related Jewish dialects of Amadiya, Zakho and Nerwa, /h/ is not usually heard, 'but when attached to the copula it may be retained, i.e. *brōneh-īle* "he is his son".' (Sabar 1976: xxxvi). In the Christian dialect of Aradhin the 3fs. suffix has both variants, though the 3ms. form is -e only. Guidi (1883: 299) also gave both variants in his study of the Mosul Plain ('Felliḥi') dialects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> These are the dialects of Tkhuma (Jacobi: 76), Senaya (Panoussi 1990: 111, 3cs. -*e*) and Telkepe. For Telkepe, cf. the following examples (line nos. are given) *bābe* 'his father' (6), *yımme* 'his mother' (7) and *gēba* 'to her' (8) in Sabar (1993: 291) and the examples *xāle* 'his maternal uncle' (1), *šımme* 'his name' (8), *bgāwe* 'in it' (9) (Sabar 1978: 412).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 20).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Personal communication from G. Khan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 271) for the conditions under which the independent pronoun tends to be used.

elided.<sup>16</sup> This derivation is supported by the stress on the /ɛ/ which suggests that it was originally pretonic.

The 2<sup>nd</sup> person singular forms are almost identical across the NENA dialects.<sup>17</sup> The second plural form -oxu(n) (or -awxu(n)) is common in NENA, but in some other dialects  $-\varepsilon xu$  or -exu(n) is found, apparently derived from \*-ayxu(n).<sup>18</sup>

The 1<sup>st</sup> person forms are identical across the dialects except that a few dialects have an additional form *-eni*, which in some expresses the exclusive 1<sup>st</sup> person plural, that is, 'I and one or more third persons (excluding the person addressed).' <sup>19</sup>

# 5.3 Deictic pronouns

The independent deictic pronouns distinguish between near and far deixis. For near deixis, the only other distinction is between singular and plural. For far deixis there is also a gender distinction in the singular.

There are in addition attached forms used to modify nouns.

	Near deixis		Far deixis		
	Indep.	Attach.	Indep.	Attach.	
sg.	²āði ≁ ²āy	'aθ- ≁ 'ay-	ms. ʾāwa	°0-	
			fs. ʾāya	-3°	
pl.	²āni	oan-	pl. 'ānε	³anε-	

<sup>16</sup> Rhétoré (1912: 56), writing of the dialects of the Mosul Plain, gives -ayhen  $\nsim$  -ayhi  $\nsim$  -ay. Maclean (1895: 18), writing of the same dialects, gives -ayhi. In Christian Zakho (Hoberman 1993: 118) the form is -áy(hɨn). In Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 20) the /ay/ has been monophthongized, as in ANA: -ε:hin.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Cf. the table in Hoberman (1988: 562).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Hoberman (1988: 571), elaborating on an idea of Nöldeke's (1868: 79-80), suggests that *-ayxun* is the more original and that the change to *-awxun* is by analogy with the 2ms. suffix *-ox*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> This is attested in both Christian and Jewish dialects: in the Jewish Iraqi dialects of Amadiya (Hoberman 1989: 195), Zakho (Polotsky 1961: 19), and the Nerwa Texts (Sabar 1976: xxxv) (cf. also Hoberman 1988: 562); in the literary Christian dialect of Urmi (Polotsky 1961: 19-20, Murre-van den Berg 1999a: 193); and in the Christian dialects of Mar Yaqo (author's own data), Hassane (Jastrow 1997: 277) and the dialects of the Barwar region (personal communication from G. Khan). In the dialects of literary Urmi and Mar Yaqo it has the exclusive meaning, while in Zakho the two forms appear to be free variants (Polotsky 1961: 19, n. 4). In the Barwar dialects the choice is influenced by other factors. There is no published information on whether there is a distinction in the other dialects listed.

As well as indicating something physically near or far, the deictic pronouns are used to mean 'this' or 'that' in the sense of 'the afore-mentioned', e.g. 'ó-wazira 'that minister' (D:9), referring to a person already mentioned in the text. Near deixis is also used for this function, e.g. 'áy-meskēna 'this poor man' (A:205).

The deictic pronouns may also be used as definite articles, especially with comparatives:

```
hár b-'έ-qatta ṛábθa 'just with the big(ger) stick' (A)
b-ε-ṛábθa mmāxet l-az-zàrta.' 'with the bigger one you'll throw at the small one' (A)
'o-ṛāba 'the big(ger) one' (A)
'o-ṛābet-kúllɛ 'the biggest of all' (A)
```

The near-deixis pronoun  $\bar{a}y$  is often used at the end of a description to define what it was about before beginning a new topic. In such cases no copula is used.

```
... áy taxràθa.' 'That's taxrà a.' (A:35)

... 'āy lèxma.' 'That's bread.' (A:55)

... 'āy go qèṭa d-amrex.' 'That was in the summer,' (A:6)

... 'āy l'ēl.' 'This is upstairs.' (A:9)
```

The deictic pronouns have a variety of origins. The near-deixis plural pronoun  ${}^{2}\bar{a}ni$  'these' is identical to the 3pl. personal pronoun. The attached form  ${}^{2}an$ - is apparently a contraction of it. The far-deixis attached pronouns appear to be contracted forms of the 3s. personal pronouns ( ${}^{2}o < {}^{*2}aw < {}^{*2}\bar{a}w$  and  ${}^{2}\varepsilon < {}^{*2}ay < {}^{*2}\bar{a}y$ ). The independent forms are probably derived from the the personal pronouns plus an extra deictic particle ( ${}^{2}\bar{a}wa < {}^{*2}\bar{a}w$ - $h\bar{a}$  and  ${}^{2}\bar{a}ya < {}^{*2}\bar{a}y$ - $h\bar{a}$ ) (Khan 2002: 82). The plural far deixis pronoun ( ${}^{2}\bar{a}n\varepsilon$ , attached  ${}^{2}an\varepsilon$ -) must be derived from  ${}^{2}\bar{a}nay$ , following the rule  ${}^{2}e < {}^{*2}ay$ / (§2.5.2). This in turn may be derived from  ${}^{2}annay$ , according to the rule  ${}^{*2}aCv / {}^{2}aCv / {}$ 

The origin of the singular near-deixis pronoun  ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$  is less clear. There is evidence that  ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$  may originally have been a purely feminine form. Khan (2002: 81) discusses similar forms in the dialect of Qaraqosh, where  ${}^{2}adi$  [ ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta i$ ] is the feminine and a separate form  ${}^{2}ada$  [ ${}^{2}\bar{a}\delta a$ ] is used for the masculine. The form  ${}^{2}\bar{a}di$  is also fs. in  $17^{th}$  century Christian literary texts of the Mosul Plain. But these texts do not have  ${}^{2}\bar{a}da$  as the masculine equivalent, only  ${}^{2}ad$  ( ${}^{2}ad$   ${}^{2}ad$ ), which in ANA serves as the attached form. There is also evidence that earlier forms may have had initial /h/. In Qaraqosh the forms are sometimes pronounced hada and hadi. According to Khan, 'It is not clear

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The relationship is clear when one looks at other NENA dialects. There are dialects where uncontracted forms are used as attached deictic pronouns, e.g.  $^{2}aw=$  'that' (Mole 2000: 25-6); there are also dialects where contracted forms are used as personal pronouns, e.g.  $^{2}o$  'he, this' in Mangesh (Sara 1974: 63). In some dialects the personal pronoun and attached deictic pronoun are the same, e.g. Arbel  $^{2}o$ , 'he',  $^{2}o$ - 'that' (Khan 1999: 81, 85).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Guidi (1883: 298) and Sachau (1895: 7) recorded variants of the 3pl. *personal* pronouns with a diphthong (ánei (3pl) and ānhai  $\sim$  ānai (3fpl (sic)) respectively) for the dialects of the Mosul Plain (Guidi does not give separate deictic pronouns, Sachau gives  $\bar{a}n\bar{e}$  for the far-deixis plural). In most dialects \*/ay/ is realized as /e/ and so this is the vowel found on the 3pl. pronoun, e.g. Mangesh 'anne 'they, these' (Sara 1974: 64). In Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 20), where \*/ay/ > /ɛ/, the form is 'anne 'these'. In Christian Zakho, where a diphthong is normally preserved as /ey/, the form is 'anná 'these' (Mole 2000: 25, but not Hoberman 1993: 118, who has 'anná:). Apparently the /y/ has simply been elided. Note that in some of these dialects (Mangesh, Ch. Zakho (Mole), Aradhin) the cognate of ANA 'ānɛ is near-deixis while the cognate of ANA 'āni is far-deixis: the reverse of their meanings in ANA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See the examples in the preceding footnote. The same rule is however also found in these dialects: for instance all three have  $[q\bar{a}\bar{s}a]$  for Syr.  $qa\bar{s}\bar{s}\bar{a}$ . In the case of the pronoun, therefore, the rule seems to be blocked.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Mengozzi (2002a: 177).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Mengozzi (2002a: 176). The different writings may actually represent the same pronunciation (['að]?).

whether this is a conservative pronunciation of original forms with initial ha- or whether it is the result of influence from Arabic, which has demonstratives of a similar form.' In fact forms with initial h are already found in the  $17^{th}$  century Christian texts:  $h\bar{a}d$  'that' and  $h\bar{a}d\bar{e}$  'this (cs.), though there is only one occurrence of each in the texts. <sup>26</sup>

For the etymology, Khan goes on to suggest that the masculine may be derived from two deictic elements:  $*h\bar{a}$ - $d\bar{a}$  and that the final /i/ of the feminine 'may have developed by analogy with the independent 3fs. pronoun 'ahi.'

An alternative theory is that all three forms are borrowed from the colloquial Arabic pronouns of very similar form: I.A.  $h\bar{a}\delta a$  (ms.),  $h\bar{a}\delta i$  (fs.). It is common in ANA for initial /h/ to be elided (cf.  $h\bar{a}dax \nsim \bar{a}dax$  'thus',  $hil\bar{a}na \nsim \bar{i}l\bar{a}na$  'tree'). According to this hypothesis, the feminine form would have been extended to both genders in some dialects, including ANA. An argument against Arabic origin is the early attestation of these forms. Direct Arabic influence tends to date to more recent times. In the  $17^{th}$  century there should have been only quite limited influence. Another argument against is the occurrence of these forms (in fossilized form) in areas even further away from Arabic settlement, such as Urmia and the  $T\bar{u}r$  'Abd $\bar{u}n$ .

Foreign origin could also be attributed to the other near-deixis pronoun  ${}^{\flat}ay$  'this'. In Mesopotamian Arabic  $h\bar{a}y$  is an alternative to  $h\bar{a}\delta i.^{29}$  In Damascus Arabic the cognate form hayy also functions as a presentative particle, serving for both genders, e.g. hayy  ${}^{\flat}kt\bar{a}bak$  'Here's your book (ms.)'. This could be a parallel for an extension of Neo-Aramaic  ${}^{\flat}ay$  to both genders. A foreign origin might provide an alternative explanation for why  ${}^{\flat}ay$ - is not monophthongized to  ${}^{\flat}\varepsilon$ -: loans often follow different phonological

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Khan (2002: 81).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Mengozzi (2002a: 209). The entry for  $h\bar{a}d$  refers one to <sup>2</sup>ad, though <sup>2</sup>ad is near-deixis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> These forms are widespread: cf. the Qəltu dialects  $h\bar{a}\delta a$ ,  $(h)\bar{a}da$  etc. (ms.),  $h\bar{a}y$ ,  $(h)\bar{a}di$  etc. (fs.) (Jastrow 1978: 109), Baghdadi Arabic  $h\bar{a}\delta a$  (ms.),  $h\bar{a}\delta i \nsim h\bar{a}y$  (fs.) (Woodhead and Beene 1967: 476), Damascus Arabic  $h\bar{a}da$  (ms.),  $h\bar{a}di$  (fs.) (Cowell 1964: 552). The Classical forms are  $h\bar{a}\delta\bar{a}$  (ms.) and  $h\bar{a}\delta ihi$  (fs.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> E.g. Turoyo 'ádyawma 'today'. Cf. Jastrow (1990a: 101-2) and Khan (1999: 85).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Cf. the Qəltu Arabic (Dēr izZōr dialect)  $h\bar{a}y/h\bar{a}ye$  (Jastrow 1978: 109) and Baghdadi Arabic  $h\bar{a}y$  (Woodhead and Beene 1967: 476). ANA ' $\bar{a}y$  may originally have had an initial /h/. In fact  $h\bar{a}y$  is given as a variant of ' $\bar{a}y$  in Rhétoré (1912: 53), though this may have been silent, as it is in Maclean's spelling (1895: 21).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Cowell (1964: 564).

rules to native forms. There is nevertheless good evidence for an Aramaic etymology in BTA  $h\bar{a}^{\gamma}\bar{e}$  (y) h) 'this', which is also of common gender.<sup>31</sup> It may be that the near-deixis forms are native in origin but reinforced or influenced by similar (and perhaps cognate) forms in Arabic.

The attached demonstratives are both apparently contracted forms of the independent pronouns:  ${}^{2}a\theta^{-}$  ( $< *^{2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}$ ) and  ${}^{2}ay^{-}$  ( $< *^{2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}$ ). The dental fricative of earlier  ${}^{*2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}$  assimilated in voice to unvoiced consonants. In most cases the unvoiced form has become the basic (unassimilated) form, e.g.  ${}^{2}a\theta^{-}yarxa$  'this month',  ${}^{2}a\theta^{-}k\theta\bar{a}wa$  'this book'. Informant B, however, occasionally uses  ${}^{2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}$  as a free variant, e.g.  ${}^{2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}yarxa$ ,  ${}^{2}a\tilde{\partial}^{-}k\theta\bar{a}wa$ , showing that the earlier form lingers on.

#### 5.4 Interrogative pronouns

```
man ( ≠ māni) 'who?' (human)

mā ( ≠ maha) 'what?' (non-human), (with adjective) 'how (beautiful, good etc.)!'

'ɛma 'which (one)?' (sg./pl.)

māqada ≠ māqad ≠ māqad ≠ mā-qadra 'how much?', 'how many'

kma- 'how many?'
```

There are two possible uses for interrogative pronouns. They may behave as independent pronouns or they may be used to modify a noun (and in some cases an adjective). While  $man \nsim m\bar{a}ni$  is only used independently, the others may be used in either capacity. These pronouns usually form a stress group with the following word, whether noun or verb, the interrogative taking the stress. When the word is functioning as a modifier of a noun or adjective, the two words will be marked with a hyphen.

### **Independent**

mán ibe?'Who is able to?' (A) $m\tilde{a}$  'oðen?''What should I do?' (A:163)go  $m\tilde{a}$   $z\bar{a}li$ ?''with what am I to go?' (A:184)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Sokoloff (2002: 358-9). <sup>3</sup>ay is also found with the same meaning (near-deixis cs. pronoun) in Jewish Azerbaijani as an archaic form (Garbell 1965a: 58).

```
mā kemmεθēlux ...?¹ 'what brought you ...?' (A:198)
²έma mennèy?¹ 'Which one of them?' (A)
mā-qadrε-le yèrxeḥ?¹ 'How long is it (m.)?' (lit. 'How much is its length?') (A)
kmá kebet?¹ 'How many do you want?' (A)
```

#### Modifier

mā-ranga kebet,' 'what colour do you prefer?' (A:204)
'èma-mendi kébet? 'What thing do you want?' (B)

kmá-karme?' 'How many vineyards?' (A)

mā-qadra raḥ 'qa?' 'How far?' (A)

mā bassìmε-le-u' 'how nice it is' (B)

The non-human interrogative pronoun 'what?' is normally of the form  $m\bar{a}$ . The form maha is cited as the more correct form but does not occur in any of the texts. Before the copula,  $m\bar{a}$  is replaced by m-:

```
m\bar{a} + -ile \rightarrow m-ile? 'What is it?' m\bar{a} + -iwet \rightarrow m-iwet? 'What are you?'
```

This allomorph is also found in combination with the *verbum primae P/'wð* 'to do' and *verbum primae /h/ hwy* 'to be'. The initial laryngeal is elided.

```
m\bar{a} + 'oðen \rightarrow m-oðen? 'What should I do?' ta \ m\bar{a} + h\bar{a}w\bar{e}li \rightarrow ta \ m-\bar{a}w\bar{e}li 'What is it to me?' (cf. §6.20.2)
```

The human interrogative pronoun 'who?' is normally of the form man. Again, another form,  $m\bar{a}ni$ , is cited as the correct form but does not occur in any of the texts.

The quantifier pronoun  $m\bar{a}qada$  has four variants, as listed above. The second element is derived from the Classical Arabic word qadr 'quantity' or its Iraqi dialectal form qad.

<sup>32</sup> Cf. Mosul Arabic *halqad → halqaddu* 'so much' (Jastrow 1979: 44) and Baghdadi Arabic *halqadd* 'so much' and *šgadd* 'how much, how many'.

# 5.5 Independent possessive pronouns

A series of independent possessive pronouns is formed by combining *diy*- with the pronominal suffixes:

The independent possessive pronouns follow the noun and are used as a less common alternative to the simple suffix, e.g.  $tara\ diyah$  (A:12)  $\sim tarah$  'its (f.) door' (A). They are obligatory when the noun is omitted, as when the possessive pronoun is a predicate, e.g. diyux-ile 'It is yours' (A).

## 5.6 Reflexive pronouns

The reflexive pronouns are composed of the noun  $gy\bar{a}na$  'soul' and pronominal suffixes:

The reflexive pronouns can be used as a reflexive objects as in *ta mā mučhēlux gyānux?*¹ 'Why have you exerted yourself?' (A) They may also be combined with a preposition:

```
wéðli 'áθ- ... qáhwa be-gyāni.' 'I made this ... coffee by myself.' (B) dri bāla le-gyānux.' 'Take care of yourself.' (C) wolɛ mparsóne ta gyanɛy.' 'they are splitting open of their own accord.' (A:194) láθwālɛ ṭālyā́θa de-gyanɛy,' 'they didn't have their own games,' (A)
```

Second person reflexive pronouns are used in some phrases with a special polite sense:

```
básma gyầnux.¹ 'Thank you' (lit. 'May yourself be happy') (A) dḗx-ila gyầnux?¹ 'How are you?' (lit. 'How is yourself?') (A) p-šɛ́na gyầnux.¹ 'In peace yourself' (reply to p-šɛ́na θēlux.¹ 'In peace you have come') (A)
```

### 5.7 Reciprocal pronouns

There are two ways of expressing reciprocality ('each other') in ANA:

```
x\bar{a}-x\acute{e}nna 'one another' (< x\bar{a}) x\acute{e}nna)
^{2}\acute{e}g\eth\bar{a}\eth e 'each other'
```

### **Examples**

```
kma<sup>c</sup>énex xā-xènna.¹ 'We help one another' (B)

mšāréxwa benšáqa xā-xènna-u ...¹ 'We began to kiss one another' (A)

kšáqli 'èġðāðe,¹ 'they accept each other' (B)

m<sup>c</sup>édex b-èġðāðe 'we'll wish each other (a happy festival)' (A)
```

Both reciprocal pronouns may be combined with prepositions:

```
beṭlāba xā' ta xénna xāye yarìxe' 'wishing each other a long life' (A)
dabqíwāla l-èġðāðe' 'they stuck it together' (A:66)
kúllɛ júlle x-èġðāðe.' 'all the clothes alike.' (lit. 'like each other') (C)
júlle, kúllɛ nàfsed-ġðāðe.' 'The clothes, all of them were the same as each other.' (A)
našwāθa kízi-u kìθɛ gēbd-éġðāðe-u' the people go and come chez each other (A:20)
```

The combination b-égðāðe has the special meaning of 'together' (§10.3.5):

```
d-áxlex b-è\dot{g}ð\bar{a}ðe. 'so that we may eat together.' (A:164)
```

### 5.8 *Indefinite pronouns*

The indefinite pronouns are mostly compounds formed from two elements, a modifier (cf. §10.7) and a noun. The modifiers are xa- 'a',  $\check{c}u$ - 'any', kul-, kud- 'every' and  $fl\bar{a}n$ - 'such-and-such'. The nouns are mendi 'thing',  $n\bar{a}\check{s}a$  'person and  $x\bar{a}$ ' 'one'.

xá-mendi 'something' 'someone' xá-nāša čú-mendi 'anything', 'nothing' čú-nāša 'anyone', 'no-one' kúl-mendi<sup>33</sup> 'everything' kúd-nāša 'everyone' kút-xa<sup>3</sup> 'everyone' flān-mendi 'such-and-such a thing'

Other indefinite pronouns are as follows:

```
    xā' (m.), ġðā' (f.) 'someone'
    flanāya 'such-and-such a person'
    xakma mennéy 'some of them'
    qeṣṣa 'a few', 'some' (construed as plural), 'little', 'not much'
```

Certain particles attached to pronominal suffixes may serve as pronouns:

```
mennéy 'some of them' (lit. 'from them') (§10.2.1)

kulle 'all of them' (§10.7(1))

terwa\thetane \nsim terwe \nsim trāwe 'both of them' (§10.7(2))
```

<sup>33</sup> This may be based on the Arabic *kullši* 'everything' (I.A.), as *kul*- in ANA normally means 'all', and *kud*- 'every'.

Some of these pronouns also serve as noun-modifiers (cf. §10.7), e.g.  ${}^{2}e\theta wa x \tilde{a}^{2}e\theta wa x \tilde{a}^{2}$ 

#### 5.9 Pronouns in combination with the relativizer //d//

Various pronouns may be combined with the relativizer particle //d// (§10.4.1):

(i) The demonstratives 'o and 'an:

```
od- 'he who'

an d- 'they who'
```

## **Examples**

```
'o de-knā'ésle x 'we,' 'he who a snake bites' (D)

'ó dla-'āxel t 'ma,' 'He who does not eat garlic' (D)

'an d-ílɛ be'rāqa;' 'those that are running' (A:73)
```

(ii) The interrogative pronouns:

```
ma\ d- (<*m\bar{a} + d-) 'whatever'
'\epsilon med- ('\epsilon ma + -ed) 'whichever', 'whoever'
m\bar{a}qa\ d- 'however many', 'however much'
```

#### **Examples**

```
má d-eθwa p-jèbeḥ.¹ 'what was in his pocket'
²émez-zàwāle,¹ 'whoever went,' (A:125)
²émd-kāréle qamāya,¹ 'whoever they catch first,' (A)
māqa d-jelli ²u-x̄ðēri 'However much I have searched and roamed,' (D)
```

The two elements are sometimes separated, as when the pronoun precedes a noun, e.g.  $km\acute{a}$ -'armone t-kèbet 'However many pomegranates you want,' (A:210) and  $m\acute{a}$ -mendi d-amrètte 'Whatever you may say,' (A:203).

# **CHAPTER SIX**

# **VERBS**

#### 6.1 Verbal classes

As in earlier Aramaic and other Semitic languages, ANA verbs are based on triliteral roots. Most verbs fall into one of three conjugation classes. Class I, which is the basic class, is the descendent of the earlier Aramaic Peal  $(p^{e_c}al)$  conjugation. Classes II and III are derived forms, descended from Pael  $(pa^{c_c}\bar{e}l)$  and Aphel  $(ap^c\bar{e}l)$  conjugations respectively. Where a root exists in Class I and a derived class, the derived class usually has a causative or similar meaning with respect to the Pael meaning, e.g. npl I 'to fall' and npl III 'to bring down'. Many derived verbs, however, have no Class I counterpart.

For each verb there are five bases on which all the verb forms are based:

# Class I $p\theta x$ 'to open'

Present base  $p\bar{a}\theta ex$ Past base  $p\theta ex^{-1}$ Stative participle  $p\theta ixa$ Imperative  $p\theta ox$ Infinitive  $p\theta \bar{a}xa$ 

# Class II hlq 'to throw'

Present base *mhāleq* 

Past base *mḥuleq-* ≁ Ḥuleq-

Stative participle *mholga* ≁ *mhalga* 

Imperative *mḥāleq* 

Infinitive mḥaloqe ≁ ḥaloqe

<sup>1</sup> This is derived from  $p\theta ix$ . In a closed syllable, /i/ is reduced to /e/ (§2.3.2.1). With pronominal suffixes (fs.  $-\bar{a}$ - and pl. -i-) which preserved an open syllable,  $p\theta ix$  is still found (cf. §6.3.1).

# Class III plx 'to use'

Present base maplex
Past base muplexStative participle mupelxa
Imperative maplex
Infinitive maploxe

In addition to the three triliteral classes there is a class of quadriliterals, that is roots of four consonants. These conjugate with vowel patterns similar to those of the derived classes.

# Quadriliteral *šxlp* 'to change'

Present base *mšaxlep* 

Past base *mšuxlep- ≁ šuxlep-*

Stative participle *mšuxelpa* 

Imperative  $m\check{s}axlep \nsim \check{s}axlep$ Infinitive  $m\check{s}axlope \nsim \check{s}axlope$ 

Of the above bases, the present, past and imperative bases are inflected for person and used as verb forms themselves. The stative participle and infinitive, as nominal forms, usually require auxiliary verbs such as the copula to lend them verbal force.

# 6.2 *Inflection of the present base (Qaţlen)*

The subject is marked by a set of suffixes, termed the A-set suffixes. The 3ms. form consists of the present base without any A-set suffixes. The different classes have different present bases:

Class II qāṭel
Class III mqāṭel
Class III maqṭel

With A-set suffixes a slightly modified form of the base is used:

Class I qatlClass II mqatlClass III maqetl-

The A-set suffixes are as follows:

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms. —

fs. -a

pl. -i

 $2^{nd}$  per. ms. -et

fs. -at

pl. - tun  $\nsim$  - tu

 $1^{st}$  per. ms. -en

fs. -an

pl. -ex

The inflected present base takes affixes to express different tenses and aspects (see §6.8). Unprefixed, as the *Qaṭlen* form, it has various modal functions. The present base may also take object suffixes (see §6.18.1.1, §6.18.2.1).

# 6.2.1 Class I verbs

# $p\theta x$ I 'to open'

$$3^{\text{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  $p\bar{a}\theta ex$ 

fs.  $pa\theta xa$ 

pl.  $pa\theta xi$ 
 $2^{\text{nd}}$  per. ms.  $pa\theta xet$ 

fs.  $pa\theta xat$ 

pl.  $pa\theta x \cdot tun \nsim pa\theta x \cdot tu$ 
 $1^{\text{st}}$  per. ms.  $pa\theta xen$ 

fs.  $pa\theta xan$ 

pl.  $pa\theta xen$ 

# 6.2.2 Class II verbs

# ḥlq II 'to throw'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. *mḥāleq* 

fs. mḥalqa

pl. *mḥalqi* 

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. *mḥalqet* 

fs. mḥalqat

pl. mḥalq tun ≁ mḥalq tu

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. *mḥalqen* 

fs. mḥalqan

pl. *mḥalqex* 

# 6.2.3 Class III verbs

# plx III 'to use'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. maplex

fs. mapelxa

pl. mapelxi

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. mapelxet

fs. mapelxat

pl. mapelx tun ≁ mapelx tu

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. mapelxen

fs. mapelxan

pl. mapelxex

# 6.2.4 Quadriliteral verbs

# šxlp Q. 'to change'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. *mšaxlep* 

fs. mšaxelpa

pl. *mšaxelpi* 

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. *mšaxelpet* 

fs. *mšaxelpat* 

pl. mšaxelp tun ≁ mšaxelp tu

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. *mšaxelpen* 

fs. *mšaxelpan* 

pl. *mšaxelpex* 

# 6.3 Inflection of the past base (Qtelli)

The past base takes a series of pronominal suffixes referred to here as the L-set suffixes.<sup>2</sup> These indicate the subject and derive from a combination of the preposition l- with pronominal suffixes.<sup>3</sup> They are unstressed, even if this places the word-stress before the penultimate syllable, e.g.  $p\theta \acute{e}xloxun$  'you (pl.) opened'. This suffix series is also the one used with the present base to express the object (cf. §6.18.1.1, §6.18.2.1). The inflected past base, Qtelli, is used as a past perfective, particularly common in narration.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is the term used by Hoberman (1988, 1989 etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See §5.2 for the derivation of the pronominal suffixes. The L-set suffixes differ in two particulars. They have lost the original /h/ in the 3s. suffixes (-le < \*-leh, -la < \*-leh) rather than strengthened it to a /h/ as happened in the pronominal suffixes (-eh, -ah). The 3pl. form - $l\varepsilon$  is based on a contraction of the pronominal suffix - $\varepsilon$ y, probably caused by the retraction of stress on to the base.

$$3^{\text{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  $-le$ 

fs.  $-la$ 

pl.  $-l\varepsilon$  (in fast speech occasionally  $-la$ , cf. §2.4.2.3)

 $2^{\text{nd}}$  per. ms.  $-lux$ 

fs.  $-lax$ 

pl.  $-loxun \nsim -loxu$ 
 $1^{\text{st}}$  per. ms.  $-li$ 

pl.  $-lan$ 

# 6.3.1 Class I verbs

# $p\theta x$ I 'to open'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms.  $p\theta exle$ fs.  $p\theta exla$  $p\theta exl\varepsilon ( \nsim p\theta exla)$ pl. 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms.  $p\theta exlux$ fs.  $p\theta exlax$ pθéxloxun ≁ pθéxloxu pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. sg.  $p\theta exli$  $p\theta exlan$ pl.

A third person feminine or plural object can be indicated by internal inflection, using the A-set suffixes: fs.  $-\bar{a}$ - and pl. -i- (§6.18.1.3, §6.18.2.3). The base used is then  $p\theta ix$ -, e.g.  $p\theta ix\bar{a}li$  'I opened it (f.)',  $p\theta ixili$  'I opened them'.

# 6.3.2 Class II verbs

The initial /m/ of this paradigm is very often lost, e.g huléqle 'èðwe 'they cast lots' (A:189).

# hlq II 'to throw'

```
3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms.
                 (m)huleqle
         fs.
                 (m)ḥuleqla
                 (m)huleql\varepsilon (*)huleqla)
         pl.
2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms.
                 (m)huleqlux
         fs.
                 (m)huleqlax
                 (m)ḥuleqloxun ≁ (m)ḥuleqloxu
         pl.
1<sup>st</sup> per. sg.
                 (m)ḥuleqli
        pl.
                 (m)ḥuleqlan
```

With object infixes the past base is mhulq-, e.g.  $mhulq\bar{a}li$  'I threw it (f.)' and mhulqili 'I threw them'.

# 6.3.3 Class III verbs

# plx III 'to use'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. muplexle fs. muplexla *muplexlε* (≁ *muplexla*) pl. 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. muplexlux muplexlax fs. pl. mupléxloxun ≁ mupléxloxu 1<sup>st</sup> per. sg. muplexli muplexlan pl.

With object infixes the past base is *mupelx*-, e.g. *mupelxāli* 'I used it (f.)', *mupelxili* 'I used them'. This could be seen as a conditioned variant of *muplex*-. According to syllabic rules (§3.2.3), *muplex*- would be avoided before a vowel because of the resulting short /e/ in an open syllable (\*muplexāli), while mupelx- would be impossible before a consonant because of the consonant cluster (\*mupelxli).

# 6.3.4 Quadriliteral verbs

Initial /m/ is often lost in Quadriliteral verbs just as in Class II verbs.

# šxlp Q. 'to change'

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. (m)šuxleple fs. (m)šuxlepla (m)šuxlepl $\varepsilon \sim (m)$ šuxlepla pl. 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. (m)šuxleplux fs. (m)šuxleplax (m)šuxléploxun ≁ (m)šuxléploxu pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. sg. (m)šuxlepli (m)šuxleplan pl.

With object infixes the past base is (m)  $\check{s}uxelp$ : (m)  $\check{s}uxelp\bar{a}li$  'I changed it (f.)', (m)  $\check{s}uxelpili$  'I changed them'.

# 6.3.5 Phonetic changes caused by the addition of L-set suffixes

L-set suffixes assimilate to the final /n/ of a root, e.g. zwenni (<\*zwen + li) 'I bought' and mzubenni (<\*mzuben + li) 'I sold'. They likewise assimilate to a final rhotic (/r/ or /r/). Originally this resulted in a geminate rhotic (e.g. \*gwerri 'I married') but in ANA gemination has been lost and the previous vowel lengthened in compensation (§1.7.2.3), e.g.  $gw\bar{e}ri$  'I married',  $ft\bar{e}re$  'he flew' (<\*fterre) and  $mdub\bar{e}ri$  'I managed' (<\*mduberri).

# 6.4 *Inflection of the imperative*

The imperative is used to express commands in the second person. The base is inflected for singular and plural. *Verba tertiae /y/* in all classes also distinguish between masculine and feminine singular (cf. §6.11.8 etc.). Imperatives may take L-set suffixes to express an object (cf. §6.18.1.2, §6.18.2.2).

Class I sg.  $p\theta ox$  pl.  $pe\theta xu$ 

Class II sg. mḥāleq

pl. *mḥalqu* 

Class III sg. maplex

pl. mápelxu

Quadriliterals sg. (m)šaxlep

pl. (m)šáxelpu

# 6.5 Inflection of the infinitive

The infinitive has both verbal and nominal properties. It is used in verbal constructions involving auxiliary verbs such as the copula (cf. §6.27.1.1) but cannot function as a verb by itself. The feminine infinitive takes the feminine //-Ta// inflection (-ta or - $\theta a$ , cf. §6.8.11) in place of final /a/ or /e/. This form has more nominal properties and is used for individual instances of the activity denoted by the verb. As such, it may occur in the plural, e.g.  $tett\tilde{e}$ - $izaly\bar{a}\theta a$  'three trips (lit. goings)'.

Class I m.  $p\theta \bar{a}xa$ 

fs.  $p\theta axta$  fpl.  $p\theta axy\bar{a}\theta a$ 

Class II m. (m)haloge

fs.  $halaqta^4$  fpl.  $halaqy\bar{a}\theta a$ 

Class III m. maploxe

fs. maplaxta fpl. maplaxy $\bar{a}\theta a$ 

Quadriliterals m. (m)šaxlope

fs. šaxlapta fpl. šaxlapy $\bar{a}\theta a$ 

The infinitive may take suffixes to indicate the object. It takes genitive suffixes like a noun rather than L-set suffixes like a verb (§6.18.4).

The initial /m/ on the Class II and Quadriliteral infinitives is often elided.

# 6.6 *Inflection of the stative participle*

This form may have passive or active resultative meaning, depending on the context, e.g. xila 'eaten', 'having eaten'. With intransitives it can only have the latter meaning, e.g. tiwa 'sitting' and eqlaya '(having) come'. To cover both functions it will be termed a 'stative participle'. This form is used as an adjective, or as an element in a periphrastic verbal form.

Class I ms. $p\theta ixa$ 

fs.  $p\theta exta$ 

pl.  $p\theta ixe$ 

Class II ms.mholqa ≁ mhalqa

fs. mhulaqta

pl. *mholge* 

<sup>4</sup> All cases of the feminine Class II infinitive found so far lack the *m*- suffix. According to Informant A, *mzabanta* (rather than *zabanta*) is actually unacceptable.

Cf. also the tendency of  $low{low}$  to be reduced to  $low{low}$  in a closed syllable (§2.3.2.1).

131

Class III ms. mupelxa

fs. muplaxta

pl. mupelxe

Quadriliterals ms. (m)šuxelpa

fs. (m)šuxlapta

pl. (m)šuxelpe

The stative participle may take suffixes to indicate the object. Like the infinitive it takes genitive suffixes, reflecting its nominal character (§6.18.4).

# 6.7 *Inflection of the active participle*

Various forms of different historical origins are used as verbal adjectives with active meaning, or nouns of agent. The individual forms are dealt with in Chapter 7, but as they have the same function and exhibit similar inflections, they will be presented here as a paradigm.

Class I  $pa\theta\bar{a}xa \nsim p\bar{a}\theta oxa$  'opener'

Class II  $mhalq\bar{a}na$  'thrower'

Class III  $mapelx\bar{a}na$  'employer'

Quadriliterals  $m\check{s}axelp\bar{a}na$  'changer'

All the above forms take -e for their plural. The following are some examples: naṭāṛa ≁ nāṭoṛa 'guard', mzabnāna 'seller' and mačehyāna 'tired'.

The first Class I form is derived, through loss of gemination, from the earlier Aramaic  $*CaCC\bar{a}Ca$  form. The other is derived from earlier Aramaic  $*C\bar{a}CoCa$ . Both forms were used as nouns of agent or for occupations in earlier Aramaic dialects such as Syriac.

The Class II, Class III and Quadriliteral forms are composed of two elements. The first is the present base, derived from the old Aramaic active participle. The second is the  $-\bar{a}na$  ending. The base is modified for suffixes as in *Qatlen* forms (see §6.2):

mḥalqāna < mḥalq- + -āna
mapelxāna < mapelx- + -āna
mšaxelpāna < mšaxelp- + -āna

This dual system is found in other related dialects: Qaraqosh (2002: 87,182-3), Aradhin (1982:25) and Telesqof (1993:283-4).

There are two main ways of deriving feminine participles. Any of the forms may be made feminine by replacing the final /a/ with the feminine suffix //-Ta// (§6.8.11):

Class I  $pa\theta axta \nsim p\bar{a}\theta oxta$  'opener'

Class II mhalqanta 'thrower'

Class III mapelxanta 'employer'

Quadriliterals  $m\check{s}axelpanta$  'changer'

Class I and II forms are also found with  $-i\theta a$  instead of //-Ta//. The following are some examples:  $ka\theta ota$  (<\* $ka\theta awta$ ) 'writer',  $g\bar{a}\delta olta$  'knitter', m,  $salyanta \nsim m$ ,  $salyanta \wedge m$ , sal

The other method for making feminines, which is more commonly found, is to affix a suffix -u to the present base. This occurs not only with Class II and III verbs, but even with Class I (i.e. -u is attached to  $pa\theta x$ -, not  $pa\theta \bar{a}x$ - or  $p\bar{a}\theta ox$ -).

Class I  $pa\theta xu$  'opener' Class II mhalqu 'thrower' Class III mapelxu 'employer' Quadriliterals  $m\check{s}axelpu$  'changer'

The following are some examples: *gaðlu* 'knitter', *mṣalyu* 'prayerful' and *mačehyu* 'tiring'.

The -u suffix used here is the same suffix as the diminutive marker used with pet names and other nouns (§7.8.5). With participles, however, it behaves as a feminine marker. This method of marking feminine participles is not as yet recorded in other

dialects, the usual markers being //-Ta// or - $i\theta a$  alone.<sup>5</sup> See §7.8.5 for a full discussion of the -u suffix.

Active participles function as both nouns and adjectives, depending partly on the meaning of the verb. They are frequently used as *nomina professionalis*, e.g.  $n\bar{a}tora$  'guard' but are also used for regular activities not related to an actual profession, e.g.  $ka\theta wu$  'given to writing', or for a state, e.g. naxpu 'shy' and  $masemq\bar{a}na$  'reddish'.

The plural of the //-Ta// forms (- $y\bar{a}\theta a$ ) is used for -u forms as well, e.g.  $ga\delta lu$  'knitter', pl.  $ga\delta aly\bar{a}\theta a$ .

The active participle will not be listed in the sections on weak and irregular verbs (§6.11-15) as it is predictable from the information given:  $qat\bar{a}la$  and  $q\bar{a}tola$  form are apparently regular for all verbs and the other participles are formed from the present base as it occurs before feminine suffixes ( $pa\theta x$ -, mzabn-, mapelx-, yapy-, maheky- etc.). The following are some examples, with present bases marked in bold:

```
zadā'a 'fearer' (zd')
xayāṭa 'tailor' (xyṭ)
'awāða 'maker' ('wð)
kaθāwa 'writer' (kθw)
yawāla 'giver' (ywl)
xɛṭu 'dressmaker' (xyṭ, compare k-xɛṭ-a she sews)
mzabnāna (ms.), mzabnu (fs.) 'seller' (compare ke-mzabn-a 'she sells')
mṣalyāna (ms.), mṣalyu (fs.) 'prayerful' (compare ke-mṣaly-a 'she prays')
mapelxāna (ms.), mapelxu (fs.) 'employer' (compare k-mapelx-a 'she uses')
mačehyāna (ms.), mačehyu (fs.) 'tiring' (compare k-mačehy-a 'she is tiring')
malpāna (ms.), malpanta (fs.) 'teacher' (compare k-malp-a 'she teaches')
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For instance, in Aradhin //-Ta// is used (Krotkoff 1982:25), while - $i\theta a$  is found in Qaraqosh (2002:182).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In *verba tertiae* /y/, the final /y/ radical is merged with masculine or plural inflections. The form of the base used before feminine suffixes, which is identical to that of strong verbs, is the one used before the participle endings.

# 6.8 Particles attached to verbal forms

Particles are attached to verbal forms as prefixes and suffixes to modify the meaning in many ways. Some are specific to one base only, such as the array of suffixes found with the present base. Others such as //-wA// and //-Ta// are found with more than one base.

The present base may occur without a prefix, in which case it expresses a command, exhortation or deontic modality, e.g.  $p\bar{a}\theta ex$  'let him open',  $pa\theta xex$  'let us open',  $m\bar{a}$ ' $o\bar{\partial}en$ ? 'What should I do?' (A:163). In the 2<sup>nd</sup> person, with the negator  $l\hat{a}$ -, it is used for the negative imperative, e.g.  $l\hat{a}$ - $pa\theta xet$  'Do not open!' The unprefixed present base is also used as a verbal complement, usually with the complementizer d- (§10.4.3).

Other tenses and aspects are expressed by means of six prefixes, k-, bed-, b-, kem-, di- and  $\check{s}ud$ -. These prefixes assimilate in a regular manner to the following consonant. Added to  $p\bar{a}\theta ex$ , they are:  $kp\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he opens',  $betp\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he will open',  $pp\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he'll open',  $kemp\bar{a}\theta exle$  'he opened it',  $dip\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he's about to open' and  $\check{s}utp\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he should open'. The complementizer d- is also listed here as it may express deontic modality in limited cases.

Prefixes are also used with the imperative ( $d\acute{e}$ -) and infinitive (b-):  $d\acute{e}$ - $p\theta ox$  'open!' and  $wole\ bep\theta \bar{a}xa$  'he is opening'.

Prefixes and suffixes follow the normal rules and tendencies of assimilation (§1.5). They also follow the rules of syllable structure, so that when the addition of an affix causes a consonant cluster, an epenthetic vowel is usually inserted to break it up, e.g.  $kemz\bar{a}ben$  'he buys' ( $< k- + mz\bar{a}ben$ ).

Another common feature is loss of P/ after a prefix ending in a consonant (cf. §1.7.3.2). This is a common but not consistent occurrence, e.g.  $b\bar{a}xel \nsim b'\bar{a}xel$  'he'll eat' and  $kem\bar{a}m\bar{e}ran \nsim kem'\bar{a}m\bar{e}ran$  'he said to us'.

The particles are listed below with descriptions of their functions and explanations of their different allomorphs. In this work they will usually be written in double slanted brackets, e.g. //k-// and //-Ta//, to show that it is the morpheme that is referred to and not one of its allomorphs. Thus //k-// represents k-, g-, q- etc. and //-Ta// represents -ta and  $-\theta a$ .

### 6.8.1 k-

This prefix is used with the present base to express an indicative imperfective present. Before voiced consonants it is realized as g-, e.g.  $gda^{\gamma}ra$  'it (f.) goes back'. Before /q/, it is realized as q-, e.g.  $l\acute{a}$ - $qq\bar{a}leb$  'it (m.) does not turn'. It also occasionally assimilates to /x/ or /g/, e.g.  $xxa\check{s}wen$  'we think' and  $\dot{g}\dot{g}\bar{a}leb$  'he wins'. Before a Class II or Quadriliteral verb ke- is found, e.g.  $kemz\bar{a}ben$  'he buys'. Before some verba primae // (§6.11.1) it merges with the initial root, resulting in /ki/, e.g. kimer 'he says' ( $\sqrt[3]{mr}$ ).

### 6.8.2 bed-

This prefix is used with the present base to express a future tense. Before an unvoiced consonant it is realized as bet-. It also assimilates to emphatics, e.g.  $bett\bar{a}leb$  'he will ask for'. It may moreover assimilate to /z/, e.g.  $bezz\bar{a}li$  ( $\nsim$   $bedz\bar{a}li$ ) 'I will go'. With verba primae P/, the P/ may be elided and the prefix contracted to bd-, e.g.  $bed\bar{a}mer$   $\nsim$   $bed\bar{a}mer$  'he will say'. With hwy 'to be', the /h/ may be elided and the suffix devoiced, e.g.  $pt\bar{a}we$  'he will be' (§6.15.5).

Before a consonant cluster an epenthetic vowel is inserted, e.g.  $bedemz\bar{a}ben$  ( $<*bed-mz\bar{a}ben$ ) 'he will sell'.

#### 6.8.3 b-

This prefix is also used to express the future. Before an unvoiced consonant, it is realized as p-, e.g.  $pp\bar{a}\theta ex$  'he'll open'. It assimilates fully to initial /m/, e.g.  $mm\bar{a}xe$  'he'll hit' and mmaplex 'he'll use'. Before a Class II or Quadriliteral verb the resulting geminate /mm/ is reduced to avoid a consonant cluster, e.g.  $mz\bar{a}ben$  'he'll sell' ( $<*mmz\bar{a}ben < *bmz\bar{a}ben$ ). The form  $*bemz\bar{a}ben$ , using an epenthetic to break up the cluster, is not found. Elision even occurs occasionally before the /ma/ of Class II verbs, e.g.  $ma\check{s}emannux$  ( $\sim mma\check{s}emannux$ ) 'I'll (f.) let you (ms.) hear'. Where b- is elided, the form is identical to the unprefixed subjunctive form:  $mz\bar{a}ben$  'let him sell' or 'he'll sell'.

This prefix may also assimlate to /f/, e.g. ffaṭṛi 'they'll breakfast', ffɛṛa 'she'll fly away'. Before /n/ it may be nasalized, e.g.  $mn\bar{a}pel$  ( $\nsim bn\bar{a}pel$ ) 'he'll fall',  $mn\bar{a}xe\theta$  'he'll go down',  $mn\bar{a}peq$  'he'll go out'.

#### 6.8.4 kem-

This prefix is used with the present base to produce a past perfective tense equivalent to Qtelli but which, unlike Qtelli, can take a full range of object suffixes, i.e. the L-set suffixes. It is in fact never found without an object suffix. It does not undergo assimilation but before a Class II or Quadriliteral verb a consonant cluster is avoided by the elision of one /m/, e.g.  $kemz\bar{a}benne$  ( $<*kem+mz\bar{a}ben+-le$ ) 'he sold it'. Such cases can therefore be confused with the present tense form  $kemz\bar{a}benne$  ( $<*k-+mz\bar{a}ben+-le$ ) 'he sells it', but the context will usually identify which is meant. Confusion could also occur with Class I verbs of the same root. For instance  $kemt\bar{a}p\bar{e}la$  has three possible meanings, depending on whether it is identified as tpy I 'to catch up with' or tpy II 'to stick': 'he caught up with her' ( $<*kem-+t\bar{a}pe+-la$ ) or 'he stuck it (f.)' ( $<*kem-+t\bar{a}pe+-la$ ) or 'he sticks it' ( $<*ke-+mt\bar{a}pe+-la$ ). But in practice there are very few verbs where this could occur.

#### 6.8.5 dí-

This prefix is used for the immediate future, e.g.  $diz\bar{a}li \ xazyan \ ^2\dot{\epsilon}$ - $^2ara \ xt\dot{\epsilon}\theta a^1$  'I'm just going to see that lower field' (A:134). Before Class II and Quadriliteral verbs, it is realized as de-, e.g.  $d\acute{e}m\check{s}\bar{a}ren$  'I am about to start'. See §6.8.8 for a possible derivation.

#### 6.8.6 *šud-*

This prefix is used to express deontic modality and so is similar in function to the unprefixed *Qaṭlen* form, but is used less as a command and more as advice. Examples are *šudhāwe* 'he should be, *šudʰāxelle* 'he should eat it'.

# 6.8.7 *d*-

The basic function of this particle is as a complementizer (§10.4.3) but it is also used as a modal particle with the verb 'to be' *hwy*, e.g. t- $\bar{a}wet$  'may you (ms.) be'. There seems to be little difference in meaning from the unprefixed *Qatlen* form, i.e.  $h\bar{a}wet$  'may you (ms.) be', but the form with d- is probably more common.

### $6.8.8 \quad de-+$ imperative

This is the only particle attested with the imperative. It is used to make a command more emphatic. Examples are  $dem\acute{e}\theta \epsilon la$  'bring it (f.)!',  $deh\acute{a}llila$  'give it (f.)!' It is possible that this particle is derived from Kurdish di [dɪ] 'right away', right off, at once, immediately'. There is also a particle in Qəltu Arabic of similar form and function ( $d\bar{e}$  or da-). Alternatively it may be derived from d- (§6.8.7) and used with the imperative by analogy with its deontic use in t- $\bar{a}wet$  'may you be'.

This prefix is also found in Qaraqosh ( $d\partial$ -) and the Nerwa Texts ( $d\iota$ ) with the same function.

#### 6.8.9 b- + infinitive

The preposition b- is affixed to the infinitive in various verbal constructions involving auxiliary verbs (§6.27.1). This prefix is realized as be- before two consonants, e.g.  $be\check{s}t\bar{a}ya$  'drinking'. It is assimilated to the /m/ of an infinitive beginning in /mV/, e.g. mmaploxe (<\*b-maploxe) 'using' and  $mm\bar{a}ra$  ( $<*b-m\bar{a}ra$ ) 'saying'. Before initial /mC/ in Class II and quadriliteral verbs it is elided altogether, e.g. mzabone (<\*bmzabone). Before /mC/ in Class I verbs elision is optional, e.g.  $wola\ k\bar{a}si\ mr\bar{a}^2a\ \sim wola\ k\bar{a}si\ bemr\bar{a}^2a$  'my stomach is hurting' (A). This is even the case when the [m] is an underlying /m/ as in the verbs npl 'to fall' and npq 'to go out', e.g.  $wola\ np\bar{a}la\ [wolemp\bar{a}la\ ]\ \sim wola\ benp\bar{a}la\ [wolemp\bar{a}la\ ]$ . Elision is also optional before other labials immediately preceding consonants (§1.5.7), e.g.  $fy\bar{a}ra\ \sim befy\bar{a}ra$  'flying',  $pl\bar{a}xa\ \sim bepl\bar{a}xa$  'opening'.

Note that the initial /m/ of Class II and quadriliteral infinitives which is otherwise frequently elided, e.g.  $ta^{2}ole$  'to play', is rarely elided in the b- + infinitive construction, so that  $wole \ mta^{2}ole$  and not  $wole \ p$ - $ta^{2}ole$  is normally found.

When *b*- is affixed to an infinitive with initial /i/, e.g. 'ixāla 'to eat' and 'ilāpa 'to learn', the // is elided: bixāla 'eating', bilāpa 'learning'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Rizgar (1993: 66). Alternatively (or in addition) this could be the derivation of di- (§6.8.5), which also has a sense of immediacy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> It is also found in all three Baghdadi dialects (Muslim, Jewish, Christian). Cf. Jastrow (1978: 310-311).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 350) and Sabar (1976: XL) respectively. In the Nerwa Texts it is also used with the  $3^{rd}$  and  $1^{st}$  persons, e.g.  $dt d\bar{a}z$ -ax 'Let us go!'.

#### 6.8.10 - wA

This particle is found with both the present and past bases. It is placed after any A-set suffix and before any L-set suffix, e.g.  $pa\theta xenwa$  'I used to open',  $p\theta \acute{e}xw\bar{a}li$  'I opened'. At the end of a word it is realized as -wa. Before an L-set suffix the allomorph -w $\bar{a}$  is found for phonological reasons (§2.3.2.2.1.ii).

This particle does not take stress and so if it is in penultimate position, stress is retracted to the last permitted syllable, e.g.  $pa\theta x\acute{e}nw\bar{a}le$  'I used to open it (m.)' and  $p\theta\acute{e}xw\bar{a}li$  'I opened'.

The basic function of //-wA// is to shift the time reference back. The unprefixed form  $pa\theta xenwa$  serves as the past equivalent of both indicative  $kpa\theta xen$  and subjunctive  $pa\theta xen$ . It therefore functions both as a past imperfective and a past subjunctive: 'I used to open' and 'I might open'. As the latter it takes d- as a complentizer: t- $pa\theta xenwa$  'that I might open'.

With the past base this particle shifts the time reference to a remoter past, e.g.  $p\theta\acute{e}xw\bar{a}li$  'I opened (remote past)'. The same function is found with the object conjugation  $kempa\theta x\acute{e}nw\bar{a}le$  'I opened it (remote past)'. Combined with the future prefixes bed- and b-, //-wA// produces a form used in the apodosis of a past or counterfactual condition:  $betpa\theta xenwa$  'I would have opened'. Forms with //-wA// are listed below with their counterparts without //-wA// for comparison.

$kpa\theta xen$	'I open'	paθxenwa	'I used to open'
t-paθxen	'that I may open'	t-paθxenwa	'that I might open'
betpaθxen	'I will open'	betpa $\theta$ xenwa	'I would have opened'
$p\theta exli$	'I opened'	pθéxwāli	'I opened (a long time ago)'
kempaθxenne	'I opened it'	kempaθxénwāle	'I opened it (m.) ( " " )'

-

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$  In other dialects such as Qaraqosh (2002:100) the indicative function is marked with k-: k-pa $\underline{t}x\acute{o}nwa$  'I used to open'.

The paradigms of the present and past bases are as follows:

#### **Present base**

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. pāθexwa fs.  $pa\theta x\bar{a}wa$ pl. paθxiwa 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. paθxetwa paθxatwa fs. paθx 'tunwa ≁ paθx 't wa pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. paθxenwa fs.  $pa\theta xanwa$ paθxexwa pl.

#### Past base

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. pθéxwāle fs. pθéxwāla  $p\theta \acute{e}xw\bar{a}l\varepsilon (\not\sim p\theta \acute{e}xw\bar{a}la)$ pl. 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. pθéxwālux fs. pθéxwālax pθéxwāloxun ≁ pθéxwāloxu pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. sg. pθéxwāli pθéxwālan pl.

An interesting feature is attested for *verba tertiae /r/* or /r/. The rhotic is treated as equivalent to the /l/ of the L-set suffix, so that one finds *spēra* 'she waited' and *spēwāra* 'she waited (a long time ago)', rather than the expected \**spérwāla*. This is presumably by analogy with the syllabic pattern of *verba tertiae /y/*, e.g. *xzēla* 'she saw' – *xzēwāla* 'she saw (a long time ago)'. Because there is no /l/ in *spēra*, /r/ is analysed as one of its allomorphs. The examples elicited are: *spēwāra* 'she waited', *mēwāri* 'I said', *d'ēwāra* 'she returned (intr.)' *mudēwāra* 'she returned (tr.)' and *ḥēwāra* 'she was confused'.

#### 6.8.11 Feminine nominal inflection -Ta

The feminine inflectional marker //-Ta// (- $ta \sim -\theta a$ ) is found on the verbal nominals: infinitive, active participle and stative participle. It causes various regular phonetic changes to the base such as voicing assimilation, e.g. qlebta [qlepta] 'upside down'. The choice of allomorph (-ta or - $\theta a$ ) is decided by phonetic rules which are derived from the earlier Aramaic  $be\bar{g}adke\bar{p}at$  rules. Historically the plosive was found after consonants and the fricative after vowels, including schwa. In the modern dialect this is slightly modified. The plosive -ta is found after consonants but also the vowels / $\bar{a}$ /, / $\bar{e}$ /, /' / and /o/. The fricative - $\theta a$  is found after /i/ and /e/. Examples are given below:

# -ta allomorph

```
/Cta/ p\theta axta 'opening' (fs. infinitive)
        šweqta 'abandoned' (fs. stative participle)
        malpanta 'female teacher' (fs. active participle)
/āta/ is only found in the fs. infinitive of verba tertiae P/:
        \check{s}m\bar{a}ta 'hearing' (\nsim \check{s}ma'ta < *\check{s}m\bar{a}'-ta)
        raqāta 'patching' (<*mraqa'ta < *mraqo'ta)
/ēta/ is only found in the fs. stative participle of verba tertiae // I:
        swēta 'satisfied' (< *swe'ta < *swi'-ta)
        mr\bar{e}ta 'ill' (< *mre'ta < *mri'-ta)
/ ta/ is only found in the fs. stative participle of verba tertiae /w/ I:
        k\theta 'ta 'written' (<*k\thetaewta <*k\thetaiw-ta)
        t ta 'sitting' (< *tewta < *tiw-ta)
/ota/ is found in fs. infinitives and participles of verba tertiae /w/:
        'itota 'sitting' (< *'itawta < *'itāw-ta, fs. infinitive)
        ka\theta ota 'authoress' (<*ka\theta awta < *ka\theta \bar{a}w-ta, fs. active participle)
        maxrota 'making destroy' (< *maxrowta, fs. infinitive xrw III)
```

<sup>11</sup> Note that these rules are only followed consistently with forms that are derived from a basic masculine form (as in adjectives and verbal nominals). Many feminine nouns which have no masculine equivalent do not follow the same rules due to historical changes (cf. §7.7).

# $-\theta a$ allomorph

```
/iθa/ is only found in the fs. stative participles of verba tertiae /y/:

kliθa 'standing' (< *kleyta < *kliy-ta)

xziθa 'seen' (< *xzeyta < xziy-ta)

/εθa/ is only found in fs. infinitives and stative participles of verba tertiae /y/ III:

xzεθa 'seeing' (<*xzayta < *xzāy-ta, fs. infinitive)

mšurεθa 'begun' (<*mšurayta < *mšurāy-ta, fs. stative participle)

maḥkɛθa 'speech' (< *maḥkayta < *maḥkoy-ta, fs. infinitive)

muḥkɛθa 'spoken' (< *muḥkayta, fs. stative participle)
```

The historical development of these vowels before //-Ta// is therefore as follows:

```
-āta < *a'ta (<*ā'ta or *o'ta)

-ēta < *e'ta (<*i'ta)

- 'ta < *ewta (<*iwta)

-ota < *awta (<*āwta)

-iθa < *eyta (<*iyta)

-εθa < *ayta (<*āyta or *oyta)
```

It appears that in these forms earlier \*// and \*/w/ were regarded as consonants, hence the occurrence of the plosive allomorph, while original \*/y/ was treated as a vowel, hence the occurrence of the fricative allomorph. The reason that \*/w/ was treated as a consonant may be that in *verba tertiae* /w/ (and adjectives ending in /w/) it was a consonant: \*/b/. None of the verbs in this category have original \*/w/ (cf. §6.28.2.1). This could explain the difference in behaviour between the words listed above and nouns with vowels not

derived from consonants, such as  $mo\theta a$  'death' ( $<*maw\underline{t}\bar{a}$ ),  $slo\theta a$  'prayer' (Syr.  $sl\bar{o}\underline{t}\bar{a}$ ) and the abstract ending  $-\dot{\theta}a$  in words such as  $pesx\dot{\theta}a$  'happiness'.<sup>12</sup>

# 6.9 Negation

Verbs are negated with the negator particle *la*-. The prefix usually takes the stress, e.g. *lá-kxašwen* 'I don't think so', *lá-ktaxrenna* 'I don't remember it (f.)' and *lá-šmēle* 'he did not heed' (D:4). In verbal forms involving the copula, the negative copula is used.

### 6.10 Notes on weak verbs

Radicals //, /y/ and /w/ often behave as weak consonants, i.e. they merge with vowels or are elided altogether. When there are two weak consonants, sometimes one will behave as a strong consonant.

The laryngeal fricative /h/ is weak in a couple of cases in initial or medial position. Verba tertiae /h/ appear not to exist. Arabic  $\check{s}bh$  'to resemble' is converted to a verbum tertiae /h/ ( $\check{s}b^{\flat}$  I).

It should be noted that there is some variation found, from speaker to speaker and also within the speech of individual speakers. This is especially the case with elision of // and contraction of /o/ and /ɛ/ in closed syllables. Even where variants are not given or are actively rejected by one speaker, they may yet be attested in the speech of others.

#### 6.11 Weak verbs in Class I

# 6.11.1 Verba primae *P*/

Verbs with initial // fall into two groups, Type 1 and Type 2, according to the behaviour of the initial //. There are five attested verbs in each category, some of them doubly weak or irregular:

### Type 1

 $^{2}rq$  'to run',  $^{2}sp$  'to weed',  $^{2}zl$  'to spin',  $^{2}ry$  (§6.11.9) and  $^{2}wr$  (§6.11.14)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> But note that in Syriac the /w/ or /y/ of a diphthong acted as a consonant in causing the following consonant to be a plosive, so the Syriac cognate of  $mo\theta a$  is  $mawt\bar{a}$ . It may be that the ancestor of ANA had different rules, or that  $mo\theta a$  has a fricative by analogy with words such as  $slo\theta a$  (Syr.  $slot\bar{a}$ ).

# Type 2

```
^{2}xl 'to eat', ^{2}mr 'to say', ^{2}\theta y 'to come' (§6.11.9), ^{2}w\partial 'to do' (§6.11.14), ^{2}zl 'to go' (§6.15.1)
```

Most present base forms are the same for both types, e.g.  ${}^{2}\bar{a}req$  'let him run' (Type 1) and  ${}^{2}\bar{a}xel$  'let him eat' (Type 2). After prefixes, the initial aleph is usually but not always elided, e.g.  $barqi \nsim b^{2}arqi$  'they'll run away',  $b\bar{a}mer \nsim b^{2}\bar{a}mer$  'he'll say',  $kem\bar{a}m\bar{e}ran \nsim kem^{2}\bar{a}m\bar{e}ran$  'he said to us'.

When the k- prefix is added, the two types are clearly distinguished. Type 1 verbs behave regularly, optionally eliding the P/, e.g.  $k^2arqi \nsim karqi$  'they run'. With Type 2 verbs the prefix merges with the base to produce a special stem:  $kiC_2eC_3$ , e.g. kixel 'he eats', kimer 'he says'. Before A-set suffixes, the stem is  $keC_2C_3$ -, e.g. kexla 'she eats' and kemr' tun 'you (pl.) say'.

In past base forms and the stative participle, Type 1 verbs (except 'wr, cf. §6.11.14) retain the initial //, e.g. 'reqli 'I ran'. Stative participle: 'riqa (ms.), 'reqta (fs.), 'riqe (pl.) 'having run'. Type 2 verbs elide the //, e.g. xelli 'I ate', mēri 'I said'. Stative participle: xila (ms.), xelta (fs.), xile (pl.) 'eaten'.

Type 1 imperatives are as for strong verbs, e.g.  ${}^{2}roq$  'run!' (sg.) and  ${}^{2}erqu$  'run!' (pl.). Type 1 infinitives are also as for strong verbs:  ${}^{2}r\bar{a}qa$ . After  ${}^{2}m$  may be elided, e.g.  ${}^{2}b^{2}r\bar{a}qa \nsim ber\bar{a}qa$  'running'.

Type 2 imperatives and infinitives vary. The verb  $^{2}xl$  'to eat' takes  $^{2}i/$  as its initial syllable in both, like *verba primae /y/: ^{2}ixul* 'eat!' (pl.  $^{2}exlu$ ) (cf. §2.3.2.4.i),  $^{2}ix\bar{a}la$  'to eat' (f.  $^{2}ixalta$ , fpl.  $^{2}ixalta$ ). The verbs  $^{2}\theta y$  'to come' and  $^{2}zl$  'to go' behave like  $^{2}xl$  with respect to their infinitives; their imperatives are irregular. The verb  $^{2}mr$  'to say' elides the  $^{2}l/t$  altogether in the imperative: mor (sg.), muru (pl.). Elision is however optional in the infinitive: the initial radical may alternatively be realized as  $^{2}i/t$ :  $m\bar{a}ra \sim ^{2}im\bar{a}ra$ . The verb  $^{2}w\partial$  'to do' behaves like  $^{2}mr$  with respect to its infinitive; the imperative behaves differently because of the weak middle radical.

The different behaviour of the two types of *verba primae P/* is explained by their origin, as reflected in Syriac. The initial radical in Type 1 verbs is derived from original

\*//, while in Type 2 verbs it is derived from original \*//. Original \*// has simply developed to //, while \*// has been preserved in some forms and changed to /y/ (realized as initial  $^{2}i$ ) or elided in others. The one exception to this rule is the Type 2 verb  $^{2}w\delta$  'to do' which is derived from  $^{*c}\underline{b}\underline{d}$ .

Type 1 (< * <i>CC</i> )	Type 2 ( $< *^{2}CC$ )		
'rq 'to run' (Syr. 'rq)	<i>xl</i> 'to eat' (Syr. ' <u>k</u> l)		
'ry 'to hold' (Syr. 'ry)	mr 'to say' (Syr. mr)		
$^{5}wr$ 'to enter' (Syr. $^{c}\underline{b}r$ )	$\theta$ y 'to come' (Syr. $t$ y)		
<sup>2</sup> zl 'to spin (yarn)' (Syr. <sup>c</sup> zl)	<i>'zl</i> 'to go' (Syr. <i>'zl</i> )		
<sup>2</sup> šp 'to weed' (Syr. 'šp 'to clean grain')			

# 6.11.2 Verba primae /h/

Verbs of this type, e.g. *hjm* 'to attack', are conjugated as strong verbs, with no elision of the /h/.

#### 6.11.3 Verba mediae //

Examples of this type are r's 'to wake up' and d'r 'to return'. In slower or more careful speech the P/ of these verbs acts as a strong root consonant, e.g.  $kr\bar{a}$ 'es 'he wakes up', kra'sen 'I (m.) wake up', r'esli 'I woke up', r'isa 'awake' (ms.), r'os 'wake up!', r'āsa 'to wake up'. This is the case for all forms but the plural imperative where it is always elided, e.g. rusu 'wake up!'. In faster speech the P/ is very often elided in other forms, e.g.  $d\bar{e}r$ e 'he returned', resta 'awake', dor 'return!', ros 'wake up!' and dlá-berāsed-yèmmi 'Without my mother's knowledge' (A:120). In present base forms a preceding la/ is lengthened in compensation, e.g. bdāran (r) bdaran) 'I (f.) will return'.

### 6.11.4 Verba mediae /h/

Verbs of this type, e.g. *nhb* 'to loot' and *bhr* 'to become bright', are conjugated as strong verbs, with no elision of the /h/.

#### 6.11.5 Verba tertiae //

An example of this type is  $\check{sm}$  'to hear'. The present base is  $\check{s\bar{a}me}$ , e.g.  $k\check{s\bar{a}me}$  'he hears'. Before A-set suffixes, this is  $\check{sam}$ , or with  $\rlap/$  elided  $\check{sam}$ , e.g.  $k\check{sam}$   $\not\sim k\check{sam}$  'she hears'. The latter is the more common form. With suffixes, final  $\rlap/e$  '/ is replaced by  $\rlap/e$ / (cf. §6.18.1.1), e.g.  $kem\check{s\bar{a}m\bar{e}la}$  'he heard her' and  $\check{s\bar{a}m\bar{e}wa}$  'he used to hear'.

According to the same rule (\*/e²/>/ē/) the past base is  $\check{s}m\bar{e}$ - rather than  $\check{s}me^{\flat}$ -, e.g.  $\check{s}m\bar{e}li$  'I heard'. This form is therefore identical to that of *verba tertiae* /y/, e.g.  $xz\bar{e}li$  'I saw'. With A-set infixes the base is  $\check{s}mi^{\flat}$ -, e.g.  $\check{s}mi^{\flat}\bar{a}li$  'I heard it (f.)' and  $\check{s}mi^{\flat}ili$  'I heard them'. Stative participle:  $\check{s}mi^{\flat}a$  (ms.),  $\check{s}m\bar{e}ta$  (fs.) and  $\check{s}mi^{\flat}e$  (pl.) 'heard'.

The singular imperative is as for strong verbs, e.g.  $\check{s}mo^{\flat}$  'hear!'. The  $\rlap/\!\!/$  is elided in the plural imperative:  $\check{s}emu$  'hear!'. Infinitive:  $\check{s}m\bar{a}^{\flat}a$  (m.),  $\check{s}ma^{\flat}ta \nsim \check{s}m\bar{a}ta$  (f.s.).

# 6.11.6 Verba primae /y/

A verb of this type is *ylp* 'to learn'. The present base of verbs in this group is formed as for strong verbs, e.g. *kyālep* 'he learns' and *kyalpa* 'she learns'. In other forms, the initial radical is either given syllabic status as *Pi/* or elided.

In past base forms and stative participles *verba primae /y/* fall into two groups. The verb ylp is an example of Type 1 and ysq 'to climb' is an example of Type 2. Type 1 verbs give the initial radical syllabic status as /i/ so that the past base is /ilep-, e.g. /ilepli 'I learned'. With A-set infixes the base is /ilep-, e.g. /ilepli 'he learned it (f.)' and /ilepli 'he learned them'. Stative participle: /ilipa (ms.), /ilepta (fs.), /ilipe (pl.) 'learnt'. Type 2 verbs elide the initial /y/, so that the past base is /ilepli 'I climbed'. Stative participle: /ilipa (fs.), /ilipa (pl.) 'having climbed'.

The following verbs are attested in the two categories:

#### Type 1

```
ylp 'to learn', yl\eth 'to give birth (of animals)', ypy 'to bake' (§6.11.12), y\eth' 'to know' (§6.15.3)
```

# Type 2

```
ysq 'to climb', yṣṛ 'to tie', yqð 'to burn', ytw 'to sit' (\S6.11.19),
```

```
ywš 'to dry' (§6.11.15), ywl 'to give' (§6.15.4)
```

Verba primae /y/ also behave according to type in the imperative, though not with complete predictability. Three of the four Type 1 verbs retain the initial radical as  $/^{i}$ /. The imperative of the other ( $yl\delta$ ) has not been elicited as it is unlikely to occur. The three attested also tend to take the stress on the second syllable:  $^{2}il\delta p$  'learn!',  $^{2}ipi$  'bake' and  $^{2}i\delta\delta^{2}$  'know!'. Initial stress does nevertheless occur. For  $y\delta^{2}$  Informant B gave  $^{2}i\delta u^{2}$  instead of  $^{2}i\delta\delta^{2}$ ; and  $^{2}il\delta p$  has its stress retracted before a verbal complement, e.g.  $^{2}ilup \,^{2}ay$  'learn this!' The regular plural imperative is  $^{2}elpu$  'learn (pl.)!', again showing the overlap between verba primae  $/^{2}$  and /y/.

Verbs of Type 2 form their imperatives either as  ${}^{2}iC_{2}uC_{3}$ , with initial stress, or as  $C_{2}oC_{3}$ , with elision of /y/. The plurals are  ${}^{2}eC_{2}C_{3}u \nsim yeC_{2}uC_{3}u$  and  $C_{2}uC_{3}u$  respectively. Some verbs have both forms as free variants. The attested forms are as follows:

### Type 2

```
'Climb!'
                    'ísuq (sg.), 'esqu (pl.)
ysq
        'Tie!'
                    'íṣuṛ (sg.), 'eṣṛu ≁ yeṣṛu (pl.)
yș<u>ŗ</u>
yqð
        'Burn!'
                    qoð (sg.), quðu (pl.) ≁ 'íquð (sg.), yeqðu (pl.)
        'Sit!'
                    'itu (sg.), 'etwu ≁'etu (pl.)
ytw
        'Dry!'
                    woš (sg.), wušu (pl.)
vwš
        'Give!'
                    (irregular)
ywl
```

The infinitive is the same for all verbs:  $il\bar{a}pa$  (m.), ilapta (fs., pl.  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

## 6.11.7 Verba mediae /y/

Verbs of this type are  $py\check{s}$  'to become', 'to remain' and  $qy\check{s}$  'to cut'. The present base is  $p\bar{a}ye\check{s}$ , e.g.  $kp\bar{a}ye\check{s}$  'he becomes'. Before A-set suffixes the base is  $pe\check{s}$ - (<\* $pay\check{s}$ -), e.g.  $kpe\check{s}a$  'she becomes',  $kpe\check{s}i$  'they become'. In the past base and stative participles the ly/l of the root is elided. The past base is  $pe\check{s}$ -, e.g.  $pe\check{s}le$  'he became'. Before A-set infixes it is  $C_1iC_3$ -, e.g.  $qi\check{s}ali$  'I cut it (f.)',  $qi\check{s}ili$  'I cut them'. Stative participle:  $pi\check{s}a$  (ms.),  $pe\check{s}ta$  (fs.),  $pi\check{s}e$  (pl.) 'remaining'.

The /y/ is also elided in the imperative: poš (sg.) and pušu (pl.) 'rise!'. One verb, qym 'to rise', has an irregular singular imperative in which the final /m/ is elided: qu (sg.) 'rise!' (but pl. qumu).

Infinitive:  $py\bar{a}\check{s}a$  (m.),  $pya\check{s}ta$  (fs., pl.  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.11.8 Verba tertiae /y/

A verb of this type is xzy 'to see'. The present base paradigm differs in several respects to that of strong verbs. Feminine forms take a strong stem xazy- with regular A-set suffixes. In masuline and plural forms the stem is  $x\bar{a}z$ -, e.g.  $kx\bar{a}ze$  'he sees'. The merger, in these cases, of the final /y/ with the A-set suffixes has resulted in three special inflections: 3ms. -e, 3pl.  $-\varepsilon$  and 2pl. -otu(n):

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $x\bar{a}ze$ 

fs. xazya

pl.  $x\bar{a}z\varepsilon$ 

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. xāzet

fs. xazyat

pl.  $x\bar{a}zotu(n)$ 

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. xāzen

fs. xazyan

pl.  $x\bar{a}zex$ 

The past base is  $xz\bar{e}$ - for all persons, e.g.  $xz\bar{e}lan$  'we saw'. It combines with a 3fs. A-set infix to form the stem  $xezy\bar{a}$ -, e.g.  $xezy\bar{a}li$  'I saw her'. With a 3pl. infix the stem is  $xz\varepsilon$ -  $\not\sim xezy\varepsilon$ -, e.g.  $xz\varepsilon li \not\sim xezy\varepsilon li$  'I saw them'. Stative participle: xezya (ms.),  $xzi\theta a$  (fs.), xezye (pl.) 'drunk'.

Verba tertiae /y/ of all Classes are unique (excepting  ${}^{2}zl$  'to go') in having a gender distinction in the singular imperative: xzi (ms.),  $xz\varepsilon$  (fs.), xzo (pl.) 'see!'. Infinitive:  $xz\bar{a}ya$  (m.),  $xz\varepsilon\theta a$  (fs., pl.  $xzay\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.11.9 Verba primae //, tertiae /y/

The two attested verbs that fall into this category cover both types of *verba primae P/*. Both behave as *verba tertiae /y/*, with two forms of the base and special suffixes. The Type 1 example is 'ry 'to catch, hold'. The present base is ' $\bar{a}r$ - with masculine/plural inflection and 'ary- with feminine inflection. Examples: k' $\bar{a}ren \nsim k\bar{a}ren$  'I catch', l'a-'aret 'don't hold',  $kem\bar{a}rexle$  'we caught them', kem'ary $\bar{a}la$  'it (f.) caught her'. The past base is ' $r\bar{e}$ , e.g. ' $r\bar{e}li$  'I caught'. It combines with a 3fs. A-set infix to form the stem 'ery $\bar{a}$ -, e.g. 'ery $\bar{a}li$  'I caught her'. With a 3pl. infix the stem is 'ere-, e.g. 'ereli 'I caught them'. Stative participle: 'erya (ms.), 'eria (fs.), 'erye (pl.) 'caught'. Imperative: 'eri (ms.), 'ere (fs.), 'ero (pl.) 'catch!'. Infinitive: 'eraya (m.).

The Type 2 verb is  ${}^{2}\theta y$  'to come'. The present base is  ${}^{2}\bar{a}\theta -$  with masculine/plural inflection and  ${}^{2}a\theta y -$  with feminine inflection, e.g.  $d - {}^{2}\bar{a}\theta e \not\sim d - \bar{a}\theta e$  'so that he may come',  $b^{2}a\theta y a \not\sim ba\theta y a$  'she'll come'. With the k - prefix, the stems are  $ki\theta -$  and  $ke\theta y -$  respectively, e.g.  $ki\theta e$  'he comes,  $ke\theta y a$  'she comes',  $ki\theta o t u$  'you (pl.) come'. The past base is  $\theta \bar{e} -$ , e.g.  $\theta \bar{e} l u x$  'you (ms.) came'. Stative participle:  ${}^{2}e\theta y a$  (ms.),  $\theta i \theta a$  (fs.) and  ${}^{2}e\theta y e$  (pl.) 'having come'. This verb has suppletive forms for the imperative: hayyu (sg.) and hayyo (pl.) 'come!'. Before an adverb hay (sg.) is also found, e.g.  $hay l - \bar{a}xa$  'come here',  $hay t\bar{a}li$  'come to my side'. No gender distinction is made for the imperative, unlike other verba tertiae /y /. Infinitive:  ${}^{2}i\theta \bar{a}ya$  (m.),  ${}^{2}i\theta \epsilon \theta a$  (fs., pl.  ${}^{2}i\theta ay\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

#### 6.11.10 Verba mediae //, tertiae /y/

The only attested regular verb of this category is  $r^3y$  'to graze'. This behaves as a regular tertiae /y/ verb. Examples of forms include  $kr\bar{a}^2\varepsilon$  'they graze',  $kra^2ya$  'it (f.) grazes',  $r^2\bar{e}l\varepsilon$  'they grazed',  $r^2\bar{a}ya$  'to graze'.

Another verb of this category, by 'to want', is irregular (c.f. §6.15.2).

# 6.11.11 Verba mediae /h/, tertiae /y/

Verbs of this type behave as other *verba tertiae* /y/, except that the /h/ is frequently elided after a consonant. A verb of this type is  $\check{c}hy$  'to try', 'to tire'. The present base is  $\check{c}\bar{a}h$ -with masculine/plural inflection and  $\check{c}ahy$ - with feminine inflection, e.g.  $k\check{c}\bar{a}h\varepsilon$  'they try',

*kčahyan* 'I (f.) try'. The past base is  $\check{c}h\bar{e}$ -  $\nsim \check{c}\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $\check{c}h\bar{e}le \nsim \check{c}\bar{e}le$  'he tried'. Stative participle:  $\check{c}ehya$  (ms.),  $\check{c}hi\theta a \nsim \check{c}i\theta a$  (fs.),  $\check{c}ehye$  (pl.) 'tired'. Imperative:  $\check{c}hi \nsim \check{c}i$  (ms.),  $\check{c}h\epsilon \nsim \check{c}\epsilon$  (fs.),  $\check{c}ho \nsim \check{c}o$  (pl.) 'try!'. Infinitive:  $\check{c}h\bar{a}ya$  (m.).

# 6.11.12 Verba primae /y/, tertiae /y/

The only attested verb in this category is ypy 'to bake'. This verb follows the rules of  $verba\ primae\ /y/$  (Type 1) and  $verba\ tertiae\ /y/$ . The present base is  $y\bar{a}p$ - with masculine/plural inflection, yapy- with feminine inflection, e.g.  $by\bar{a}p\varepsilon$  'they'll bake', kyapya 'she bakes'. The past base is  ${}^{2}ip\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  ${}^{2}ip\bar{e}la$  'she baked'. Stative participle: yepya (ms.),  ${}^{2}ipi\theta a$  (fs.), yepye (pl.) 'baked'. Imperative:  ${}^{2}ipi$  (ms.),  ${}^{2}ipi$  (fs.),  ${}^{2}ipi$  (pl.). Infinitive:  ${}^{2}ip\bar{a}ya$  (m.),  ${}^{2}ip\varepsilon\theta a$  (fs.).

#### 6.11.13 Verba mediae /w/

Examples of this category are *lwš* 'to wear', *zwn* 'to buy' and *twr* 'to break'. The present base is *lāweš*, before A-set suffixes *loš*- (<\**lawš*-), e.g. *klāweš* 'he wears', *klošen* 'I wear'. Unlike in *verba mediae /y/*, the medial consonant is preserved in the past base *lweš*-, e.g. *lwešlɛ* 'they wore', *zwenni* 'I bought', *twēri* 'I broke'. With A-set infixes the base is *zwin*-, e.g. *zwināli* 'I bought it (f.), *zwinili* 'I bought them'. Stative participle: *lwiša* (ms.), *lwešta* (fs.), *lwiše* (pl.) 'clothed'. The singular imperative may be as for strong verbs: *lwoš* 'dress!' or as for *verba mediae /y/: loš*. The plural imperative is as for *verba mediae /y/: lušu*. Infinitive: *lwāša* (m.)

### 6.11.14 Verba primae //, mediae /w/

There are two examples of this type attested:  ${}^{3}wr$  'to enter' and  ${}^{3}w\tilde{\partial}$  'to do'. These verbs have the features of both *verba primae P/* and *verba mediae /w/*. As *verba primae P/*, they divide into Type 1 and Type 2. The example of Type 1 (like  ${}^{3}rq$ ) is  ${}^{3}wr$  'to enter'. After prefixes the P/ is normally elided, e.g.  $k\bar{a}wer$  'he enters', kori 'they enter'. Unlike other verbs of Type 1,  ${}^{3}wr$  usually loses its initial P/ in the past base and stative participle. The past base is  $w\bar{e}r$ - ( ${}^{*}v\bar{e}r$ -), e.g.  $w\bar{e}re$   ${}^{*}v\bar{e}re$  'he entered'. Stative participle: wira (ms.),

werta (fs.), wire (pl.) 'having entered'. The singular imperative is formed like other verba mediae /w/, but the // may be elided: 'wor ≁ wor (sg.), 'uru (pl.) 'enter!'.

The example of Type 2 (like  ${}^{2}xl$ ) is  ${}^{3}w\eth$  'to do'. The present base is  ${}^{2}\bar{a}we\eth$ , before A-set suffixes  ${}^{2}o\eth$ -. After prefixes the aleph is elided, e.g. d- $o\eth\bar{a}wa$  'that she might do'. With the k- prefix, the 3ms. is  $kiwe\eth$  'he does'. Before A-set suffixes the stem is  $k^{*}\bar{\partial}$ -( $<*kew\eth$ -), e.g.  $k^{*}\bar{\partial}a$  'she does',  $k^{*}\bar{\partial}i$  'they do',  $k^{*}\bar{\partial}et$  'you do'. In the past base, the P/ is elided:  $we\eth$ -, e.g.  $we\bar{\partial}li$  'I did'. Stative participle:  $wi\bar{\partial}a$  (ms.),  $we\bar{\partial}ta$  (fs.),  $wi\bar{\partial}e$  (pl.) 'done'. Imperative:  ${}^{2}wo\eth$   $\not\sim {}^{2}o\eth$  (sg.),  ${}^{2}u\eth u$  (pl.) 'do!'. The infinitive is  $w\bar{a}\bar{\partial}a$ , like Type 2 verb  $m\bar{a}ra$  ( ${}^{2}mr$ ), rather than the  ${}^{2}l\bar{C}a\bar{C}a$  form found with other Type 2 verbs. A form with the P/ preserved,  ${}^{2}w\bar{a}\bar{\partial}a$ , is also given by Informant A, though not found in the texts. For the b-infinitive form  $bw\bar{a}\bar{\partial}a$  the variant  $by\bar{a}\bar{\partial}a$  is attested in the speech of Informant C.

### 6.11.15 Verba primae /y/, mediae /w/

The only attested example of this type is ywš 'to dry (intr.)'. The present base is yāweš, with A-set suffixes yoš-, e.g. byāweš 'it (m.) will dry', kyoša 'it (fs.) dries', ta d-yoši 'so that they may dry'. In past base forms and stative participles this verb belongs to the second type of verba primae /y/, i.e. the /y/ is elided, e.g. wešlɛ 'they dried'. Stative participle: wiša (ms.), wešta (fs.), wiše (pl.) 'dried', 'dry'. Imperative: woš (sg.), wušu (pl.) 'dry!' Infinitive: 'iwāša (m.)

# 6.11.16 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //

A verb of this category is  $sw^3$  'to be full, satisfied'. The present base is  $s\bar{a}we^3$ , before Aset suffixes  $so^3$ - ( $<*saw^3$ ), e.g.  $ks\bar{a}we^3$  'he is full',  $so^3at$  'may you (fs.) be satisfied'. The past base is  $sw\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $sw\bar{e}li$  'I became full'. Stative participle:  $swi^2a$  (ms.),  $sw\bar{e}ta$  (fs.) and  $swi^3e$  (pl.) 'satisfied'. The singular imperative is  $swo^3$ . The plural is  $su^3u$ , as for verba mediae  $swi^3e$  (compare  $swi^3e$ ), rather than  $suwi^3e$  as would be expected for a  $swi^3e$  (m.)

### 6.11.17 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae /y/

A verb of this type is rwy 'to grow up'. This verb follows the rules of both verba mediae /w/ and tertiae /y/. The present base is  $r\bar{a}w$ - with masculine/plural inflection, roy- (<\*rawy-) with feminine inflection, e.g.  $kr\bar{a}we$  'he grows up', kroya 'she grows up'. The past base is  $rw\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $rw\bar{e}le$  'he grew up'. Imperative: rwi (ms.),  $rw\varepsilon$  (fs.), rwo (pl.) 'grow up!'. Stative participle: r' ya (ms.),  $rwi\theta a$  (fs.), r' ye (pl.) 'grown up'. Infinitive:  $rw\bar{a}ya$  (m.).

The other verb of this type is hwy 'to be' which is irregular (§6.15.5).

# 6.11.18 Verba tertiae /w/

These verbs conjugate mostly as strong verbs except for the rule that \*/ew/ in a closed syllable is replaced by  $/ \cdot /$  (or /u/ in a final unstressed syllable). The present base is  $r\bar{a}ku$  ( $<*r\bar{a}kew$ ), rakw- before A-set suffixes, e.g. krakwa 'she rides', krakw 'tun 'you (pl.) ride'. The past base is rk'- (<\*rkew-), e.g. rk 'la 'she rode'. Stative participle: rkiwa (ms.), rk 'ta (fs.), rkiwe (pl.) 'ridden'.

These verbs have a special imperative: rk 'ride!' (rather than \*rkow). The plural is as for strong verbs: rekwu. Infinitive:  $rk\bar{a}wa$  (m.), rkota (fs., pl.  $rkoy\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.11.19Verba primae /y/, tertiae /w/

The only attested verb of this type is ytw 'to sit'. The present base is  $y\bar{a}tu$ , yatw- before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $ky\bar{a}tu$  'he sits' and byatwi 'they'll sit'. This verb belongs to the second type of verba primae /y/, i.e. it elides the /y/ in the past base and stative participle. The past base is therefore t '-, e.g. t 'li 'I sat'. Stative participle: tiwa (ms.), t 'ta (fs.), tiwe (pl.) 'sitting'. Imperative: 'itu (sg.), ' $etwu \sim 'etu$  (pl.) 'sit!'. Infinitive: ' $it\bar{a}wa$  (m.), 'itota (fs., pl. ' $itoy\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

#### 6.12 Weak verbs in Class II

#### 6.12.1 Verba mediae //

A verb of this type is  $t^2l$  II 'to play'. The present base is  $mt\bar{a}^2el$ ,  $mta^2l$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kemt\bar{a}^2el$  'he plays',  $kemta^2li$  'they play'. Forms with  $t^2l$  elided were not acceptable to Informant A, but  $kemt\bar{a}li$  is attested. It may be that it is an allegro form only acceptable in flowing speech, not as a citation form. The past base is  $(m)tu^2el$ -, e.g.  $(m)tu^2ell\epsilon$  'the played'. Stative participle:  $mto^2la$  (ms.),  $mtu^2alta$  (fs.),  $mto^2le$  (pl.) 'played'. Imperative:  $mt\bar{a}^2el$  (sg.),  $mta^2lu$  (pl.) 'play!'. Infinitive:  $(m)ta^2ole$  (m.),  $ta^2alta$  (fs., pl. -  $y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.12.2 Verba mediae /h/

Verbs of this type, e.g. *šhr* II 'to stay awake', are conjugated as strong verbs, with no elision of the */h/*. Examples: *mšahriwa* 'they used to stay awake', *mšuhēra* 'she stayed awake'.

#### 6.12.3 Verba tertiae //

There are two examples of this type:  $rq^3$  II 'to patch' and  $qt^3$  II 'to chop up'. Most forms elicited are the same for both. The present base is  $mraqqe^3$ , mraqqe before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $mraqqe^3$  'he'll patch',  $kemraqq\bar{a}le$  'she patched. The past base is  $mruqq\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $mruqq\bar{e}la$  'she patched'. Stative participle: mroqqa (ms.),  $mruqqe\theta a$  (fs.), but  $mquta^3ta$  'chopped up (fs.)', mroqqe (pl.) 'patched'. Imperative: mraqqi (ms.), mraqqe (fs.), mraqqu (pl.) 'patch!'. Infinitive:  $(m)raqqo^3e$  (m.),  $raq\bar{a}ta \nsim raqqa^3ta$  (fs.).

The paradigm of *verba tertiae P*/ Class II is very inconsistent. Only a couple of forms conjugate as one would expect from normal phonological rules:  $raq\bar{a}ta$  (<\* $mraqa^3ta$  <\* $mraqo^3ta$ ) and  $mquta^3ta$ . The others conjugate according to other types, *mediae geminatae* (rqq II, cf. §6.12.9) and the hypothetical quadriliterals *mediae geminatae*, quartae // or /y/ ( $rqq^3$  Q. or rqqy Q.). The forms can be attributed to these types as follows:

Present base rqq<sup>3</sup> Q. mraqqe<sup>3</sup> Present base before A-set mraqqrqqII Past base mruqqērqq' or rqqy Q. Stative participle (ms., pl.) mroqqa (ms.) rqq II Stative participle (fs.)  $mruqq\varepsilon\theta a$ rqqy Q. Singular imperatives mraqqi, mraqqε rqqy Q. Plural imperative mraqqu rqq II Infinitive (m.) mraqqo<sup>2</sup>e rqq<sup>2</sup> Q. Variant infinitive (fs.) rqq<sup>2</sup>Q. raqqa<sup>2</sup>ta

# 6.12.4 Verba primae /y/

The only attested example of this type is *yqr* II 'to respect'. This is conjugated as a strong verb.

### 6.12.5 Verba mediae /y/

An example of this type is the Arabic loan 'yd II'to celebrate'. The present base is  $m^c\bar{a}yed$ -,  $m^c\varepsilon d$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kem^c\bar{a}yed$  'he celebrates',  $kem^c\varepsilon di$  'they celebrate'. The past base is  $(m)^c uyed$ -, e.g.  $m^c uyedlan$  'we celebrated'. Stative participle:  $m^c\varepsilon da$  (ms.),  $m^c uyadta$  (fs.),  $m^c\varepsilon de$  (pl.) 'having celebrated'. Imperative:  $m^c\bar{a}yed$  (sg.),  $m^c\varepsilon du$  (pl.) 'celebrate!'. Infinitive:  $(m)^c ayode$  (m.), 'ayadta (fs., pl. -y $\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

#### 6.12.6 Verba tertiae /y/

An example of this type is  $\check{s}ry$  II 'to begin'. The present base behaves as for Class I verba tertiae /y/: the present base has two forms:  $m\check{s}\bar{a}r$ - with masculine/plural inflection and  $m\check{s}ary$ - with feminine inflection. The masculine/plural base take the special inflections used with Class I. Examples are  $kem\check{s}\bar{a}re$  'he begins',  $kem\check{s}\bar{a}re$  'they begin',  $m\check{s}\bar{a}rexwa$  'we used to begin' and  $bedem\check{s}aryan$  'I (f.) will begin'. The past base is  $(m)\check{s}ur\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $m\check{s}ur\bar{e}li \nsim \check{s}ur\bar{e}li$  'I began'. Stative participle:  $m\check{s}orya$  (ms.),  $m\check{s}ure\theta a$  (fs.),  $m\check{s}orye$  (pl.) 'begun'. As for Class I verbs, imperatives are distinguished for gender in the singular:  $m\check{s}\bar{a}ri$  (ms.),  $m\check{s}\bar{a}re$  (fs.),  $m\check{s}\bar{a}ro$  (pl.) 'begin!'. Infinitive:  $(m)\check{s}aroye$  (m.).

#### 6.12.7 Verba mediae /w/

The only examples of this type are loanwords. Most *verba mediae /w/* of Aramaic origin are derived from *verba mediae /b/*. The modern */w/* is a reflex of the fricative allophone  $\underline{b}$ , e.g.  $zw\bar{a}na < *z^2b\bar{a}na$  'to buy'. In Class II forms the medial radical was originally geminate and hence realized as the plosive allophone. Class II forms have preserved this plosive realization, e.g. mzabone ( $<*mzabb\bar{o}ne$ ) 'to sell'.

A verb of this type is jwb II 'to answer' from Arabic jwb (Form II, IV) 'to answer'. The present base is  $mj\bar{a}web$ , mjob- before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $m\bar{a}$ - $mj\bar{a}web$ ? 'what should he answer?' and de-mjobette 'that you (ms.) may answer it (m.)'. The past base is (m)juweb-, e.g.  $mjuwebl\epsilon \nsim juwebl\epsilon$  'they answered'. Stative participle: mjoba (ms.), mjuwabta (fs.), mjobe (pl.) 'answered'. Imperative:  $mj\bar{a}web$  (sg.), mjobu (pl.) 'answer!'. Infinitive: (m)jawobe (m.), jawabta (fs., pl.  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

Another example of this type is *swq* II 'to shop'.

#### 6.12.8 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //

The only attested example of this type is  $zw^2$  II 'to pass over'. The present base is  $mz\bar{a}we^2$ ,  $mzo^2$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kemz\bar{a}we^2$  'he passes over',  $kemzo^2ile$  'they pass over it (m.)'. The past base is  $(m)zuw\bar{e}$ - (<\* $mzuwe^2$ -), e.g.  $mzuw\bar{e}li$  'I passed over'. Stative participle:  $mzo^2a$  (ms.),  $mzuwa^2ta$  (fs.),  $mzo^2e$  (pl.) 'passed over'. Imperative:  $mz\bar{a}we^2$  (sg.),  $mzo^2u$  (pl.) 'pass over!'. Infinitive:  $(m)zawo^2e$  (m.),  $zawa^2ta$  (f., pl.  $zawa^2y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.12.9 Verba mediae geminatae

There are two attested examples of this type: xll II 'to wash' and lkk II 'to seal'. The present base is mlakkek, mlakk- before A-set suffixes, e.g. bedemlakkenne 'I will seal it', kemlakkek 'he seals', mlakkekwa 'he used to seal'. The past base is mlukkek-, mlukk- before A-set infixes, e.g. mlukkekle 'I sealed' and  $mlukk\bar{a}li$  'I sealed it (f.)'. Stative participle:  $mlokka \not\sim mlakka$  (ms.), mlukkakta (fs.),  $mlokke \not\sim mlakke$  (pl) 'sealed'. Imperative: mlakkek (sg.), mlakku (pl.) 'seal!'. Infinitive: (m)lakkoke (m.).

#### 6.13 Weak verbs in Class III

### 6.13.1 Verba primae //

As in Class I these verbs can be divided into Type 1 and 2. An example of Type 1 is  ${}^{2}rq$  III 'to kidnap'. The present base is  $ma^{2}req$ ,  $ma^{2}erq$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $mma^{2}erqile$  'they'll kidnap him'. The past base is  $mu^{2}req$ -, e.g.  $mu^{2}reqwale$  'he kidnapped'. Stative participle:  $mu^{2}erqa$  (ms.),  $mu^{2}raqta$  (fs.),  $mu^{2}erqe$  (pl.) 'kidnapped'. Imperative:  $ma^{2}req \approx ma^{2}req$  (sg.),  $ma^{2}erqu$  (pl.) 'kidnap!' Infinitive:  $ma^{2}roqe$  (m.).

Another verb of this type is the *verbum mediae /w/ 'wr* III 'to make enter' (§6.13.11).

There are two examples of Type 2:  $^{2}xl$  III 'to feed' and the *verbum tertiae*  $^{2}y^{2}\theta y$  III 'to bring' (§6.13.7). They differ in their present bases and imperatives but because there are only two attested it is not possible definitely to identify one as regular and one as irregular.

The verb 'xl III conjugates like *verba primae* /y/. The present base is *moxel*, *maxl*-before A-set suffixes, e.g. *kmoxel* 'he feeds', *kmoxelle* 'he feeds them', *kmaxla* 'she feeds' and *kmaxli* 'they feed'. The past base is *muxel*-, e.g. *muxelle* 'he fed'. Stative participle: *moxla* (ms.), *muxalta* (fs.), *moxle* (pl.) 'fed'. Imperative: *moxel* (sg.), *maxlu* (pl.) 'feed!'. Infinitive: *maxole* (m.), *maxalta* (fs.).

#### 6.13.2 Verba mediae //

The P/ is usually elided after a consonant but may be preserved (indicated where attested). When elided, the forms are identical to those of *verba mediae* /y/ III. An example is  $d^2x$  III 'to put out, extinguish'. The present base is  $madex \nsim mad^2ex$ ,  $mad^2ex$ ,  $mad^2ex$  before A-set suffixes, e.g. mmadexle 'let him put it (m.) out',  $mad^2ex^2ex$  'let us put it (m.) out'. The past base is  $mudex - mud^2ex$ , e.g. mudexli 'I put out'. The base is  $mud^2ex - mud^2ex$  before A-set infixes, e.g.  $mud^2ex$  'I put them out'. Stative participle:  $mud^2ex$  (ms.),  $mud^2ex$  (ms.),  $mud^2ex$  (pl.) 'put out'. Imperative: madex (sg.),  $mad^2ex$  (pl.) 'put out'. Infinitive  $madoxe \nsim mad^2oxe$  (m.).

Another example of *verba mediae* P/III is  $d^2r$  III 'to return (tr.)'.

#### 6.13.3 Verba tertiae //

As with classes I and II the // in these verbs is frequently elided. A verb of this type is  $\delta m^2$  III 'to let hear'. The present base is  $ma\delta me^2$ , before A-set suffixes  $ma\delta em^2$ -  $\sim ma\delta em$ -. This may also be further contracted to  $ma\delta m$ -, though not with feminine inflection, perhaps be analogy with verba tertiae /y/. Examples:  $ma\delta em^2 ennux$   $\sim mma\delta emennux$  'I'll (m.) let you (ms.) hear',  $ma\delta m\delta ela$  'he'll let her hear'. The past base is  $mu\delta m\delta e$ -, e.g.  $mu\delta m\delta ela$  'I let hear'. Stative participle:  $mu\delta em^2 a$  (ms.),  $mu\delta ma^2 ta$  (fs.),  $mu\delta em^2 e$  (pl.) 'made to hear'. Imperative:  $ma\delta me^2$  (sg.),  $ma\delta em^2 u \sim ma\delta emu$  (pl.) 'let hear!'. Infinitive:  $ma\delta mo^2 e$  (m.),  $ma\delta ma^2 ta$  (fs.).

Another verb of this type is xm' III 'to leaven'.

### 6.13.4 Verba primae /y/

A verb of this type is ylp III 'to teach'. The present base is molep-, malp- (<\*molp-) before A-set suffixes, e.g. kmolep 'he teaches', kemmalpili 'they taught me'. The past base is mulep-, mulp- before A-set infixes, e.g. muleple 'he taught',  $mulp\bar{a}le$  'he taught her'. Stative participle:  $muyelpa \nsim molpa$  (ms.), mulapta (fs.) and  $muyelpe \nsim molpe$  (pl.) 'taught'. For ysq III 'to bring up' and  $yq\delta$  III 'to make burn', the ms. and pl. stative participles are all of the  $moC_2C_3a$  form: mosqa, mosqe and  $moq\delta a$ ,  $moq\delta e$  respectively. Imperative: molep (sg.) and malpu (pl., <\*molpu) 'teach!'. Infinitive: malope (m.), malapta (fs.).

Another verb of this category is the weak verb ytw III 'to set down' (§6.13.16).

### 6.13.5 Verba mediae /y/

A verb of this type is *fyt* III 'to pass (time)', 'make pass'. The present base is *mafet*-, *mafēt*- before A-set suffixes, e.g. *kmafet zona* 'he passes time', *mafētexwa zona* 'we used to pass time'. The past base is *mufet*-, e.g. *mufetli* 'I made pass'. With A-set infixes the base is *mufēt*-, e.g. *mufētāli* 'I made it (f.) pass', *mufētili* 'I made them pass'. Stative participle: *mufēta* (ms.), *mufatta* (fs.), *mufēte* (pl.) 'passed'. Imperative: *mafet* (sg.), *máfētu* (pl.) 'make pass!'. Infinitive: *mafote* (m.), *mafatta* (fs.).

#### 6.13.6 Verba tertiae /y/

A verb of this type is ħky III 'to speak'. As a verbum tertiae /y/ its present base has two forms: maḥk- with masculine/plural inflection and maḥeky- with feminine inflection. The endings are as for Class I and II tertiae /y/ verbs, e.g. kmaḥke 'he speaks', kmaḥekya 'she speaks', kmaḥkɛ 'they speak', kmaḥken 'I (m.) speak', kmaḥkotun 'you (pl.) speak'. The past base is muḥkē-, muḥeky- with A-set suffixes, e.g. muḥkēli 'I spoke', muḥekyāli 'I spoke it'. Stative participle: muḥekya (ms.), muḥkɛθa (fs.), muḥekye (pl.) 'spoken'. Imperative: maḥki (ms.), maḥkɛ (fs.), maḥko (pl.) 'speak!'. Infinitive: maḥkoye (m.), maḥkeθa (f., pl. maḥkayāθa).

### 6.13.7 Verba primae //, tertiae /y/

The only attested example of this type is  ${}^{2}\theta y$  III 'to bring' which is a Type 2 *verbum primae* // III but behaves differently in the present base and singular imperative to the other Type 2 verb  ${}^{2}xl$  III (§6.13.1), taking initial / $m\varepsilon$ / rather than /mo/. The present base is  $m\varepsilon\theta$ - with masculine/plural inflections and  $m\varepsilon\theta y$ -  $\sim ma\theta y$ - with feminine inflection, e.g.  $km\varepsilon\theta \varepsilon$  'the brings',  $km\varepsilon\theta \varepsilon$  'they bring',  $kma\theta ya$  'she brings'. The past base is  $mu\theta \overline{\varepsilon}$ -, e.g.  $mu\theta \overline{\varepsilon} l\varepsilon$  'he brought'. Stative participle:  $mo\theta ya$  (m.),  $mu\theta \varepsilon\theta a$  (f.) and  $mo\theta y\varepsilon$  (pl.) 'brought'. The imperative has a different stem ( $mo\theta$ -) for the plural:  $m\varepsilon\theta i$  (m.),  $m\varepsilon\theta \varepsilon$  (f.),  $mo\theta o$  (pl.) 'bring!'. Infinitive:  $ma\theta oye$  (m.).

### 6.13.8 Verba mediae //, tertiae /y/

The only attested example of this type is *r'y* III 'to graze (tr.)', e.g. sheep. The present base is *maṛ'*- with masculine/plural inflection and *maṛe'y*- with feminine inflection, e.g. *mmaṛ'en* 'I'll (m.) graze (tr.)', *mmaṛ'otun* 'you'll (pl.) graze (tr.)', *mmaṛe'yat* 'you'll (f.) graze (tr.)'. The past base is *muṛ'ē*-, e.g. *muṛ'ēli* 'I grazed (tr.)'. Imperative: *maṛ'i* (ms.), *mar'ɛ* (fs.), *mar'o* (pl.) 'graze (tr.)!'. Infinitive: *mar'oye* (m.), *marɛθa* (fs.).

#### 6.13.9 Verba mediae /h/, tertiae /y/

Verbs of this type often elide the /h/. An example is lhy III 'to light'. The present base is  $malh-\nsim mal-$  with masculine/plural inflection and malehy- with feminine inflection, e.g.  $malh\varepsilon w\bar{a}l\varepsilon$  'they used to light them', malexwa 'we used to light', mmalehya 'she'll light'. The past base is  $mulh\bar{e}-\nsim mul\bar{e}-$ , e.g.  $mulh\bar{e}lux \nsim mul\bar{e}lux$  'you (ms.) lighted'. Stative participle: mulehya (ms.),  $mulh\varepsilon\theta a$  (fs.), mulehye (pl.) 'lit'. Imperative:  $malhi \nsim mali$  (ms.),  $malh\varepsilon \nsim mal\varepsilon$  (fs.),  $malho \nsim malo$  (pl.) 'light!'. Infinitive:  $malhoye \nsim maloye$ .

Another example of this type is *čhy* III 'to tire (tr.)'.

### 6.13.10 Verba mediae /w/

A verb of this type is *lwš* III 'to dress (tr.)'. The present base is *malweš*, *mal˙š*-(<\**malewš*-) before A-set suffixes, e.g. *mal˙šiwalan* 'they used to dress us' and *mal˙šennax* 'I (m.) will dress you (ms.)'. The past base is *mulweš*-, e.g. *mulwešla* 'she dressed (tr.)'. Stative participle: *mul˙ša* (ms.), *mulwašta* (fs.), *mul˙še* (pl.) 'dressed'. Imperative: *malweš* (sg.) and *mál˙šu* (pl.) 'dress!'. Infinitive: *malwoše* (m.).

### 6.13.11 Verba primae //, mediae /w/

An example of this category is 'wr III 'to make go in', 'to spend (time)'. It belongs to Type 1 of verba primae //. The present base is  $ma^{3}wer$ ,  $ma^{3}$ ' r- ( $\nsim$  mor- in fast speech) before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $bedma^{3}w\bar{e}ra$  'he will make it (f.) go in',  $mma^{3}$ ' ren zona 'I'll spend time',  $ma^{3}$ ' rexwa zona 'we used to spend time', d- ...  $mor\bar{a}wa$  ' $i\bar{\partial}ah$ ' 'that she might make her hand enter' (A:44). The past base is  $mu^{3}wer$ -, e.g.  $mu^{3}w\bar{e}ri$  ( $<^{*}mu^{3}wer$ -li) 'I made go in'. Stative participle:  $mu^{3}$ ' ra (ms.),  $mu^{3}warta$  (fs.),  $mu^{3}$ ' re (pl.) 'made to go in'. Imperative:  $ma^{3}wer$  (sg.),  $m\hat{a}^{3}$ ' ru (pl.) 'make go in!'. Infinitive:  $ma^{3}wore$  (m.).

The Class I verb 'wr has another Class III counterpart, but this has developed into a verbum mediae /y/: byr III. It has a slightly different meaning: 'to make go through'. Presumably byr III was originally \*'br then, by metathesis, \*b'r. The verba mediae // paradigm is identical, when the // is elided, to that of verba mediae /y/. This fact allowed the reanalysis of \*b'r as byr.

The same reanalysis has occurred in the case of wyš III  $\nsim$  byš III (<\*ywš III), cf. §6.13.12 below.

# 6.13.12 Verba primae /y/, mediae /w/

There are no attested verbs of this type. The Class I verb of this type,  $yw\check{s}$  'to dry (intr.)', has a Class III counterpart but through metathesis this is now  $wy\check{s}$  III  $\nsim by\check{s}$  III 'to dry (tr.)'.

# 6.13.13 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae //

A verb of this type is  $sw^{2}$  III 'to make (a person) full, satisfied'. The present base is  $maswe^{2}$ ,  $mas^{-2}$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $bedmasw\bar{e}li$  'he will make me full',  $mmas^{-2}\bar{a}l\varepsilon$  'she'll make them full'. The past base is  $musw\bar{e}$ -,  $mus^{-2}$ - before A-set infixes, e.g.  $musw\bar{e}li$  'I made full',  $mus^{-2}ili$  'I made them full'. Stative participle:  $mus^{-2}a$  (ms.),  $musw\bar{e}ta$  (fs.),  $mus^{-2}e$  (pl.) 'made full'. Imperative:  $m\acute{a}swe^{2}$  (sg.),  $m\acute{a}s^{-2}u$  (pl.) 'make full!' Infinitive:  $maswo^{2}e$  (m.).

#### 6.13.14 Verba mediae /w/, tertiae /y/

A verb of this type is *hwy* III 'to give birth'. The present base is mah'y- before A-set suffixes (3ms. \*mahwe presumably does not occur), e.g. mmah'ya 'she'll give birth'. The past base is  $muhw\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $muhw\bar{e}la$  'she gave birth'. Stative participle:  $muhwe\theta a$  (fs.) 'having given birth'. <sup>13</sup> Infinitive: mahwoye (m.).

#### 6.13.15 Verba tertiae /w/

A verb of this type is *xrw* III 'to make destroy'. The present base is *maxru*, *maxerw*-before A-set suffixes, e.g. *mmaxru* 'he'll make destroy', *mmaxerwen* 'I'll make destroy'. The past base is *muxr*'-, *muxerw*- before A-set infixes, e.g. *muxr*'li 'I made destroy', *muxerwili* 'I made them destroy'. Stative participle: *muxerwa* (ms.), *muxrota* 

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 'Born' is expressed by hwy: h' ya, hwi $\theta$ a, h' ye (§6.15.5).

(<\*muxrawta) (fs.), muxerwe (pl.) 'made to destroy'. Imperative: maxru (sg.), máxerwu (pl.) 'make destroy!' Infinitive: maxrowe (m).

# 6.13.16 Verba primae /y/, tertiae /w/

A verb of this type is ytw III 'to put down'. The present base is motu, matw- before A-set suffixes, e.g. kmot 'le 'he puts it (m.) down', bedmatwenne 'I (m.) will put it (m.) down'. The past base is mut '-, e.g. mut 'li 'I put down'. Stative participle: matwa (<\*motwa) (ms.), mutota (fs.), matwe (pl.) 'put down'. Imperative: motu (sg.), matwu (pl.) 'put down!'. Infinitive: matowe (m.).

#### 6.14 Weak quadriliteral verbs

### 6.14.1 Verba secundae /y/

The only attested example in this category is hymn Q. 'to believe'. The present base is  $mh\epsilon men$ -, mhayemn- before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kemh\epsilon men$  'he believes', kemhayemnen 'I (m.) believe', kemhayemna 'she believes'. The past base is (m)huymen-, e.g. mhuymenni 'I believed'. Stative participle: mhuyemna (ms.), mhuymanta (fs.), mhuyemne (pl.) 'believed'. Imperative:  $mh\epsilon men \nsim m\epsilon men$  (sg.),  $(m)h\acute{a}yemnu \nsim mhamnu$  (pl.) 'believe!'. Infinitive:  $(m)h\epsilon mone$  (m.),  $h\epsilon manta$  (fs.).

#### 6.14.2 Verba secundae /w/

The one attested verb in this category is *nwbl* Q. 'to guide, lead', 'to take'. The initial /m/ is almost always elided. The present base is *nobel*, *nabl-* (<\**nobl-*) before A-set suffixes, e.g. *kemnobelli* 'he guided me', *nablíwāle* 'they used to take' (A:84). The past base is *nubel-*, e.g. *nubelle* 'he guided'. Imperative: *nobel* (sg.), *nablu* (pl.) 'guide!', e.g. *nóbelli* 'guide me!'. Infinitive: *mnabole* 'to guide'.

# 6.14.3 Verba quartae /y/

There are several verbs in this category. One is *šršy* Q. 'to let down'. This behaves like other verbs with final /y/. The forms of the present base are *mšarš*- before masculine/plural inflections and *mšarešy*- before feminine inflections, e.g. *kemšaršɛle* 'they let him down', *kemšarešyatte* 'you (fs.) let him down'. The past base is (*m*)*šuršē*-, e.g. (*m*)*šuršēli* 'I let down'. Stative participle: *mšurešya* (ms.), *mšuršɛθa* (fs.), *mšurešye* (pl.) 'let down'. Imperative: *šarši* (ms.), *šaršɛ* (fs.), *šaršo* (pl.) 'let down!' . Infinitive: (*m*)*šaršoye* (m.).

Other verbs in this category are  $xr\partial y$  Q. 'to tangle' and  $t^cdy$  Q. 'to harrass'.

# 6.14.4 Verba secundae /w/, quartae /y/

There is one attested verb of this type,  $\check{c}w\check{c}y$  Q. 'to chirp', and only one attested form: the infinitive  $(m)\check{c}o\check{c}oye$  ( $<*m\check{c}aw\check{c}oye$ ) (m.).

### 6.15 Irregular verbs

Some of the weak verbs listed above, such as qym 'to rise' and  $^{\flat}\theta y$  'to come', have irregular imperatives but are otherwise regular. A number of verbs are irregular in other parts of the paradigm.

#### 6.15.1 'zl I 'to go'

This verb is particularly irregular in its present base forms. As a Type 2 *verbum primae P/* it combines with //k-// to produce the form kizil (compare kixel 'he eats'). But before A-set suffixes, the stem is kiz-, not \*kezl-, e.g. kiza 'she goes', kizi 'they go', kizex 'we go'. Furthermore the unprefixed set (\* $^{3}\bar{a}zel$ , \* $^{3}azla$ , \* $^{3}azli$  etc.) is not used in this dialect. Instead its functions are fulfilled by a special form based on the stem  $z\bar{a}$ - and L-set suffixes, e.g.  $z\bar{a}li$  'let me go'. This form is apparently unique to this verb. It bears a resemblance to the infinitive  $^{3}iz\bar{a}la$ , but the /l/, rather than behaving as a root consonant, behaves as part of the L-set suffix. The paradigm is as follows:

```
3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms.
                     z.āle
                                           'may he go'
           fs.
                     z.āla
                                           'may she go'
                                           'may they go'
           pl.
                     z\bar{a}l\varepsilon
2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms.
                     zālux
                                           etc.
           fs.
                     zālax
                     záloxun
           pl.
1<sup>st</sup> per. ms.
                     z.āli
           pl.
                     zālan
```

This form is used as the base for all prefixes except k-, e.g.  $bedz\bar{a}le \nsim bz\bar{a}le$  'he will go',  $keb\varepsilon \ d$ - $z\bar{a}l\varepsilon$  'they want to go',  $diz\bar{a}li$  'I'm just going'. It can also take //-wA// as an infix, e.g.  $zaw\bar{a}lan$  'we used to go' (instead of \*'azlexwa).

Past base forms are regular for Type 2 *verba primae //: zella* 'she went' (compare *xella* 'she ate'), *zélwālan* 'we went'.

Another form unique to this verb is *zilen*, where the past base takes A-set rather than L-set suffixes. This may be used as an independent verb, e.g. *zílen l-bàġdad* 'I'm going to Baghdad'. It is also used as an auxiliary verb with the subjunctive, marking future meaning, e.g. *zílen 'àmren* 'I'm going to say'. It is most commonly found in the 1<sup>st</sup> person singular: *zilen* (ms.) and *zilan* (fs.), but according to Informant A the 1<sup>st</sup> person plural *zilex* can also be found, e.g. *zílex 'àmrex* 'we're going to say'.

Contracted forms zin (= zilen or zilan) and zix (= zilex) are more common in fluent speech, e.g. zin l-bàġdad 'I'm going to Baghdad', zín 'amrènnux 'I'm going to tell you', zín zāli 'I'm going to go'.

2<sup>nd</sup> person forms are not used, but the fossilized 3ms. form *zil* may be used in place of the 1<sup>st</sup> person forms in the auxiliary function, e.g. *zil 'amren* 'I'm (m.) going to say', *zil 'amren* 'I'm (f.) going to say', *zil 'amrex* 'we're going to say'.

The full paradigm is as follows:

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. 
$$zilen \nsim zin \nsim zil$$
  
fs.  $zilan \nsim zin \nsim zil$   
pl.  $zilex \nsim zix \nsim zil$ 

Stative participle: zila (ms.), zelta (fs.), zile (pl.) 'gone'. There is gender distinction in the imperative, which is irregular: si (ms.),  $s\varepsilon$  (fs.) and so (pl.) 'go!'. Infinitive: ' $iz\bar{a}la$  (m.), 'izalta (fs., pl. - $y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.15.2 *b*'y I 'to want'

This verb conjugates as a normal *verbum tertiae* /y/ in most cases, e.g.  $ba^{3}yat$  'you (f.) may want',  $kemb\bar{a}^{3}enna$  'I wanted her',  $b\bar{a}^{3}exwa$  'we used to want',  $bedb\bar{a}^{3}e$  'he will want'. The // may be elided before a consonant, e.g. 'en  $b\bar{a}yat$  'if you (fs.) want'. The only irregularity is found with the k- prefix which combines with the present base to form the stem  $keb^{3}$ -, or, with the // elided, keb-, e.g.  $keb^{3}e \nsim kebe$  'he wants,  $keb^{3}a \nsim keba$  'she wants,  $keb\varepsilon$  'they want',  $keb^{3}en \nsim keben$  'I (m.) want', kebotun 'you (pl.) want'. The elided forms are far more common. After the negative particle la- the /e/ is elided and the /k/ assimilates in voice to the /b/, e.g.  $l\hat{a}$ -gbe (<\* $l\hat{a}$ -kebe) 'he wants',  $l\hat{a}$ -gben (<\* $l\hat{a}$ -keben) 'I (m.) want'.

Other forms are regular. The past base is  $b^2\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $b^2\bar{e}lan$  'we wanted',  $b^2\bar{e}w\bar{a}l\varepsilon$  'they wanted'. Stative participle:  $be^2ya$  (ms.),  $b^2i\theta a$  (fs.),  $be^2ye$  (pl.) 'wanted'. Infinitive:  $b^2\bar{a}ya$  (m.).

#### 6.15.3 yð' I 'to know'

The present base is  $y\bar{a}\delta e^{2}$ ,  ${}^{2}\bar{e}\delta$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kya\delta e^{2}$  'he knows,  $kebe\ d$ - $y\bar{a}\delta e^{2}$  'he wants to know',  ${}^{2}\bar{e}\delta\bar{a}wa$  'she used to know'. After prefixes, the P/ may or may not be elided, e.g.  $k\bar{e}\delta a$  'she knows',  $keben\ d$ - $\bar{e}\delta en$  'I want to know',  $bed^{2}\bar{e}\delta en$  'I will know',  $ta\ d$ - $2\bar{e}\delta en$  'so that I may know',  $kem^{2}\bar{e}\delta ila\ \nsim kem\bar{e}\delta ila$  'they recognized her'.

The past base is regular for Type 1 *verba primae /y/* and *verba tertiae /*-/, e.g. *lá- 'iðēli'* 'I didn't know'. Stative participle: *'iði'a* (ms.), *'iðēta* (fs.), *'iði'e* (pl.) 'known'.

Imperative:  ${}^{\flat}i\delta {}^{\flat} \sim {}^{\flat}i\delta u^{\flat}$  (sg.),  ${}^{\flat}i\delta {}^{\flat}u \sim {}^{\flat}e\delta u$  (pl.) 'know!'. Infinitive:  ${}^{\flat}i\delta \bar{a}{}^{\flat}a$  (m.),  ${}^{\flat}i\delta a{}^{\flat}ta$  (fs., pl.- $y\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

# 6.15.4 ywl I 'to give'

The present base is  $y\bar{a}wel$ ,  $y\bar{a}w$ - before A-set suffixes, e.g.  $ky\bar{a}wel$  'he gives',  $ky\bar{a}wa$  'she gives',  $ky\bar{a}w$ ' tun 'you (pl.) give'. In this it resembles 'zl which also elides the final /l/ radical before inflections. This verb is a Type 2  $verbum\ primae\ /y/$ , taking the past base wel-, e.g. welli 'I gave', wella 'she gave'. Forms with A-set infixes are  $wil\bar{a}li$  'I gave it (f.)', wilili 'I gave them'. Stative participle: wila (ms.), welta (fs.), wile (pl.) 'given'. The imperative is irregular: hal (sg.), hallu (pl.) 'give!'. Infinitive: ' $iw\bar{a}la$  (m.).

#### 6.15.5 hwy I 'to be', 'to be born'

The only irregularities in this verb are the elision of initial /h/ in some circumstances and adaptation of some verbal prefixes.

This verb has two meanings, according to context, and in some cases morphology. They are 'to be' and 'to be born'. In the second meaning, *hwy* I conjugates entirely regularly, but as it shares many forms with *hwy* I 'to be', the two will be treated together. Some forms are probably only normally used with one of the meanings. For instance the imperative is unlikely to be used with 'to be born'.

This verb conjugates as a *verbum mediae /w/*, *tertiae /y/*, e.g.  $h\bar{a}we$  'let him be', hoya (<\*hawya) 'let her be',  $h\bar{a}w\varepsilon wa$  'they used to be'. When verbal prefixes are added, the /h/ may be elided. This is the form usually found when the meaning 'to be' is intended, e.g. koya (<\*k + hoya) 'she is'. When the /h/ is elided, the prefix is devoiced, e.g.  $t-\bar{a}we$  (<\* $d + h\bar{a}we$ ) 'that he may be'. The future prefix //bed-// may be contracted and devoiced to pt-, e.g.  $pt\bar{a}wet$  'you'll (ms.) be'. When the verb has the meaning of 'to be born', the /h/ is never elided, e.g.  $bedh\bar{a}we$  'he will be born',  $\delta udh\bar{a}we$  'let him be born'. But the unelided forms are also said to be used for 'to be', although such cases have only occurred in elicited data.

Note that the form with the //d-// prefix (§6.8.7) may be used in a similar way to the unprefixed form, i.e. to express a wish, e.g.  $t-\bar{a}wet\ p\bar{s}ixa \nsim h\bar{a}wet\ p\bar{s}ixa$  'may you be

happy'. The //d-// form appears to be the more common. This use is apparently unique to hwy I 'to be'.

The past base of hwy I is  $hw\bar{e}$ -, e.g.  $hw\bar{e}li$  'I was born'. Past base forms are only used with the meaning 'to be born', as the past copula fulfils the same functions for 'to be'. Stative participle:  $h^-ya$  (ms.),  $hwi\theta a$  (fs.),  $h^-ye$  (pl.) 'born'. Imperative: (h)wi (ms.),  $(h)w\varepsilon$  (fs.), (h)wo (pl.) 'be!', e.g. wi psixa 'be (ms.) happy!',  $hw\varepsilon$  psexta 'be (fs.) happy!'. Infinitive:  $hw\bar{a}ya$  (m.),  $hw\varepsilon\theta a$  (fs., pl.  $hway\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

### 6.15.6 *šql* I 'to take': imperative

This verb, though it has no weak consonants and is otherwise regular, has irregular imperative forms 'éšqul (with L-set suffix, 'éšqulla 'take her!') and 'éšqulu (pl.) 'take!'. The singular is apparently derived from regular \*šqol. The initial epenthetic has been analysed as an independent syllable; as the penultimate syllable it has then taken the stress and the /o/ in the final syllable is accordingly reduced to /u/ (§2.3.2.4.i). This is the same process that has occurred with some verba primae // and /y/. It is not attested with any other strong verbs. The plural is presumably formed by analogy with the singular.

### 6.16 Unadapted Arabic verbs

Some 8<sup>th</sup> Form and 10<sup>th</sup> Form Arabic verbs are not fully adapted into ANA. Examples from texts and elicitations from Informant A and B have revealed some variability, suggesting that speakers are allowed flexibility in how they adapt such verbs.

The 8<sup>th</sup> Form verbs attested are Arab. *ixtalafa* 'to be different', *iḥtafala* 'to celebrate' and *ittafaqa* 'to meet'. <sup>14</sup> Examples are as follows: *kmextelef* 'he is different' (A), *kmextelfa* 'she is different' (A), *mmettafqex* 'we'll meet up' (A), *kmaḥtàfli* 'they celebrate' (B:35), *kmaḥtaflex* 'we celebrate' (B).

The structures of these forms do not resemble any native classes, therefore there is no obvious vowel pattern that can be applied. In fact the vowel pattern is influenced by the vowel pattern in Iraqi Arabic, both Baghdadi and Qəltu dialects. The Arabic form it

<sup>14</sup> The last example has been borrowed twice. It is also borrowed as a Class I verb tfq 'to meet', with /t/ analysed as its initial consonant (§6.28.3.2).

reflects is the Imperfect (Classical Arabic yaqtulu). The Arabic dialect of Mardin, as described in Jastrow (1978) will be given as typical of many Qəltu dialects. The /a/ phoneme is similar to ANA /e/.

The 3ms. present base meCteCeC reflects the Mardin form yəftəhəm 'he understands'. 15

The present base used with A-set suffixes varies. The first variant, meCteCCreflects the Mardin vowel pattern before inflections, e.g. yəftəhm 'n 'they understand'. The second variant, meCtaCC- may reflect other Qəltu dialects (e.g. Diyarbakır yəftáham, Dēr iz-Zōr yiftáhim 'he understands'.)<sup>16</sup> Alternatively it may reflect the influence of Baghdadi Arabic, where /ə/ is replaced by /a/ before suffixes, e.g. yiftihim 'he understands', yiftahm'n 'they understand'. Another explanation could be that it is an adaptation to the vowel pattern of ANA Class I and II present bases ( $pa\theta x$ - and mhalqrespectively). This may be the main factor, given that it is apparently behind the same adaptation in 10<sup>th</sup> Form verbs (see below). The third variant maCtaCC- appears to be a partial adaptation to ANA, adapting the me- prefix to the ANA ma- prefix found on Class III present bases.

An example of a 10<sup>th</sup> Form verb is Arab. istacmala 'to use'. Examples of it in ANA are kmesta<sup>c</sup>mel 'he uses', kmesta<sup>c</sup>mella 'he uses her', kmesta<sup>c</sup>amlex 'we use'. The 3ms. base mestaCCeC reflects Mardin vəstafrəg 'he vomits'. <sup>17</sup> The /a/ in the final syllable of the base before A-set suffixes, mestaCaCC-, may reflect an adaptation to the pattern of Class I and II present bases ( $pa\theta x$ -, mhalq-). It does not reflect the suffixed base of the Arabic (Mardin yəstafrəg 'n 'they vomit').

#### 6.17 Copula

The copula functions as a verb but does not conjugate according to the rules above and thus may be termed a pseudo-verb. It occurs in several different forms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Jastrow (1978: 191).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Both from Jastrow (1978: 193).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Jastrow (1978: 228).

# 6.17.1 Present copula

The present copula is enclitic. The stem of the present copula is the element i. The third person forms take suffixes identical to the L-set (-le, -la,  $-l\epsilon$ ). The other persons take a different series consisting of an element /w/ and the inflections on verba tertiae /y/ (§6.11.8): -en, -an, -ex, -et, -at, -otu(n).

$$3^{\text{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  $-ile$  'he is'
fs.  $-ila$  'she is'
pl.  $-il\varepsilon$  (in fast speech occasionally  $-ila$ ) 'they are'

 $2^{\text{nd}}$  per. ms.  $-iwet$  etc.
fs.  $-iwat$ 
pl.  $-(i)wotun \nsim -(i)wotu$ 
 $1^{\text{st}}$  per. ms.  $-iwen$ 
fs.  $-iwan$ 
pl.  $-iwex$ 

The predicate takes the stress:

$$3^{\text{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $ile$  'how is he?'

fs.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $ila$  'how is she?'

pl.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $ile$  'how are they?'

 $2^{\text{nd}}$  per. ms.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwet$  etc.

fs.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwat$ 

pl.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwotun \nsim d\acute{e}x$ - $i)wotun$ 
 $1^{\text{st}}$  per. ms.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwen$ 

fs.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwan$ 

pl.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwen$ 

pl.  $d\acute{e}x$ - $iwen$ 

When the predicate ends in a vowel, it merges with the initial /i/ of the copula, for instance, the final /a/ of  $\sqrt[3]{a}xa$  'here' merges with /i/ to produce  $/\varepsilon/$ :

$$3^{\text{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $le$  'he is here' fs.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $la$  'she is here' pl.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $l\varepsilon$  etc.  $2^{\text{nd}}$  per. ms.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wet$  fs.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  pl.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  pl.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  pl.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  fs.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  fs.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$  pl.  ${}^{\flat}a\!\!/x\varepsilon$ - $wat$ 

A final /e/ vowel merges with /i/ to produce /ē/, e.g.  $\check{sapire}$ - $l\varepsilon$  ( $<\check{sapire}$  + - $il\varepsilon$ ) 'they are beautiful' (A) and  $rand\bar{e}$ -la (< rande + -ila) 'she is fine' (A). If the final vowel is /i/, then one /i/ is elided, e.g.  $b\grave{\varepsilon}\theta i$ -le ( $< b\varepsilon\theta i$  + -ile) 'it is my house' (A). A final /u/ is lengthened to /o/ and the /i/ elided, e.g. ' $\check{a}\check{o}i$  r $\check{a}dyo$ -la 'this is a radio' (A),  $n\grave{a}xpo$ -la 'she is shy' (A).

In fast speech the copula itself may be contracted, e.g. *pṣίxε-n* (A) for *pṣίxε-wen* 'I (m.) am happy' and *m-ìt bwāða?* (A) for *m-ìwet bwāða?* 'What are you doing?'

# 6.17.2 Past copula

The past copula may occur independently or as an enclitic. The past tense reference is marked by the suffix -wa. The stem consists of the same series derived from /w/ and tertiae /y/ inflections that occurs as a suffix in the present copula. In the past copula however it is found throughout the paradigm, i.e. including the  $3^{rd}$  person inflections -e, -a and - $\varepsilon$ . <sup>18</sup>

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $w\bar{e}wa$  'he was' fs.  $w\bar{a}wa$  'she was' pl.  $w\varepsilon wa$  'they were'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Lengthened in stressed open syllables (§2.3.2.2.1.i):  $w\bar{e}wa = /w/ + /\bar{e}/ + -wA$  (compare  $x\bar{a}z-\bar{e}-wa$  'he used to see') and  $w\bar{a}wa = /w/ + /\bar{a}/ + -wA$  (compare  $xazy-\bar{a}-wa$  'she used to see').

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. wetwa etc.

fs. watwa
pl. wótunwa

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. wenwa
fs. wanwa
pl. wexwa

# 6.17.3 Deictic copula

The deictic copula is an independent form placed before the predicate. It may express a contingent, i.e. temporary, state, e.g. won nasax 'I'm ill' (A). As such it is is the form of the copula normally used with the infinitive as part of the present continuous construction (§6.27.1.1). It may also be used for a new or newly-discovered state, even one that will be permanent, and is frequently used in vivid narration, often with the particle 'ella (§10.6), e.g. zélle le-staðeh,' 'ella wole mi\thetaa.' 'He went to his master ... He was dead!' (A).

The deictic copula is common across the NENA dialects, though it takes varying forms. In ANA a form is used which has the stem wo-. The endings are identical to those attached to  $^{2}i$ - in the present copula. They may likewise be contracted: to  $^{-}t$  (2ms./fs.),  $^{-}n$  (1ms./fs.) and  $^{-}x$  (1pl.), thus eliminating gender distinctions in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> persons.

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. wole 'he is' 'she is' fs. wola pl.  $wol\varepsilon$ 'they are' 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. wowet ≁ wot etc. fs. wowat ≁ wot pl. wotu(n)1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. wowen ≁ won fs. wowan ≁ won pl. wowex ≁ wox

One informant, B, uses a different stem,  $w\bar{e}$ -, though with the same inflections, e.g.  $w\bar{e}le$  'he is',  $w\bar{e}wet \nsim w\bar{e}t$  'you (ms.) are'.

# 6.17.4 Negation of the copula

All negative copulas are independent forms placed before the predicate. The negative present copula has the stem  $l\varepsilon$ - in place of i-. This is formed from the merging of the negator la with the element i-. In fast speech the endings may be contracted as in the present and deictic copulas. This series is used as the negative equivalent of the deictic copula as well as the present copula.

# Negative present copula

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. lεle 'he is not' lεla 'she is not' fs. pl. lele 'they are not' 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. lewet ≁ let etc. fs. lewat ≁ let léwotu(n) ≁ letu pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. lewen ≁ len fs. lewan ≁ len lewex ≠ lex pl.

# Negative past copula

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. lá-wēwa 'he was not' fs. lá-wāwa 'she was not' pl. lá-wεwa 'they were not' 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. lá-wetwa etc. fs. lá-watwa lá-wotunwa pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. lá-wenwa fs. lá-wanwa pl. lá-wexwa

# 6.18 Pronominal objects

Pronominal objects are expressed in several different ways in ANA depending on the precise verb form, whether the object is direct or indirect and whether there is more than one object expressed. In addition to the L- and A-set suffixes, there are two additional affix series used to express objects in certain contexts. The *-ile* series is identical to the present copula but apparently only found in the third person. Like the copula it neither affects the stress of the word it is attached to nor causes vowels in syllables that are opened to lengthen, e.g. *kšātótun-ile* 'you drink it' and *byāwélleḥ-ile* 'he'll give it to him'.

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms. -ile fs. -ila pl. -il $\varepsilon$ 

The -leḥ- series consists of the pronominal suffixes (§5.2) preceded by an element /l/, e.g. 3ms. -leḥ,- 3fs. -laḥ- etc. It thus resembles the L-set suffixes but is not identical to them. The full paradigm is listed below in §6.18.3.

In addition to these, indirect objects may be expressed in some cases by prepositions attached to pronominal suffixes, e.g. *ṭāleḥ* 'to him'.

# 6.18.1 Direct objects

#### 6.18.1.1 Direct objects on present base forms

Verbs formed from the present base take L-set suffixes to express the object. These follow the A-set suffixes:

#### k-base-A-L

```
k-pa\theta x-ex-l\varepsilon \rightarrow kpa\theta xexl\varepsilon 'we open them' k-pa\theta x-i-l\varepsilon \rightarrow kpa\theta xil\varepsilon 'they open them' k-pa\theta x-i-lux \rightarrow kpa\theta xilux 'they open you (ms.)' k-pa\theta x-en-la \rightarrow kpa\theta xenna 'I (m.) open it (f.)'
```

Here, as with *Qtelli* forms, the /l/ of the L-set suffixes assimilates to an adjacent /n/ or rhotic in the base, e.g.  $gz\bar{a}wenne$  (<\* $g-z\bar{a}wen+-le$ ) 'he buys it (m.)',  $kem\bar{a}m\bar{e}ran$  (<\* $kem^2\bar{a}merran$  <\* $kem^2\bar{a}mer+-lan$ ) 'he said to us',  $n\bar{a}t\bar{e}rax$  (<\* $n\bar{a}terrax$  < \* $n\bar{a}ter+-lax$ ) 'may he guard you (fs.)'. The L-set suffix also assimilates to the /n/ or /t/ of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> person singular A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kpa\theta xenna$  'I (m.) open it (f.)' and  $kpa\theta xatt\varepsilon$  'you (fs.) open them'. The L-set suffixes do not assimilate to a /t/ in the base, e.g. kmafetla 'he makes it (f.) pass'. The same applies to Qtelli forms, e.g. fetla 'it (f.) passed'.

The addition of another syllable to the end of the word causes the stress to shift on to the A-set suffix, e.g.  $kpa\theta x \acute{e} x le$  'we open it (m.)', ' $\ddot{a}x \acute{e} lle$  'let him eat it'. The only exception is the 2pl. form  $kp\bar{a}\theta x$  'tunle 'you (pl.) open', where the stress is already on the A-set suffix and is not altered. The L-set suffixes are not stressed, not even the bisyllabic 2pl. suffix -loxun, e.g.  $px\bar{a}z\acute{e}x loxun$  'we'll see you (pl.)'.

When the addition of a syllable results in a stressed open syllable any /a/, /e/ or /u/ in this syllable will lengthen according to phonological rules ( $\{2.3.2.2.1.i\}$ ), e.g.  $kpa\theta x \bar{a}le$  ( $\langle kpa\theta xa + -le \rangle$ ) 'she opens it',  $kx\bar{a}z\bar{e}la$  ( $\langle kx\bar{a}ze + -le \rangle$ ) 'he sees her',  $kemg\bar{a}n$  'le ( $\langle kemg\bar{a}nu + -le \rangle$ ) 'he burgled it (m.).

Another phonetic change that occurs is the replacement of  $/e^2$ / with  $/\bar{e}$ / immediately before an L-set suffix. This occurs with *verba tertiae*  $/^2$ /, e.g.  $kem \bar{s} \bar{a} m \bar{e} la$  ( $kem \bar{s} \bar{a} m e^2 + -la$ ) 'he heard her'.

The 2pl. A-set suffix behaves differently to other suffixes. It does not allow assimilation of the final /n/ to the L-set suffix (\*pxāzótunne 'you (pl.) see him'). Instead it takes the unassimilated L-set suffix, e.g. pxāzótunle, or the suffix is added to the - 'tu ending, the final /u/ being lengthened accordingly, i.e. pxāzót le. The stress of the verb is not altered. Alternatively it takes the -ile series: pxāzótun-ile. According to Informant A this is only available for 3<sup>rd</sup> person objects. The word stress is not altered by the addition of any of these object suffixes.

The paradigm of the present base with object suffixes is listed below, keeping the object constant.

```
3^{\text{rd}} per. ms. kp\bar{a}\theta exle 'he opens it (m.)' fs. kpa\theta x\bar{a}le 'she opens it (m.)'
```

```
pl. kpa\theta xile 'they open it (m.)'

2^{nd} per.ms. kpa\theta xette 'you (ms.) open it (m.)'

fs. kpa\theta xatte 'you (fs.) open it (m.)'

pl. kpa\theta x 'tunle \nsim kpa\theta x 'tule \nsim kpa\theta x 'tun-ile 'you (pl.) open it'

1^{st} per. ms. kpa\theta xenne 'I (m.) open it (m.)'

fs. kpa\theta xanne 'I (f.) open it (m.)'

pl. kpa\theta xexle 'we open it (m.)'
```

The paradigm of *pxāzotun* 'you (pl.) see' with object suffixes is as follows:

```
3^{rd} per. ms. px\bar{a}z\delta tunle \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta t le \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta tun-ile fs. px\bar{a}z\delta tunla \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta t la \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta tun-ila pl. px\bar{a}z\delta tunle \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta t le \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta tun-ile 1^{st} per. sg. px\bar{a}z\delta tunli \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta t li pl. px\bar{a}z\delta tun-lan \nsim px\bar{a}z\delta t lan
```

# 6.18.1.2 Direct objects on imperatives

Imperatives also take L-set suffixes to mark the direct object, e.g.  $p\theta oxle$  'open it (m.)!' The same assimilatory processes occur as with the present base, i.e. assimilation of the /l/ to a preceding /n/ or rhotic, e.g. zwonne (<zwon + -le) 'buy it (m.)!', xore (<xor + -le) 'look at it (m.)!'. In the case of a rhotic, any preceding /e/ is lengthened, e.g.  $mb\bar{a}q\bar{e}re$  ( $<mb\bar{a}qer + -le$ ) 'ask him!'. A preceding /u/ is lengthened to /o/, e.g. 'isore (<'isur + -le).

If the imperative ends in a vowel it is lengthened before an L-set suffix, according to phonological rules ( $\{2.3.2.2.1.ii\}$ ). In practice this only occurs with /u/, e.g.  $p \dot{e} \theta x^{\dot{}} l e$  ( $\langle p e \theta x u + -l e \rangle$  'open (pl.) it (m.)!',  $k \theta^{\dot{}} l e$  ( $\langle k \theta u + -l e \rangle$  'write it (m.)!'.

As with present base forms, a final P/ is elided before an L-set suffix, e.g.  $m\acute{a}sw\'{e}le$  ( $<*maswe^{3} + -le$ ) 'make him full!',  $\breve{s}mola$  ( $<*\breve{s}mo^{3} + -la$ ) 'hear it (f.)!'.

In many cases an L-set suffix closes a syllable. If the vowel is /o/ this is very often contracted to /a/, e.g.  $p\theta axle$  ( $\nsim p\theta oxle$ ) 'open it (m.)!', zwanne ( $\nsim zwonne$ ) 'buy it (m.)!', qasle ( $\sqrt{qys}$ ) 'cut it (m.) off!'. An /u/, as in  $\sqrt[5]{xul}$  'eat!', may also be realized as an

/a/: 'ixalla 'eat it (f.)!', as the /u/ is derived from \*/o/ (§2.3.2.4.i). But it may also be preserved: 'ixulla.

L-set suffixes do not alter the stress pattern of imperatives. In most cases this means that the stress is on the first syllable, however many syllables there are, e.g.  $p\acute{e}\theta x$  le 'open (pl.) it (m.)',  $m\acute{a}plexla$  'use (sg.) it (f.)!',  $m\acute{a}pelx$  la 'use (pl.) it (f.)!',  $mz\acute{a}benna$  'sell (sg.) it (f.)!',  $mz\acute{a}bn$  la 'sell (pl.) it (f.)!',  $s\acute{a}rs\acute{e}le$  'let (fs.) it (m.) down!'. In the case of  $mz\acute{a}benna$ , this forms a minimal pair with the 3ms. subjunctive form  $mz\~ab\acute{e}nna$  'let him sell it (f.)', where the only formal distinction is in the stress position. Such minimal pairs exist for many other Class II, III and Quadriliteral verbs, e.g.  $m\acute{a}plexla$  'use (sg.) it (f.)!' and  $mapl\acute{e}xla$  'may he use it (f.)'.

Final stress in imperatives (which is rare) is also unchanged. The only cases of this are some *verba primae /y/*, e.g. *'ilóple* 'learn it (m.)!', *'ipéle* 'bake it (m.)!'

### 6.18.1.3 Direct objects on past base forms

Past base forms can take A-set infixes to express a 3fs. or 3pl. object. <sup>19</sup> The order of suffixes (base-A-L) is the same as for present base forms:

 $p\theta ix\bar{a}li$  'I opened it (f.)  $p\theta ixili$  'I opened them'

More commonly the present base affixed by *kem*- is used to express an object in the past perfective (§6.8.4). This has the same function as *Qtelli* but with the ability to express the full range of objects, e.g. *kemxāzēla* 'he saw her', *kemxāzēlux* 'he saw you (ms.)', *kemxāzénnoxun* 'I (m.) saw you (pl.)'.

#### 6.18.2 Indirect objects

Indirect pronominal objects may be marked in one of two ways: on the verb or with prepositions attached to pronominal suffixes. Indirect objects on the verb are

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> In other dialects, such as the Jewish dialect of Amadiya, *Qtelli* can take the full range of A-set suffixes to mark an object (Hoberman 1989: 36, 40).

morphologically identical to direct objects, except when two objects are marked on the verb, in which case an additional set is used (cf. §6.18.3).

# 6.18.2.1 Indirect objects on present base forms

L-set suffixes are used with present base forms to express an indirect object with certain verbs. The verbs this is attested for include the following: 'mr I 'to say (to)', ywl I 'to give (to)', 'θy III 'to bring (to)', hky III 'to speak (to)', šdr II 'to send (to)', bqr II 'to ask (for)', 'wð I 'to do (for)', b'y I 'to want (for)' and dry I 'to put (for)'. The semantic roles it encodes are those of recipient and beneficiary. The following are some examples: kemāmēre 'he said to him' (A:208), byāwéxlux xéθna-u kālu! 'We'll give you the bride and groom!' (A:176), n-méθēlan māye 'that he might bring us water' (A:188), 'armóne t-kemšadrètti 'The pomegranates that he sent me' (A), dāréwālan b-jánṭa díyan 'ixāla 'they put food for us in our bags' (C). The syntax determines whether a direct or indirect object is intended, as the form is the same for both. The paradigm for ywl 'to give', keeping the subject constant (3ms.), is as follows:

```
3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. byāwelle 'he'll give to him'
```

fs. byāwella 'he'll give to her'

pl.  $by\bar{a}well\varepsilon$  'he'll give to them'

2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. byāwellux 'he'll give to you'

fs. byāwellax etc.

pl. byāwélloxu(n)

1<sup>st</sup> per. sg. byāwelli

pl. byāwellan

As with the direct object paradigm, the 2pl. form bonds with the L-set suffix in three different ways. Again the *-ile* series is only available for 3<sup>rd</sup> person objects.

 $3^{\rm rd}$  per. ms.  $by\bar{a}w$  'tunle  $\nsim by\bar{a}w$  't le  $\nsim by\bar{a}w$  'tun-ile

fs. byāw tunla ≁ byāw t la ≁ byāw tun-ila

pl. byāw 'tunlɛ ≁ byāw 't lɛ ≁ byāw 'tun-ilɛ

# 6.18.2.2 Indirect objects on imperatives

Indirect objects are marked on the imperative in exactly the same way as direct objects, i.e. with L-set suffixes, e.g. *halli* (<*hal* + -*li*) 'give to me!', *halle* 'give to him!', *háll' le* 'give (pl.) to him!', *mbāqēri* 'ask for me!'.

### 6.18.2.3 Indirect objects on past base forms

Indirect objects on past base forms are marked in the same way as direct objects, i.e. by A-set infixes or on the suppletive form with *kem*-. An example of the two alternatives is below:

```
wilāli xà-mendi (<*wil-ā-li) 'I gave her something' kemyāwénna xà-mendi (<*kem-yāwen-la) 'I gave her something'
```

The use of A-set suffixes to mark an indirect object is of particular interest. It is not strange to use L-set suffixes, given that *l*- was a dative marker even before it was used to mark direct objects. But the A-set suffixes in the *Qtelli* construction historically marked the patient – first the subject of a passive, then by reanalysis the *direct* object of a transitive verb. This use with dative objects must therefore be an innovation, presumably by analogy with other forms where direct and indirect objects are marked in the same way.

This use is common in NENA dialects. Maclean (1895: 139) gives as an example of an infixed indirect object معتمتن (mbuqrāli) 'I asked (of) her', from bqr II 'to ask'. Another example is found in the first verse of a Christian love song (possibly of Zakho or Dohuk) published by Sabar (1996: 87-8):

```
xızyāli ula xızyāli 'I saw her, I saw her not' xa nīšanqa hīwāli 'I gave her a (betrothal) ring'
```

In  $x_1 z_2 y_3 \bar{a} li$  'I saw her' and  $h \bar{i} w_3 \bar{a} li^{20}$  'I gave her' we find the 3fs. A-set suffix representing first a direct object then an indirect object.

# 6.18.2.4 Prepositional indirect objects

Indirect pronominal objects may also be expressed with independent or enclitic elements formed from prepositions and pronominal suffixes. The two main prepositions used to mark indirect objects are  $t\bar{a}l$ - and  ${}^{2}ell$ -, equivalent respectively to ta and l- which mark indirect nominal objects. Both  $t\bar{a}l$ - and  ${}^{2}ell$ - take the normal pronominal suffixes (cf. §5.2), e.g.  $m\check{s}adr\acute{a}nnux$   $t\check{a}le\dot{h} \nsim m\check{s}adr\acute{a}nnux$   ${}^{2}elle\dot{h}$  'I'll (f.) send you (ms.) to him' (A). An enclitic form of  ${}^{2}ell$ - is also used: -ll- (§10.2.1), e.g.  $q\grave{e}mla$ - $lle\dot{h}$  'his wife challenged him' (A:182).

Many verbs that take L-set (and A-set) suffixes to express indirect objects also take 'ell- or  $t\bar{a}l$ - in the same roles in certain contexts. For instance  $t\bar{a}l$ - is used to mark the hearer with the verb 'mr I 'to say' in narrative qaṭlenwa forms, e.g. 'āmerwa ṭāleḥ 'he said to him' (A:209); compare kem'āmēreḥ 'he said to him'.

These prepositional objects are obligatory in some cases where there are two objects, as will be described below (§6.18.3).

Many verbs cannot take L-set suffixes to express their indirect objects, or they take prepositional objects to denote a different kind of object than the one denoted by the L-set. A larger number of prepositions is used which express a wide range of semantic roles, e.g. *menn*- (lit. 'from') and  $g\bar{a}w$ - (lit. 'in'). These prepositions are also used with nouns (as m-, b-  $\nsim go$  respectively).

```
tàlben ménnux xà-mendi. 'let me (m.) ask something of you' (A)
mā brēle bgầwaḥ' 'What happened to her?' (A)
mā brēle mènnux?' 'What's been happening in your life?' (more general) (A)
'u kmáḥkɛ bābawāθa 'èllaḥ' 'the fathers talk about it' (B:2)
```

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Equivalent to ANA *wilāli*. The form without the infix would presumably be something like *hiwli*, which is the form found in the dialect of Aradhin: see Krotkoff (1982: 156, in the glossary under *ya:wa*).

# 6.18.3 Combinations of pronominal suffixes on present base forms and imperative

When there are two pronominal objects, one direct and the other indirect, both can be marked on the verb, *if* the direct object is  $3^{rd}$  person. In such cases, the direct object is marked with the *-ile* series set (*-ile* 'him', *-ila* 'her', *-ile* 'them').<sup>21</sup>

The indirect object is marked by the *-leḥ*- series consisting of the element /l/ attached to pronominal suffixes. This series is also found in some other dialects such as Qaraqosh and Telesqof.<sup>22</sup>

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $-leh$ -
fs.  $-lah$ -
pl.  $-l\varepsilon y$ -
 $2^{nd}$  per. ms.  $-lux$ -
fs.  $-lax$ -
pl.  $-loxun$ -
 $1^{st}$  per. ms.  $-li$ -
pl.  $-lan$ -

An example is *nšēli d-amrènnax-ila* 'I forgot to tell you it (f.)' (A).

The /i/ of the suffix merges with the /i/ of a 1sg. indirect infix, e.g.  $kemm\varepsilon\theta y\bar{a}li$ -le 'she brought it (m.) to me' (A). Below is the paradigm for  $by\bar{a}wel$  'he'll give', keeping the direct object constant:

```
byāwélleḥ-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to him'
byāwéllaḥ-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to her'
byāwéllɛy-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to them'
byāwéllux-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to you (ms.)'
byāwéllax-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to you (fs.)'
byāwélloxun-ile
'he'll give it (m.) to you (pl.)'
```

<sup>21</sup> There is a close parallel to this construction in some Qəltu Arabic dialects. In the Q.A. of Anatolia and Bəḥzāni the enclitic copula is used to mark the direct object on a verb when there are two pronominal objects. As in ANA only 3<sup>rd</sup> person direct objects may be expressed in this way (Jastrow 1978: 296-8).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 142-4) and Rubba (1993: 279-80) respectively.

```
byāwélli-le 'he'll give it (m.) to me'
byāwéllan-ile 'he'll give it (m.) to us'
```

# Varying the direct object:

```
byāwélleḥ-ile 'he'll give it (m.) to him'
byāwélleḥ-ila 'he'll give it (f.) to him'
byāwélleḥ-ilɛ 'he'll give them to him'
```

When the direct object is  $1^{st}$  or  $2^{nd}$  person, it is marked on the verb with the L-set suffix while the indirect object is expressed by means of  $t\bar{a}l$ - or  $^{2}ell$ -. The paradigm is given below, keeping the indirect object constant:

```
byāwellux ṭāleḥ 'he'll give you (ms.) to him'
byāwellax ṭāleḥ 'he'll give you (fs.) to him'
byāwelloxun ṭāleḥ 'he'll give you (pl.) to him'
byāwelli ṭāleḥ 'he'll give me to him'
byāwellan ṭāleḥ 'he'll give us to him'
```

### Varying the direct and indirect objects:

```
byāwellux ṭālaḥ 'he'll give you (ms.) to her'
byāwellux ṭāli 'he'll give you (ms.) to them'
byāwellux ṭāli 'he'll give you (ms.) to me'
byāwelli ṭālux 'he'll give me to you (ms.)'
```

The same system is used with imperatives. Examples with *hal* 'give (sg.)!' are given below:

```
hálleḥ-ile 'give it (m.) to him!'

hálleḥ-ila 'give it (f.) to him!'

hállaḥ-ile 'give it (m.) to her!'

hálli-la 'give it (f.) to me!'
```

```
hall li-le 'give (pl.) it (m.) to me
```

With 1<sup>st</sup> person direct object (2<sup>nd</sup> person would be ungrammatical):

```
halli ṭāleḥ 'give me to him!'

mšādēri l-gēbeḥ 'send me to him!'
```

# 6.18.4 Object marking on the infinitive and stative participle

Objects are marked on the infinitive and stative participle by means of the pronominal suffixes. The following are some examples:

```
wéxwa bespāraħ 'We were waiting for it (f.)' (A)

m-qám mašxóneħ 'before heating it' (A:29)

hādax mmanšóyeħ 'distracting him' (A:99)

n²ísaħ-ile x 'we?' 'Has a snake bitten her?' (A:153)

mán-ile muyèlpux?' 'Who has taught her?' (A)
```

Indirect objects may also be marked in this way:

```
wón bimārux 'I am telling you' (A)wìlux-ile xà-mendi 'He has given you (ms.) something' (A)
```

#### 6.19 The B-set series

Some verbal and pseudo-verbal forms involve a set of suffixes identical to the L-set suffixes, except that they are based on the preposition b- 'in', rather than l- 'to'. A verb that takes this set is bry 'to happen' (b- 'to'), e.g.  $dl\acute{a}$ - $kun\ b\ddot{a}\dot{r}\bar{e}ba\ x\grave{a}$ -mendi 'so that nothing would happen to her' (A:131).

The full set is as follows:

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $-be$ 
fs.  $-ba$ 
pl.  $-b\varepsilon$ 
 $2^{nd}$  per. ms.  $-bux$ 
fs.  $-bax$ 
pl.  $-boxun \nsim -boxu$ 
 $1^{st}$  per. ms.  $-bi$ 
pl.  $-ban$ 

6.20  $i\theta(en)$  and forms based on  $i\theta(en)$ 

6.20.1 
$$i\theta en (\nsim i\theta)$$
 and  $l\epsilon\theta \nsim l\epsilon\theta en$ 

Like other NENA dialects, ANA uses the particle  ${}^{2}i\theta \sim {}^{2}i\theta en$  as an uninflected predicator of existence: 'there is' or 'there are'. The extended form  ${}^{2}i\theta en$  appears to be more common. Examples:  ${}^{2}i\theta en$   $x\acute{a}$ -mendi kemrex  ${}^{2}ary\grave{o}\theta a$  'there is something we call a 'thornbush' (A: 150),  $gb\acute{a}re$  d- $i\theta en$   $x\acute{a}$ - $n\bar{a}ša$   $mi\theta a$   $t\bar{a}ma$  'perhaps there is a dead person there' (A:144).

Both variants have negative equivalents:  $l\varepsilon\theta$  and  $l\varepsilon\theta en$  'there isn't any', 'there aren't any', e.g.  $b\dot{a}\theta er^{\dot{\beta}}\dot{e}\dot{\partial}a\ l\dot{\varepsilon}\theta\ kull'\dot{\varepsilon}\dot{e}$ .' 'After the festival there are no date-pastries.' (D).

The past equivalents of both positive and negative forms take //-wA//:  $^{2}e\theta wa$  'there was', 'there were' and  $la\theta wa$  'there wasn't any', 'there weren't any'. Example:  $^{2}e\theta wa$   $n\bar{a}\check{s}e$  kabire 'there were many people'.

Forms of the verb hwy 'to be' can be combined with  $^{\prime}i\theta$ . A general present is formed from  $k\bar{a}we$ :  $k\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta \nsim k\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta en$  'there is (in general)'. Informant B has an /i/ instead of the  $/\bar{e}/$ :  $k\bar{a}wi\theta \nsim k\bar{a}wi\theta en$ . The imperfective past is  $h\bar{a}we\theta wa$  'there were (in general, or every time)'. An extended form is also attested:  $h\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta enwa$  'there were' (A:17).

#### 6.20.2 'etti and related forms

These forms take L-set suffixes to express the predicate of possession, e.g.  $^{\prime}etti$  (<\* $^{\prime}i\theta$  +  $^{\prime}li$ ) 'I have'. The L-set suffix has assimilated to the  $^{\prime}\theta$ / of the stem, and the resulting combination is realized as  $^{\prime}tt$ /. The present paradigms are as follows:

The past paradigm has //-wA// infixed. Stress is always on the first syllable.

3 <sup>rd</sup> per. ms.	'éθwāle	'he had'	láθwāle	'he did not have'	
fs.	'éθwāla	'she had'	láθwāla	'she did not have'	
pl.	$^{2}\!\epsilon heta$ w $ar{a}$ l $arepsilon$	etc.	láθwālε	etc.	
2 <sup>nd</sup> per.ms.	per.ms. 'éθwālux		láθwālux		
fs.	<sup>2</sup> éθwālax		láθwālax		
pl.	$^{2}\dot{e} heta$ wā $loxu(n)$		$l ext{a} heta war{a}loxu(n)$		
1 <sup>st</sup> per. ms.	²éθwāli		láθwāli		
pl.	'éθwālan		láθwālan		

-

In some other dialects, the /l/ is unassimilated but the dental has become a stop, in accordance with a tendency of dentals to be realized as stops before /l/, e.g. Qaraqosh 'ətli 'I have', gadla (<\*gaðla) 'tress of hair' (Khan 2002: 36-7). It may be that the ANA form was 'etli at an earlier stage and that the L-set suffix then assimilated to the stop, as it does to the /t/ in the  $2^{nd}$  person A-set suffixes, e.g.  $kpa\theta xetta$  'you (ms.) open it (f.)'.

Like the copula, 'etti has no special future form. Instead the L-set suffixes are attached to one of the future forms of hwy 'to be', e.g. ptāwēli ≁ bedhāwēli 'I will have', bhāwēli 'I'll have' and lá-khāwēli 'I will not have'. The full paradigm of ptāwēli is as follows:

```
3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms.
                ptāwēle
                             'he'll be able to'
                                                 lá-khāwēle
                                                                  'he won't be able to'
        fs.
                ptāwēla
                             she'll be able to'
                                                 lá-khāwēla
                                                                  'she won't be able to'
                                                 lá-khāwēlε
        pl.
                ptāwēle
                             etc.
                                                                  etc.
2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms.
                ptāwēlux
                                                 lá-khāwēlux
        fs.
                ptāwēlax
                                                 lá-khāwēlax
                ptāwēloxu(n)
        pl.
                                                 lá-khāwēloxu(n)
1<sup>st</sup> per. ms.
                ptāwēli
                                                 lá-khāwēli
                                                 lá-khāwēlan
        pl.
                ptāwēlan
```

L-set suffixes can be added to other forms of hwy to express varying shades of tense and aspect, e.g. general present  $k\bar{a}w\dot{e}l\varepsilon k\dot{u}\dot{c}at$ . 'they have it every year' (A).

An idiom using the subjunctive form is  $ta\ m-\bar{a}w\bar{e}li?$  'What is it to me?', literally 'for what may it be for me?' When something feminine is being commented on, the construction is  $ta\ m-\acute{o}y\bar{a}li?$ 

#### 6.20.3 *ibi* and related forms

B-set suffixes can be added to  ${}^{\flat}i\theta$  to create a form functioning as the verb 'to be able'. The  ${}^{\flat}\theta{}'$  of  ${}^{\flat}i\theta{}$  is elided directly before the B-set suffix, e.g.  ${}^{\flat}ibi$  'I can'. The negative form is  $l\epsilon bi$  'I cannot', from  ${}^{\ast}la{}^{\flat}ibi$ .

This form also has a more literal meaning of 'there is/are in (me, you, it etc.)' which is still used, e.g. 'u 'álquš 'iba tettḗ-'ētāθa 'And Alqosh has two churches' (B:9), literally 'Alqosh, there are in it two churches', d-ibe ṛabbāne be 'yāša ba 'ad gāweḥ.' 'which has monks still living in it' (B:14), literally 'which there are in it monks still living in it'.

$$3^{rd}$$
 per. ms.  $^{2}ibe$  'he can'  $l\epsilon be$  'he can't' fs.  $^{2}iba$  'she can'  $l\epsilon ba$  'she can't' pl.  $^{2}ib\epsilon$  etc.  $l\epsilon b\epsilon$  etc.  $l\epsilon ba$  etc.  $2^{nd}$  per. ms.  $^{2}ibux$   $l\epsilon bux$  fs.  $^{2}ibax$   $l\epsilon bax$   $l\epsilon bax$  pl.  $^{2}iboxu(n)$   $l\epsilon bi$  pl.  $^{2}iban$   $l\epsilon bi$   $l\epsilon bi$ 

As with 'etti, the past forms take //-wA// as an infix. In these forms  $\theta$  is not elided:

$$3^{\mathrm{rd}}$$
 per. ms.  $^{\prime}$   $^{\prime}$ 

There is another special set used for the contingent past. See §6.21 below.

As with the predicate of possession, the B-set suffixes can be attached to forms of the verb hwy 'to be', e.g.  $k\bar{a}w\bar{e}be$  'there is (in general) in it' (A).

#### 6.21 wellēli and wellēbi

The particle *wellē*- is attached to L-set suffixes and B-set suffixes to express a variety of meanings. In careful speech A pronounces it as *hwellē*-, e.g. *lá-hwellēbi* 'I wasn't able', as it is derived from the verb *welle* 'he gave' (<\*hwelle). This is one of the only two cases attested where object L-set suffixes may be added to a *qṭelle* form. Cf. *péšlēli* (§6.23) below for the other case.

Attached to L-set suffixes it could be translated as 'he had', 'she had' etc., but is used in very limited contexts. It may be used in expressions such as welléli xà-brona' 'I had a son' or 'I've had a son' (A), in the sense of 'A son was/has been born to me'. It is not inflected for the gender of the child: welléli ġðà-brāta' 'I have had a daughter' (A). This form may also be used to express (passive) acquisition, e.g. welléli pàre 'I got some money'. There is still the sense that the thing acquired is given, not actively acquired.

Negated, the form expresses non-acquisition or non-possession. Examples:  $l\acute{a}$ -wellēli  $br\grave{o}na^{\dagger}$  'I haven't had a son',  $l\acute{a}$ -wellēli  $p\grave{a}re^{\dagger}$  'I didn't get any money' (A),  $l\acute{a}$ -wellēli  $b\grave{e}\theta a^{\dagger}$  'I didn't get a house' (A). The full paradigm is below:

3 <sup>rd</sup> per. ms.	wellēle	'he had'	lá-wellēle	'he did not get'	
fs.	wellēla	'she had'	lá-wellēla	'she did not get'	
pl.	wellēlɛ	'they had'	lá-wellēlε	'they did not get'	
2 <sup>nd</sup> per.ms.	wellēlux	etc.	lá-wellēlux	etc.	
fs.	wellēlax		lá-wellēlax		
pl.	wellēloxu(n)		lá-wellēloxu	lá-wellēloxu	
1 <sup>st</sup> per. ms.	wellēli		lá-wellēli		
pl.	wellēlan		lá-wellēlan	lá-wellēlan	

Sometimes the form is stressed on the initial syllable, e.g. wéllēli 'I got'.

The form with the B-set suffixes expresses contingent ability. Its mostly found in the negative, with the negator  $l\acute{a}^{-24}$ , expressing contingent inability, e.g.  $l\acute{a}$ -wellēbi 'I couldn't (at that time)'. As such it is distinct from unmarked  $l\acute{a}\theta w \bar{a}bi$  'I couldn't (in general)'. Examples: me- $zd\acute{o}\theta e\dot{h}$   $g\acute{o}ra$   $l\acute{a}$ -wellēbe z- $z\acute{a}le$  mmet- $k\grave{a}rwan$ .'; 'Out of fear, the man could not go with the caravan.' (A:212),  $l\grave{a}$ -wellēbe,' 'He couldn't cope' (A:112). The paradigm is below:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> According to A *wellēbi* is possible, but when *wellēbi d-zàwāli*¹ 'I was able to go' was suggested, he commented that it did not make sense as if one could have gone, one would have.

3<sup>rd</sup> per. ms. wellēbe 'he was able' lá-wellēbe 'he was not able' fs. 'she was able' 'she was not able' wellēba lá-wellēba 'they was not able' wellēbε 'they was able' lá-wellēbε pl. 2<sup>nd</sup> per.ms. wellēbux etc. lá-wellēbux etc. fs. wellēbax lá-wellēbax welleboxu(n) lá-wellēboxu pl. 1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. wellēbi lá-wellēbi pl. wellēban lá-wellēban

# 6.22 pišen (≁ piš) and pišénwa

This pseudo-verb is uninflected and only used in the 3<sup>rd</sup> person, meaning 'there remains'. The extended form *pišen* is more common, according to A. Examples: *píš xá-mendi xènna* 'There is one more thing' (A), *má-qadra pìš?* 'How long is left' (A), *má-qadra pìšen* ta *d-màṭe?* 'How long is left until he arrives?' (A).

In the past the form is *pišénwa*, e.g. *pišénwa trè-šope*, 'lá-wellēbi z-zàwāli.' 'There were two steps left (to take), but I couldn't go.' (A).

The negated particle is realized as *lappeš*, with gemination. This is used not as a pseudo-verb but as an adverb meaning 'no longer' (§10.3.4).

These particles are derived from the earlier Aramaic absolute \*qtil form of pyš I 'to remain' which would have meant 'he/ it (m.) has remained' ( $\S6.28.1$ ).

# 6.23 péšlēli and láppešlēli

Related to pišen is the form  $p\acute{e}šl\bar{e}li$ . This is the qtelle form of pyš I 'to remain' with indirect object L-set suffixes. It literally means 'there has remained to me' but can be translated as 'I have ... left'. Examples:  $p\acute{e}šl\bar{e}li$   $x\grave{a}$ -mendi. 'I have one thing left',  $p\acute{e}šl\bar{e}li$   $tr\dot{e}$ -yum $\bar{a}\theta a$  'I have two days left'.

The negative form is *láppešlēli* 'I don't have left', e.g. *láppešlēli méndi bimāra*. 'I have nothing left to say.'

### 6.24 mékāli

L-set suffixes can be attached to the interrogative adverb *mɛka* 'whence?', e.g. *mɛkāli?* The meaning is something like 'where could I get ...?', literally 'from where for me?' An example is *mɛkāli pāre?* 'Where could I get the money?' (A:184).

# 6.25 garak and lāzem

These uninflected particles are combined with d- + subjunctive to express 'must', 'have to', e.g.  $l\bar{a}zem\ n$ - $n\dot{a}x\theta et\ t\acute{o}ret\ s\grave{e}r$ ' 'You must go down and break the ice' (A:192), and the alternative  $g\acute{a}rak\ d$ - $n\grave{a}x\theta et$   $t\acute{o}rit\ s\grave{e}r$ .' (A).

Both words are loanwords, garak from Kurdish, lāzem from Arabic.

#### 6.26 bassi

Another pseudo-verbal form is *bassi* 'it is enough for me', 'I have had enough'. It is formed from the Arabic loanword *bas* 'enough!' and the pronominal suffixes (-eḥ, -aḥ etc.).

pl.  $b\acute{a}ssoxu(n)$ 

1<sup>st</sup> per. ms. bassi

pl. bassan

# 6.27 The analytical verb forms

# 6.27.1 Infinitive with auxiliary verbs

#### 6.27.1.1 With the verb 'to be'

The infinitive, with the b- prefix (§6.8.9), may be combined with forms of the copula or verb hwy I 'to be' to produce continous aspect in various tenses. In the present it is the deictic copula that is almost always used, e.g. wole  $biz\bar{a}la$  mtajore 'They are going trading' (A:183), won  $bim\bar{a}rux$  'I am telling you' (A). The present copula is however common with the relative particle d-, e.g.  ${}^{2}an$  d- ${}^{2}le$   $be^{2}r\bar{a}qa$  'those that are running' (A:73). It is otherwise attested rarely, e.g.  $be\check{s}m\bar{a}^{2}\varepsilon$ -wat? 'Are you hearing me?' (B).

For other tenses the appropriate form of the copula or hwy I is used, e.g. wéxwa bespāraḥ 'We were waiting for it (f.)' (A), m-qam yumā $\theta$ a mbaš $\delta$ le hāwewa 'énše 'days before, the women would be cooking' (A).

### 6.27.1.2 With other auxiliary verbs

The infinitive may be combined with *pyš* ('become', 'remain') to express inception of an activity. Examples: *péšle bebxāya* 'He started crying' (A:157), *péšle mmanšòyeḥ* 'He started distracting him' (A:102). The verb *šry* II 'to begin' may also be used with this function, e.g. *mšurēlan bizāla* 'we began going' (B).

#### 6.27.2 Stative participle with auxiliary verbs

#### 6.27.2.1 With the verb 'to be'

The stative participle can combine with different forms of the copula or verb hwy 'to be' to express stative or perfect meaning in different tenses. In the present both the present and the deictic copula are used, e.g. 'ilèptewan 'I have learnt' (A), 'u dáha wole spìqa

'now it is empty' (B:13), wola plèţṭa 'She's gone out' (A:128), š-lèn swēta 'I'm not full yet.' (A:226). Examples of other tense/aspects: 'āy faqírd-wēwa zíla mmet-kàrwan' 'this poor person who had gone with the caravan' (A:189), xámša 'éšta kāwɛ 'rìqe 'five or six have run away (general present perfect)' (A:72), dlá-hāwe 'èrya-u 'who hasn't been caught' (A:76).

The stative participle used with the the verb 'to be' may have active or passive meaning. With an intransitive verb the meaning cannot of course be passive. Examples: wola pleţţa 'She's gone out'(A:128), wolɛ šmi'e-lleḥ 'they have heard about it' (B:12).

With a transitive verb the meaning is usually passive, unless an object is present. The object may be nominal or pronominal (marked by pronominal suffixes on the participle). If the meaning is passive, then the agent may be marked with the preposition *l*-. Some examples are as follows:

### Without object

```
'áθ-mendi muḥèkyε-le 'This thing has been spoken' (A) mòlpēlε 'They have been taught' (A) dlá-hāwe 'èrya-u 'who hasn't been caught' (A:76) n'éstε-wan l-kàlba 'I have been bitten by a dog' (A)
```

### With object

```
won 'érya xà-mendi 'I have caught something' (A) n'ísaḥ-ile xūwe?' 'Was she bitten by a snake?' (A:153) mán-ile muyèlpux?' 'Who has taught her?' (A)
```

There are occasional examples of active meaning without an object, when a transitive verb has only an implied object:

 $^{2}$ ella  $^{c}$ arjū́ne wola xèlta-u' déṛta l-bè $\theta$ a!' 'but lo and behold! He had eaten and returned home!' (A:238)

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Literally: Has a snake bitten her?

# 6.27.2.2 With other auxiliary verbs

The verb *pyš* (become', 'remain') is used with the stative participle to express a more dynamic passive, though it is not necessarily translated differently in English: 'δ de- ... kpāyeš xézya qamāya 'The one who gets seen first' (in a game, A), máθle kpɛši míre bgo 'àlquš 'Proverbs that are said in Alqosh' (Pr1), péšle mzòbna 'It has been sold' (A).

#### 6.28 Notes on historical development

# 6.28.1 The past base with A-set suffixes

The past base with L-set suffixes is an integral part of the NENA verbal system as the *Qtelli* form. The past base itself derives from the old Aramaic passive participle in the absolute (indefinite) state, \*qtil. Historically this could also take inflections and enclitic pronouns, just like the active participle (modern present base). In some NENA dialects this construction has survived as the past base with A-set suffixes (qtil, qtila, qtili, qtilet etc.).

Some NENA dialects use this construction to express a passive. This is attested, among others, in J. Amadiya, Mangesh and the Ashiret dialects, e.g. J. Amadiya *ptixi* 'they were opened'.<sup>26</sup> In other dialects it is used, with intransitives, to express an active perfect, as in Hertevin and Jewish Azerbaijani, e.g. Hertevin *dmiḥa* 'she has fallen asleep'.<sup>27</sup>

In ANA this *Qṭilen* construction only survives in archaic idioms or fossilized forms. An example of the latter is the expression  $brixet\ l$ - $\bar{a}laha$  'God bless you' (lit. 'You are blessed by God') (A). The feminine has brixat. These can be analysed as Qtilen forms of a verb brx I 'be blessed'. Other archaic survivals are  $dr\bar{e}$ , the 3ms. Qtilen form of dry 'to put', and  $qt\bar{e}$ ' (3ms. qt'), found in the following proverbs and nursery rhymes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Cf. respectively Hoberman (1989: 36), Sara (1974: 72) and Maclean (1895: 86).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Jastrow (1988: 48) and Garbell (1965: 69-70). In Hertevin it may also be used with transitive verbs to express the passive perfect. In the NENA dialect of Kerend and other Jewish dialects of Iranian Kurdistan, it is used for the intransitive *preterite*, intransitive *qtilli* forms not being permitted in this dialect (Hopkins 1989: 426-8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Though brx is only found in Class I in this form and the stative participle.

dánwet-kálba dré 'arbì-yome' ... p-qànya,'
'The dog's tail has been put on a splint for forty days' (D)

xá-xmārā qṭē' púqāné 'A donkey whose nose has been cut off' (A)

dré gargūreḥ r-ràqqa.' 'He has put his burghul on bread.' (D)

In the first two examples the form is passive perfect, in the third it is apparently an active perfect with an object, mirroring the syntax of the stative participle + copula (§6.27.2.1).

A form of *Qtilen* which still survives in the living language is *zilen*, formed from the verb  $^{3}zl$  I 'to go'. As shown in §6.15.1, *zilen* can be used as an independent verb or as a verbal auxiliary and is inflected in the 1<sup>st</sup> person only. The fossilized 3ms. form *zil* may be used in the auxiliary function as an alternative to the inflected forms.

Two fully fossilized 3ms. *Qtilen* forms are *piš* (extended form *pišen*) 'there remains', derived from *pyš* I 'to remain' and the negative *lappeš* (<\**lá-piš*), used as an adverb meaning 'no longer' (§6.22, §10.3.4(5)). Neither can take inflections, but *pišen* can take the *//-wA//* suffix: *pišénwa* 'there remained'.

### 6.28.2 Development of the verbal root

### 6.28.2.1 Development of *verba mediae /w/*

Most verba mediae /w/ of Syriac occur as verba mediae /y/ in ANA, e.g. sym I 'to fast' (Syr. swm),  $my\theta$  I 'to die' (Syr.  $mw\theta$ ), xyr I 'to look' (Syr. xwr), lwš I 'to knead' (Syr. lwš) and dyš I 'to trample' (Syr. dwš). The exceptions are those Syriac verba mediae /w/ which treated the /w/ as a strong radical, or verbs that are in Classes II or III in ANA, even when the Syriac cognate did not exist in the same Class. Examples are swr I 'to jump', (Syr. swr Pa., Perf. swar), swr I (Syr. swr Pe., Perf. swar), swr Pe. swar), swr Pe. swar), and swr II 'to pass over' (Syr. swr Pe. to be in motion, Aph. 'to set in motion').

For most other ANA *verba mediae /w/*, the */w/* is a reflex of original \*/b/, e.g. <sup>2</sup>wð I 'do' (Syr. <sup>c</sup>bð Pe.), šwq I 'leave' (Syr. šbq Pe.), and <sup>2</sup>wr I 'enter' (Syr. <sup>c</sup>br Pe.).

When Arabic *verba mediae /w/* are adopted into ANA, they usually become *verba mediae /y/* e.g. ANA *fyt* I 'to pass' (Arab. *fwt*), 'yn III 'to help' (Arab. 'wn III, IV), and

'yq III 'to tighten' (Arab. 'wq I, II, III). An exception is the Class II verb jwb 'to answer', from Arab. jwb IV.

### 6.28.2.2 Development of geminated roots

Verbs with a geminate root (where the last two radicals are the same) are not found in Class I. Geminate verbs in Syriac occur as *verba mediae /y/* in ANA:

```
dyq I 'to grind' (Syr. dqq Pe.)
hyn I 'to relent' (Syr. hnn Pe.)
qyr I 'to become cold' (Syr. qrr Pe.)
ryq I 'to spit' (Syr. rqq Pe.)
xym I 'to become hot' (Syr. hmm Pe.)
```

The same rule operates on Arabic verbs borrowed into ANA. Geminate verbs are converted into *verba mediae /y/*, e.g. *syd* 'to close' (Arab. *sdd*), *lyf* 'to wind' (Arab. *lff*), *fyr* 'to fly' (Arab. *frr* 'to flee').

Even in Syriac the present participle of a Peal geminate verb was identical to the present participle of middle-weak verbs (compare  $b\bar{a}^{\gamma}\bar{e}z$  ( $\sqrt{bzz}$ ) 'plundering' with  $q\bar{a}^{\gamma}\bar{e}m$  ( $\sqrt{qwm}$ ) 'rising'). This could be the reason that geminate verbs were reanalysed as middle-weak. In the Pael conjugation geminated verbs behaved like strong verbs and were quite distinct in form from middle-weak verbs. This is the reason a geminated root has survived in the Class II (=Pael) verb xll II 'to wash' (Syr. hll Pa.).

#### 6.28.2.3 Development of final-weak verbs

There are no Syriac *verba tertiae* /w/. There are also none in ANA that reflect original /w/, but there are a number which reflect original /b/, e.g. kθw 'to write' (Syr. ktb), rkw 'to ride' (Syr. rkb), xšw 'to think' (Syr. hšb). Arabic final-weak verbs are borrowed as *verba tertiae* /y/ in ANA regardless of whether they are *tertiae* /y/ or /w/ in Classical Arabic, e.g. 'by II 'to fill' (Arab. 'bw II) and hšy II 'to stuff' (Arab. hšw II). Rather than

mirroring the adaptation of Aramaic verbs, this probably only reflects the situation in Qəltu Arabic where the two final-weak classes have merged.<sup>29</sup>

### 6.28.2.4 Development of // and // in verbal roots

In verbal roots P/ is often derived from original Aramaic \*/\*/, e.g.  ${}^{2}rq$  ( $<*^{c}rq$ ) 'to run',  ${}^{2}w\delta$  ( $<*^{c}\underline{b}\underline{d}$ ) 'to do',  ${}^{2}l$  ( $<*^{r}l$ ) 'to shake',  ${}^{2}x$  III ( $<*^{d}\underline{k}$ ) 'to light'. Arabic P/ does not undergo this development, e.g.  ${}^{c}yd$  II 'to celebrate' and  ${}^{c}dl$  I 'to straighten'.  ${}^{30}$ 

### 6.28.3 Development of the conjugation classes

### 6.28.3.1 Development of the functions

The historic functions of the Aramaic derived classes Pael (=Class II) and Aphel (=Class III) were to derive a transitive or causative from a Peal (=Class I). We find a similar situation in ANA, but some changes have occurred. As in earlier Aramaic, Class I verbs may be intransitive or transitive, e.g.  $^{2}\theta y$  'to come' and mxy 'to hit'. Class III is the the one most commonly used in ANA to derive transitive or causative meaning from Class I verbs, though a few Class III verbs have no synchronic Class I equivalent. Class II is used with a causative or transitivizing function in far fewer cases. The following are a selection.

```
bšl I 'to cook (intr.)'II 'to cook (tr.)'tpy I 'to stick (intr.)'II 'to stick (tr.)'zwn I 'to buy'zbn II 'to sell'spy I 'to be filtered'II 'to filter'
```

While there are no attested intransitive verbs in Class III, there are many in Class II. A large proportion of these are Arabic, as will be discussed below.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Cf. Jastrow (1978: 161-3), for example Mardin Q.A. *bana*, *yəbni* (Arab. *banā*, *yabnī*) 'to build' and *da<sup>c</sup>a*, *yəd<sup>c</sup>i* (Arab. *da<sup>c</sup>ā*, *yad<sup>c</sup>ū*) 'to call'.

In Qaraqosh this adaptation sometimes occurs, e.g. 'yd II 'to celebrate a festival', 'dl II 'to become straight', but 'yt I, II 'to shout' (Khan 2002:132-3).

### 6.28.3.2 Adaptation of Arabic verbs

Arabic has a verb derivation system much richer than that of ANA, with ten common 'forms' (equivalent to ANA classes). Classes I, II and III are cognate with Arabic forms I (fa<sup>c</sup>ala), II (fa<sup>c</sup>ala) and IV ('afala) respectively. Arabic verbs of one of the three cognate forms (I, II and IV) are often borrowed into the equivalent ANA class, e.g. drs I 'to study' (<Arab. drs I), glb I 'to win' (<Arab. glb I), ftr I 'to have breakfast' (<Arab. ftr I), sjl II 'to register' (<Arab. sjl II), syb II 'to leave alone' (<Arab. syb II) and dbr II 'to manage' (<Arab. dbr II). But some are modified, for example hky III 'to speak' (<Arab. hky I), hħr III 'to prepare' (<Arab. II) and jm III 'to gather (tr.)' (<Arab. II). The latter two may have been changed because in ANA Class III is more commonly used for derivatives of Class I verbs.

Arabic verbs of non-cognate forms may either be adapted to one of the ANA classes or occur in an only partially adapted form. The Arabic 3<sup>rd</sup> form verb *ndy* III 'to proclaim' is borrowed into ANA as a Class II verb (*ndy* II). The Arabic 5<sup>th</sup> form verb *fqd* V (*tafaqqada*) 'to check' is borrowed as a Class I (*fqd* I). Most Arabic verbs of the 5<sup>th</sup> form (*tafa<sup>cc</sup>ala*) occur as Class II verbs in ANA, for example 'šy II 'to dine' (<Arab. 'šy V), *byn* II 'to appear' (<Arab. *byn* V), *frj* II 'to watch' (<Arab. *frj* V) and *hrk* II 'to move (intr.) (<Arab. *hrk* V). In each of these cases this has occurred in spite of the existence of the same root in the Arabic 2<sup>nd</sup> form, which is equivalent to the ANA Class II, e.g. *harraka* 'to move (tr.)'. Because 5<sup>th</sup> form verbs are usually intransitive (being the reflexive or passive of the 2<sup>nd</sup> form), a group of intransitive Class II verbs has thus been created.

Two Arabic 8<sup>th</sup> form verbs (*ifta<sup>c</sup>ala*) have been adapted in a different way. In both cases the infixed /t/ of the derivation has merged with the initial radical /w/ in the Arabic verb itself: *ittafaqa* (wfq VIII) 'to agree, to happen accidentally' and *ittaka<sup>c</sup>a* (wk<sup>c</sup> VIII) 'to lean'. Both verbs have been borrowed into ANA as Class I verbs with /t/ as the initial radical: tfq I 'to meet' and tky I 'to lean'.

Other 8<sup>th</sup> form verbs as well as verbs of the 10<sup>th</sup> form are borrowed into ANA in an only partially adapted way. This has been described in §6.16.

195

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The Arabic 1<sup>st</sup> form of *fqd* has a different meaning: 'to lose'.

#### **CHAPTER SEVEN**

### **NOUNS**

#### 7.1 Introduction

The noun vocabulary in ANA is drawn mainly from native Aramaic stock but there are many loanwords in common use, derived mostly from Kurdish (Kurmanji dialect)<sup>1</sup> and Arabic, but also from Turkish, Persian and, more recently, French and English, e.g.  $m\bar{a}ser$  'nun' (Fr. ma soeur) and  $k\bar{e}k$  'cake' (Eng. cake). Classical Syriac is also a source of borrowings, as the language of the church. Such loans are often distinguishable from true Neo-Aramaic words by their conservative phonology or morphology.

The Kurdish influence has been greatest as Kurds are the majority ethnic group in the area. Kurdish loans are found in all spheres of life, among others household items, animals, professions and parts of the body. Certain sets of words are mixed Aramaic-Kurdish. For instance, of the seasons, summer and winter are of Aramaic origin, while spring and autumn are Kurdish.

Native Aramaic forms generally have the inflectional suffix -a in the singular. Feminine nouns usually take the //-Ta// suffix (-ta or - $\theta a$ , §6.8.11). These suffixes are reflexes of the old Aramaic markers of the 'emphatic' (or definite) state. The Aramaic 'absolute' (or indefinite) state, which was unmarked, has survived only in titles (§7.9), proper nouns (§7.10), compound words (§7.9) and some fossilized adverbs like *kučat* (<\*kut-*šat*) 'every year' (§10.3.4(3)). Plurality is marked by a number of suffixes, the most common being masculine -e and feminine - $y\bar{a}\theta a$  (§7.11).

Loanwords have undergone varying degrees of adaptation to the native morphology. Many loanwords have been adapted through the affixation of -a or //-Ta//,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Where Kurdish is mentioned, the Kurmanji dialect is intended. The main dictionary used is Rizgar (1993) but the glossaries in Soane (1913) and Wurzel (1997) are also used.

but others have retained more or less their original form. Many loanwords have also adopted Aramaic plurals while others take a special loan-plural.

Among the Kurdish loans, many are adapted in both ways. For instance barxa 'lamb' is adapted from Kurdish berx, taking both the Aramaic singular inflection -a and plural inflection -e. Likewise ranga 'colour' (pl. range) from Kurdish reng, and šivāna 'shepherd' (pl. šivāne) from Kurdish şivan. Sometimes the -a inflection is not added, e.g. mēs 'table', nišan 'sign' and dūk 'spindle. Such cases may still take an Aramaic plural, e.g. dežmen 'enemy', pl. dežmene (K. dijmin). The degree of adaptation may also vary from dialect to dialect. In Aradhin, for instance, the word for 'sign' is ni:ša:na, taking the -a inflection.<sup>2</sup> The feminine inflection is also frequently added to Kurdish loans, e.g. šappukta 'traditional jacket' (K. şapik m.), and dargušta 'cradle' (K. dergûş f.).

The picture with Arabic is more complex. Arabic words have been borrowed through two main routes: (1) directly or (2) indirectly through Kurdish. The indirect route was more common in the past as Kurds, not Arabs, live in the immediate vicinity of Alqosh. An indirect loan is often identifiable when the word underwent a change in Kurdish which is reflected in the ANA lexeme. Direct Arabic borrowing has probably become more common now as transport and communication have become easier. Many Chaldeans have emigrated to Arab parts of Iraq, to Mosul and Baghdad, and contacts with family still in Alqosh will have encouraged Arabic influence. In modern times, the growth of mass education has increased the influence of Arabic yet further, as it is the language of schooling for Chaldean children. Chaldeans living abroad continue to have contact with Arabic as they often mix with the wider Iraqi and Arab expatriate communites.

As a source for loans, Arabic cannot be treated as a single entity, given the extreme diglossia present in Iraq as in other Arab countries. Many Arabic words are borrowed from the vernacular language, as is often clear from the form or meaning. Arabic words in general are adapted less than Kurdish words, perhaps because most have been absorbed into the language more recently. Those ending in a consonant (usually of

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 140).

masculine gender) do not usually take the -a ending, e.g.  $h\bar{a}wan$  'mortar', 'amal 'thing' and  $x\bar{a}m$  'linen'.

Arabic feminines are borrowed with the  $t\bar{a}$  marbūṭa. This is the Arabic feminine inflection, equivalent in function to the //-Ta// inflection in Neo-Aramaic. In Classical Arabic the  $t\bar{a}$  marbūṭa takes the form -a(t); in many vernacular Iraqi dialects, the vowel is raised to -e, except after emphatic and back consonants.<sup>3</sup> Hence we find some Iraqi loanwords ending in -e, e.g. qubbe 'room', 'elbe 'measuring container' and dunye 'world', but others in -a, e.g. ṭabāqa 'layer', sā'a 'hour', da'wa 'party', ruxṣa 'permission and šurṭa 'police'.

Even non-Arabic feminine nouns are sometimes given the -e ending, e.g.  $ku\check{c}eke$  'room' (K.  $ku\dot{c}ik$  'cavity', 'room') and  $\check{s}arre$  'battle' (K.  $\underline{s}e\underline{r}$ ). Some adjectives of Arabic or Kurdish origin also take it to mark their feminine (see §8.3(17)). This may show an unawareness of the precise origins of many loanwords. Many of these foreign feminines with -e behave as Aramaic words, taking the Aramaic feminine plural ending  $-\bar{a}\theta a$ .

Some feminine Arabic words are borrowed with the Classical Arabic -a form of the  $t\bar{a}$  marbūṭa where the vernacular would have -e, e.g. ḥafla 'party' and wazna (a unit of volume). This may indicate a more recent borrowing based on the Classical Arabic learned at school or used in the media.

Some borrowings from Arabic are even less adapted than those mentioned above. Speakers may use unadapted Arabic plurals such as  $-\bar{a}t$  and  $-\bar{i}n$ , e.g.  $k\bar{a}s\bar{e}t\dot{a}t$  'cassettes' and  $fall\bar{a}h\dot{t}n$  'farmers'. This is perhaps more frequent among speakers who use Arabic on a regular basis and may reflect code-switching rather than truly integrated loans.

Very often words have been borrowed into several or all of the regional languages. For instance variants of 'oda 'room' occur in Turkish (oda, the ultimate source), Arabic (Q.A. 'oda), Kurdish (ode) and Persian (otaq). In such cases it can be difficult to ascertain which is the immediate source of the loan. The situation is made more difficult by the paucity of information on the spoken dialects of these languages which are often more likely to be the source of the loan than the standard language. This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> O. Jastrow (1982: 140).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Strangely both these have masculine gender in Kurmanji (Rizgar 1993: 114, 176).

may account for some of the apparent differences in form, or occasionally gender, between an ANA word and its source in Kurmanji or Arabic.

Nevertheless there are often clues as to which language is the immediate source. Sometimes the precise form, gender or meaning point to one language in particular. For example qalāma f. 'pen' is probably from Kurdish, although the ultimate source is Arabic, as qalam is m. in Arabic but qelem can be f. in Kurdish. Where there is uncertainty, all the languages which could be the source will be listed, with the exception that if one of the possible sources is Kurdish or Arabic, then Persian or Turkish will not usually be listed, as these are far less likely to be the source than the former two. Where a word is found in both Iraqi Arabic and Classical Arabic, it will be marked with 'Arab.' Where it is only found in the vernacular or the form is distinctly Iraqi, it will be marked with 'I.A.'

Where sources are indicated, native lexemes are marked with 'Aram.' for Aramaic. In this category are included loanwords borrowed in antiquity, for instance ones found in Syriac, e.g. gaha 'time' (Syr.  $g\bar{a}h\bar{a}$ , < P.). Where the derivation is indicated, Syriac cognates are often cited. This is because it is the best-known old Aramaic dialect and reasonably close to the ancestor of the NENA dialects.

#### 7.2 Gender of nouns

ANA, like Semitic languages in general, has two grammatical genders, masculine and feminine. As there is no neuter gender, these two categories are used for inanimates as well as animates. Most feminine nouns are marked with the inflection //-Ta//, but a significant minority are without this marking. These include some Aramaic nouns belonging to certain categories as well as some loanwords. Feminine nouns without //-Ta// are listed below:

#### 1) Female humans and animals

All female humans and animals attested are of feminine gender, regardless of their marking, e.g. baxta 'woman', yemma 'mother', kālu 'bride' and 'wāna 'ewe'.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cf. Abbreviations and Symbols.

#### 2) Feminine loanwords

Most loanwords retain the gender that they have in the source language. This includes many words ending in the Arabic  $t\bar{a}$   $marb\bar{u}t$ a (-a or -e), e.g. qahwa f. 'coffee', naqla f. 'time', wazna f. (a unit of weight), tab $\bar{a}$ qa f. 'layer', ' $\bar{a}$ de f. 'custom' and qubbe f. 'room' (cf. §7.4 for more examples with -e). It also includes feminine Kurdish words, both those that are unadapted, e.g. karwan f. (K. karvan f.) and those that have adopted the Aramaic -a inflection, e.g. dasta f. 'plain' (K. dest f.), dekk $\bar{a}$ na f. 'shop' (K. dik'an f.),  $qal\bar{a}ma$  f. 'pen' (K. qelem),  $cat{a}$  f. 'solution' (K.  $cat{a}$  f.), and  $cat{a}$  f. 'turban' (K.  $cat{a}$  f.) Some loanwords, however, apparently do not retain the gender of the source language. When the gender is changed, it is often by analogy with other words. For instance masta 'yoghurt' (K. mast), is feminine in ANA, though masculine in Kurdish, perhaps because the //-Ta// ending is coincidentally identical to the feminine marker. And daqiqa m. 'minute' which is feminine in both Arabic and Kurdish may have been made masculine in ANA because of the absence of a feminine marker.

Similarly some originally feminine words may have been given masculine gender by analogy with words of the same semantic group. Thus Kurdish loanwords  $p\bar{a}yes$  'Autumn' and  $bah\bar{a}r$  'Spring' are masculine in ANA like the two Aramaic seasons, even though they are feminine in Kurdish.

In some cases there is no obvious reason for the change in gender, as in  $\check{s}orba$  f. 'water jug' (K.  $\check{s}orb$  m. 'liquid'), and  $m\bar{e}s$  'table' m. (Kurdish  $m\bar{e}z$  f.). This may perhaps reflect a diversity of gender among the Kurdish dialects themselves.

Words of Aramaic origin with feminine gender but without the //-Ta// inflection are relatively few. In common with other Semitic languages, they tend to fall into certain semantic groups, 3-7 below:

200

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Note that in the cases of karwan, *dekkāna* and *qalāma*, the feminine gender is evidence for Kurdish rather than Arabic origin, as these words are masculine in Arabic.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This word is also feminine in the related Chaldean dialect of Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 135).

### 3) Town or village names

'alquš 'Alqosh'zāxu 'Zakho'baġdad 'Baghdad'

### 4) Natural phenomena

šemša 'sun'yāma 'sea'šmayya 'sky''eddāna 'time'

### 5) Circumscribed spaces

'ara 'field'

dūka 'place'

bēra 'well'

qora 'grave'

gūba 'loom'

'urxa 'way', 'road'

bedra 'threshing floor'

The words  $k\bar{a}we$  '(traditional) window' and  $g\bar{a}re \nsim g\bar{a}ra$  'roof', also feminine in Syriac, perhaps also come into this category.

### 6) Smaller animals

Large domestic animals like  $xm\bar{a}ra$  'donkey', tora 'bull' and  $s\bar{u}sa$  'horse' are always masculine, unless they are actually females. For smaller animals, especially wild ones, the sex is of less importance and so grammatical gender is less likely to indicate the actual sex of the animal, and is instead fairly arbitrary. The increased incidence of feminine gender in small animals is linked to the association of feminine with

diminutives (cf. §7.7). Some small animals are marked with //-Ta//, e.g. nunta 'fish'. Some of those that are not are listed below:

```
'ezza 'goat''arnūwa 'rabbit''aqerwa 'scorpion'
```

## 7) Parts of the body

As in other Semitic languages, body parts that come in pairs tend to be feminine.

'iða	'arm'
'aqla	'leg'
<sup>э</sup> єпа	'eye'
berka	'knee'
<sup>c</sup> u <i>ţ</i> ma	ʻthigh

The collective word for cattle, buqra (Syr. baqra 'herd') does not appear to fit into any of the categories above.

There is some variation among the NENA dialects as to what is included in these groups. For instance metra 'rain' is masculine in Alqosh but feminine in the related Chaldean dialect of Christian Zakho. Likewise the modern dialects, including ANA, frequently diverge from the gender found in Syriac. Maclean (1895:35) lists such words in his general overview of the dialects. The ANA data in many cases concurs with his data (which is not specific to one dialect):

		ANA, Macl.	Syriac
g $ar{u}$ ba	'ditch'	f.	m.
<sup>c</sup> eddāna	'time'	f.	m.
bedra	'threshing flo	or' f.	m. ('e <u>d</u> rā)
qora	'grave'	f.	m.
nūra	'fire'	m.	f.
<i>țer</i> a	'bird'	m.	f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> K. Mole (2000: 55).

In other cases ANA shares the gender with Syriac, while Maclean's dialects have a different gender:

		ANA	Macl	Syr.
me <i>ṭ</i> ra	'rain'	m.	f.	m.
' <i>є</i> wa	'cloud'	m.	f.	m.
lɛle	'night'	m.	f.	m.
bēra	'well'	f.	m.	f.
buqra	'cattle'	f.	m.	f.

### 7.3 Morphology of nouns ending in -a

Words in this class are derived both from Aramaic and foreign stock.

### 7.3.1 Bisyllabic patterns

#### 7.3.1.1 CvCCa

### 1) CaCCa

This pattern is very common, found with words of Aramaic, Kurdish and Arabic origin. A few alternate with CoCCa, e.g. zarna  $\sim$  zorna 'reed pipe'. These are listed under CoCCa. Geminated words of this form are rare, as original gemination has been lost and the vowel lengthened to compensate (see §1.7.2.1), as for instance in  $q\bar{a}\bar{s}a$  'priest' (Syr.  $qa\bar{s}\bar{s}\bar{a}$ ). An exception is gabba 'political wing' (Syr.  $gabb\bar{a}$ ). Loanwords are also excepted, e.g. 'amma 'uncle' (Arab.) and garra 'circuit' (K.).

### Words of Aramaic origin

<sup>2</sup> alpa	'thousand'
yarxa	'month'
karma	'vineyard'
xamra	'wine'
<sup>c</sup> alma	'people'
kalba	'dog'
<sup>3</sup> amra	'wool'

<sup>2</sup>aqla 'leg' Loanwords 'lamb' (K.) barxa parča 'piece' (K.) darga 'front doorway' (K.) 'colour' (K.) ranga masta 'yoghurt' (K.) qahwa 'coffee' (Arab.) <sup>c</sup>amma 'uncle' (Arab.) 'circuit' (K.) garra kaffa 'hand' (Arab.)

#### 2) CeCCa

This pattern is also common. There are many cases where Syriac had CaCCa but ANA and other NENA dialects have CeCCa, e.g. kepna 'hunger' (Syr.  $kapn\bar{a}$ ), setwa 'winter' (Syr.  $satw\bar{a}$ ) and  $he\check{s}\check{s}a$  'suffering' (Syr.  $ha\check{s}\check{s}\bar{a}$ ). Some words of this pattern are verbal nouns referring to an action or state, e.g. qeṭla 'killing' ( $\sqrt{q}t$ 1), kepna 'hunger' ( $\sqrt{kpn}$ ), gexka 'laughter' ( $\sqrt{g}xk$ ), xemma 'heat' ( $\sqrt{xym} < *xmm$ ), ged $\check{s}a$  'accident' ( $\sqrt{g}d\check{s}$ ), yerxa 'length' ( $\sqrt{y}rx$ ), yeqra 'weight' ( $\sqrt{y}q$ r), yerqa 'green' ( $\sqrt{y}rq$ ). Three verba tertiae /y/ verbal nouns have a final /w/ instead of the /y/:  $pe\theta wa$  'width' ( $\sqrt{p}\theta y$ ), jehwa 'tiredness' ( $\sqrt{j}hy$ ), nehwa 'sighing' ( $\sqrt{n}hy$ )<sup>9</sup> There are a couple of words with cognate verbal roots that have concrete referents: gersa 'cracked wheat' ( $\sqrt{g}rs$  'to crush') and  $\check{s}exra$  'charcoal' ( $\sqrt{\check{s}xr}$  II 'cover in charcoal').

Two words of this form are derived from original \* $CC\bar{a}$ : šemma 'name' (Syr.  $\check{s}m\bar{a}$ ), demma 'blood' (Syr.  $dm\bar{a}$ ). Presumably an epenthetic vowel was first inserted between the two consonants ( $C^eC\bar{a}$ ) and then the consonant doubled to match the short vowel.

\_

 $<sup>^9</sup>$  The last two also occur with elided /h/ as  $j\bar{e}wa$  and  $n\bar{e}wa$  (cf. (7)).

### Words of Aramaic origin

kepna 'hunger'

setwa 'winter'

metra 'rain'

lexma 'bread'

gersa 'cracked wheat'

*šemša* 'sun'

sekka 'ploughshare'

*ḥešša* 'suffering'

xegga 'traditional dance'

lebba 'heart'

demma 'blood'

*šemma* 'name'

### Loanwords

jerða 'rat' (Arab. *jirðān*)

#### 3) CuCCa

This pattern is much less common than CaCCa and CeCCa and is found mostly with words with labial, emphatic or back consonants. In other NENA dialects they sometimes occur with /e/, e.g. beqrā and 'etma. Since the phonetic value of /e/ in labial, back or emphatic environments is close to /u/, it may be that in these cases the proto-NENA form was CeCCa and that in ANA /e/ was replaced by /u/ under the influence of such consonants. Like CeCCa forms, most words of this pattern are cognate with Syriac words of CaCCā pattern, e.g. Syr. gamlā 'camel', 'aprā 'soil' and 'atmā 'thigh'.

Few geminated words have an original \*/u/, as original gemination after \*/u/ has been lost and the vowel lengthened in compensation (see §1.7.2.1). Cases of

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Maclean (1895: 44-5).

gemination are all loanwords or original \*CeCCa forms, such as guppa 'cave'. The example hubba 'love' could be from original hebba (cf. Maclean xibâ  $\sim$  xübâ)  $^{12}$  or a borrowing from Syriac ( $hubb\bar{a}$ ).

### Words of Aramaic origin

<sup>o</sup> urxa	'way'
gumla	'camel'
<sup>2</sup> upra	'soil'
buqra	'cows'
<sup>c</sup> u <i>ṭ</i> ma	'thigh'
guppa	'cave'

#### Loanwords

```
ruxṣa 'permission' (Arab.)

šurṭa 'police' (Arab.)

julla 'piece of cloth' (K.)
```

### 4) CoCCa ≁ CaCCa

As the phoneme /o/ tends to alternate with /a/ in closed syllables, words of this form may have two forms. The only attested examples are loanwords from Kurdish.

```
šorba ≁ šarba 'water-cooling pot' (K.)
zorna ≁ zarna 'reed pipe' (K.)
jonga 'young man' (K.)
```

#### 7.3.1.2 CvCa

#### 5) CāCa

Many words of this pattern are derived from an earlier geminated form, e.g.  $k\bar{a}ka$  'tooth' (Syr.  $kakk\bar{a}$ ),  $q\bar{a}sa$  'priest' (Syr.  $qass\bar{a}$ ) and  $y\bar{a}ma$  'sea' (Syr.  $yamm\bar{a}$ ). In some cases assimilation of two different consonants has taken place, e.g  $y\bar{a}la$  (Syr.  $yald\bar{a}$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Maclean's dictionary (1901), which does not usually indicate gemination, gives gipâ [gɪp(p)ɑː] (transliterated from the Syriac script).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Maclean (1901: 90).

and kāsa 'stomach' (Syr. karsā). A few are derived from original CāCa, e.g. qāla 'voice' (Syr. qālā) and māra 'master' (Syr. mārā). There are also a few adjectives of form *CāCa* (§8.2(1)).

### Words of Aramaic origin

qāša 'priest' 'child' yāla 'old man' sāwa kāka 'tooth' yāma 'sea' qāla 'voice' māra 'master'

#### Loanwords

šāla 'trad. trousers' (K.) bāla 'mind' (K., Arab.) 'hour' (Arab.)  $s\bar{a}^{c}a$ 

### 6) *CεCa*

Words of this pattern are usually derived from earlier Aramaic \*CayCā, e.g. 'Ewa 'cloud' (Syr. 'ay $b\bar{a}$ ) and  $b\varepsilon\theta a$  'house' (Syr. bay $t\bar{a}$ ). Other dialects such as Zakho still preserve the original diphthong.<sup>13</sup>

### Words of Aramaic origin

ъwа 'cloud' 'wood' qesa 'summer' *qɛṭ*a  $b\varepsilon\theta a$ 'house' 'oil'  $z\varepsilon\theta a$ 'bird' *tera* <sup>2</sup>Ena 'eye'

<sup>13</sup> Mole (2000: 16) and Hoberman (1993: 117).

#### Loanwords

*jεba* 'pocket' (Arab.)

### 7) *CēCa*

Most words of this form derive from earlier  $*C\bar{e}Ca$ , as found in the eastern pronunciation of Syriac, e.g.  $p\bar{e}ra$  'fruit' (Syr.  $p\bar{e}ra$ ). Many such words originally had a medial aleph as can be seen in the Syriac spelling, but this was already silent in Syriac. One word,  $t\bar{e}la$  'fox', is derived ultimately from \*Ce'Ca, presumably via \*Ce'Ca. Other  $C\bar{e}Ca$  words are derived from \*CehCa, e.g.  $d\bar{e}wa$  (<\*dehwa) 'gold',  $b\bar{e}ra$  (<\*behra) 'light',  $n\bar{e}ra$  (<\*nehra) 'river',  $j\bar{e}wa$  ( $\sim$ jehwa) 'tiredness',  $n\bar{e}wa$  ( $\sim$ nehwa) 'sighing'. Many of these words are cognate with Syriac Ca' $C\bar{a}$  or  $CahC\bar{a}$  (e.g. Syr.  $ta'l\bar{a}$  'fox',  $dahb\bar{a}$  'gold',  $bahr\bar{a}$  'dawn',  $nahr\bar{a}$  'river'), but in NENA dialects where the laryngeal is preserved, an /e/ (or its equivalent in the dialect) seems generally to be found, e.g. Qaraqosh  $t\bar{a}$  'fox',  $d\bar{a}$ hwa 'gold',  $b\bar{a}$ hra 'brightness' and Ch. Zakho dehwa 'gold'.

### **Words of Aramaic origin**

'festival'
'head'
'stone'
'wolf'
'silver'
'fruit'
'well'
'fox'
'gold'
'vigil'
'river'

<sup>14</sup> Khan (2002: 159). Qaraqosh /ə/ is pronounced like and cognate with ANA /e/. Note however that 'river' is nahra.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Mole (2000: 53).

čēwa 'tiredness'nēwa 'sighing'

#### Loanwords

*fēka* 'fruit' (Arab.)

### 8) CiCa

Nouns of this pattern are rare. Those attested are the following:

## **Words of Aramaic origin**

rixa 'smell'

'iða 'arm'

mixa 'Michael'

*t*ima 'value'

kisa 'bag'

### Loanwords

dika 'cockerel' (K., Arab.)

nita 'plan' (Arab.)

lira 'lira' (Arab., etc.)

mira 'prince' (Arab.)

### Words of uncertain origin

piqa 'back of the thigh'

### 9) CoCa

Only a few words of this pattern have an original monophthong, e.g. goya 'ball' (Syr.  $g\bar{u}y\bar{a}$ ) and qoqa 'ceramic pot' (Syr.  $q\bar{u}q\bar{a}$ ). Others are derived from \* $CawC\bar{a}$ , e.g. poxa 'air' (Syr.  $pawx\bar{a}$ ). Some of these are in turn derived from original \* $Ca\underline{b}C\bar{a}$ , e.g. gora 'man' (Syr.  $ga\underline{b}r\bar{a}$ ) and xola 'rope' (Syr.  $xa\underline{b}l\bar{a}$ ) under the rule that historic \* $/\underline{b}$ / is realised as /w/ (§1.7.1).

## Words of Aramaic origin

'day' yoma 'air' poxa 'time' zona 'man' gora 'rope' xola 'ceramic pot' qoqa

'ball' goya

#### Loanwords

<sup>2</sup>oḍa 'room' (Arab., K.)

# 10) *CūCa*

Words of this pattern are mostly derived from earlier Aramaic \*CūCa, e.g. nūra (Syr. nūrā) or CuCCa (though loss of gemination and compensatory lengthening, cf. §1.7.2.1), e.g.  $d\bar{u}ka$  (Syr.  $dukk\bar{a}$ ). Another derivation is from \*Ce $bC\bar{a}$ , e.g.  $d\bar{u}sa$ 'honey' (Syr. de $\underline{b}\underline{s}\bar{a}$ ) and \*Ce $\bar{p}C\bar{a}$ , e.g.  $r\bar{u}\underline{s}a$  'shoulder' (Syr.  $ra\bar{p}\underline{s}\bar{a}$ ). <sup>16</sup>

### Words of Aramaic origin

'fire' nūra

'shoulder' rūša

'mountain' tūra

kūša 'knitting needles'

dūka 'place' gūba 'loom'

'market' šūqa

#### Loanwords

'work' (K.) šūla

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  Or perhaps the original form was also Ce $\underline{b}$ C $\overline{a}$  and the /p/ found in Syriac is the result of devoicing. Cf. Krotkoff (1985: 126-7) for a discussion of this word.

### 7.3.1.3 CvCa

As short vowels in stressed open syllables are unusual in ANA, nouns of this pattern are rare. The few that exist are almost all of type CaCa. There is only one attested word each of the patterns CeCa and CuCa.

### 11) CaCa

Most of these words are derived from earlier \*CaC<sup>2</sup>a (and, in most cases, ultimately from \*CaC<sup>c</sup>a or \*CaCga), e.g. qara (Syr. qar<sup>2</sup> $\bar{a}$ ), <sup>2</sup>ara (Syr. <sup>2</sup>ar<sup>c</sup> $\bar{a}$ ) and dara (Syr. darga). The CaC<sup>2</sup>a form is still a possible variant in some cases.

### Words of Aramaic origin

```
'ara 'land'

tara 'door'

zara \nsim zar'a 'crop'

qara 'gourd', 'head'

mara \nsim mar'a 'illness', 'pain'

dara 'step'

gaha (\sim g\bar{a}) 'time' (Syr. g\bar{a}h\bar{a}, < P.)
```

#### Loanwords

šama 'candle' (Arab. šam<sup>c</sup>a)jala 'burghul-wheat bread' (Qar. jal<sup>2</sup>a) (?)

12) CeCa

tema 'taste' (<\*tem²a < \*tem²a, cf. Syr.  $ta²m\bar{a}$ )

13) CuCa

puqa 'frog'

The cognate form of this word in Qaraqosh is  $p \partial q^c a$ , so the short vowel in ANA may have resulted from the loss of original /c/ or /2/.<sup>17</sup>

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  Though there is a similar form in Kurdish: beq f. 'frog'.

#### 7.3.1.4 CCvCa

There are no attested examples of this pattern.

### 7.3.1.5 CCvCa

```
14) CCāCa, 'iCāCa (f. CCaCta, 'iCaCta)
```

This group includes the large class of Class I infinitives (§6.5). These sometimes have concrete as well as abstract meanings. There are also a few adjectives with this pattern (§8.2(2)).

```
gwāra 'marriage'
'itāwa 'sitting', 'sitting room'
'ixāla 'eating', 'food'
kθāwa 'writing', 'book'
'māða 'baptism'
tlāba 'requesting', 'betrothal'
```

A small number of words of *CCāCa* form have only concrete meanings. These are of both Aramaic and foreign origin:

### Words of Aramaic origin

šlāma'peace'šwāwa'neighbour'xmāra'donkey'gðāða'thread'syā'a'fence'ktāna'cotton'

#### Loanwords

xwāna 'small round table' (K.)xyāra 'cucumber' (Arab.)mxāṭa 'needle' (Arab. mixyaṭ)

### 15) CCiCa

Most words of this pattern are essentially verbal adjectives serving as nouns (cf. §8.2 (3)), e.g. *ġliba* 'winner' from *ġlb* I 'to win', but *ḥ*lija 'cotton' is a loan from Arabic *ḥalīj* 'ginned cotton'.

```
mšiḥa 'Christ' (Aram.)

xmira 'leaven' (Aram.)

ġliba 'winner' (ġlb I 'to win')

ḥlija 'cotton' (Arab.)
```

#### 16) CCoCa

The only examples of this form are glola 'ball' (cf. Syr.  $gl\bar{\iota}l\bar{a}$  'round') and brona 'son'. Historically brona is derived from \*bar 'son' and the diminutive ending -ona (see §7.8.2).

```
17) CCūCa

stūna 'tree-trunk' (Aram. < Iranian)
```

### 7.3.1.6 CCvCCa

Nouns of this pattern are very rare and have a variety of origins. Those attested are listed below.

### 18) CCaCCa

Both examples have a geminated third radical. The first example, *šmayya* 'sky', is cognate with Syriac *šmayyā*. Under normal historical phonological rules, gemination following /a/ in a stressed syllable should be lost and the vowel lengthened in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Commonly found in NENA as *yāla*, this word has been believed to be derived from yal*dā* but Mutzafi (2000b: 240) and Sabar (2002: 16), following a suggestion of Nöldeke's, propose a derivation from Arabic '*iyāl* 'family dependents', which would explain the initial /²/.

compensation (§1.7.2.1). It may be that the gemination has been preserved under the influence of the Syriac of the Church, as the word also means 'Heaven'.

```
šmayya 'sky' (Aram.)
kyalla 'target stone' (K. kêl)
```

### 19) Other patterns

The following are the only attested examples of their patterns.

CCeCCa	prezla	'iron' (Aram.)
CCuCCa	mxuška	'morning' (<*m-xuška 'from the darkness')
CCoCCa	jwanqa	'young man' (K.)

### 7.3.2 Trisyllabic patterns

### 7.3.2.1 CvCvCa

### 20) *CaCāCa*

This is one of the forms of the Class I active participle (§6.7) and therefore nouns of this pattern are derived from verbs, e.g.  $xay\bar{a}ta$  'tailor', from xyt I 'to sew'. Like the cognate Syriac form  $CaCC\bar{a}C\bar{a}$ , they usually function as nomina professionalis, covering people or things that regularly or professionally engage in an activity. The feminine of  $CaC\bar{a}Ca$  is CaCaCTa (48), e.g. xayatta 'dressmaker', or more often CaCCu (§7.8.5), e.g. yatta gaðlu 'knitter'.

```
šaðāya'wool-teaser'xayāṭa'tailor'zarā²a'planter'naṭāra'guard'ganāwa'thief'kaθāwa'writer'nagāra'carpenter'
```

Not all words of this pattern are nomina professionalis. Those of other types are mainly loanwords:

## Words of Aramaic origin

sa*tāna* 'devil'

*'amāna* 'container' 19

dabāša 'bee'

#### Loanwords

'aqāra 'farmland', 'estate' (Arab.)

barāna 'ram' (K.)

'ašāya 'dinner' (Arab.)

qabāya '(trad.) robe' (Arab.)

qalāma 'pen' (K.)

qaṣāla 'straw' (Arab.)

tamāţa 'tomato'

### 21) CaCiCa

Most words of this pattern are adjectives serving as nouns (see §8.2(8)) or loanwords from Arabic.

qariwa 'relative' (Aram.)

tanina 'dragon' (Aram.)

wazira 'mayor' (Arab.)

faqira 'poor man' (Arab.)

makina 'machine' (K., Arab.)

# 22) $C\bar{a}CoCa$

Nouns of this pattern are a form of the Class I active participle ( $\S6.7$ ) and, as such, perform the same function as nouns of the  $CaC\bar{a}Ca$  pattern (20). For some verbs, both

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  Maclean (1901: 152) gives it as a variant of  $m\bar{a}na$  (Syr.  $m\bar{a}(^{\prime})n\bar{a}$  'vessel').

forms are attested, e.g.  $n\bar{a}t$  or  $\approx nat\bar{a}ra$  'guard' and  $q\bar{a}t$  ola  $\approx qat\bar{a}la$  'killer'. The feminine is  $C\bar{a}CoCTa$  (50).

```
p\bar{a}\theta oxa 'opener'

n\bar{a}tora 'guard'

q\bar{a}tola 'killer'
```

#### 23) CaCoCa

Nouns of this pattern are not well attested and have no clear connection to each other in meaning. The feminine of the form is CaCoCTa 

CaCaCTa (51, 48).

```
garoma 'rolling pin' (Aram.)

jawoða 'pruning instrument' (?)

'alola 'street' (Aram.)

ra'ola 'valley' (Aram.)

la'oma 'one side of the jaws' (Aram.?)<sup>20</sup>

qapoxa 'blow on the head' (Aram.)
```

Also belonging to this group are the feminines  $pa\theta arta \nsim pa\theta orta$  'basket' (Aram.) (48, 51) and pataxta (pl. patoxe) 'cow-pat' (48).

The word for brother, 'axona, could be included in this category from the point of view of its form. Although -ona is in origin a diminutive suffix, 'axona is now the neutral word for 'brother'.

In other dialects there is no formal distinction between the above nouns and nouns of the  $C\bar{a}CoCa$  pattern. Compare, for instance, Qar. garoma 'rolling-pin' with Qar. natora 'guard'. In fact CaCoCa is the form we would expect to be derived from Syr.  $C\bar{a}C\bar{o}C\bar{a}$ , given the rule of pretonic shortening (§2.3.2.2.2.i) which is applied to all other forms except verbs, but for some reason the rule was blocked in that case. It may be that  $C\bar{a}CoCa$  and CaCoCa are both derived from earlier \* $C\bar{a}CoCa$ . This

216

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> This must somehow be related to Qar. la<sup>2</sup>osa 'jaw' (Khan 2002: 165), also Macl. lâ<sup>2</sup>ôsā 'jaw' (1901: 150), which are both cognate with Syr. I's 'to chew' (Oar. I's).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Khan (2002: 165).

explanation is supported by those words with Syriac cognates. For instance ra'ola is cognate with Syriac  $r\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{o}l\bar{a}$  'narrow valley of a rivulet', pataxta (pl. patoxe) with Syriac  $p\bar{a}t\bar{o}h\bar{a}$  and  $pa\theta$ orta with Syriac  $p\bar{a}\theta\bar{u}r\bar{a}$  'table', 'tray'. The words 'alola and qapoxa have cognate verbs in Syriac and could have had the original meaning of 'enterer' (Syr. ' $\bar{a}l\bar{o}l\bar{a}$ ) and 'one who beats on the head' (Syr.  $q\bar{a}p\bar{o}h\bar{a}$ ). These meanings might later have developed into 'street' and 'blow on the head'.

A divergence into two forms could be explained by semantic differences. Some nouns of the form  $*C\bar{a}CoCa$  did not have the usual nomina professionalis function (perhaps because of a development of the meaning). For this reason they might have been treated as a separate group and the block on the pretonic shortening rule (§2.3.2.2.2.i) not applied to them.

#### 24) CiCāCa (see also 'iCāCa under CCāCa)

Nouns of this pattern are of both Aramaic and foreign origin.

```
lišāna 'language' (Aram.)

'ilāna ≁ hilāna 'tree' (Aram.)

gihāna 'Hell' (Aram.)

šibāqa 'sash' (Aram.)

šivāna 'shepherd' (K.)
```

# 25) CuCāCa

Words of this form all have a cognate stem II verb, with the exception of the loanword *dulāba* which is a loanword.

```
burāxa 'wedding'
bušāla 'cooked food'
buqāra 'question'
kurāxa 'shroud cloth'
dubāra 'discipline'
```

<sup>22</sup> Nöldeke (1904: §107).

.

There are two cases of this form which is cognate with the Syriac diminutive form  $C(u)CayC\bar{a}$ , <sup>23</sup> and the Arabic form CuCayC. This word may be Aramaic in origin as the root is qtp, as in Syriac ( $\sqrt{qtp}$  'to pluck (esp. grapes)') and not qtf, as in Arabic. If it were borrowed early, however, Arabic /f/ might have been borrowed as /p/. In Syriac the /u/ in such forms was mostly lost.

The same form, but in the plural, is found in  $kub\varepsilon be$  'kubbas'<sup>24</sup>; the singular for this is kubabta.

#### 27) Other patterns

Words of the following patterns are rare and mostly of non-Aramaic origin. One exception is  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  laha 'God'. This may take penultimate or initial stress:  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  laha. It is cognate with Syriac  $\sqrt[3]{a}$  laha. The first vowel has been lengthened for a reason that is not clear, while the second vowel has been shortened under the influence of the following /h/, as in gaha (§2.5.6.4).

CaCaCa	tanaga	'bucket' (K., Arab.)
CaCēCa	la <i>ḥēfa</i>	'bedcover' (K., Arab.)
$CaC\bar{u}Ca$	tanūra	'oven' (K., Arab.)
CāCaCa	<sup>2</sup> ālaha	'God' (Aram.)
CāCāCa	gāvāna	'cowherd' (K.)
$C\bar{a}C\bar{u}Ca$	bāšūka	(type of bird) (K.?)
СεCāCa	čexāna	'café' (K.)
CēCāCa	kēbāya	'stuffed lamb's stomach'
	qēnāya	'goldsmith' (K.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See Nöldeke (1904: §112) for a few examples.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Balls of cracked wheat and mince.

C <i>ē</i> CiCa	bēdikā	'sparrow' (K.)
CiCoCa	zizo <i>ț</i> a	'bandage'
	ișora	'binding' (Aram.)
CoCiCa	qošina	type of bird
	domina	'dominoes'

# 7.3.2.2 CvCCvCa

# 28) Quadriliteral

Quadriliteral words of this pattern are of both Aramaic and foreign origin:

CaCCāCa	dar <i>māna</i>	'medicine' (K.)	
	malxāwa	'winnowing fork' (≁ melxāwa)	
	karxāna	'team' (I.A., K.)	
	mal' <i>āxa</i>	'angel' (Aram.)	
	ma <i>ṭrāḥ</i> a	'mattress' (Arab.)	
	maqlā <sup>c</sup> a	'weeding instrument (?)' (Arab.)	
CaCCiCa	talmiða	'pupil' (Aram.)	
	zanzira	'starling' (Arab.?) <sup>25</sup>	
	marziwa	'gutter (on roof)'	
CaCCūCa	<sup>3</sup> arnūwa	'rabbit' (Aram.)	
CeCCāCa	bestāna	'garden' (Arab., K.)	
	jez <i>ḍāna</i>	'purse' (Arab.)	
	melxāwa	'winnowing fork'	
CeCCēCa	meskēna	'poor person' (Aram.)	
	per <i>ţēna</i>	'flea'	
CeCCiCa	begwina	'eyebrow'(Aram.)	
CuCCāCa	²urbāla	'sieve' (Aram.)	

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Cf. Arab. *zurzūr* 'starliing'

### 29) Middle-geminated

Middle-geminated forms are rare as original gemination after /a/ in a pretonic syllable has mostly been lost. Nevertheless a few words have retained the gemination, in some cases perhaps because of the influence of Syriac as the liturgical language. This is most likely with religious words such as hattāya 'sinner' and qaddiša 'saint'.

CaCCāCa	gabbāra	'champion' (Aram.)
	<i>ḥ</i> a <i>ṭṭāya</i>	'sinner' (Aram.)
	harrāna	type of large lizard
	<i>'akkār</i> a	'farmer' (Aram.)
	dallāla	'guide' (Arab. dallāl)
	šammāma	type of melon
CaCCiCa	qaddiša	'saint' (Aram.)
	xaṣṣina	'type of pickaxe (?)' (Aram. < Arab.) <sup>26</sup>
CaCCoCa	$kappo\theta a$	'sandwich' (filling rolled in pitta bread) (Aram.?) <sup>27</sup>
	paqqota	'boiled wheat berries'
$CaCC\bar{u}Ca$	dabbūra	a type of building tool
	<sup>c</sup> allūča	'chewing gum' (I.A.)
CeCCāCa	dekkāna	'shop' (K./ Arab.)
	mennāra	'minaret'(Arab.)
CeCCoCa	<i>ḥ</i> eššoya	(a type of stuffing) (Arab. hšw)
	debbora	'wasp' (cf. Syr. <i>debbōrā</i> )
	šexxora	'piece of charcoal'
	geððoða	'hedgehog'

The last example listed is probably derived from \*gðoða, the form found in Qaraqosh, as original gemination would have left a plosive /d/ rather than fricative /ð/. In Qaraqosh an epenthetic is sometimes pronounced between the first two

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> This word is found in Syriac, meaning 'a small axe' but is ultimately of Arabic origin (Payne-Smith 1902: 154).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Cf. Syr. kpt 'to boll, form into a pod or seed-vessel.

consonants. This may be what happened in ANA. The gemination would have been added because /e/ is not usually found in open syllables. The same seems to have occurred with xemmarta (Syriac ḥmūrtā) (64).

#### 7.3.2.3 CvCvCCa

30) Quadriliteral (CaCeCCa and CaCuCCa)

Words of this pattern are quite rare and almost exclusively Aramaic words of the CaCeCCa pattern. The /e/ in this form is an epenthetic vowel, inserted to break up a consonant cluster (cf. §3.2.3). The Syriac cognates of these words in some cases had no epenthetic vowel or one in a different place, e.g. Syr. kawk<sup>2</sup>bā for ANA kawexwa.

```
gayegra 'threshing sledge' (Aram.)
'aqerwa 'scorpion' (Aram.)
kaweðna 'mule' (Aram.)
kawexwa 'star' (Aram.)
```

Two words of this pattern are derived verbal forms. They are formed from the Class III present base/participle stem (maCeCC) with -a inflection, rather than the standard participle ending  $-\bar{a}na$  (see §7.8.3).

```
maserqa 'comb' (srq III 'to make comb')
maðepha 'altar', 'shrine' (Syr. madbhā, dbh 'to sacrifice')
```

One example of the CaCuCCa pattern is attested:

```
'aqubra 'mouse' (Aram.)
```

### 31) Final-geminated

There is only one example of this form:

```
baqella 'bean' (Arab.)
```

This may be a back-formation from baqelle 'beans', which derives from Q.A.  $b\bar{a}q\partial$ lle (Classical Arab.  $b\bar{a}qill\bar{a}$ ), also plural.<sup>28</sup> Similar in form is the plural  $k\bar{a}lekke$  'crocheted shoes' (sg.  $k\bar{a}lek\theta a$ ) and the final -e form qarekke 'turtle' (§7.4).

#### 7.3.2.4 CvCCvCCa

There are only two examples, both of the CaCCaCCa pattern:

```
madrassa 'school' (Arab.)
pannarga a game (?)
```

### 7.3.2.5 CCvCCvCa

There are only two examples of this pattern:

```
marqoza 'narcissus' (Syr. narqāws)

dardūma (of uncertain meaning)<sup>29</sup>
```

### 7.3.3 Quadrisyllabic patterns

There are few examples attested:

```
jammadāna 'man's turban' (K) 
xastaxāna 'hospital' (I.A., T.)<sup>30</sup>
```

#### 7.3.4 Nouns ending in -iya

Many words ending in -iya are derived from Kurdish words ending in -î, e.g. *pošiya* 'head-dress' from Kurdish *poşî*, *taššiya* 'spindle' from Kurdish *teşî* and galiya 'ravine' from Kurdish gelî. The -iya ending is produced by the addition of the Aramaic inflection -a. Most are feminine in gender. There are also some Arabic words ending in -iya borrowed without adaptation, e.g. *ḥ*anafiya '(water) tap' (Arab. *ḥanafīya*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Jastrow (1990b: 323), citing the Qəltu dialect of Aqra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Only found in the expression *dardūmeḥ mšurešya* 'he is looking downcast', lit. 'his? is let down'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> This word is a compound in Turkish: hastahane < hasta 'sick' + hane 'house'.

```
dodiya f.
                'cradle'
goniya f.
                'sack' (I.A.)
pošiya f.
                'turban' (K.)
taššiya f.
                'spindle' (K.)
nēriya f.
                a type of red goat (K. 'billy-goat')
                'tunic' (I.A.)
tabliya f.
                'felt cloth'
taḥtiya f.
šamziya m.
                'water-melon' (K.)
                'ravine' (K.)
galiya f.
șiniya f.
                'big round metal tray' (K., Arab.)
                'wicker tray' (Arab.?)
tabaqiya f.
                'vermicelli' (Arab.)
ša<sup>c</sup>riya
ḥanafiya
                'tap' (Arab.)
```

### 7.4 Nouns ending in -e

Some of these nouns are pluralia tantum. They are construed as plural but have no singular form. All those attested are of Aramaic origin.

```
'water' (Aram.)
māye
                 'life'
xāye
                 'wheat'
xette
                 'hulled wheat'
semðe
şāre
                 'barley'
                 'sesame'
šešme
                 'yoghurt mixed with water'<sup>31</sup>
do<sup>2</sup>e
xaweðrāne
                 'environs'
```

Other nouns ending in -e are construed as singular. Most of these are of foreign origin and feminine gender. Indeed in these cases, as stated above, the ending -e is derived from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> A loanword from Kurdish, Persian or Arabic, but found even in Syriac.

the feminine ending of Qəltu Arabic, but in some cases it had been added to non-Arabic loanwords. The few words of Aramaic origin that end in -e are of both genders.

This category also includes all Stem II and III infinitives (§6.5), e.g. mzabone 'selling' and malope 'teaching', but these are masculine and have no plural.

### Words of Aramaic origin

*kāwe* f. 'trad. window' (Syr. kawwa f.)

gāre f. 'roof' (Syr. 'egārā m.)

xūwe m. 'snake' lele m. 'night'

rāze m. 'mass' (Syr. 'rāzē, pl. of 'rāzā 'sacrament, the Holy

Eucharist')

bar*āye* 'outside' (also an adverb, see §10.3.1)

gawāye 'outside' (also an adverb, see §10.3.1)

#### **Arabic loanwords**

dunye f. 'world', 'weather'

zawwāde f. 'provisions bag'

qubbe f. 'room' (Q.A.)

yamne f. 'right hand'

'elbe f. 'measuring of volume (bushel?)'

*'āde* f. 'custom'

'adde f. 'plough'<sup>32</sup>

baţāle f. 'idleness'

mahalle f. 'town-quarter'

qūwe f. 'power' (i.e. electricity)

mazze f. 'snacks' (taken with drink)

zahme f. 'trouble'

*čappe* f. 'left hand' (K. *čep*, Q.A. *čappé*)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> In Arabic (including I.A.) it is used of the harness.

### **Kurdish/Persian loanwords**

šarre f. 'fight' (K. şe<u>r</u>)

kučeke f. room' (K. kuçik)

šebbakiye 'window' (K. şibake)

Four words with the Aramaic derivational prefix bi- (cf. §7.9.5) end in -e:

bikāre m. 'ground-floor room for animals'

bisukre f. 'hole in the wall for hiding valuables'

burmāše f. 'evening' bi-yalde f. 'Christmas'

#### **Uncertain origin**

qarekke f. 'turtle' (note Syr. *raqqā* ≁ *reqqā*, Arab. raqq 'turtle)

gale f. 'ice-hockey'

'ennole 'thingummy-ing' (pseudo-infinitive)

kesxūre a game similar to 'Hide and Seek'

*kēbāye* a dish consisting of a stuffed sheep's stomach

pursange 'weight-lifting' (K.?)

### 7.5 Nouns ending in -u

In most cases, an ending in -u is the diminutive suffix (see §7.8.5). Two exceptions are the place name  $z\bar{a}xu$  'Zakho' and the loanword  $r\bar{a}dyu$  'radio'.

### 7.6 Nouns ending in -i

Only a few words are attested, of different origins: mendi 'thing' (Aram.) sloni 'swallow' (Aram.)<sup>33</sup> and the loanwords 'arabi 'Arabic' (Arab.), 'aji 'child' (I.A.), kursi 'chair' (Arab.), skamli 'chair' (I.A.), titi 'lark (?)' (K.) and *melyonči* 'millionare' (K.?).

-

 $<sup>^{33}</sup>$  Cf. Syr.  $sn\bar{u}ni\theta a$ .

#### 7.7 Nouns with feminine //-Ta// inflection

The feminine ending takes two forms: one with a plosive /t/ and the other with a fricative  $/\theta/$  (§6.8.11). In earlier Aramaic the choice was conditioned by the preceding sound; if a vowel (including schwa),  $-\theta a$  occurred, if a consonant, //-Ta// was used. In ANA, the same rules do not apply, because various sound changes in the language have obscured the original pattern. For instance, we find  $\delta a ta$  'year', rather than  $\delta a ta$ , because at an earlier stage the /t/ was geminate and therefore plosive (\* $\delta a ta$ ). We also find  $\delta a ta$  after a consonant in words such  $\delta a ta$  'place' because at an earlier stage there was gemination and hence an epenthetic schwa to break up the consonant cluster.

Some short feminine words with the marker //-Ta// appear to have a bi- or monoconsonantal root, e.g.  ${}^{\flat}\bar{e}ta$  'church' and  $m\bar{a}\theta a$  'village'. Such nouns sometimes adopt the t or  $\theta$  as an extra radical and take the plural on top of this, e.g.  ${}^{\flat}\bar{e}ta$  'church' (Syr. 'i $d\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ ), pl.  ${}^{\flat}\bar{e}t\bar{a}\theta a$  (Syr. 'i $d\bar{a}t\bar{a}$ ), kesta 'small bag' (cf. Syr.  $k\bar{i}s\bar{a}$ ), pl.  $kest\bar{a}\theta a$ . This process was already underway in Syriac. For the Syriac word 'ru $d\bar{b}t\bar{a}$  'Friday', the /t/ might or might not be treated as part of the root, both ' $r\bar{u}d\bar{b}a\theta a$  and 'ru $d\bar{b}t\bar{a}\theta a$  being found. In ANA the /t/ is always treated as part of the root:  $ruty\bar{a}\theta a$ .

There is also a series of words of the form  $C\bar{a}\theta a$ , which take  $-w\bar{a}\theta a$  after the  $/\theta/$ , e.g.  $m\bar{a}\theta a$  'village', pl.  $ma\theta w\bar{a}\theta a$  (§7.11.4.2). Another one of the same form,  $n\bar{a}\theta a$  'ear', takes  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  ( $na\theta y\bar{a}\theta a$ , §7.11.6).

The same phenomenon is found in other dialects with some different words:

```
Mangesh<sup>34</sup> beta 'egg' beta\theta a (compare ANA b\bar{e}'e)
Tkhuma<sup>35</sup> jalta 'girl' jalt\bar{a}\underline{t}e
```

This development has only occurred to words of the pattern (C)CvTa, perhaps as phonetic padding. Whether the singular ending should still be analysed as //-Ta// is debatable. Morphologically the t behaves as part of the root, yet it is also still a marker of feminine gender. These words will therefore be listed in this section.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Sara (1974: 56).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Jacobi (1973: 201) lists other examples as well.

In many words, the //-Ta// suffix has a particular semantic function. Frequently it marks the female counterpart of a human or animal noun. We find such pairs as  $s\bar{a}wa$  'old man' and sota (\*sawta) 'old woman'; likewise  $ka\theta\bar{a}wa$  'writer' and  $ka\theta ota$  'woman writer'. For animals there are pairs like kalba 'dog' and  $kaleb\theta a$  'bitch' and  $kaleb\theta a$  'donkey' and xmarta 'female donkey'.

The //-Ta// ending is also used with inanimates to denote the individual item of something which is usually viewed en masse or collectively. In such cases, the plural used is -e which is usually found with masculine nouns. The use of a feminine form to denote the nomen unitatis of a collective is paralleled in Arabic in such pairs as *šajar* 'trees' and *šajara(t)* 'tree'. However, in ANA, unlike in Arabic, the collective is plural in morphology and grammatical agreement.

This type is found very frequently with fruits and other foods consisting of small parts, such as  $xabu\check{s}ta$  'apple' (pl.  $xab\bar{u}\check{s}e$ ) and  $b\bar{e}ta$  'egg' (pl.  $b\bar{e}$ 'e). It also occurs with words for footwear, such as  $p\bar{e}lavta$  'shoe' (pl.  $p\bar{e}l\bar{a}ve$ ) and  $k\bar{a}lek\theta a$  'crocheted shoe' (pl.  $k\bar{a}lekke$ ). Shoes of course usually occur as a pair. All attested examples are listed in §7.11.1(3).

A similar individualizing function is found with the infinitive. The masculine infinitive represents the action or state seen as a whole or in general. The feminine represents an individual occurrence, e.g. 'izalta 'journey' (m. 'izāla 'going') and fṭarta 'breakfast' (m. fṭāra 'breakfasting').

Similar to this is the diminutive function which //-Ta// often performs. We see this most clearly when there is a masculine-feminine pair, such as magla 'sickle' and  $magel\theta a$  'small sickle'. Some further examples are below:

dūka	'place'	$duk\theta a$	'spot' (small place)
garna	'trough'	garen $\theta$ a	'small trough'
zarna	'reed pipe'	zaren $\theta$ a	'little reed pipe'
talma	'water jug'	talem $\theta$ a	'little water jug'
garoma	'rolling pin'	garamta	'thin rolling pin'
<sup>2</sup> alola	'street'	<sup>2</sup> alalta	'alley'
qanya	'cane', 'pen'	$qani\theta a$	'little cane', 'pen'

Even when there is no masculine counterpart for comparison, the feminine is often preferred for small items, e.g. xemmarta 'bead' and gumbalta 'ball'. In some cases the noun without the //-Ta// is also feminine, e.g. garna (f. 'trough') and  $garen\theta a$  (f. 'small trough'). In such cases it is clearly the form itself which has diminutive force rather than the feminine gender. Alternatively the gender alone may express the diminutive without any formal difference. This is the case with mella (m.) 'hill'. If it is construed as feminine with no change in form, then the meaning is 'hillock' ('little hill').

The //-Ta// ending is frequently applied to loanwords as well, for instance dargušta 'cradle', from Kurdish dergūş. The use of the feminine inflection as a diminutive marker is common in other NENA dialects. See Sara (1990: 50-52) for a discussion.

#### 7.7.1 CvCTa

#### 32) CaCTa

šabθa 'week'

 $xam\theta a$  'unmarried woman'

 $qar\theta a$  'cold'

 $xar\theta a$  'end'

darta 'courtyard'

čanta 'bag'

'amta 'paternal aunt'

#### 33) CeCTa

sekθa 'ploughshare'

sepθa 'lip'

šenθa 'sleep'

 $tek\theta a$  'draw-string'

bešta 'evil'

# 34) CoCTa (≁ CaCTa)

porta ≁ parta 'bran'

qopta ≁ qapta 'owl'

qoqta 'small ceramic pot'

# 35) CuCTa

 $duk\theta a$  'place'

gupta 'cheese'

xurta 'poplar (?)'

șurta 'picture'

nunta 'fish'

susta 'mare'

### 7.7.2 CvTa

## 36) C*ā*Ta

 $m\bar{a}\theta a$  'village'

 $x\bar{a}\theta a$  'sister'

 $p\bar{a}\theta a$  'face'

 $n\bar{a}\theta a$  'ear'

 $g\bar{a}\theta a$  'time'

*šāta* 'year'

# 37) C*ē*Ta

*bēta* 'egg'

'ēta 'church'

# 38) CoTa

sota 'old woman'

39) *CūTa* 

 $t\bar{u}\theta a$  'mulberry'

### 7.7.3 CCvCTa

## 40) CCaCTa

Nouns of this pattern are feminines of CCaCa forms (14) or variants of CCoCTa (42).

fṛarta 'breakfast' (m. fṛ $\bar{a}$ ra,  $\sqrt{f}$ tr)

'maðta 'baptism' (m. '*māða*, √'mð)

qyamta 'resurrection' (m.  $qy\bar{a}ma$ ,  $\sqrt{qym}$ )

xmarta 'she-ass' (m. *xmāra*)

qrašta 'ceiling' (≁ qrošta)

## 41) CCeCTa

lwešta 'clothes'

*'rešta* 'vermicelli-type pasta'

## 42) CCoCTa ( ≁ CCaCTa)

 $p\theta olta$  'virgin'

qrošta ≁ qrašta 'ceiling'

### 7.7.4 CCvTa

Many of these forms are essentially CCvCTa forms with a weak or elided final consonant, e.g.  $xz\varepsilon\theta a$  ( $<*xzay\theta a$ ).

### 43) *CCāTa*

 $xm\bar{a}\theta a$  'mother-in-law'

brāta 'girl'

## 44) *CCεTa*

Nouns of this pattern are mostly feminine infinitives of verba tertiae /y/ (§6.11.8).

xzεθa 'seeing' ( $\sqrt{xzy}$ )
hwεθa 'birth' ( $\sqrt{hwy}$ )
kθεθa 'chicken'

## 45) CCiTa

šwiθa 'bed'

 $bri\theta a$  'the world'

mðita 'town'

### 46) CCoTa, 'iCoTa

Most nouns of this pattern are feminine infinitives of verba tertiae /w/ (§6.11.18), but  $zdo\theta a$  'fear' is cognate with a tertiae /²/ verb ( $\sqrt{z}d^2$ ), while  $slo\theta a$  is an old word found in Syriac, cognate with the ANA verb sly II 'to pray'.

rkota 'riding' ( $\sqrt{rkw}$ )

'itota 'sitting', 'small party' ( $\sqrt{ytw}$ )

 $zdo\theta a$  'fear'  $slo\theta a$  'prayer'

*šwota* 'female neighbour' (m. *šwāwa*)

47) *CCūTa* 

*'rūta* 'Friday'

### 7.7.5 CvCvCTa

Many words of this pattern are loanwords which have taken the feminine marker, but others are native Aramaic forms.

### 48) CaCaCTa

As /a/ in a closed syllable may be historically \*/a/ or \*/o/, words of this form may be derived from masculine forms  $CaC\bar{a}Ca$  (20) or CaCoCa (22). Hence we find female professionals such as xayaṭṭa 'dressmaker' (m. xayāṭa) as well as diminutive forms such as 'alalta 'alley' (m. 'alola). The pair garasta and 'aqalta do not have a

masculine cognate, but the forms in Aradhin – gar usta and 'aqulta<sup>36</sup> – suggest that these may have been derived from garosta and 'agolta respectively and therefore are based on the CaCoCa form.

Others nouns of this pattern are feminine infinitives of Class II verbs. In addition there are some other words which have a different masculine basis or none at all.

```
'dressmaker' (m. xayāṭa)
xayaţţa
<sup>2</sup>alalta
                   'alley' (m. 'alola)
                   'basket' (\sim pa\theta orta)
paθarta
garasta
                   'quern' (<*garosta?)
                   'trap' (<*'aqolta?)
<sup>2</sup>aqalta
                   'cow-pat' (pl. patoxe)
pataxta
                   'game' (\sqrt{t'}l II)
ta<sup>2</sup>alta
                   'question' (\sqrt{bqr} II)
baqarta
                   'beginning' (\sqrt{d} \check{s} n \text{ II})
dašanta
                   'blessing' (\sqrt{brx} \text{ II})
baraxta
farašta
                   'pebble' (m. farša 'marble')
                   'house-sandal' (pl. na'āle, Syr. na'lā 'horsehoe' or I.A. na'al
na<sup>c</sup>alta
                   'sandal')
                   'neck'
paqarta
                   'early morning'
qadamta
```

### 49) CaCeCTa

Many words of this pattern are based on CaCCa forms. To prevent the consonant cluster that CaCCTa would present, an epenthetic vowel, /e/, is inserted between the second and third radicals, as in  $kaleb\theta a$  'bitch', from kalba 'dog. When the word takes the plural  $-\bar{a}\theta a$ , the epenthetic is omitted in the plural, e.g. tawerta 'cow', pl.  $tor\bar{a}\theta a$  (<\*tawra). The f. suffix that is attached is  $-\theta a$ , unless it follows /r/, in which case it is -ta. This may indicate that historically the epenthetic vowel was inserted just before the feminine ending, i.e. \*kalb<sup>e</sup> $\theta a$  (cf. §3.2.3). That /r/ is followed by -ta

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 118, 126).

would then be due to a liquid not requiring an epenthetic vowel. A form such as  $magel\theta a$  suggests that the same did not apply to the other liquid /l/, or that there was some inconsistency.

```
kalebθa 'bitch' (m. kalba)

tawerta 'cow' (m. tora)

tarepθa 'leaf' (m. tarpa)

magelθa 'little sickle' (m. magla)

šarexθa 'female calf' (m. šarxa)

garenθa 'little trough' (m. garna)

qalepθa 'flake of dandruff' (m. qalpa)
```

Other words are nomina unitatis for the plural form CaCiCe.

```
bašelta 'musk melon' (pl. bašile)
yabešta 'raisin' (pl. yabiše)
```

The following have no attested masculine cognate, though the plurals of the first two  $(pa\theta x\bar{a}\theta a, taxr\bar{a}\theta a)$  suggest a CaCCa basis.

```
paθexta(type of pitta)taxerta(type of pitta)ḥaxemθa'moral (of the story)'maṭemta'spoon'maxelta'sieve' (<*manxelta)</td>
```

Two examples, sahetta 'health' and qasetta 'story', are are adapted loanwords from Arabic (§7.7.10).

```
50) CāCoCTa
```

This is the feminine of the  $C\bar{a}CoCa$  form (22).

```
p\bar{a}\theta oxta 'female opener' (m. p\bar{a}\theta oxa)
```

### 51) CaCoCTa

This is the feminine of the CaCoCa form (23).

ra'olta 'little valley' (m. ra'ola)

'alolta 'alley' (m. 'alola)

*paθorta* 'basket' (no m. attested)

## 52) CεCoCTa ≁ CεCaCTa

The only example of this pattern appears to be a feminine infinitive of 'xl III:

mexolta ≁ mexalta 'feed'

This is irregular as the standard masculine infinitive is maxole and thus maxolta  $\nsim$  maxalta would be the expected form. The difference is that in *mexalta*, the initial radical is realized as a /y/ (and monopthongized with the preceding /a/ (mayxolta > *mexolta*), while in the standard infinitive the initial radical is elided (maxalta 'feeding').

### 53) CuCaCTa

Nouns of this pattern may be feminines of the  $CuC\bar{a}Ca$  form (25). The second example listed here is the nomen unitatis of a  $CuC\varepsilon Ce$  form, the  $/\varepsilon/$  being reduced to /a/ in a closed syllable.

buraxta 'wedding', 'blessing' (m. burāxa)

kubabta 'kubba' (type of meatball) (pl. *kubɛbe*)

### 54) Other patterns

CaCuCTa xabušta 'apple' (pl. xabūše)

hanunta 'little pitta made with left-over dough'

CāCeCTa 'āṣerta 'evening'

kālekθa 'crocheted shoe' (pl. kālekke)

CeCeCTa meletta 'religious community' (cf. §7.7.10)

*CēCaCTa pēlavta* 'shoe' (pl. *pēlāve*)

*CēCeCTa* bēdekta 'female sparrow' (m. bēdika)

CiCaCTa ≁ CiCoCTa

risaqta ≁ risoqta 'chain of beads'

CiCeCTa šišelta 'chain'

qimetta 'respect' (cf. §7.7.10)

CiCuCTa  $\check{s}i\check{s}uk\theta a$  'glass jar'

CoCeCTa koðenta 'female mule' (m. kaweðna)

CuCeCTa kučekθa 'little room' (m. kučeke)

 $durek\theta a$  'ballad'

### 7.7.6 CvCvTa

Many of these forms are essentially CvCvCTa forms with a weak or elided final consonant, e.g.  $tane\theta a$  ( $<*tanay\theta a$ ) and  $sub\bar{e}ta$  ( $<*sube^2ta$ ).

# 55) *CaCεT*a

qalεθa 'cell'

 $tan \varepsilon \theta a$  'word'

## 56) CaCēTa

*şanēta* 'craft'

## 57) CaCiTa

 $qani\theta a$  'little reed'

 $dali\theta a$  'vine'  $\check{s}aqi\theta a$  'drain'

### 58) CaCoTa

balota 'throat'

 $ka\theta ota$  'woman-writer'

59) *CaCūTa* 

*qarūta* 'female relative'

 $qat\bar{u}\theta a$  'little cat'

60) *CeCēTa* 

xelēta 'gift'

61) *CoCεTa* 

 $tol\bar{e}\theta a$  'worm' (cf. §7.11.1.3)

62) *CuCēTa* 

ṣubēta 'finger'

### 7.7.7 CvCCvCTa

Many words of CvCCvCTa form are loanwords which have taken the //-Ta// suffix.

### 63) CaCCaCTa

Some of the words of the form maCCaCta are feminine infinitives of Class III, e.g. maplaxta 'use' (m. maploxe), or of a quadriliteral e.g. *šaxlapta* (*šxlp*).

maḥðarta 'preparation' (√ḥðr III)

šaxlapta 'change' ( $\sqrt{s}xlp$  Q.)

madrasta 'little school' (m. madrassa)

maqqasta 'little scissors' (m. maqqas)

*šakkalta* 'sandal' (pl. *šakkāle*)

## 64) CeCCaCTa

Some nouns of this pattern are derived from the CeCCoCTa pattern (59) and thus are feminines of the CeCCoCa form. In some cases the CeCCoCTa form still exists as a variant.

nessarta 'saw' (≁ nessorta)

zemmarta 'song' ( ≁ zemmorta)

qeššamta 'chaff'

hebbanta 'water-filtering receptacle'

xemmarta 'bead'

čemmasta 'something tiny'

## 65) CeCCoCTa ( ~ CeCCaCTa)

This is the feminine of the CeCCoCa form

nessorta ≁ nessarta 'saw' (cf. Syr. nsr 'to saw')

zemmorta ≁ zemmarta 'song'

bellorta 'crystal', 'tube'

## 66) Other patterns

CaCCeCTa ma'welta a type of agricultural tool

 $tap\check{s}ek\theta a$  'large flat pebble'

xarjetta (≁ xorjetta) 'saddle-bag' (cf. §7.7.10)

CaCCuCTa xar*pušta* 'dung-beetle' (?)

dargušta 'cradle' (K.)

darpulta 'bottom part of garment'

*šappukta* 'trad. jacket' (K.)

CeCCeCTa dembekta 'small drum' (K.)

CoCCeCTa xorjetta 'saddle-bag' (cf. §7.7.10)

CuCCaCTa gumbalta 'ball'

### 7.7.8 CvCCvTa

### 67) CaCCāTa

The one example of this pattern is apparently a feminine infinitive of a Class III tertiae /²/ verb, where /o/ has been reduced to /a/ in a closed syllable.

mazrāta 'plant' (<\*mazro'ta)

## 68) *CaCCεTa*

The following example is a feminine infinitive of a Class III tertiae /y/ verb:

$$mahkεθa$$
 'speech' (<\* $mahkoyθa$ )

### 69) CaCCoTa

The first example below is a feminine infinitive of a Class III tertiae /²/ verb, where /o/ has been preserved (compare the variant in (67)).

mazrota 'plant' (<\*mazro'ta)

'armota 'pomegranate'

 $^{2}$ aryo $\theta a$  'thistle'

### 70) Other patterns

CeCCēTa mezzēta 'individual hair'

 $CuCC\varepsilon Ta$   $hukk\varepsilon\theta a$  'story'

## 7.7.9 Other patterns

### 71) CvCCCvCTa

This pattern is rare because consonant clusters are usually avoided by the insertion of epenthetic vowels. The preservation of a consonant cluster may have been allowed in the following words because one of the consonants in each case is a liquid or semivowel (/r/ and /y/ respectively).

xertmanta 'chickpea'

meskyanta 'poor woman'

### 72) CvCvCvCTa

There is only one example of this pattern:

tara<sup>c</sup>uzta 'white cucumber'

This word takes the form *ta*'ru:za in Aradhin, so one or the other of the forms must have been undergone metathesis.

## 7.7.10 Nouns ending in -etta

A number of Arabic words are borrowed with the /t/ of the  $t\bar{a}$  marb $\bar{u}t$ a preserved in the ending -etta:

ṣaḥetta 'health' (Arab. ṣaḥḥa(t))

qaṣetta 'story' (Arab. qiṣṣa(t))

melletta ≁ meletta 'religious community' (Arab. mella(t))

qimetta 'respect' (Arab. qima(t))

xorjetta ≁ xarjetta 'saddlebag', 'stock (of seller)' (Arab.)<sup>37</sup>

An alternative reason is suggested by data from the related dialect of Qaraqosh. <sup>38</sup> In this dialect the word cognate with qaṣetta is qəṣṣa in its unaffixed form, similar to Arabic qiṣṣa. When Qaraqosh qəṣṣa is suffixed, it takes the form qəṣṣətt-, e.g. qəṣṣəttaḥ 'her story'. This mirrors (and perhaps copies) what happens in vernacular Arabic, where the  $t\bar{a}$  marbūṭa is only realized as a /t/ when a suffix is added. More specifically it resembles the Qəltu Arabic of Mosul, where the feminine morpheme takes the stress, e.g.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> The Arabic is xurj. Maclean (1901: 95) says of the cognate Ashiret word khûrjâ that the singular denotes not one bag but a pair. As the Arabic plural is xiraja(t), this might explain the presence of the *tā marbūt*a in the ANA form. The /o/ vowel may have been acquired by analogy with the singular. This word is also attested in Persian (xorjin 'saddle-bag') and in Kurdish with a slightly different meaning: xurcik 'bundle'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 204-6) for a discussion.

baṣalə□tak 'your onion' (unprefixed: báṣali). The /t/ may have been geminated in NENA to avoid a short vowel in an open syllable. This evidence suggests a direct loan from Arabic rather than Kurdish. It is unlikely that the same stem, qaṣett- could have a different origin in each dialect. Therefore it seems likely that ANA qaṣetta is also a direct loan from Arabic. In Alqosh the unsuffixed noun qaṣetta may be a back formation from the suffixed form. Qaraqosh may retain the more original system because of the greater influence that Arabic exerts on that dialect.

The  $t\bar{a}$  marb $\bar{u}t$ a is similarly preserved in nita 'plan' (Arab. niyya(t)), but no Aramaic //-Ta// is added, so the word is effectively an unmarked feminine. As such it takes the plural ending  $-\bar{a}\theta a$ :  $nit\bar{a}\theta a$  (see §7.11.5.1).

#### 7.8 Derivational suffixes

There are a number of suffixes which modify the meaning of nouns. Some, like -u and  $-\bar{u}\theta a$  are quite productive, while others, like -ona, appear no longer to be very productive, if at all.

#### 7.8.1 $-i\theta a$

This suffix has similar functions to //-Ta//, though with different frequencies. It is occasionally used for females, though //-Ta// is far more common in this function. In a similar role it is found with  $-\bar{a}na$  adjectives as an alternative to -u and //-Ta// (see §8.2(15)).

rabbani $\theta$ a 'nun' (m. rabban)

sa $tani\theta a$  'female devil' (m. sa $t\bar{a}na$ )

*malpaniθa* 'woman teacher' (m. *malpāna*)

Far more frequent though is the diminutive function of  $-i\theta a$ . This tends to denote a small thing or animal:

 $guppi\theta a$  'little cave' (m. guppa 'cave')

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 206), citing Jastrow (1983: 105).

```
gariθa 'little roof' (m. gāra ≁ gāre 'roof')

xuwiθa 'female or small snake' (m. xūwe 'snake')

gudaniθa 'little wall' (m. gūda 'wall' or pl. gudāne 'walls')
```

The word  $desti\theta a$  'saucepan' also belongs to this group. The base noun is not attested in ANA but both the base noun and the diminutive are attested in Qaraqosh: dəsta 'large cooking pot', dəsti<u>t</u>a 'small cooking pot'.

Like //-Ta//,  $-i\theta a$  is sometimes used simply to denote nomina unitatis:

```
'enwiθa 'grape' (pl. 'enwe 'grapes')

xeṭṭṭiθa 'grain of wheat' (pl. xeṭṭe 'wheat')

gerwiθa 'sock' (pl. gerwe 'socks')
```

The  $-i\theta a$  suffix therefore has both the individualizing and diminutive functions of //-Ta//. However the diminutive function is more common and where there is a pair of words, one ending in //-Ta//, the other in  $-i\theta a$ ,  $-i\theta a$  is the diminutive, e.g. xertmanta 'chickpea' and xertmanit0 a 'chickpealet'.

### 7.8.2 -ona i.

This ending is diminutive in origin, though some words have lost this meaning. For instance brona and 'axona are now the unmarked words for 'son' and 'brother'. Words with this suffix have masculine gender.

axona	'brother'	(Syr. 'aḥḥā 'brother', dim. 'aḥḥōnā)
brona	'son'	(Syr. brā 'son', dim. brōnā)
tēlona	'little fox'	(< tēla 'fox')
kalbona	'little dog'	(< kalba 'dog')
karmona	'little vineyard'	(< karma 'vineyard')

There is one form with the feminine marker added: 'Enunta' little spring'.

This suffix is related to the diminutive suffix -ona  $\nsim$  - $\bar{u}na$  (f. - $\bar{u}ne$ ) added to certain adjectives with a loan inflection (§8.3(17)).

7.8.3 
$$-\bar{a}na$$
 (f. -u  $\nsim$  -anta  $\nsim$  -ani $\theta a$ ) i.

The -āna ending serves as two suffixes. One is the same ending used with adjectives and active participles of Class II and III verbs (§6.7). As most participles function as nouns, they will be listed here. These words function as nomina professionalis:

mzabnāna 'seller'
malpāna 'teacher'
mraqqāna 'patcher'
mašelxāna 'mugger'
mapelxāna 'employer', 'job-agent'

One example has developed from the presumed original form *maqelbāna* (qlb III 'to turn over'): it has undergone metathesis of the second and third radicals and the /e/ vowel has become /u/, perhaps under the influence of the back consonant /q/ or labial /b/.

maqublāna 'instrument for turning the fuel in the oven'

Another example appears to be based on an adjective:

*karrāna* 'a type of bird that is deaf' (karra 'deaf')

### 7.8.4 -āna ii., -ona ii.

The other  $-\bar{a}na$  suffix is found with words of no particular semantic group but most possess a cognate verb and some of them are formed on the pattern CeCC- $\bar{a}na$  or CuCC- $\bar{a}na$ :

menyāna'number' ( $\sqrt{mny}$ )qenyāna'domestic animal' ( $\sqrt{qny}$ )kurhāna'illness' ( $\sqrt{krh}$ , also  $\sqrt{krhn}$ )xaweðrāna'surrounding' ( $\sqrt{x}$ ðr)

```
qurbāna 'communion' (Syr. \sqrt{qrb}, qurbānā)

topāna 'deluge' (\sqrt{typ}, Syr. \sqrt{twp}, t\bar{o}p\bar{a}n\bar{a})

quprāna 'leafy cover put over the courtyard'

qaqwāna 'partridge (?)' (Syr. qaqbānā 'partridge' < Gk. κακκάβη)

šekwāna 'ant' (Syr. šekbā 'louse')<sup>40</sup>

xemyāna 'father-in-law' (Syr. xmā, xemyāna 'father-in-law')
```

There is also a word only found in the plural (§7.4):

```
xaweðrāne pl. 'environs' (\sqrt{x}ðr 'to go round')
```

The word  $\sqrt[3]{wana}$  'ewe' is also historically part of this group, deriving from  $\sqrt[3]{erwe}$  (pl.  $\sqrt[3]{erwe}$ ) 'sheep', with the loss of  $\sqrt[4]{r}$ .

This suffix is cognate with Syriac  $-\bar{a}na \nsim -$ ona which is distinct from adjectival  $-\bar{a}na$  and diminutive -ona. <sup>42</sup> There are three examples in ANA with -ona, all with cognate verbs and formed on the pattern CeCC-ona:

```
texrona 'commemoration' (\sqrt{txr} 'to remember', Syr. duxr\bar{a}n\bar{a})

pelxona 'workmanship' (\sqrt{plx} 'to work')

per'ona 'thing exchanged' (\sqrt{pr}' 'exchange')
```

#### 7.8.5 Pet names and the -u and -e suffixes

In ANA, as in other dialects, there is a very widespread use of hypocoristic or pet-names. There is no consistent rule for how these names are formed but it usually involves simplification into a bisyllabic name of CvCv or CvCCv form. For most names, the final vowel will be /a/, e.g. hanna (for yuḥanna 'John'). However, close friends or family may substitute the -a with a type of diminutive suffix -u, e.g. hannu. This is the more affectionate or familiar form. The difference is similar to the difference in English

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Or  $\underline{\check{sekba}}$ ? The Syriac for 'ant' is  $\underline{\check{susmana}}$  but the two words are linked in some way as  $\underline{\check{sukbe}} \sim \underline{\check{sukbane}}$  and  $\underline{\check{susmane}}$  both refer to a type of rash.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 183) where he suggests that the ending was added to compensate for this loss.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Cf. Nöldeke (1904: §128).

between Tom and Tommy (for Thomas), or Mike and Mickie (for Michael). The first form is so common however that it may also be used in more formal contexts, as in the names of saints, e.g. mar-mixa 'Saint Michael' (lit. 'Saint Mike'). The -u suffix is almost only ever used with masculine names. With feminine names a separate suffix -e is used.

Below are the shortened and affectionate names that have been attested or elicited:

Full name	Abbrev.	Affectionate
mixāyel	mixa	mixu
daniyel ≁ dar	niye	dannu
xošā́ba	šāba	šābu
yu <i>ḥ</i> anna	<i>ḥ</i> anna	<i>ḥ</i> annu
gelyāna		gellu
<sup>2</sup> elyas		<sup>2</sup> ellu
pa <i>ṭ</i> rus		pattu
<i>ḥ</i> abíb		<i>ḥ</i> abu
jamíl		jamu

There is one feminine name attested taking this suffix:

For other feminine names there is no formal distinction between abbreviated and affectionate, the -e suffix covering both. All such names are feminine except for matte 'Mathew', where -e is part of the original name.

Full name	Abbrev./Affectionate	
ḥanā́n	<i>ḥ</i> anne	
<i>ḥ</i> abiba	<i>ḥ</i> abe	
ḥabūba	<i>ḥ</i> abe	
jamila	jame	
barbāra	babbe	
wardiya	wadde	

warina *wāru* katrina kette

A list of names and pet names in the related dialect of Aradhin is given in Krotkoff (1982: 115-6).

The forms suffixed with -u or -e also have a vocative function. As vocatives they take final stress (§4.2.5.1). The final /u/ is therefore lengthened to /o/ (§2.3.2.2.1.i.b). The name is usually accompanied by the vocative particle wo. To someone named  $mix\bar{a}yel$ , the caller would cry wó mixô! 'Hey Mixo!' To a woman named mix0, he would cry wó mix0. 'Oh Hanan!' Sometimes, the /o/ is even diphthongized, e.g. wó mix0. The cry may also be cut off by a glottal stop, eg. wó mix1. 'O Johnny!' See §2.3.2.2.1.i.b. for a list of examples.

It should be noted that, according to Informant A, these suffixes are not usually used with family names (yemma 'mother', 'amma 'paternal uncle', xalta 'maternal aunt' etc.), except by speakers living in Baghdad who are influenced by Arabic. <sup>43</sup> Instead the unsuffixed form is used with a name, e.g. 'amma flān! 'Uncle So-and-so!' Alternatively the 1sg. personal suffix is used and the stress is promoted, e.g. 'amtí! 'Auntie!' (lit. 'My aunt!'). The -u suffix may be used with bāba 'father' in the expression 'áx bābò! 'Oh father!', in limited circumstances, for instance at his funeral.

The -u suffix is also found with a number of nouns. For instance sotu means 'little old woman' contrasted with the neutral term sota 'old woman' and  $q\bar{a}t$ u means 'female cat', contrasted with  $q\bar{a}t$ a 'cat'. These can also be used as vocatives, e.g. wó sotò! 'O Old Woman!' (A:155) and  $w\acute{o}$   $q\bar{a}t$ o!' 'O Cat!'. In one case the form with -u has become clearly unmarked, with no significant nuance of familiarity:  $k\bar{a}lu$  'bride'. It should be noted that these cases are feminine.

Another function of the -u suffix is in fact as a feminine marker. In this function it is attached to a stem to form the feminine active participle, counterpart to the  $CaC\bar{a}Ca$ ,  $mCaCC\bar{a}na$  and  $maCeCC\bar{a}na$  forms (cf. §6.7). As such it denotes feminine nomina professionalis (or in some cases adjectives). Although the forms suffixed with -u are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Cf. Baghdadi Arabic 'ammu 'uncle!' and *xāl*u '(maternal) uncle!' (from Woodhead and Beene 1967).

usually the more common or standard feminine forms, they may retain some diminutive nuance. For instance malpanta is preferred to malpu for 'woman teacher' as it is more respectful.

The following are some examples:

xɛṭu'dressmaker'yapyu'baker-woman'gaðlu'knitter-woman'zar²u'planter-woman'šaðyu'wool-teaser'naxpu'shy person'mahūyu'child-bearer' (bearer of many children)

The odd combination of functions described above has an explanation. The -u and -e endings are apparently borrowed from Kurmanji - $\bar{o}$  (m.) and - $\bar{e}$  (f.). The former is in fact a form found with other NENA dialects. In ANA it has undergone the shift of /o/>/u/ in unstressed final syllables (§2.3.2.4). The behaviour of the suffixes in Kurmanji and ANA is also similar: in both languages they are used with diminutive and vocative function and in both the stress is shifted forward in the vocative.

Originally -u was probably restricted to masculines, as in Kurmanji. But in ANA (as in other NENA dialects) it has spread to feminines as well.<sup>45</sup> The use as a feminine marker for participles seems to be an ANA innovation as it is not attested in other NENA dialects. The probable reason for this development is the close semantic and formal link between diminutives and feminines in NENA. We see this in the feminine/diminutive marker //-Ta//. We also see it in the diminutive  $-\bar{u}n$ - suffix (§8.3(17)) which, probably for cultural reasons, is almost only used with females. What may have happened is that -u

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> The source for the Kurmanji suffixes is Mackenzie (1961: 156). It should however be noted that -o was already found on hypocoristic names in Babylonian Talmudic Aramaic (Levias 1900: 227). If -u does have an Aramaic origin, it may still have been reinforced and influenced by the Kurdish suffix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Cf., for example, Qaraqosh qipu 'brooding hen' (Khan 2002: 185, 741).

first became unmarked for gender, displacing -e in some areas.<sup>46</sup> Then because diminutives are used more often of females, it became associated with them. Finally it became reanalysed as a feminine marker of participles.

When the -u suffix is followed by a copula, it is realized as /o/ and the /i/ of the copula is elided, e.g. náxpo-la 'she is shy' (§2.3.2.4.ii).

### $7.8.6 - \bar{u}\theta a$

This ending is found mostly on abstract nouns. Abstract nouns of this form are perhaps most frequently found with the preposition b-, with adverbial meaning, e.g. p-xaray $\bar{u}\theta a$   $\theta \bar{e}$ le 'he came late'.

Most nouns ending in  $-\bar{u}\theta a$  are derived from an adjective or human noun. In some cases  $-\bar{u}\theta a$  is simply added to the base form.

<b>Abstract form</b>	1	Base form	
xamim $\bar{u}\theta a$	'heat	xamima	'hot'
qarir $ar{u} heta a$	'coldness'	qarira	'cold'
$qalilar{u} heta a$	'quickness'	cf. Syr. qallīlā	'quick' (ANA qalūla)
rab $ar{u} heta a$	'old age'	r <i>ā</i> ba	'old'
$zorar{u} heta a$	'childhood'	zora	'young'
xar $ay\bar{u} heta a$	'end'	xar <i>ā</i> ya	'last'
$payaxar{u} heta a$	'coolness'	payāxa	'cool'
nexray $\bar{u}\theta a$	'abroad'	nexr <i>ā</i> ya	'stranger'
malk $ar{u} heta$ a	'kingdom'	malka	'king'
dežmenū $\theta$ a	'enmity'	dežmen (K.)	'enemy'

In other cases, the word is formed from the root of the base, on the pattern  $CeCC\bar{u}\theta a$ :

pe $sxu\theta a$	'happiness'	pṣixa	'happy'
$q$ ešy $ar{u} heta$ a	'harshness'	qe <i>šya</i>	'harsh'
besm $\bar{u}\theta a$	'niceness'	bassima	'nice'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> In Qaraqosh (2002: 185) and Aradhin (1982: 116) it has spread within a different area, being found with several female names.

In yet other cases there is no base word existing in ANA.

```
s\bar{e}b\bar{u}\theta a
                     'old age'
                                         Syr. sayb\bar{u}\theta a
                     'faith'
                                         Syr. haym\bar{a}n\bar{u}\theta\bar{a} (ANA \sqrt{hymn} Q.)
haym\bar{a}n\bar{u}\theta a
xurt\bar{u}\theta a
                     'difficulty'
                                         K. xurt 'strong'
                                         K. poşman<sup>47</sup>
                                                             'regretful'
pežmanūθa
                     'regret'
                                         Arab. fallāh
fallāḥūθa
                     'agriculture'
                                                             'peasant'
tijarūθa ≁'ettijarūθa 'trade' Arab. tijāra or 'ittijār 'trade'
```

In some words, a -t- is inserted before the suffix:

```
surayt\bar{u}\theta a 'Christianity' sur\bar{a}ya 'Surath-speaking Christian'<sup>48</sup> m\check{s}ihayt\bar{u}\theta a 'Christianity' m\check{s}ih\bar{a}ya 'Christian'
```

One case,  $qat\bar{u}\theta a$  'little cat', should be analysed as  $q\bar{a}tu + //-Ta//$  rather than  $q\bar{a}ta$  with the abstract ending.

7.8.7 
$$-\bar{a}ya$$
 (mpl.  $-\bar{a}ye$ , f.  $-\varepsilon\theta a$ , fpl.  $-ay\bar{a}\theta a$ )

This is a gentilic suffix, indicating nationality or ethnic origin. Gentilics may behave as either nouns or adjectives and this ending is also used with some non-gentilic adjectives (see §8.2(13)).

```
'Kurd'
qurðāya
qurðεθa
                'Kurdish woman'
kalðāya
                'Chaldean'
'arabāya
                'Arab'
                'Turk'
terkāya
                'Jew'
huðāya
badw \varepsilon \theta a
                'Bedouin woman'
'iraqāya
                'Iraqi'
```

<sup>47</sup> Rizgar (1993: 334). Or perhaps some dialectal form closer to *pežman*.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Literally 'Syrianism', 'Syrian' respectively.

'englizāya 'Englishman' faransāya 'Frenchman'

nexrāya 'stranger' hendwāya 'Indian'

'ewr*āya* 'Hebrew' (Syr. 'e<u>b</u>rāyā)

nexrāya 'stranger'

In the new generation, kaldan $\bar{a}$ ya, from Arab. kald $\bar{a}$ n 'Chaldeans', is becoming more widespread as an alternative to kal $\bar{\delta}$ aya.

This suffix also occurs with some non-gentilic nouns:

flanāya 'so and so' hwišāya 'hermit'

7.8.8  $-n\bar{a}ya$  (mpl.  $-n\bar{a}ye$ , f.  $-n\varepsilon\theta a$ , fpl.  $-nay\bar{a}\theta a$ )

This suffix is mostly used to identify which town a person is from.

'alqušnāya 'man from Alqosh'

 $^{2}$ alqu $\check{s}$ n $\varepsilon\theta a$  'woman from Alqosh'

tesqupnāya 'man from Tesqopa'

tesqupnεθa 'woman from Tesqopa'

zāxunāya 'man from Zakho'

telkepnāya 'man from Tel Kepe'

ġdɛdnāya 'man from Baġdede-Qaraqosh'

mangešnāya 'man from Mangesh'

sarsenknāya 'man from Sarsenk'

baġdadnāya 'Baghdadi'

There is some overlap between  $-\bar{a}ya$  and  $-n\bar{a}ya$ . For instance  $-\bar{a}ya$  may sometimes be used instead of  $-n\bar{a}ya$ , e.g. bare $tl\bar{a}ya$  'man from Baretle',  $gded\bar{a}ya$  'man from Bagdede-Qaraqosh' and 'enešk $\bar{a}ya$  'man from 'Eneške'. We also find  $-n\bar{a}ya$  being used with ethnic

rather than town affiliations, e.g. *baznāya* 'man from (the tribe/region of) Baz' and *dasnāya* 'Yazidi'.

### 7.9 Compounds

Most compounds are derived from the old Aramaic construct, i.e. a genitive construction without the use of the genitive suffix -ed-. This indicates that they have old origins, as genitive constructions in ANA always use -ed-. The first element of the compound is without -a inflection because historically it was not in the emphatic state.

### 7.9.1 mar-

There are two elements mar- with different functions and historical origins. One, derived from an old construct state of  $m\bar{a}ra$  'master' or 'lord' (although the Syriac construct was  $m\bar{a}r\bar{e}$ ), indicates the possessor of something, whether a property or a quality. The other, derived from  $m\bar{a}r(\bar{\imath})$  (Syr.) 'my lord', prefixes the names of ecclesiastics and saints. Examples of the former are as follows:

mar-'érwe	'sheep-owner'	lit. 'master of sheep'
mar-dekkā́na	'shopkeeper'	lit. 'master of shop'
mar-pā́re	'a moneyed person'	lit. 'master of money'
mar-siyā́ra	'car-owner'	lit. 'master of car'
mar-ġíra	'active person'	lit. 'master of zealousness'
mar-pā́θa kómta	'guilty-faced person'	lit. 'master of black face'

In some expressions, this mar- can be replaced with suffixed *māred*-, e.g. *māret-sayārat* 'owner of cars'. The plural of both mar- and *māred*- is formed with the suffixed plural of *māra*: *marwāθed*-, e.g. *marwāθed-dekkān*e 'shopkeepers' and *marwāθet-sayāra* 'carowners'.

Examples of the title mar- are below:

mar-behnām 'Saint Behnam' mar-giwarges 'Saint George'

#### 7.9.2 bar-

Other compounds are formed on bar-, the old absolute form of  $*br\bar{a}$  'son'. This structure is no longer productive.

barnāša 'human' lit. 'son of man' barzara 'seed' lit. 'son of crop'

The first example forms the plural on the first element: *bnēnāše*. The second forms it on the second element: barzare.

## 7.9.3 Points of the compass

The four points of the compass divide into two groups. North and south are both based on bar (K.) 'direction': <sup>49</sup>

bárēli 'north' lit. 'direction above me' bártaxti 'south' lit. 'direction below me'

East and west are based on the movement of the sun:<sup>50</sup>

'isaqyoma 'east' lit. 'rising of the day' gnēyoma 'west' lit. 'setting of the day'

### 7.9.4 zagar-

There is only one compound based on this element:

zaqarqoda 'spider'

This appears to be derived from the old absolute form of zaqāra 'weaver' and \*qawda 'chain', meaning 'chain-weaver'. A close cognate is found in the dialect of Tkhuma:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Cf. §10.3.1, n. 2 for a discussion of bar.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Informant A also gave maðenxa 'east' and ma'erwa 'west' as archaic variants used until a few decades ago. Other expressions for 'north' are  $1-t\bar{u}ra$ , literally 'to the mountains' and  $1-\bar{e}l$  'above'. This is because Alqosh is situated by mountains to the north and a plain to the south.

zagra-gōde 'Spinne', 'Netzweberin'. 51 Despite its origins as a compound, this word takes the plural ending on the final element: zagargode 'spiders'.

#### 7.9.5 bi-

This prefix is principally used with family names and festivals. It is derived from earlier Aramaic  $b\bar{e}t$ - 'house of', which is the form still found in some other dialects, such as Txūma where Christmas is  $b\bar{e}t$ -yalda. The contracted form was also found in earlier Aramaic dialects, e.g. Mandaic bi-mandi 'mandi-house'.

bi-xande (a family name) bi-yalde 'Christmas' bi-denxa 'Epiphany' 'royal family' bi-malka bi-cammi 'the family of my paternal uncle'

'the families of my maternal uncles' bi-*xalawāθi* 

The gentilic form bēbaṭnāya '(man) of Baṭnāya' may be another case. The bi-/bē- prefix may have been used because it would have been awkward to attach the more usual gentilic marker  $-\bar{a}ya$  to a word already ending in  $-\bar{a}ya$ .

This prefix is also found with other semantic groups, especially words for places. It is not productive in this capacity and in some cases has undergone phonetic changes:

'hole in the wall for hiding valuables' bisukre 'ground-floor room for domestic animals' 53 bikāre

<sup>51</sup> Jacobi (1973: 186).

<sup>52</sup> Jacobi (1973: 184).

<sup>53</sup> The second element of bikāre may be from Kurdish ker 'donkey', the -e being the Aramaic plural, hence 'house of donkeys'. Maclean (1901: 31) gives bi-xārē 'stable' for the Mosul Plain dialects; this would presumably be derived from the Persian cognate xar.

Before two consonants bi- it is realized as be-, as in bedra 'threshing floor', *burmāše* (<\*be-*ramāše*). 54

The words  $spadi\theta a$  'cushion' and  $b\bar{e}\delta a$  'sleeves' were also originally of this form, from \*bi- $sadi\theta a$  (?)<sup>55</sup> and \* $b\bar{e}$ -'ida respectively.

## 7.9.6 Days of the week

All the days of the week except Friday and Saturday are compounds derived from a number +\*b- 'in'  $+ \check{s}\bar{a}ba$ . The \*b- was realized in earlier ANA as /w/ but has since merged with the preceding vowel. The element  $\check{s}\bar{a}ba$  may be the old absolute form of  $\check{s}ab\theta a$  (Syr.  $\check{s}abb\bar{a}$ ). As numbers followed by a clitic, these words are stressed on the final syllable before  $\check{s}\bar{a}ba$  (§4.3.2.2 (2)). The first,  $xo\check{s}\bar{a}ba$ , is an exception.

xošāba 'Sunday'
trū́šāba 'Monday'
tlaθόšāba 'Tuesday'
'arbóšāba 'Wednesday'
xamšóšāba 'Thursday'

The other two days, ' $r\bar{u}ta$ ' Friday' and  $\check{s}ap\theta a$  'Saturday', are not compound words.

### 7.9.7 Borrowed compounds

There is only one attested example of a borrowed compound. It should still be analysed as a compound, as the plural is formed on the first word of the pair (see §7.11.7).

dāda-čoġ 'owl' (P.?)

253

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Cf. Qaraqosh bərmāšə (Khan 2002: 210).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Cf. Khan (2002: 210, n.13) for a discussion of the cognates of this form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> The Syriac is, for example, xa<u>d</u> b<sup>e</sup>šabbā.

## 7.9.8 Genitive compounds

A genitive relationship between two nouns is expressed by means of the genitive morpheme //d// (§10.2.1). Usually this takes the form of the suffix -ed- which is attached to the first noun, the possessee. This suffix assimilates in voicing to the following consonant, e.g. *rūtet-ḥešša* 'Good Friday'.

Some pairs of words are so commonly bound together in the genitive construction that they should be viewed semantically as one word, e.g.  $r\bar{e}\bar{s}e\bar{s}-\bar{s}\bar{a}ta$  'New Year'. Such expressions include two animal names used by children.

rēšeš-šāta 'New Year' lit. 'head of the year'
 maṭemyāθed-huðāye 'tadpoles' lit. 'spoons of Jews'
 qarāṣeš-šekyāθa 'spiders' lit. 'pinchers of testicles'

### 7.10 Nouns ending in a consonant

Most words ending in a consonant are loanwords which have not been adapted to Aramaic. The exceptions are almost exclusively proper names. These may be personal names such as paṭrus 'Peter' or place names such as 'alqos' 'Alqosh'. In earlier Aramaic, such nouns occurred in the absolute state, i.e. without the -a ending. The same is true of month names, of which most end in a consonant. All month names are masculine.

kānun qamāya 'December'
nisan 'April'
'iyar 'May'
tabbax 'August'
'ilul 'September'

Loanwords ending in a consonant are mostly from Arabic and Kurdish:

pāyes 'Autumn' (K.)

mēs 'table' (K.)

'amal 'thing' (Arab.)

banas 'fault' (K.)

hāwan 'mortar' (Arab.)
beskít 'biscuit' (Eng.)
bagat 'bucket' (Eng.)
dargaván 'gatekeeper' (K.)

### 7.11 Plural formation

Plural formation in ANA is relatively complex. Plurality is marked by suffixes, of which there are seven used. There is a degree of variability, partly from speaker to speaker but also within the speech of a single speaker. Although there are no absolute rules as to which suffix may be used with which noun, there are nevertheless clear tendencies based on various attributes of the noun. These attributes fall into four categories: **gender**, **animacy**, **form** and **origin**. In addition many nouns have two plurals with differing functions. In such cases one is usually a collective plural and the other countable.

Plurals can be loosely divided by gender. The main masculine plurality markers are:

- 1) -e
- 2) -*āne*
- 3) -āCe (reduplication of final radical, e.g. parča parčāče)
- 4)  $-(a)w\bar{a}\theta a$

The main feminine plurality markers are:

- 1)  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$
- 2)  $-\bar{a}\theta a$
- 3) -at

All the 'masculine' endings, apart from  $-\bar{a}Ce$ , are also found with some feminine nouns, but the feminine endings are not found with masculine nouns, with the one exception of yoma 'day' (m., pl.  $yum\bar{a}\theta a$ ).

The feminine plural -at is a loan plural, adapted from the Arabic feminine plural - $\bar{a}t$ . Sometimes Arabic words are also borrowed in their original plural forms, without any adaptation, e.g. holat 'halls' and fallatn'n 'farmers'. This is sometimes an indication that such a word is an example of code-switching, or a slip into Arabic, rather than a true loan integrated into the language.

Apart from gender, the attributes influencing the choice of plural are as follows:

place in animacy hierarchy (human, animal or inanimate)

**form** (morphological or phonetic shape)

origin (Aramaic or foreign)

In the animacy hierarchy humans are at the top and inanimates (objects or concepts) are at the bottom. Between these two extremes are found animals. But even these can be subdivided between domestic animals, which are usually distinguished as to gender, and wild animals which are usually not. Even lower are small wild animals which are often grouped with inanimates:

humans

domestic animals

large wild animals

small wild animals

inanimates

While -e,  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$ ,  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $-(a)w\bar{a}\theta a$  are found at both extremes of animacy,  $-\bar{a}ne$ ,  $-\bar{a}C_3e$  and -at are associated with nouns at the bottom end of the animacy hierarchy.

The most common morphological factor is the //-Ta// suffix. The majority of nouns with this suffix take  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  as their plural (and  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  is not found with any other types of nouns.)

nunta 'fish'  $nuny\bar{a}\theta a$ 

susta 'mare'  $susy\bar{a}\theta a$ 

zemmarta 'song'  $zemmary\bar{a}\theta a$ 

The limited number of exceptions take  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  or -e. In contrast the plural feminine nouns lacking the //-Ta// suffix more often take  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  or -at.

<sup>2</sup>aqla f. 'foot'  $^{2}$ aqlā $\theta$ a  $^{2}$ sarre f. 'fight'  $^{2}$ sarrā $\theta$ a

There is also a tendency for plurals to be used with words of a particular shape. The following are other morphological factors that influence the choice of plural:

- (i) All the words attested that take  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  are bisyllabic.
- (ii) All the words taking -at are trisyllabic or long (except for karwan which lacks the -a suffix).
- (iii) All the words which take  $-\bar{a}C_3$ e are bisyllabic and most of them end in -pa, e.g. guppa 'cave', *guppāpe*.
- (iv) Most of the attested words taking  $-\bar{a}ne$  end in  $-\bar{a}Ca$  or  $-\bar{u}Ca$ .

The final factor in choice of plural is origin: whether the word is native Aramaic or a loanword. Masculine loanwords take native plurals such as -e and - $\bar{a}ne$ . Feminine loanwords mostly mostly take one of three plurals: the loan plural -at and the native plurals - $\bar{a}\theta a$  and -e. According to the morphological tendency mentioned above, - $\bar{a}\theta a$  is found with disyllabic words, while -at is almost entirely restricted to trisyllabic or longer words. The other plural -e is a little less common. It is found with both morphological groups and sometimes nouns with this plural also take one of the others.

With some nouns, especially inanimates that are usually viewed collectively, there are two available plurals, one of which is -e. In such cases, -e is usually preferred when the noun is treated as countable (see §7.11.2-3). In two cases, however, the two plurals have quite different meanings.

 $n\bar{a}$  'person'  $n\bar{a}$  'people' na 'wites' baxta 'woman', 'wife' 'ense 'women'  $baxt\bar{a}\theta a$  'wives'

In the case of 'ena' 'eye', 'spring', there is some overlap but generally -e is used for 'eyes' and  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  for 'springs'.<sup>57</sup>

These types of twin plurals are more common in Mangesh, where there is a whole series of such words, e.g. baabi 'fathers' and  $baabawaa\theta a$  'forefathers', but in ANA they seem to be limited to these two examples.<sup>58</sup>

### 7.11.1 -е

The majority of ANA words take this plural. They include words from across the animacy hierarchy and from both native and foreign stock. Some simply take the suffix, while others use a modified stem. The majority are masculine. This suffix is also used as a counted plural with some words that take  $-\bar{a}ne$  or  $-\bar{a}Ce$  as a collective plural. But -e is also the plural used with a set of feminines normally viewed collectively.

## 7.11.1.1 -a to -e without internal change

#### Humans 'old men' (Aram.) sāwa sāwe 'neighbours' (Aram.) *šwāwa* šwāwe 'shepherds' (K.) šivāna šivāne *nāt*ore 'guards' (Aram.) *nāt*ora dežmene 'enemies' (K.) dežmen **Animals** dēwa dēwe 'wolves' (Aram.) 'calves' (Aram.) šarxa šarxe jerða jerðe 'rats' (Arab.) 'flies' (Aram.) deðwa deðwe **Inanimates** 'months' (Aram.) yarxa yarxe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> The same distinction in the plural is made in Syriac (Nöldeke 1904: §82).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> S. Sara (1990: 49). In ANA *babawā\theta a* serves for both meanings.

kēpa kēpe 'stones' (Aram.)

'ilāna ≁hilāna 'ilāne ≁hilāne 'trees' (Aram.)

ranga range 'colours' (K.)

kāka kāke 'teeth' (Aram.)

There some cases of unmarked feminines taking -e for their plurals. Those attested are as follows:

'troughs' (Aram.) garne garna <sup>2</sup>Ena <sup>2</sup>Ene 'eyes' (Aram.) nuqwe  $\sim -\bar{a}\theta a$  'females' (Aram.) nuqwa yone  $\sim$  - $\bar{a}\theta a$ 'pigeons' (Aram.) yona 'rabbits' (Aram.) <sup>2</sup>arnūwa <sup>2</sup>arnūwe 'scorpions' (Aram.) <sup>c</sup>aqerwa caqerwe 'large sack' (T.) xar*ār*a xar*ār*e brina brine  $\nsim -\bar{a}\theta a$ 'wounds' (K.) 'cucumbers' (Arab.) *xyār*a *xyār*e 'reed pipe' (K.) zarna zarne 'layers' (Arab.) ţabāqa ţabāqe  $s\bar{a}^{c}a$ *sā*<sup>ϵ</sup>e 'hours' (Arab.) siniye 'metal trays' (K., Arab.) *s*iniya jammadāna jammadāne 'man's turban' (K) qalāma qalāme ≁-at 'pen' (K.) 'wicker tray' (Arab.?) *t*abaqiya *t*abaqiye taššiya *taššiye* ≁ -at 'spindles' (K.) 'turbans' (K.) pošiya pošiye ≁ -at tabliya tabliye ≁ -at 'tunic' (I.A.)

## 7.11.1.2 -a to -e with internal change

Some nouns of the CoCa pattern change the vowel to  $/\bar{u}/$  in the plural. This is attested in other related dialects such as that of Aradhin.<sup>59</sup>

gora	gūre	'men'
xora	xūre	'friends'

In five attested cases, there is a consonantal change:

<sup>2</sup> erba	erwe	'sheep'
kalba	kalwe	'dogs'
dēwa	de <sup>2</sup> we	'wolves'
$b\varepsilon\theta a$	bāte	'houses'
yaprax	yaprāģe	'dolmas' (cf. §1.4.1.3)

Some nouns that end in a consonant take -e as their plural marker. In the change in stress, a final short vowel becomes lengthened (§2.3.2.2.1.i.a). <sup>60</sup>

rabban	rabbāne	'monks'
sardab	sardābe	'cellars'
čangal	čangāle	'forks'
ḥєwan	ḥεwāne	'animals'
qapaġ	qapāġe	ʻlids'
yaprax	yaprāģe	'dolmas'

In the following example a consonant is geminated in compensation:

kemkem	kemkemme	'geckos'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> See Aradhin gawra (pl. gu:re) in Krotkoff (1982: 126).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> The same lengthening occurs in Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 41).

### 7.11.1.3 //-Ta// to -e

Although -e is more often used for masculine nouns ending in -a, a few feminine nouns ending in //-Ta// use it to mark their plural. As discussed in §7.7, these nouns denote things which are usually viewed collectively, such as fruit and foods consisting of small parts, e.g. *yabešta* 'raisin' (pl. *yabiše*). The plural with -e is used for both the collective and counted plurals and despite its form takes feminine numbers, e.g. *xamméš-* 'armone 'five pomegranates'. The following lists include all the words attested of this type:

yabešta	yabiše	'raisins'
'armota	armone	'pomegranates'
bašelta	bašile	'musk melons'
xabušta	xabūše	'apples'
tara <sup>c</sup> uzta	tara <sup>c</sup> ūze	'white cucumbers'
xer <i>ṭ</i> manta	xer <i>țmāne</i>	'chickpeas'
<i>bē</i> ta	<i>bē</i> 'e	'eggs'
kubabta	kubebe	'kubbas'
xemmarta	xemre ≁-yāθ	a 'beads'
pēlavta	pēlāve	'shoes'
kālekθa	kālekke	'crocheted shoes'
šakkalta	šakkāle	'sandals'
na <sup>c</sup> alta	na <sup>c</sup> āle	'house-sandals'
ṭolēθa	<i>t</i> awel'e	'worms' 61
pa <i>ţ</i> axta	pa <i>ţ</i> oxe	'cow-pats'

Another member of this group is not so clearly linked to the others: *šāta* 'year' with its irregular plural *šenne*.

Also belonging to this group are three words ending in the feminine marker  $-i\theta a$ :

'enwiθa	enwe	'grapes'
$xetti\theta a$	xe <i>tt</i> e	'wheat'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> From the plural, one might expect the singular to be *tolēta* (<\**ṭ*awle³ta) 'worm', but cf. Aradhin *tlawlē*ta (Krotkoff 1985: 127), Qar. *ṭ*olə²ta (Khan 2002:747) and J. Zakho *ṭōle*²ta (Sabar 2002: 44).

 $gerwi\theta a$  gerwe 'socks'

A group such as this exists in other dialects such as Aradhin and Qaraqosh and is also found in Syriac. According to Maclean (1895: 52-4),  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  could be used for the unit plural as distinct from the collective plural -e. The  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  plural may be used in ANA with some of this set (in particular footwear and fruit) but only with a slight diminutive or derogatory sense.

### 7.11.2 *-āne*

Many, if not all, words with this plural may also take -e as their counted plural. This means that where the word is preceded by a numeral, the -e form is used. Where this is attested, it is marked in brackets. Words which take this plural are mainly masculine and almost entirely inanimate. The four attested feminines are  $d\bar{u}ka$  'place',  $dekk\bar{u}a$  'shop',  $best\bar{u}a$  'garden' and  $jezd\bar{u}a$  'purse'. The two attested animate exceptions are  $xm\bar{u}a$  'donkey' and gora 'man'. In both cases the use of  $-\bar{u}a$  seems to be linked to a lack of respect: donkeys are animals that are traditionally accorded little respect and  $gor\bar{u}a$  is a pejorative alternative to unmarked  $g\bar{u}re$  'men'. Respect also plays a role in other cases:  $xa\bar{u}a$  'backs' is preferred for the backs of animals, while  $x\bar{u}a$  is preferred for human backs.

Most but not all of the nouns taking this plural are of Aramaic origin. The following lists include all the words attested with this plural:

šemma m. šemmāne 'names' (Aram.)
warza m. warzāne (-e) 'vegetable fields' (K.)
gulpa m. gulpāne (-e) 'wings' (Aram.)
karma m. karmāne (-e) 'vineyards' (Aram.)
dekkāna f. dekkanāne 'shops' (K.)

<sup>62</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 42), Khan (2002: 178-9, 191) and Nöldeke (1904: §81).

Most words taking this plural end in  $-\bar{a}Ca$  or  $-\bar{u}Ca$ . Any  $/\bar{a}/$  or  $/\bar{u}/$  vowel that becomes pretonic through the addition of  $-\bar{a}ne$  undergoes shortening, (§2.3.2.2.2.i).

```
ixāla m.
               <sup>2</sup>ixalāne
                              'foods' (Aram.)
bāra m.
               barāne (-e)
                              'directions' (K.)
xmāra m.
               xmarāne
                              'donkeys' (Aram.)
                              'books' (Aram.)
k\theta\bar{a}wa m.
               k\thetaawāne
               darmanāne (-e) 'medicines' (K.)
darmāna m.
                              'mountains' (Aram.)
tūra m.
               turāne
rūša m.
               rušāne
                              'shoulders' (Aram.)
gūda m.
               gudāne (-e)
                              'walls' (Aram.)
šūla m.
               šulāne (-e)
                              'jobs' (K., Arab., T.)
šūqa m.
               šuqāne (-e)
                              'markets' (Aram.)
                              'backs (of animals)' (-e of humans) (Aram.)
xāşa m.
               xaṣāne
gora m.
               gorāne
                              'men (pejor.)' (-e 'men (unmarked)') (Aram.)
bestāna f.
                               'gardens' (Arab., ?)
               bestanāne
                              'purses' (K., Arab.)
jezdāna f.
               jezdanāne
```

The /o/ in -ona is also shortened to /a/ in the plural of karmona 'little vineyard':

```
karmona m. karmanāne 'little vineyards' (Aram.)
```

The following words have irregular plurals:

```
mendi m. mendāne 'things' (Aram.)

~ mendiyāne

dūka f. dukkāne 'places' (Aram.)
```

## 7.11.3 -āCe (reduplication of final radical, e.g. parča-parčāče)

This type of plural is attested in several other dialects, including Aradhin, Qaraqosh, Tkhuma, J. Zakho, Mangesh and Arbel. <sup>63</sup> The words it is attached to vary from dialect to dialect but cross-dialectally it seems to be restricted to inanimates, especially small body parts, or small animals. It seems to be used with greater frequency with words ending in /pa/. The following are all the words attested with this plural in ANA:

parča	parčāče	'pieces' (K.)
šopa	šopāpe	'footprints' (Aram.)
xūwe	xuwāwe	'snakes' (Aram.)
guppa	guppāpe	'caves' (Aram.)
telpa	telpāpe	'eyelids' (Aram.)
<i>ș</i> eppa	<i>șeppāpe</i>	'classes' (Arab.)
mella	mellāle	'hills' (?)
feṣṣa	fe <i>ṣṣāṣ</i> e	'segments' (Arab.)
ševla	ševlāle	'ears of grain' (Aram.)
zeq <i>ţ</i> a	zeq <i>ţāţ</i> e	uncertain meaning and gender <sup>64</sup> (Aram.)

### 7.11.4 $-(a)w\bar{a}\theta a$

Despite the resemblance of this ending to the feminine suffixes  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$ , most of the nouns which form their plural on this pattern are masculine. The majority end in -a in the singular, but a few end in -e.

The two forms,  $-w\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $-aw\bar{a}\theta a$  have the same origin. The /a/ in  $-aw\bar{a}\theta a$  seems to be an epenthetic vowel. It is used where historically  $-w\bar{a}\theta a$  would have created a consonant cluster, i.e. after /CC/, e.g.  $b\varepsilon\theta aw\bar{a}\theta a$  ( $<*bay\underline{t}^a w\bar{a}\underline{t}a$ ) 'houses',  $s\bar{e}raw\bar{a}\theta a$  ( $<*sehr^a w\bar{a}\underline{t}a$ ) 'vigils' and  $taraw\bar{a}\theta a$  ( $<*tar^a w\bar{a}\underline{t}a$ ) 'doors'. The plural  $-w\bar{a}\theta a$  is found

264

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Cf. Krotkoff (1982: 42), Khan (2002: 201-2), Jacobi (1973: 201), Sabar (2002: 44), Sara (1974: 56) and Khan (1999: 166). The last two dialects have only one example attested each. Also see Maclean (1895: 39, 47-8) and Rhétoré (1912: 33).

<sup>64</sup> Cf. Maclean (1901: 88) m., pl. -e 'spike', 'point'.

where no consonant cluster would be produced, i.e. after /vC/, e.g.  $na\check{s}w\bar{a}\theta a$  'people' (sg.  $n\bar{a}\check{s}a$ , Syr. (') $n\bar{a}\check{s}\bar{a}$ ). A preceding long vowel is shortened.

There are exceptions to the rule, e.g.  $susaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'horses' (sg.  $s\bar{u}sa$ , Syr.  $s\bar{u}sa$ ) and  $garaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'roofs' (Syr. sg.  ${}^{2}egg\bar{a}r\bar{a}$ ).

Most but not all the words with these plurals are of Aramaic origin.

### $7.11.4.1 - aw\bar{a}\theta a$

Words that take this ending tend to undergo propretonic reduction of  $\langle \bar{a} \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{u} \rangle$ , e.g.  $b\bar{a}ba$  'father', pl.  $babaw\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $s\bar{u}sa$  'horse', pl.  $susaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'horses'.

### **Masculine nouns**

$b\varepsilon\theta a$	bεθawāθa ≁ bāte	'houses' (Aram.)
<i>ț</i> ara	ṭar <i>awāθa</i>	'doors' (Aram.)
<i>dɛr</i> a	dεrawāθa ≁ dεre	'monasteries' (Aram.)
dara	dar $awar{a} heta a$	'steps' (Aram.)
šēra	šērawāθa	'vigils' (Aram.)
xora	xorawāθa ≁ xūre	'friends' (Aram.)
lɛle	l $arepsilon$ lawā $ heta$ a	'nights' (Aram.)
<sup>c</sup> amma	$^{c}ammawar{a} heta a$	'paternal uncles' (Arab.)
xāla	$xalawar{a} heta a$	'maternal uncles' (Aram., Arab.)
bāba	$babawar{a} heta a$	'fathers' (K., Arab.)
bāra	barawāθa ≁ barāne	'directions' (K.)
sūsa	susawā $ heta$ a	'horses' (Aram.)
²ēða	²ēðawāθa	'festivals'(Aram.)

### **Feminine nouns**

 $g\bar{a}re \nsim g\bar{a}ra$   $garaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'roofs' (Aram.)

### $7.11.4.2 - w\bar{a}\theta a$

Three of the feminine nouns taking this suffix treat the feminine marker as a radical:  $x\bar{a}\theta a$  'sister',  $m\bar{a}\theta a$  'village' and  $p\bar{a}\theta a$  'face'.

## Masculine nouns

nāša	naš $war{a} heta$ a	'relatives' (Aram.)
bikāre	bikarw $\bar{a} heta$ a	'stables' (Aram.+ K.)
<sup>2</sup> axona	$^{\circ}axunwar{a} heta a$	'brothers' (Aram.)
šivāna	šivanwāθa ≁-€	e 'shepherds' (K.)

# **Feminine nouns**

burmāše	burmaš $war{a} heta$ a	'evenings' (Aram.)
$sep\theta a$	sepw $ar{a} heta$ a	'lips' (Aram.)
$x\bar{a}\theta a$	$xa\theta war{a} heta a$	'sisters' (Aram.)
$mar{a} heta a$	$ma heta war{a} heta a$	'villages' (Aram.)
$p\bar{a}\theta a$	$pa heta war{a} heta a$	'faces' (Aram.)

## 7.11.5 $-\bar{a}\theta a$

This plural is reserved entirely for feminine nouns, with the one exception of yoma m. 'day' (pl.  $yum\bar{a}\theta a$ ). Most bisyllabic feminine words without //-Ta// take this plural, e.g. 'aqla 'foot' (pl. ' $aql\bar{a}\theta a$ ) and  $\check{s}arre$  'fight' (pl.  $\check{s}arr\bar{a}\theta a$ ), but words with //-Ta// also form a significant part of the group. Included among these are those plurals which appear to end in  $-(a)w\bar{a}\theta a$  or  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  but where the /w/ or /y/ is part of the root and not the plural marker, e.g.  $slaw\bar{a}\theta a$  'prayers' (sg.  $slo\theta a < slaw\theta a$ ).

The rule of pretonic reduction is applied with this plural, e.g.  $y\bar{a}ma$  'sea', pl.  $yam\bar{a}\theta a$ .

# 7.11.5.1 Singular without //-Ta//

This group includes words of both Aramaic and foreign origin. The following include all those attested:

yemma	yemm $ar{a} heta$ a	'mothers' (Aram.)
<sup>2</sup> aqla	'aq $lar{a} heta$ a	'feet' (Aram.)
<sup>э</sup> єпа	$^{\gamma}$ en $ar{a} heta$ а	'springs' (Aram.)
bedra	bedr $\bar{a} heta a$	'threshing floors' (Aram.)

'ara	'arāθa	'fields' (Aram.)
<sup>2</sup> urxa	²urxāθa	'roads' (Aram.)
bēra	bērāθa	'wells' (Aram.)
kāwe	$kaw\bar{a} heta a$	'windows' (Aram.)
yāma	yam $\bar{a} heta$ a	'seas' (Aram.)
qatta	$qattar{a} heta a$	'sticks' (Aram.)
šemša	šemšā $\theta$ a	'suns' (Aram.)
<sup>3</sup> wāna	'wanāθa	'ewes' (Aram.)
<sup>c</sup> u <u>t</u> ma	<sup>c</sup> u <i>ṭmāθa</i>	'thighs' (Aram.)
berka	berkāθa	'knees' (Aram.)
nuqwa	nuqwāθa ≁ -e	'females' (Aram.)
skina	skinā $\theta$ a	'knives' (Aram.)
xabra	xabr $\bar{a} heta a$	'lakes' (Aram.?) <sup>65</sup>
puqa	$puqar{a} heta a$	'frogs' (Aram./K., cf. §7.3.1.3(13))
baxta	$baxt\bar{a} heta a$	'wives' (K.?) <sup>66</sup>
<sup>2</sup> o <i>ḍ</i> a	<sup>2</sup> oḍāθa	'rooms' (K., A., T.)
dašta	$da$ š $tar{a} heta a$	'plains' (K.)
šarre	šarr $ar{a} heta$ a	'fights' (K.)
nita	nitā $ heta$ a	'plans' (Arab.)
qubbe	$qubb\bar{a} heta a$	'rooms' (Q.A.)
<sup>c</sup> ulbe	$^{c}ulbar{a} heta a$	'units of volume' (poss. bushels) (Arab.) <sup>67</sup>
kyalla	kyall $\bar{a} heta$ a	'target stones' (K.)
yamne	yamn $\bar{a} heta a^{68}$	'right hands' (Arab.) <sup>69</sup>
čappe	čappāθa ≁ čap	$pp\bar{e}y\bar{a}\theta a$ 'left hands' (K.)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Perhaps cognate with Syriac *ḥabbārā* m. 'deep pit', though this would not explain the gender.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> There are different opinions on the derivation of this word. The two suggestions are: Syr.  $b\bar{a}\underline{k}\underline{e}\underline{t}$ ta 'weaver-woman' (cf. English 'spinster') and K., P. baxt 'luck', 'good fortune' (as a euphemism). Note that in Anatolian Arabic and Turoyo baxt also means 'honour', which is commonly linked to women in the Middle East. Cf. Krotkoff (1985: 131-2, 135) for a discussion of the evidence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> Borrowed already into Syriac, but the retention of the /<sup>c</sup>/ suggests this may be a more recent loan, or at least one influenced by recent contact with Arabic.

 $<sup>^{68}</sup>$  ≁ yamme, pl. *yammāθa*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> The root is also Aramaic but the form Arabic.

```
<sup>c</sup>adde
                    ^{c}add\bar{a}\theta a
                                         'ploughs' (Arab.)
                    <sup>2</sup>entāθa
                                         'porous pot used to filter and cool water' (?)
<sup>2</sup>enta
                    brināθa ≁ -e
                                        'wounds' (K.)
brina
                    mell\bar{a}\theta a
                                        'hillocks' (?)
mella
                                         'waznas' (a unit of weight)<sup>70</sup> (I.A.)
                    wazn\bar{a}\theta a
wazna
                                         'small, low, circular table' (Arab.)
                    xwan\bar{a}\theta a
xwāna
```

The noun yoma 'day' resembles gora and xora in taking a /u/ in the plural:

```
yoma (m.) yum\bar{a}\theta a \nsim -e 'days' (Aram.)
```

In contrast yona, 'pigeon', forms its plural without the vowel change.

yona (Aram.) 
$$yon\bar{a}\theta a \nsim -e$$
 'pigeons' (Aram.)

The words for hand and sleeve undergo a consonantal change in their plural forms due to dissimilation (§1.7.1.2):

```
'iða 'id\bar{a}\theta a 'hands' (Aram.) b\bar{e}\delta a 'bed\bar{a}\theta a 'sleeves' (Aram.)
```

In two cases the feminine marker has been analysed as a radical:

```
\sqrt[3]{e}ta

\sqrt[3]{e}ta\theta a
 'churches' (Aram.)

kesta kesta\theta a \sim -ya\theta a 'small bags' (Aram., Arab.)
```

# 7.11.5.2 Singular with //-Ta//

Only a minority of words ending in //-Ta// take this plural, most of them taking  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  instead. Those that do simply replace //-Ta// with  $-\bar{a}\theta a$ , with no lengthening of the vowel, despite the opening of the syllable.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Used in Iraq. Roughly equal to about 100kg.

$xam\theta a$	$xamar{a} heta a$	'unmarried women'
šabθa	šabāθa	'weeks'
darta	$darar{a} heta a^{71}$	'courtyards'
şubēta	şubaθa	'fingers'

Many original features of these words which have been lost in the singular through processes such as syllable closure are preserved in the plural. For instance, original \*/ay/or \*/aw/or \*/

$k\theta \varepsilon \theta a$	$k\theta ay \bar{a} \theta a$	'chickens'
sloθa	$s$ law $ar{a} heta$ a	'prayers'
$tan \varepsilon  heta a$	$tanavar{a} heta a$	'words'

Words of the form CaCeCTa are often derived from a historic or hypothetical masculine word of form CaCCa. The epenthetic /e/, used to break up the consonantal cluster in CaCeCta, is not needed before the plural suffix  $-\bar{a}\theta a$ .

```
taxerta taxr\bar{a}\theta a 'small thick pitta' pa\theta exta pa\theta x\bar{a}\theta a 'large thin pitta' tarep\theta a tarp\bar{a}\theta a 'leaves' (Syr. tarp\bar{a})
```

In the plural of tawerta the resulting combination of \*/aw/ before a consonant is realized as /o/:

```
tawerta tor\bar{a}\theta a 'cows' (m. tora < *tawra)
```

An epenthetic vowel is also lost from  $iseq\theta a$  'ring', and in the resulting closed syllable i is contracted to e:

$$rac{2}{iseq}\theta a$$
  $rac{2}{esq}\theta a$  'rings'

\_

 $<sup>^{71}</sup>$  ≁ daryāθa.

In the plural of  $qani\theta a$  'little reed', derived from \* $qaney\theta a$ , the consonantal value of /y/ is restored:

 $qani\theta a$   $qany\bar{a}\theta a$  'little reeds'

In the plural of mỗita  $\sim m \delta i \theta a$  'city', an original \*/n/ is preserved (cf. Syr.  $m \delta i (n) t \bar{a}$ ):

*mθita* ≁*mðiθa* mð*ināθa* 'cities'

# $7.11.6 - y\bar{a}\theta a$

This suffix is the most common plural suffix for feminine nouns ending in //-Ta//. Most of these are formed from the base of a masculine noun, though some do not have a surviving masculine equivalent. This plural is thus used for the females of humans and animals, e.g. xmarta 'female donkey' (pl.  $xmary\bar{a}\theta a$ ) from  $xm\bar{a}ra$  'male donkey'. It is also used for inanimates taking the feminine suffix in its diminutive function, e.g. magelta 'little sickle' (pl.  $magely\bar{a}\theta a$ ) from magla 'sickle'. It is not usually used with nomina unitatis such as  $xabu\bar{s}ta$ , as such nouns take -e, as described above (§7.11.1.3). It is however used with feminine infinitives, i.e. those used for an individual event, e.g. 'izaly $\bar{a}\theta a$  'journeys' (sg. 'izalta).

## Humans

sota	s $oyar{a} heta a$	'old women'
šwota	šwoy $ar{a} heta$ a	'female neighbours'
$xor\theta a$	$xoryar{a} heta a$	'female friends'

# **Animals**

susta	susy $ar{a} heta$ a	'mares'
koðenta	koðeny $\bar{a} heta a$	'female mules'
$kaleb\theta a$	kaleby $ar{a} heta$ a	'bitches'
xmarta	xmary $\bar{a} heta$ a	'female donkeys'
šarexθa	šarexyāθa	'female calves'
nunta	nuny $ar{a} heta$ a	'fish'

# **Inanimate**

$kuček\theta a$	kučeky $\bar{a} heta$ a	'little rooms'
magel $ heta$ a	magely $ar{a} heta$ a	'little sickles'
<sup>2</sup> alalta	$^{\circ}$ alaly $ar{a} heta$ a	'alleys'
garen $\theta$ a	gareny $\bar{a} heta$ a	'little troughs'
gumbalta	gumbaly $\bar{a} heta$ a	'balls'
zemmarta	zemmaryāθa	'songs'
xemmarta	xemmaryāθa	'beads'
ma <i>ţ</i> emta	ma <i>ţemyāθa</i>	'spoons'
gupta	gupy $\bar{a} heta a$	'cheeses'
<i>ʿāṣ</i> erta	<sup>c</sup> āṣeryāθa	'evenings'
qadamta	$qadamyar{a} heta a$	'early mornings'
'itota	²itoyāθa	'sittings'
čappe	čappēyāθa ≁	$\check{c}app\bar{a}\theta a$ 'left hands'

When the singular suffix is  $-i\theta a$ ,  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  is probably the only possible plural. Such cases could however also be analysed as  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  plurals, the /y/ being a realization of the /i/ in  $-i\theta a$ .

rabbani $\theta$ a	rabbany $\bar{a} heta$ a	'nuns'
gari $\theta$ a	gary $ar{a} heta$ a	'little roof'
gudani $\theta$ a	gudany $ar{a} heta$ a	'little wall'

The word for 'ear',  $n\bar{a}\theta a$ , is unusual in that the feminine morpheme  $-\theta a$  acts like part of the root in the plural. In this it resembles the set described in §7.11.4.2.

 $n\bar{a}\theta a$   $na\theta y\bar{a}\theta a$  'ears'

# 7.11.7 Irregular plurals

Some irregular plurals have already been mentioned in sections above. Two particularly irregular plurals are the following, taking -e and  $-\bar{a}\theta a$  respectively:

brona bnone 'sons'  $br\bar{a}ta$   $bn\bar{a}\theta a$  'daughters'

The word 'emma 'hundred' takes the unique plural ending -āye: 'emmāye 'hundreds'. This is the collective plural. With numbers 'emma takes -e, e.g.  $tr\hat{e}$ -'emme 'two hundred'.

Another irregular plural is the borrowed compound  $d\bar{a}da$ - $\check{c}o\dot{g}$  'owl' (P.?). This takes an Aramaic plural, -e, but on the first word of the pair:

dāda-čoġ dāde-čóġ 'owls'

# 7.11.8 Loan plurals

## 7.11.8.1 -at

The only fully integrated loan plural is -at. This is adapted from the Arabic feminine plural suffix -āt, but it is not used only with Arabic loanwords, but also with Kurdish words. Informant A reports that it is more widely used in Baghdad or by the younger generation due to the influence of Arabic. According to him, it is sometimes even used with the Aramaic words 'arnūwa' rabbit' and 'aqerwa 'scorpion'. All examples are feminine and all except karwan are trisyllabic or longer. The rule of pretonic reduction applies with this plural: karwan 'caravan', pl. karwānat.

karwan	karwānat	'caravans' (K.)
kučeke	kučekat	'rooms' (K.)
<sup>3</sup> anāna	<sup>2</sup> anānat	'rainshower'
makina	makinat	'machines' (K., A.)
xaṣṣina	xaṣṣinat	'type of pickaxe (?)'
karxāna	karxānat	'team' (I.A.)
melxāwa	melxāwat	'winnowing fork'
qalāma	qalāmat	'pen' (K.)
dodiya	dodiyat	'cradles'
goniya	goniyat	'sacks' (I.A.)
šebbakiye	šebbakiyat	'windows' (K.)

```
maḥalle maḥallat 'town-quarters' (Arab., K.)
bisukre bisukrat 'holes in the wall for hiding valuables' (Aram.)

taššiya taššiyat ≁ -e 'spindles' (K.)

pošiya pošiyat ≁ -e 'turban' (K.)

tabliya tabliyat ≁ -e 'tunic' (I.A.)
```

# 7.11.8.2 Unadapted loan plurals

Arabic words are sometimes used with their original plural forms, unadapted in any way. In some cases such words may not be true borrowings into the language but codeswitching on the part of speakers who speak Arabic often. Examples include the Arabic strong plurals  $-\bar{a}t$  and  $-\bar{i}n$ , as well as broken plurals, where the internal structure of the word is changed.

holất	'halls'	fallāḥī́n	'farmers'
bahārất	'spices'	<sup>c</sup> az <del>á</del> yim	'parties'
salyuwā́t	'silos'	barāmī́l	'barrels'
kāsētāt	'cassettes'	<sup>c</sup> ajāya	'children'
kundarā́t	'shoes'		

## **CHAPTER EIGHT**

# **ADJECTIVES**

## 8.1 Introduction

Adjectives in ANA may function as attributes, modifying a noun, or as predicates, usually with the copula or verb to be. When used attributively, they are placed after the noun, except for a minority of borrowed adjectives. In both cases they are usually inflected for gender and number. In native Aramaic words the inflection is three-way: masculine singular, feminine singular and common plural.

As in other Semitic languages, such as Arabic, adjectives may frequently serve as nouns. For instance *meskēna* may mean 'poor' or 'poor man'. Other adjectives that frequently serve as nouns are  $s\bar{a}wa$  'old' or 'old man, grandfather', and 'arjūne 'crippled (f.)' or 'female cripple'. The adjective-noun distinction is to some extent expressed morphologically: adjectives have only a common plural, with no masculine/feminine distinction, but feminine adjectives used as nouns take  $-y\bar{a}\theta a$  in the plural:

ADJ. mpl.  $g\bar{u}re\ s\bar{a}we$  'old men' fpl. 'enše  $s\bar{a}we$  'old women' NOUN mpl.  $s\bar{a}we$  'old men' fpl.  $soy\bar{a}\theta a$  'old women'

Because of the difficulty of drawing a line between nouns and adjectives, there is some overlap between this chapter and Chapter 7. Words with both functions will usually be considered primarily as adjectives, but those which more commonly serve as nouns are discussed in Chapter 7.

There is a similar overlap between adjectives and verbs to be found in stative participles, as these are a type of verbal adjective. Only stative participles with more

typically adjectival meanings will be listed here, e.g.  $p \sin xa$  'happy'  $(\sqrt{p \sin x})$  and  $\check{c}ehya$  'tired'  $(\sqrt{\check{c}hy})$ , as stative participles are fully treated in Chapter 6.

ANA uses adjectives of both Aramaic and foreign origin. Of the loaned adjectives some have been adapted to Aramaic patterns of inflection, e.g. *faqira* 'poor' (<Arab. *faqīr*), f. *faqerta*, pl. *faqire*. Others have retained more or less their original form, such as *čalabi* 'good-looking' (K. *çelebî*). Some of these are wholly uninflected, such as *čalabi* and *tambal* 'lazy', while a number of them inflect according to a different pattern (cf. §8.3 (17)).

# 8.2 Adjectives of Aramaic form

Adjectives of Aramaic form are inflected according to number and gender. As mentioned above, there are three forms: masculine singular, feminine singular and common plural. Like most masculine nouns masculine adjectives take the -a ending. For plural adjectives the inflection is -e. For feminine adjectives the ending is //-Ta//. The choice between allophones -ta or - $\theta a$  is determined by the rules given in §6.8.11, with the addition that - $\theta a$  is found where it follows historical gemination (and therefore a schwa, cf. §7.7), e.g.  $rab\theta a$  'big (f.)' (<\* $rabb^3 t\bar{a}$ ).

In addition to these endings, there may be internal changes in the word. The addition of //-Ta//, for instance, often closes a syllable and so shortens the vowel. This morpheme is also involved in assimilatory processes, as in  $rab\theta a$  [ $rap\theta a$ ] 'big' (m.  $r\bar{a}ba$ ), where it causes voicing assimilation, and xamusta 'sour' (m.  $xam\bar{u}sa$ ) where it assimilates to a preceding emphatic. Assimilation is shown in //-Ta//, as a grammatical morpheme, but not in root letters (cf. §1.6.ii).

The following are the adjectival patterns attested in ANA:

# 1) CāCa, CaCta, CāCe

The first of this group,  $r\bar{a}ba$  'big', derives from  $rabb\bar{a}$ . The gemination has been lost and the vowel lengthened in compensation. Similarly  $x\bar{a}\theta a$  'new' derives from  $h\bar{a}(\underline{d})t\bar{a}$ .

ŗāba	hoa	ŗābe	'big'
$x\bar{a}\theta a$	$xa\theta ta$	$xar{a} heta e$	'new'

Where the final radical is /w/, \*/aw/ is monophthongized to /o/:

tāwa tota tāwe 'good'

2) CCāCa, CCaCta, CCāCe

xwāra xwarta xwāre 'white'

Where the final radical is /y/, the feminine has  $/\varepsilon/$  in accordance with the rule:  $*/ay/>/\varepsilon/$ .

 $xt\bar{a}ya$   $xt\varepsilon\theta a$   $xt\bar{a}ye$  'low' ' $l\bar{a}ya$  ' $l\varepsilon\theta a$  ' $l\bar{a}ye$  'high'

# 3) CCiCa, CCeCta, CCiCe

Adjectives of this pattern are usually stative participles (§6.6); they are passive for transitive verbs such as  $\check{s}wq$  'to abandon' ( $\check{s}wiqa$  'abandoned') and active for intransitive verbs such as  $p\bar{s}x$  'to be happy' ( $p\bar{s}ixa$  'happy').

priša prešta priše 'separate', 'special' xlima xlemta xlime 'thick'

Other adjectives of this pattern:  $p\check{s}ita$  'simple',  $\check{s}^{\circ}i\theta a$  'jaundiced', btixa 'flat', bsima 'happy',  $rxi\check{s}a$  'common' and kpina 'hungry'.

Where the final radical is P/, \*/ $e^2$ / is realized as Ie/:

mri<sup>2</sup>a mrēta mri<sup>2</sup>e 'ill'

## 4) CiCa, CeCta, CiCe

Adjectives of this pattern are usually stative participles based on weak roots. Most examples are based on *mediae /y/* roots (§6.11.7), e.g.  $my\theta$ , 'to die', but they can also be stative participles of some *primae //* and *primae /y/* roots (§6.11.1, §6.11.6), e.g. *xila* ( $\sqrt[4]{xl}$ ) 'eaten', *wiša* ( $\sqrt[4]{yw\check{s}}$ ) 'dry'. Furthermore the stative participles of *mediae //* roots (§6.11.3) show free variation between *CCiCa* and *CiCa*, as // may or may not be elided, e.g.  $r^2i\check{s}a \nsim ri\check{s}a$  ( $\sqrt[4]{r^2\check{s}}$ ) 'conscious'.

$mi\theta a$	$me\theta$ ta	mi heta e	'dead' $(\sqrt{my\theta})$
sida	sedta	side	'closed' $(\sqrt{syd})$
wiša	wešta	wiše	'dry' (√ywš)

# 5) CeCya, CCiθa, CeCye

Adjectives of this form are stative participles based on a tertiae /y/ root (§6.11.8):

melya	mli $ heta$ a	melye	'full' $(\sqrt{mly})$
meţya	mṭi $ heta$ a	meṭye	'ripe' $(\sqrt{mty})$
čehya	čhi $ heta$ a	čehye	'tired' (√ <i>čhy</i> )

Where the final radical is /w/, \*/ew/ is monophthongized to  $/\bar{u}/$ :

 $q\bar{u}ya$   $qwi\theta a$   $q\bar{u}ye$  'strong'

# 6) CCoCa, CCoCta ≁ CCaCta, CCoCe

Adjectives of this type show some variation in the feminine, because /o/ in a closed syllable is often but not always realized as /a/:

smoqa	smoqta ≁ smaqta	smoqe	'red'
zroqa	zroqta ≁ zraqta	zroqe	'blue'
grosa	grosa ≁ grasta	grose	'tough'

Where the final radical is /y/, the resulting \*/ay/ is monophthongized to /ɛ/:

loga loga

xtoya xtεθa xtoye 'low'

# 7) CoCa, CoCTa ≁ CaCta, CoCe

The same  $\frac{1}{2} \sim \frac{1}{2}$  variation is seen in adjectives of this type:

zora zorta ≁ zarta zore 'small' koma komta ≁ kamta kome 'black'

Another adjective of this type is *kora* 'blind' (K. *kor*).

# 8) CaCiCa, CaCeCta, CaCiCe

Adjectives of the *CaCiCa* pattern frequently derive from earlier Aramaic \**CaCCiCa* through loss of gemination (§1.7.2.2).

šapira	šaperta	šapire	'beautiful'
'atiqa	°ateqta	²atiqe	'old'
°atira	°aterta	°atire	'rich'
xamima	xamemta	xamime	'hot'

Other adjectives of this type include *yarixa* 'long', 'tall', *damima* 'bloody' and *šaxina* 'warm'. Some are adapted foreign loans, such as the Arabic adjectives *faqira* 'poor' (f. *faqerta*, pl. *faqire*), 'aziza 'dear', daqiqa 'small' and naqiða 'thin'.

When the medial radical is /w/, \*/ew/ is monophthongized to  $/\bar{u}/$ :

qariwa qarūta qariwe 'near'

Adjectives of this type with a rhotic as their second and third radical assimilate fully to the /t/ of the feminine suffix. There are two examples attestd:

qarira qaretta qarire 'cold' marira maretta marire 'bitter'

# 9) CaCCiCa, CaCCeCta, CaCCiCe

Adjectives of this pattern have retained the earlier Aramaic gemination:

bassima	bassemta	bassime	'nice' (Syr. bassīmā)

kaššira kaššire 'hardworking' (Syr. kaššīrā)

## 10) CaCūCa, CaCuCta, CaCūCe

These adjectives derive from the earlier Aramaic forms  $CaCC\bar{u}C\bar{a}$  and  $CaCC\bar{o}C\bar{a}$ , although most of the following roots did not occur in Syriac in this form.

xamūṣa	xamuṣṭa	xamūṣe	'sour' (Syr. <i>ḥammūṣā</i> )
<sup>c</sup> amūqa	<sup>c</sup> amuqta	<sup>c</sup> amūqe	'deep'
xašūka	xašukta	xašūke	'dark' (Syr. <i>ḥeššō<u>k</u>ā</i> )
$\check{s}a$ $\check{u} heta a$	ša³uθta	$\check{s}a$ $\bar{u} heta e$	'yellow'

Other adjectives of this pattern:  $yar\bar{u}qa$  'green',  $bah\bar{u}ra$  'bright' (Syr.  $bahh\bar{u}r\bar{a}$ ),  $rah\bar{u}qa$  'far',  $pay\bar{u}xa$  (Syr.  $payy\bar{u}h\bar{a}$ ) 'cool',  $yaq\bar{u}ra$  'heavy' (Syr.  $yaqq\bar{u}r\bar{a}$ ) and  $nax\bar{u}pa$  'shy'.

Where the final radical is /y/, the resulting \*/uy/ is monophthongized to /i/:

$$hal\bar{u}ya$$
  $hali\theta a$   $hal\bar{u}ye$  'sweet'

11) CaCoCa, CaCoCta ≁ CaCaCta, CaCoCe

'adola 'adolta \* 'adole 'straight'

## 12) CaCāCa, CaCCu, CaCāCe

This is the Class I active participle (§6.7). With some verbs it may have adjectival meaning, e.g.  $zad\bar{a}^{2}a$  'fearful' and naxpu 'shy'.

<sup>1</sup> Some, such as  $\sqrt{cmq}$  (Syr.  $camm\bar{q}\bar{q}$ ), occurred in the  $CaCC\bar{c}C\bar{d}$  form instead.

13) -aya, 
$$-\varepsilon\theta a$$
,  $-\bar{a}ye$ 

This derivational ending is found attached to stems of various types:

qamāya	$qam \varepsilon  heta a$	qamāye	'first' (qam- before', qāma forwards)
xaṛāya	$xare\theta a$	xaṛāye	'last' ( $xar\theta a$ 'end')
jendāya	jend $\epsilon  heta$ a	jendāye	'good-quality' (I.A., K.) <sup>2</sup>

## Others of this type include:

```
rēšāya 'top-quality' (rēša 'head', also Syr. rēšāyā 'chief', 'best')

palgāya 'medium' (palga 'middle')

barāya 'outer' (Syr. barrāyā, from barrā 'the open country')

gawāya 'inner' (Syr. gawwāyā, from gawwa 'inner part')
```

They also include gentilic labels such as  $kal\delta\bar{a}ya$  'Chaldean', listed as nouns in §7.8.7.

# 14) -n $\bar{a}$ ya, -nε $\theta$ a, -n $\bar{a}$ ye

Words of this form usually refer to the town of origin, e.g. 'alqušnāya 'Alqoshi', and function as nouns as well. A list is given in §7.8.8.

## 15) -āna

Many adjectives of this type are formed from nouns, for instance  $xe\check{s}k\bar{a}na$  from  $xe\check{s}ka$  'darkness',  $lebb\bar{a}na$  'brave' (lebba 'heart'),  $xemm\bar{a}na$  'hot' (xemma 'heat'),  $\check{s}ekl\bar{a}na$  'good-looking' ( $\check{s}ekla$  'appearance'),  $\check{s}a\theta\bar{a}na$  'feverish' ( $\check{s}'\theta a$  'fever'),  $\check{s}en\theta' na$  'sleepy' ( $\check{s}en\theta a$  'sleep'),  $xel\bar{a}na$  'strong' (xela 'strength') and  $pe\theta w\bar{a}na$  'broad' ( $pe\theta wa$  'width'). One example is a loanword:  $yakk\bar{a}na$  'only (child)' (P., T.). The feminine form takes the - anta ending or  $-ani\theta a$ , e.g.  $lebbani\theta a$  'brave' and  $xemmani\theta a$  'hot'. The plural takes  $-\bar{a}ne$ .

xuškāna xuškanta xuškāne 'dark'

<sup>2</sup> According to Maclean. This word was not found by the author in dictionaries of these languages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Similar forms are found in Qaraqosh (2002: 218). This adjectival suffix is also common in Syriac (Nöldeke 1904: §129).

Others adjectives ending in  $-\bar{a}na$  are active participles of verbs of Classes II and III (see also §6.7). For these, the usual feminine form ends in -u, although an ending in -anta or  $-ani\theta a$  is also possible.

```
mṣalyāna mṣalyu mṣalyāne 'given to prayer'
mačehyāna mačehyu mačehyāne 'tiring'
```

The Class III participle form is used in a set of adjectives describing colour. These are not the basic colour words, but correspond to the English colour adjectives ending in *-ish*, e.g. 'greenish', 'reddish' etc. They are formed from the root consonants of the basic adjective, e.g.  $masemq\bar{a}na$  'reddish' from smoqa 'red'. This set takes -anta or  $-ani\theta a$  for the feminine, e.g.  $masemqanta \sim masemqani\theta a$  'reddish'.

```
masemqāna 'reddish' (<smoqa)</li>mazerqāna 'blueish' (<zarqa or zroqa)</li>mayerqāna 'greenish' (<yarūqa)</li>
```

Where the middle radical is weak, a long vowel results:

```
makēmāna 'blackish' (< koma, \sqrt{kym})
maxurāna 'whitish' (< xw\bar{a}ra)
```

Unusually, the sequence  $*/e^{\gamma}$  is preserved and not realized as  $/\bar{e}/$  as it is in most cases:

```
ma\check{s}e^{\gamma}\theta\bar{a}na 'yellowish' (\langle \check{s}a^{\gamma}\bar{u}\theta a\rangle)
```

# 16) Irregular adjectives

There are also some adjectives which are formed irregularly:

xenna xerta xenne 'other' meskēna meskyanta meskēne 'poor'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The same forms with the same meaning are found in Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 219). In Aradhin the same function is performed by the suffix *-na:ya* (Krotkoff 1982: 45).

# 8.3 Unadapted loans

Many adjectives borrowed from other languages such as Kurdish or Arabic do not obey the native Aramaic rules of inflection. The following are the three types of behaviour that these adjectives exhibit.

# 17) Loan inflection: -a, -e, -e

Some loanwords inflect for number and gender but with a different pattern in which the feminine suffix is -e, like the plural, rather than //-Ta//. These words are borrowed from Kurdish or Arabic and most refer to colours or human characteristics, especially physical traits. This inflection pattern is also found in other dialects and with the same semantic types. The examples in the Qaraqosh dialect are all Arabic loans and all but one are of the Arabic type aCCaC, f.  $CaCC\bar{a}^{2}$  which is also found with bodily defects and colours. The ANA feminine marker -e is derived from the form  $CaCC\bar{e}$  which is the reflex of  $CaCC\bar{a}^{2}$  found in some Qaltu dialects.

This inflectional pattern appears to have been applied to the Kurdish loanwords by analogy, as they belong to the same semantic groups. This analogical extension could have occurred in NENA independently, but it should be noted that even in the Qəltu Arabic dialects this inflectional pattern is applied to loanwords of the same semantic groups, e.g. Daragözü  $\check{s}\bar{\imath}n$  (ms.),  $\check{s}\bar{\imath}n\check{e}$  (fs.) 'green',  $s\bar{a}\dot{g}$  (ms.),  $s\bar{a}\dot{g}\check{e}$  (fs.) 'whole',  $\bar{A}z\Rightarrow l\bar{a}l$  (ms.),  $l\bar{a}l\dot{e}$  (fs.) 'dumb', karr (ms.),  $karr\dot{e}$  (fs.) 'deaf', Qarṭmīn  $ba\check{s}\check{s}\check{e}$  (fs.) 'with a white spot on the forehead' (of horses),  $\check{c}app\check{e}$  (fs.) 'left'. It may therefore be that NENA adopted the Kurdish words via Arabic, where they had already been adapted to the Arabic morphology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See the examples from Qaraqosh (Khan 2002: 219) and Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 45) as well as those in Rhétoré (1912: 43-4) and Maclean (1895: 58).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Cf. Jastrow (1978: 76).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Jastrow (1978: 75-6).

The attested examples of this inflection are listed below with the ultimate sourcelanguage given, as far as can be ascertained. It should be noted that a word may be borrowed back into the source language from which it came.

m.	f./pl.	
randa	rande	'good' (K.)
karra	karre	'deaf' (K.)
šella	šelle	'lame' (K.)
balaka	balake	'grey (?)' (K $belek$ <arab. <math="" display="inline">ablaq,f. <math display="inline">balq\bar{a})^8</math></arab.>
kačala	kačale	'bald' (K.)
baṛša	baṛše	'albino' (Arab?) <sup>9</sup>
<sup>c</sup> aṛja	<sup>c</sup> aṛje	'lame' (Arab.)
režbara	režbare	'hardworking' (?)
zarqa	zarqe	'blue' (Arab.)

Some of the adjectives describing physical traits may take a feminine diminutive suffix  $-\bar{u}ne$ , e.g. 'arjūne 'crippled' (f.) and  $bar\check{s}\bar{u}ne$  'albino' (f.). This is a combination of a diminutive suffix  $-\bar{u}n$ - with the loan feminine inflection -e. This suffix is cognate with the nominal diminutive suffix -ona (§7.8.2). When asked, Informant A agreed that a masculine ending  $-\bar{u}na$  could also be used with adjectives of this type, e.g. 'arjūna' 'crippled' (m.) and that a -ona (f. -one) variant was also found. In a later session A asserted that -ona was used for masculine and  $-\bar{u}ne$  for feminine and that -one and  $-\bar{u}na$  should not be used. His mother (Informant F), however, rejected -ona and -one in favour of  $-\bar{u}na$  (m.) and  $-\bar{u}ne$  (f.). There is therefore some variety in the distribution of these forms. In any case, these endings are rarely used with males.

These adjectives, with and without diminutive suffix, behave both as nouns and adjectives.

<sup>8</sup> The Kurdish and Arabic words both mean piebald, i.e. black and white.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Arab. ³abras ≁ ³abras 'albino', 'leprous'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> -ūna is attested with nouns in the dialect of Tkhuma, e.g. jalūna 'kleiner Junge' sawūna 'altes Männchen' (Jacobi 1973: 184).

# 18) Uninflected adjectives

Uninflected adjectives have one form for masculine, feminine and plural. All those attested are of entirely non-Aramaic origin, except *náppalax* 'lazy' which is derived from Kurdish *na* 'not' and Aramaic \**palāx* 'worker'.

# m./f./pl. tambal 'lazy' (K.) čalabi 'good-looking' (K.) tari 'fresh' (K.) nassāx ≈ nasāx'unwell' (K.) náppalax 'lazy (K + Aram.)

# 19) Adjectives only inflected for plural

There is only one example attested of this, a loanword. Three examples are given for the Aradhin dialect, all of them loanwords.<sup>11</sup>

## 20) Adjectives placed before the noun

Two loan adjectives are put before the noun and are uninflected: Kurdish  $xo\check{s}$  'good', attested in  $xo\check{s}$ - $n\bar{a}\check{s}a$  'good man',  $xo\check{s}$ -zwanta 'bargain',  $xo\check{s}$ -malpu 'good teacher' and  $xo\check{s}$ - $valsum{s}a$  'good work', and Arabic 'awwal, found in 'awwal-ga 'the first time' (equivalent to Aramaic  $valsum{s}a$  and 'awwal-mendi 'firstly' (lit. 'the first thing'). From a syntactic point of view, these adjectives behave like non-adjectival modifiers (§10.7).

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 44). Rhétoré (1912: 44) also lists four examples, again all loanwords. According to Rhétoré words with this inflection pattern can also be uninflected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Arabic element  ${}^{2}\bar{a}xer$  in  ${}^{2}\bar{a}xer$ -mendi is also of this type, but is not yet attested in the speech of native Algoshis. Informant B says he would not use it.

```
21) zarra (m.) zarre (f./pl.)
```

This word, meaning 'huge', 'enormous', is difficult to fit into any of the existing categories of adjective, noun etc., although its meaning is typically adjectival. Like some of the loan adjectives above, it precedes the noun modified. In the few examples collected, *zaṛṛa* occurs with the indefinite article or *xakma* 'some'. The article agrees with the noun while *zaṛṛa* has a two-way inflection: -a before a singular noun (m. or f.) and -e before a plural.

```
xá-zaṛṛa gòra 'a huge man' (A)

ġðá-zaṛṛa 'armòta 'a huge pomegranate' (A)

xákma záṛṛe 'armòne,' 'some huge pomegranates' (A:194)
```

A two-way inflection is characteristic of nouns, but agreement is characteristic of adjectives and if it were a noun it is strange that the article should agree with the modified noun and not *zaṛṛa* which immediately follows it.

This construction may occur with *mendi* 'thing': *xá-zaṛṛa mèndi* 'something huge'. If the referent is known and feminine, the form is *ġðá-zaṛṛa mèndi*, despite the fact that *mendi* is normally masculine. Cf. 'amal 'thing' (§10.7(15)) for similarly flexible gender.

The hybrid nature of *zarṛa*'s behaviour is probably explained by its history. It is listed in Maclean's dictionary (1901: 89) with the meaning 'gigantic' for the dialects of the Mosul Plain. Maclean also indicates that the feminine is identical in form to the masculine. The meaning 'gigantic', however, is only one of several listed for this form. The first meaning listed is 'a very little', 'a jot', derived from Arab. *ðarra* of the same meaning. Another meaning, 'small', found in the Ashiret dialects, is clearly derived from the first meaning but has developed adjectival meaning, though again the feminine is identical to the masculine. It is possible that the ANA meaning, though precisely opposite, may be related as both express extremes of size. If *zaṛṛa* is in origin a noun that has developed an adjectival function, that could also explain the strange syntax of the form.

#### 8.4 Reduplicated expressions

Some adjectives are formed from a repeated noun. The two words form a stress group with stress on the last syllable of the first word (cf. §4.3.2.2). In ANA these forms express multiplicity, variety or repetition. Some serve as adverbs (§10.3.5). The same forms or forms like them are attested in several other dialects. <sup>13</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 49) calls the same phenomenon in Aradhin 'distributive reduplication'.

```
zelpé-zelpe
               'in slices'
rangé-range
              'multi-coloured'
šeklé-šekle
               'of different types'
```

There are also similar constructions in Kurmanji: rengareng 'multicoloured', 'colourful', p'erçe-p'erçe 'in pieces' (compare Aradhin parčé-parče 'into many pieces' 14).

#### 8.5 Comparatives and superlatives

The comparative may be expressed with the particle beš- (§10.6). This forms a stress group with the adjective, e.g. béš-rāba 'bigger' and béš-'atira 'richer'. The comparative of  $t\bar{a}wa$  'good' has a special contracted form:  $b\acute{e}\acute{s}$ -to'  $\nsim$   $b\acute{e}\acute{s}$ -to 'better'. The preposition m- 'than' (§10.2.1) marks the thing to which something is compared, e.g. béš-'atira m $k\dot{u}l$ - ...  $n\bar{a}\dot{s}ed$ - $m\bar{a}\dot{\theta}a$ . 'richer than all the people of the town' (A:221), 'an d-best-rahūge ménnah p-kabìra 'those much further away from it' (C:7).

Sometimes the comparative is expressed simply by the adjective and a deictic pronoun, e.g. 'o-ṛāba 'the bigger one'. Such cases may also be translated in English without an explicit comparative marker, i.e. 'the big one', but the English phrase also implies comparative meaning. Other examples are as follows:

```
h\acute{a}r b-'\acute{\epsilon}-qatta r\acute{a}b\theta a 'just with the big(ger) stick' (A)
b-\varepsilon-ráb\thetaa mm\dot{a}xet l-az-zàrta. 'with the big(ger) one you'll throw at the small
one' (A)
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Cf. for instance the dialect of Aradhin: šiklé-šikle 'in different ways', rangé-range 'in different colours', parčé-parče 'into many pieces' and tarzé-tarze 'various kinds' (Krotkoff 1982: 49-50).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Krotkoff (1982: 49).

The superlative can be expressed by putting the adjective in a genitive relationship with  $kull\epsilon$  'all', e.g.  $r\bar{a}bet-kull\epsilon \nsim 'o-r\bar{a}bet-kull\epsilon$  (A) 'the biggest of all' or simply 'the biggest',  $xz\bar{e}li$  'axóna  $r\bar{a}bet-kull\epsilon$  'I saw the oldest brother' (A).

# 8.6 Negation of adjectives

If an adjective is negated directly, rather than through a verb, it takes the negative particle  $l\bar{a}$ - (§10.6). This precedes the adjective, taking the stress, e.g.  $s\bar{u}le\ l\bar{a}$ - $t\bar{a}we$  'things (that are) not good' (B).

# **CHAPTER NINE**

# **NUMERALS**

## 9.1 Cardinals

When cardinals occur with a noun, the number is preposed. Most commonly the number forms a stress group with the following noun, the number taking the stress, e.g.  $tr\vec{e}$ -'alole 'two streets' (A).

## 9.1.1 Numerals 1-10

Numbers 1-10 are inflected for gender. If they stand independently, they take normal penultimate stress, with the exception of  $tett\hat{e}^{\flat}$  ('two (f.)') which usually takes final stress. When modifying a noun, the numeral takes the stress, promoted on to its final syllable (§4.3.2.2). This affects the structure of the numeral. Any penultimate long vowel is affected by pretonic shortening, e.g.  $tla^{\dagger}a \rightarrow tla^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  'three'. A final /a/ may optionally be lengthened, e.g.  $tla^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}b\bar{a}re$  'three sides' (A),  $xams\ddot{a}x\bar{u}re$  'five friends' (A). The forms for 'one', however, are always short: xa- (m.),  $\dot{g}\delta\dot{a}$  (f.). Any final // is elided and a preceding /e/ lengthened, e.g. ' $arb\dot{e}-m\delta in\bar{a}$ ' a 'four towns' (A).

	Independe	Independent forms		Attached forms	
	m.	f.	m.	f.	
1	$x\bar{a}^{\flat}$	ġðā³	xá-	ġðá-	
2	trē <sup>,</sup>	tettē <sup>'</sup>	trḗ-	tettḗ-	
3	ţlā ʿa	ṭella ˙	ṭlaʾá-	ṭellá ·-	
4	?arba	'arbe'	³arbá-	²arbḗ-	
5	xamša	xammeš	xamšá-	xamméš-	
6	<sup>2</sup> ešta	'eššet	³eštá-	<sup>9</sup> eššét-	
7	šo²a	<sup>2</sup> ešwa <sup>2</sup>	šo²á-	²ešwá-	

8	tmanya	tmāne <sup>5</sup>	tmanyá-	tmanḗ-
9	teš³a	tešša <sup>,</sup>	teš³á-	teššá-
10	esra ·	essar	³esrá-	essár-

# **Examples**

```
xá-yoma 'One day' (A:119)
ġðá-tawerta 'one cow' (A:15)
tette-mðināθā 'two towns' (A)
ṭlaθá-parče 'three pieces' (A)
'arbā-yarxe 'four months' (A:137)
xammèš-armone 'five pomegranates' (A:211)
teššā-šenne 'nine years' (B)
'essàr-mðināθa 'ten towns' (A)
```

# 9.1.2 Numerals 11-19

Numbers 11-19 are not inflected for gender. They all end in *-sar*, derived from *'essar'* 'ten (f.). The attached forms are identical to the independent forms in form. The stress may be final but varies.

- 11 xadēsar
- 12 trēsar
- 13 teltāsar
- 14 *arbāsar*
- 15 xamšāsar
- 16 'eštāsar
- 17 *'ešwāsar*
- 18 tmanēsar
- 19 *'etšāsar*

# **Examples**

```
trēsàr-gūre 'twelve men' (B)
trēsar-'ènše 'twelve women' (A)
xadēsar-šenne 'eleven years' (A)
```

## 9.1.3 Tens

All forms end in -i, except for *tmāna* 'eighty'. The final syllable takes the stress in the attached form, e.g. 'arbì-yome 'forty days' (D), xamší-kubɛbe 'fifty kubbas' (B). These forms are uninflected.

	Independent	Attached
20	'esri	'esrí-
30	$t$ l $\acute{e}$ $ heta$ i	ṭlaθí-
40	°arbi	²arbí-
50	xamši	xamší-
60	<sup>2</sup> ešti	³eští-
70	šoʻi	šo²í-
80	tména	tmaná-
90	teš³i	teš³í-

## 9.1.4 Hundreds

One hundred is 'emma. There are two ways of forming the series of hundreds. One is to treat 'emma as any masculine noun and form its plural, e.g.  $tla\theta \acute{e}$ -'emme 'three hundred' (lit. 'three hundreds'). The other has 'emma in the singular preceded by a feminine number, e.g.  $tell\acute{a}\theta$ -'emma 'three hundred'. The only number for which the latter method is not allowed is two hundred ( $tr\acute{e}$ -'emme only). These forms are not inflected to agree with the gender of the noun.

In both forms the initial /e/ of 'emma may be elided, e.g. 'eštámme 'six hundred'.

# **Examples**

200	trḗʻ-¹emme
300	ṭlaθá-'emme
300	țellá $ heta$ -emma
400	arbḗ-³emma
500	xamméš-emma
700	šo <sup>3</sup> ámme
300 men	ṭlaθá-'emme gūre
900 men	teššá-³emma gū̀re

The collective (undefined) plural of hundred is 'emmāye 'hundreds' (§7.11.7).

## 9.1.5 Thousands

Thousands are formed on the plural of 'alpa (m.) 'thousand', and never on the singular. These forms are uninflected.

# **Examples**

1000	'alpa
2000	trḗ-'alpe
10 000	'esṛā́-'alpe
100 000	²emmā́-alpe

A million is expressed with the loanword melyon.

# 9.1.6 Combinations of numerals

Combinations of tens and units are ordered with the unit first, e.g.  $tr\dot{e}^{\flat}$ -u- ${}^{\flat}$ esri 'twenty-two' (lit. 'two and twenty'). When this number ends in /a/, this combines with conjunction -u 'and', and is monophthongized to /o/, e.g.  $x\dot{o}$ - ${}^{\flat}$ esri 'twenty-one', from \*xa-u- ${}^{\flat}$ esri 'one and twenty'. In deliberate speech, however, the diphthong may be preserved. Stress is placed on the final syllable of the unit, therefore in most cases it is on /o/, e.g.  $\check{s}o$ - $\check{o}$ - $\check{e}$ sri 'twenty-seven'.

These forms are not inflected.

# **Examples**

- 21 *xó-*<sup>2</sup>*esri*
- 22 *trē*′-*u*-'*esri*
- 23  $tl\bar{a}\theta\acute{o}$ -'esri
- 24 °arbó-'esri
- 25 xamšó-'esri
- 26 'eštó-'esri
- 27 *šo*'ó-'esri
- 28 tmanyó-'esri
- 29 teš'ó-'esri
- 31  $x\acute{o}$ - $tl\bar{a}\theta i$
- 68 tmanyó-'ešti
- 69 teš'ò-'ešti 'sixty-nine'
- 86 'eštó-tmāna
- 24 years 'arbò-'esri-šénne (A)
- 31 years  $x\acute{o}$ -tlā $\theta$ i-šènne (A)
- "99" šāted-teš'ò-teš'i (lit. 'the year of ninety-nine') (A)

In combinations with hundreds or thousands the numbers are ordered from largest to smallest, except for tens which come after the unit as shown above:

```
150 years 'émma-u xamšì-šenne (A)
```

121 years 'émma-u xò-'esri šenne (A)

The year1999 *šāted-'álpa-u teššá-'emma-u téš'a-u tèš'i* (A)

If there are units but no tens, the unit number agrees in gender with the noun:

102 years 'émma-u tettè-šenne (A)

103 years 'émma-u țellà $\theta$ -šenne (A)

If the unit is 'one', then the noun is in the singular:

```
101 years 'émma-u ġðà-šāta (A)
1001 years 'álpa-u ġðà-šāta (A)
```

## 9.2 Ordinals

The first ordinal is an adjective and as such agrees with the noun in gender and number, e.g.  $g\vec{a}$   $qam\acute{e}\theta a$  'the first time' (B).

```
'first' qam\bar{a}ya (m.), qam\varepsilon\theta a (f.), qam\bar{a}ye (pl.)
```

All other ordinals are genitive constructions formed either with the genitive suffix -ed or its prefixed counterpart d- (§10.2.1). Although the number is in a genitive rather than an adjectival relationship with the noun, any number up to ten will inflect to agree with the noun:

```
'the second day' yomd-tr\hat{e}^{\flat}(A)

'the third day' yomd-tl\hat{a}\theta a(A)

'the second going' {}^{\flat}izaltet-tett\hat{e}^{\flat}(A)
```

The first element of the compound may be a pronoun, e.g.  ${}^{5}$ *et-xámmeš* 'the fifth one (f.)' (A). The form with d- is used when the ordinal is separated from the noun or pronoun it modifies, e.g.  $y\acute{o}ma\ qam \acute{a}ya\ l\grave{e}\theta$ - $u'\ de$ - $tr\acute{e}'\ l\grave{e}\theta$ -u' 'The first day there was nothing, the second there was nothing' (A:137),  $tw\acute{e}re\ t$ - $t\grave{e}tt\acute{e}'$ , 'He broke the second one.' (A:219).

The genitive construction is also used with combinations of numerals. If there are units but no tens, then the unit will agree in gender with the noun. This construction is mostly found with year dates, e.g.  $\check{sated-tr\acute{e}}$ -alpe-u  $\check{tella}\theta$  'the year 2003' (A) and alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha-alpha

## 9.3 Fractions

Expressions for fractions come in a variety of forms. The only native Aramaic word is *palga* 'a half'. For 'a quarter' the Kurdish loan *čārek* is used. For other fractions such as a

third, an expression of the type  $x\acute{a}$ - $s\ddot{a}ma$  me- $tl\dot{a}\theta a$ , literally 'one piece from three' (B), or simply  $x\ddot{a}$ ' me- $tl\dot{a}\theta a$  (A) 'one from three' is used.

For expressions like 'a month and a half' ANA has  $yarx\acute{a}-u$   $palge \acute{h}$ , literally 'a month and its half', and  $\check{s}ab\theta \acute{a}-u$   $palga \acute{h}$ , literally 'a week and its half'.

# 9.4 Frequency

When an element of a stress group,  $g\bar{a}$  is shortened to ga:

```
'once' \dot{g}\delta\acute{a}-ga \nsim \dot{g}\delta\acute{a}-g\bar{a}\theta a

'twice' tett\acute{e}-ga \nsim tett\acute{e}-g\bar{a}\theta a

'three times' tell\acute{a}\theta-ga

'six times' 'eššét-ga
```

# 9.5 Counting individuals

The number  $x\bar{a}$  'one' may be used to denote individuals for the purposes of counting, in the same way that 'persons' or 'souls' are sometimes used in English, e.g.  ${}^{2}e\theta w\bar{a}ban x$ - $e\check{s}t\acute{a}$ -'emme  $x\dot{a}$ ' 'We had about 600 individuals' (A).

# 9.6 *Telling the time*

The time is given in ANA by saying  $s\bar{a}^c a$  'hour' then the number. The number is feminine to agree with  $s\bar{a}^c a$ . Alternatively  $s\bar{a}^c a$  may be omitted.

## **Examples**

```
sá<sup>c</sup>a tèšša<sup>c</sup> 'nine o'clock' (A)

'èššet mxúška 'six o'clock in the morning' (A)
```

## 9.7 Approximations

If the speaker is not certain of the exact number, two consecutive numbers may be given together as alternatives, without a conjunction. In English, the equivalents would be 'one or two', 'three or four' etc. The former is contracted to *xatrē*- (m.), *xattē*- (f.) and is also used more vaguely to mean 'a couple' or 'a few' (cf. §10.7(6)).

'one or two lambs'  $xatr\bar{e}$ -bàrxe (A:15)

'seven or eight years' 'ešwā-tmane-šenne (B)

The number  $x\bar{a}$  or xa- can also be used to mark approximations, with the meaning 'about' or 'some', e.g.  $x\bar{a}$ ' 'about four or five loops.' (A:90),  $x\bar{a}$ - $xam\bar{s}\bar{a}\bar{s}er$ ' 'esri fèlse. 'some fifteen, twenty fils.' (A:148)

Another method is to use the preposition x- 'like', 'about', e.g. ' $e\theta w\bar{a}ban x$ -e $st\acute{a}$ 'emme  $x\dot{a}$ ' 'We had about 600 individuals' (A).

# **CHAPTER TEN**

# PARTICLES AND ADVERBS

## 10.1 Introduction

This chapter treats parts of speech that are not covered by the categories of pronoun, noun, adjective or verb. The items included here have many different functions but there are connections between them that justify including them in one chapter. The main categories are: *prepositions*, *adverbs*, *conjunctions*, *interjections* and *non-adjectival modifiers of nouns*.

## 10.2 Prepositions

Prepositions always precede the noun they govern. Some are prefixes, e.g. b- 'in' as in b-  $b\varepsilon\theta a$  'in the house'. Others are separate words, e.g. qam 'before' as in qam  $b\bar{a}beh$  'before his father', though these usually lack independent stress. Most prepositions may also be used with pronouns. They have a special form which takes the pronominal suffixes, e.g. menn- for m- 'from', as in menneh 'from him'.

There is some dialectal variety in the exact forms that the prepositions take, and some of this variety is also idiolectal, so that more than one variant may be used by Alqoshis, e.g.  $qam \sim qammed$ - 'before'.

Prepositions may sometimes be combined, e.g. m- $ba\theta er$  y arxa 'after a month' (A:113) (=  $ba\theta er$  y arxa). This may even happen with two suffixes, e.g. '-b-alqus' 'as in Algosh' (A).

Prepositions have a wide variety of meanings mainly covering location, direction and time. Some have both spatial and temporal meanings.

# 10.2.1 Prefixes and the genitive particle //d//

These prepositions consist of a single consonant which is prefixed to the noun. The form used with pronominal suffixes, given in the second column, is longer. In the case of *menn*-, it is the older, uncontracted form; in the case of  $g\bar{a}w$ - it is borrowed from another preposition with the same meaning.

```
l- 'ell- \not\sim -ll-* 'to', 'on to', 'about', 'by' (agent of passive, §6.27.2.1)

b- g\bar{a}w- (cf. go) 'in', 'at' (spatial and temporal), 'on', 'against'

m- menn- 'from', 'than'

x- xw\dot{g}\theta- 'like'
```

\* 'pell- often forms a stress group with the preceding word, in which case the two are linked by a hyphen. In such cases 'pell- usually has no stress and the stress on the preceding word is unaltered. The perceding word is usually elided and if the preceding word ends in a vowel then the perceding word is unaltered. The perceding word ends in a vowel then the perceding word ends in a vowel the perceding word ends

The genitive particle //d// 'of' may also be included here:

This particle is used to annex one noun to another in a genitive construction. In the prefix form given above, *d*-, it is attached to the second noun of the phrase, the nomen rectum, e.g. *'ilāna d-armòta'* 'pomegranate tree' (lit. 'tree of pomegranate') (A).

The more common allomorph of //d// is the suffix -ed, which is attached to the first noun in the phrase, the nomen regens, e.g. 'iland' 'pomegranate tree' (A) and gupted-'érwe 'sheep's cheese' (A:57). This morpheme replaces any final /a/ or /e/.

The prefixed form d- sometimes occurs in the same contexts, but mostly it occurs when the two nouns are separated by another word or in deliberate or hesitant speech, either instead of -ed or in addition to it:

```
hoyāwa dàrta' d-itāwa;' there was the courtyard, for sitting.(A:5)
<sup>2</sup>ĕðed-... d-lébbed-ìšo' □the festival of the quart of presuse (A:119)
<sup>2</sup>ĕða-wēwa d-lebbed-íšu 'it was the festival, that of the Heart of Jesus' (A:119)
```

It also occurs when the nomen rectum occurs independently of the nomen regens:

```
^{2}āy d-g\hat{u}r\bar{e}-la. 'That's (a game) for (lit. 'of') men' (A) tr\hat{e}' r\bar{e}se\hat{h} ... de-pr\hat{e}zla k\bar{a}w\epsilon, 'Two on it ... are of iron,' (A)
```

The genitive particle can sometimes be analysed equally as a suffix or prefix. When the head is a noun ending in /a/, a suffix replaces the /a/, while a prefix leaves it in place. The two are therefore distinguishable. Compare for instance yemmed-bab and yemmad-bab 'the mother of my father'. But where the suffix is realized only as a /d/ (or assimilated variant), leaving the ending in place, the two are indistinguishable unless there is a pause between the two nouns. The suffix is realized as a /d/ when the word ends in a vowel other than /a/:

```
mendit-qèsε-le OR mendi t-qèsε-le 'something wooden' (lit. 'a thing of wood') (A) māyet-xu tūna OR māye t-xu tūna 'Water under the straw' (lit. 'water of under the straw') (Pr:43)
m-nāšed-jēš ~ m-nāše d-jēš 'from the men of the army' (A:145)
```

In such cases the suffixed form will be the preferred analysis, as it is generally more common.

The forms of the prepositions given above are only the basic ones. All prefixes obey the rules of consonant assimilation, that is they regularly assimilate in voicing and emphasis (§1.5.1, §1.5.6), e.g. p-pàlga 'in the middle' (A:36), '-dedda 'like soot' (A) and [m- $r\bar{a}ze]$  'from the mass' (A:119). The l- prefix normally assimilates fully to a following rhotic, e.g. r- $r\bar{a}ze$  'to the mass' (A:120). The genitive particle //d//, whether a prefix or suffix, may undergo various types of assimilation. As well as the obligatory voicing and emphatic assimilation, it may optionally assimilate to sibilants /s/, /z/ and /s/ (§1.5.7), e.g. ' $aql\bar{a}\theta et$ - $sam\bar{a}se$  (B)  $\approx$  ' $aql\bar{a}\theta es$ - $sam\bar{a}se$  (B:25) 'the feet of the deacons', l-qemmet- $t\bar{u}ra$  'at the summit of a mountain' (C). Assimilation is written when phonemic, according to the rules in §1.6. The one exception is the full assimilation of b- to a nasal bilabial, such that it is identical to the m- prefix, e.g. [m]-madrassa 'in the school' or 'from the school'.

In this case the assimilation is not shown, so [m]-madrassa 'in the school' is written as b-madrassa (§1.6.ii).

All the prefixes take the epenthetic vowel /e/ before a consonant cluster (§3.2.3), e.g. le- $m\tilde{o}ita$  'to the town' and be- $\tilde{s}l\bar{a}ma$  'in peace'. The only exception to this rule occurs with infinitives where the first consonant of the consonant cluster is a bilabial. In such cases it may be elided altogether, e.g.  $wole\ p\theta\bar{a}xa$  'he is opening' (cf. §6.8.9).

The attested allomorphs of the prefixed prepositions that are transcribed are listed below. They involve full assimilation (i.e. realized the same as the following sound), unless otherwise indicated:

*l- r-*, *r-*

*b*- *p*- before unvoiced

*x*- '- before voiced

d- t- before unvoiced, t- before unvoiced emphatic, optionally s-, z-, š-, ṣ-, ḍ-

# 10.2.2 Independent and annexing prepositions

Independent prepositions consist of one or more syllables. Though more independent than the prefixes, which must be attached to a word, independent prepositions do not take independent stress. Annexing prepositions are those which end in the genitive suffix -ed-, e.g.  $g\bar{e}bed$ - 'chez'. To some extent they reflect the origin of many prepositions in nouns. For instance  $g\bar{e}bed$ - is cognate with Syriac gebb 'side'. But the genitive suffix is also found with prepositions which were prepositions in Syriac and not nouns, e.g. 'emmed-(Syr. 'am). In such cases it appears to have spread by analogy. ANA shows some variation in its use, e.g.  $xu \nsim xo\theta ed$ - 'under'. The genitive particle assimilates regularly to the following word (see §1.5.7).

Both independent and annexing prepositions may take prefixed prepositions, e.g. l- $g\bar{e}bi$  'to me', 'to my house'. Sometimes there is little or no change in meaning, e.g. qam and m-qam which both mean 'before'.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There are no examples as yet to show whether this also occurs with nouns.

Most independent or annexing prepositions can be combined with pronominal suffixes, but like the prefixed prepositions, they have a special stem for this purpose. In some cases there is only a slight change in the stem,  $r\bar{e}\dot{s}$ - $e\dot{h}$  'on him' for  $re\dot{s}$  'on'. In other cases, the suffixed stem shows bigger differences, e.g.  $t\bar{a}l$ - $e\dot{h}$  'for him' for ta 'for' and  $xo\theta$ - $e\dot{h}$  'under him' for xu 'under'.

```
tāl-
                                        'to', 'for' (humans and non-humans)
ta
go ≁ bgo
                        gāw- ≁ bgāw- 'in'
reš
                        rēš-
                                        'on (top of)'
men(d-)
                        menn- (cf. m-) 'from' (less common alternative to m-)
                        baθr-
                                        'after', 'behind'
(m-)baθer
barqul
                        barqūl-
                                        'opposite'
bina\theta
                        bin\bar{a}\theta-
                                        'between'
gēbed-
                        gēb-
                                        'chez'
gam ≁ gammed-
                        qām-
                                        'before' (spatial & temporal)
hel ≁ wel
                                        'until', 'up to'
sob
                                        'towards', 'near'
bahás
                                        'about'
m-badal
                        m-badl-
                                        'instead of'
                        xo\theta-
xu \nsim xo\theta ed-
                                        'under'
'emmed- ≁ mmed-*
                        'emm- ~ -mm-*'with'
'elled-
                                        'to' (rare alternative to l-)
                        (see l-)
dex d-
                                        'like'
·-deged-
                                        'like'
xaweðrāned-
                                        'in the vicinity of'
                        xaweðrān-
```

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;emm- behaves like 'ell- in §10.2.1), often forming a stress group with the preceding word in exactly the same manner, usually with elision of /e/, e.g. dārɛwa xmíra-mmeḥ-u 'they put yeast with it and' (A:32) and péšle mmaḥkòye-mmeḥ 'He started speaking with him' (A:99). The initial /e/ of 'emmed- is also elided following a word ending in a vowel, but as this form is attached to the following noun it will not be marked as attached to the preceding noun as well. Examples: 'aṛabā́ye mmet-qurðā́ye 'Arabs with Kurds' (A:124) and plétle mmet-kàrwan 'he set off with the caravan' (A:186).

The particle dla 'without', formed from d- 'of' and la 'not', forms a stress group with the following noun, normally with the stress on dla, e.g. dlà-lexma 'without bread' (A:162).

# 10.3 Adverbs and adverbial expressions

Adverbs modify verbs and adjectives and may be simple or complex in their structure. Many take prefixed prepositions, e.g.  $me-lt\dot{e}x$  'below' (lit. 'from below') (A:93). Some phrases also commonly serve the same function as adverbs, in which case they are referred to as adverbial expressions.

Adverbs and adverbial expressions fall into several main groups, listed below. They are: spatial adverbs, interrogative adverbs, adverbs of degree and quantity and temporal adverbs.

# 10.3.1 Spatial adverbs

## **Positions**

tāma

'here' ∂āxa 'there'

ľēl 'above', 'up(wards)'

ltēx 'below', 'down(wards)'

(l-)gawā́ye 'inside' 'outside' barāye

m-áð-bar m-ó-bar<sup>2</sup> 'from both directions' (lit. 'from this direction, from that

direction')

# **Directions**

'from here' m-āxa men d-āxa 'from here' l-āxa 'hither'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The word bar is a Kurdish loan (ber 'direction') and is also found as an element in the directions  $b\bar{a}r\bar{e}li$ 'North' and bártaxti 'South' (§7.9.3). It has also been borrowed as a separate word with Aramaic inflection: bāra, pl. -e.

'from there' m-tāma

l-tāma 'thither'

'to the left' ta čappe

'to the right' ta yamne

ta qāma ≁ l-qāma 'forward'

barqū́lux 'straight ahead', e.g. sí barqūlux 'Go straight ahead.'

ľēl 'up'

'down' ltēx

# 10.3.2 Interrogative adverbs

 $d\bar{e}x$ 

²εka\* 'where?', 'whither'

тєка\* 'whence?'

'when?' <sup>2</sup>iman 'how?'

'why?'  $q\bar{a}y$ 

go mā́- ≁ b-mā́-'with what?'

b-mā-'with what?'

ta mā ' ≁ l-mā-'why?' (lit. 'for what')

'on which day?' (<\*b-εma-yoma) b-έmoma

māqada ≁ māqad ≁ māqa ≁ mā-qadra 'how much', 'how long' (also used as a pronoun (§5.4) and modifier (§10.7(12))

\*When the copula is attached to  ${}^{2}\epsilon ka$ , a reduced stem  $k\varepsilon$ - is often used, e.g.  $k\varepsilon$ -la ( $\star$   ${}^{2}\epsilon k\varepsilon$ -la) 'where is she?', kε-wen (\* 'έkε-wen) 'where am I (m.)?'. The L-set suffixes may be attached to mεka as enclitics, e.g. mékāli (cf. §6.24).

## 10.3.3 Adverbs of degree and quantity

These adverbs modify adjectives or verbs. They are placed before an adjective, e.g. *kabíra 'atèqtε-la*' 'it is very old'.

*kabira* 'very'; (modifying verb)'a lot' (Arab. *kabīr* 'big')

kulleš 'very' (I.A.)

*be-'ðá-ga* 'extremely'

xá-qeṣṣa ≁ xaqṣa 'a little'

*p-kabira* 'very'

The adverb *kabira* is also used with verbs to mean 'very much', e.g. *zdéli kabìra*. 'I was very afraid' (lit. 'I feared greatly') (A) and *kabíra pṣéxli* 'I am very happy' (lit. 'I became happy very much') (PP:41). A similar use is found with *māqada* (*→ māqad → māqa → mā-qadra*) 'how much!', 'so much', e.g. *mā-qad mjuréble de-mdābēre b-dubāṛe ṭāwe!*' 'How hard he tried to bring him up in good practices!' (D:2), which could also be translated as 'He tried so hard ...' The adverb *p-kabira* is used to modify comparative adjectives, e.g. 'an *d-béš-raḥūqe ménnaḥ p-kabìra* 'those much further away from it' (C:7).

# 10.3.4 Temporal adverbs

This is the largest category of adverbs.

# 1) Relative time

 $daha \nsim d\bar{a}$  'now', 'just (now)'

qám-mennaḥ 'beforehand'

*báθer-mennah* 'afterwards'

 $ba\theta \acute{e}r$ -dex 'then', 'afterwards', 'soon'

*baθer xá-bɛna* 'after a while'

 $qam \varepsilon \theta a$  'previously', 'in the past'

ba<sup>c</sup>den 'then', 'afterwards'

# 2) Time relative to today

Many of the following expressions are contractions and some also preserve the old Aramaic Absolute form of the noun, without the -a ending. Idioms involving 'year' and

'day' are especially prone to this. For instance, the yu in 'edyu' today' is from yom, the absolute form of yoma 'day'. Likewise, ' $a\check{c}at$  'this year' is from ' $a\theta$ - plus  $\check{s}at$ , the absolute form of  $\check{s}\bar{a}ta$  'year'. Another contraction is 'omaxenna is from ' $\acute{o}$ -yoma  $x\grave{e}nna$  (lit. 'the other day').

Some expressions involve verbs attached by the relative particle, e.g.  $\check{s}ap\theta et$ -fetla 'last week' (lit. 'the week that passed').

<sup>2</sup> edyu	'today'
temmal	'yesterday'
şapṛa	'tomorrow'
<sup>2</sup> omaxenna	'the day before yesterday', 'the day after tomorrow'
šapθet-fétla	'last week'
yarxet-fétla	'last month'
šetqe <sup>3</sup>	'last year'
<sup>2</sup> áθ-šapθa	'this week'
'áθ-yarxa	'this month'
<sup>2</sup> ačat	'this year'

There are three ways of expressing 'next' as in 'next week'. One is a stress group with *xenna* (f. *xerta*), e.g.  $\check{s}ap\theta \acute{a}$ -*xerta* 'next week' (lit. 'other week'),  $yarx\acute{a}$ -*xenna* 'next month'. The other two are with verbs, e.g.  $\check{s}ap\theta ed$ - $\acute{a}\theta ya$  'next week' (lit. 'week to come') and  $\check{s}ap\theta et$ -qalbex (lit. 'week we turn over').

For 'next year' Informant A gave *šētá-xerta*, which is from another dialect (*šāta* being the ANA word for year) but is used in Alqosh.

For expressions like 'a week today', the idiom *tmanya x-* 'eight like ...' is used. This is because a week later is eight days including the point of reference:

tmanya x-'edyu 'a week today'

tmanya x-temmal 'a week yesterday'

tmanya x-ṣapṛa 'a week tomorrow'

# 3) Frequency

*čú-ga*  $\sim$  *čú-gāθa* 'never'

gāga 'sometimes'

har 'always' (see also below)

kún-naqla 'always' (<\*kúd-naqla)

*'ákθar-mendi* 'mostly'

'ákθar-ši 'mostly' (Arab.)

kudyum'every day'kučat'every year'kút-šap $\theta$ a'every week'

# 4) Times of day

mxuška 'in the morning'

*p-palgédyum* ≁ *p-palged-yóma* 'at midday'

*baθer palgédyum* 'in the afternoon'

*b-cāṣerta* 'in the late afternoon or early evening'

*b-burmāše* 'in the (late) evening'

b-lɛle 'at night'

## 5) Miscellaneous

*'ega* 'then'

'έ-naqla 'then'

*xá-yoma* 'one day'

*heš* ≁ *š*- 'still'

 $he\check{s} \nsim \check{s}$ - + NEG 'not yet'

lappeš 'no longer' (cf. §6.22)

wel daha ≁ weddā ′ ≁ hel daha 'still'

ba<sup>c</sup>ad 'still' (I.A.)

*m-iman* 'for ages' (i.e. 'since a long time ago', = Arab. *min zamān*)

'ðá-gāθa ~ 'ðá-ga 'once'

 $g\dot{a}$ -xerta 'once again'

*xá-yoma* 'one day'

p- $xar\theta a$  'finally', 'recently'

b-έ-xarθa 'finally'

p-xaray $\bar{u}\theta a$  'at the end'

'áwwal-mendi 'firstly'

 $g\bar{a} \ qam \epsilon \theta a$  'for the first time'

'ella 'otherwise' (<\*'en la, cf. also §10.4.4)

NEG + 'ella 'only', e.g.  $l \dot{a} \theta w \bar{a} l \epsilon$  'élla ' $\dot{\delta} \dot{a}$ ' taḥtìya présta.' 'they only

had a felt cloth spread out.' (A:19)

For expressions involving gaha  $\sim g\bar{a}$  'time' (e.g. 'three times', 'the first time' etc.), cf. §9.4.

## 10.3.5 Adverbs of manner

Most adverbs are formed from  $b - \not\sim go$  with a noun or verbal noun. In many cases it is with an abstract noun ending in  $-\bar{u}\theta a$ , but other nouns may be used.

p- $xurt\bar{u}\theta a$  'with difficulty'

p-qe $\check{s}y\bar{u}\theta a$  'harshly'

go besm $\bar{u}\theta a$  'nicely'

 $b-\dot{a}\theta-\dot{h}\bar{a}l$  'in this way'

A few adverbs of manner are not formed on this pattern:

hādax ( ≁ 'ādax) 'thus'

 $b-\dot{e}\dot{\partial}\bar{a}\dot{\partial}e$  'together' (for ' $\dot{e}\dot{\partial}\bar{a}\dot{\partial}e$  cf. §5.7)

There are some expressions that involve reduplication:

hēdi-hēdi 'slowly' (I.A.)

qeṣṣá-qeṣṣa 'little by little', 'slowly'

The stress pattern of the latter is discussed in  $\S4.3.2.2$ . The former may also take this stress pattern:  $h\bar{e}di$ - $h\bar{e}di$ . Other expressions with the same stress pattern have adjectival meaning (cf.  $\S8.4$ ).

## 10.4 Conjunctions

Conjunctions link individual words or clauses. They may join two independent clauses or link a subordinate clause to a main clause. Many are derived from Arabic or Kurdish.

## 10.4.1 The relative particle

The relative particle //d// 'who, which' does not inflect for gender, animacy or number. In form it is identical to the genitive and complementizer particles (§10.2.1, §10.4.3). The most common allomorph is a prefix d-. Before a single consonant it usually assimilates in the same way as the genitive and complementizer particles.<sup>3</sup> Before a consonant cluster it takes the form de-. This is also occasionally found before a single consonant.

The suffix is usually attached to verbs or pseudo-verbs but may be attached to other parts of speech. The role of the referent of the head noun in the relative clause may be as the subject or it may be as the direct, indirect or prepositional object. The referent is resumed, whether in a verbal inflection or on a preposition.

```
d-ile kélya 'who is standing' (B)

de-kšáqli 'who take' (B:60)

t-kèbet 'which you want' (A:201)

θ-θēle 'who came' (B:5)

de-kūδíle kùl-nāše 'which all people do' (B)

d-béš-nāše kēδíle 'which the most people know of' (A)
```

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I.e. obligatorily in voicing and emphasis. Optional full assimilation is attested in the case of  $\theta$  (see examples).

As with the genitive there is also a suffixed form *-ed* attached to the head noun. This also assimilates regularly:<sup>4</sup>

```
šabθet-fétla 'last week' (lit. 'the week which passed')
'ilāned-lá-kyāwel pēre 'The tree that yields no fruit (Pr:10)

pāyéšwa ... ránget-kebὲwa 'it became the colour that they wanted' (A)
```

The relative particle, like the genitive particle, may often be analysed equally as a suffix or prefix. This ambiguity occurs when the head ends in a vowel other than /a/. It also happens after ma 'what', which does not elide the /a/.

```
méndi t-kèbet OR méndit-kèbet 'whatever you want' (A:201) 'o d-'étte OR 'od-'étte 'He who has' (Pr:12) ma de-ktáxren OR mad-ktaxren 'what I remember' (A:223)
```

In such cases the prefixed form will be preferred.

# 10.4.2 Conjunctions co-ordinating words and independent clauses

The most common co-ordinator is  $\dot{\nu}u$  'and'. This is often suffixed to the previous word, leaving the stress unchanged (§4.3.2.1(3)), e.g.  $\dot{s}\dot{\epsilon}na-u$   $\dot{s}l\dot{a}ma$  'peace and security' (A:2),  $m\ddot{a}ye-u$   $m\dot{e}lxa$  'water and salt' (A:68),  $t\bar{u}ma-u$   $b\dot{e}sle$  'garlic and onions' (A:111).

When affixed to a word ending in /e/, the /e/ is usually deleted because of the rule that \*/ew/  $\rightarrow$  / $\bar{u}$ /  $\sim$  /u/, e.g.  $xmi^2e$ -u [ $xmi^2u$ ] 'leavened and' (A:34),  $sard\bar{a}be$ -u [ $sard\bar{a}bu$ ] 'cellars and' (A:27),  $f\bar{e}re$ -u [ $f\bar{e}ruf\bar{e}ru$ ] 'he flew and flew' (A:161). A final /u/ is also deleted, e.g.  $k\bar{a}lu$ -u  $xe\theta$ na [ $k\bar{a}luxe\theta$ na] 'the bride and groom' (B). The deleted vowel is nevertheless written, as can be seen in the examples above. When affixed to a word ending in /a/, the resulting /aw/ is often monophthongized to /o/ (§2.5.2), but again this is not indicated in the transcription, e.g.  $l\bar{e}red$ - $d\bar{e}wa$ -u  $s\bar{e}ma$  [ $l\bar{e}redd\bar{e}wos\bar{e}ma$ ] (A:220). Before a word beginning in a laryngeal (r/) or /h/), the laryngeal is sometimes elided and

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Also fully to /z/ in '\'\'\'\'emez-z\'aw\'ale' 'whoever went' (A:125).

the  $\dot{}$  u realized as w-, e.g. w- $\dot{a}$ yet ( $<\dot{}$  u  $\dot{}$  ayet) 'and you' (A:183), w-en ( $\dot{}$  u  $\dot{}$  en) 'and if' (A:39), w- $\dot{a}$ m ( $<\dot{}$  u + ham) 'and also' (B:27).

The conjugation fa is used as in Arabic, mostly introducing a clause elaborating on what has just been said.

$$u \nsim -u (\sim w-)$$
 'and'  
fa 'so', 'for', 'you see'

There are three words used to indicate alternatives and they are used in a similar manner:

The latter two of these are borrowed. The particle 'aw 'or' is found in Syriac, but according to the rules it should be realized in ANA as 'o (cf. §2.5.2). The diphthong may have been preserved to prevent confusion with the deictic pronoun 'o- or under the influence of Arabic 'aw 'or'. Alternatively original Aramaic 'aw was lost and this is simply a borrowing of the Arabic word.

Also borrowed from (colloquial) Arabic:

Some particles may be repeated with a special meaning:

## 10.4.3 Complementizers

The particle d- introduces a verbal complement in the Qatlen form after verbs such as  $b^{2}y$ 'to want',  $\check{s}wq$  'to allow', qbl 'to accept, allow' and  $y\check{\partial}$ ' 'to know how to' as well as pseudo-verbs such as 'ib- 'to be able' (§6.20.3).

```
kében d-máḥken l-ēðawā\theta a. 'I'd like to speak about the festivals.' (A)
šwóqlan t-xázexlux gà-xerta. 'Let us see you again.' (PP:27)
yémmi là-qablāwa d-oðawa 'an-šule - ' 'my mother did not agree to her doing
these things –' (A:119)
lá-kyāðe d-máḥke sūraθ.' 'He doesn't know how to speak Surath.' (A)
'ibe x\bar{a}' t-p\bar{a}' \hat{s}et-u \hat{s} \hat{a}qet' 'One can reach out and take ...' (C:19)
```

This particle may also introduce a factual complement, usually a verb or pseudo-verb in the indicative.

```
\check{s}\check{m}\dot{\bar{e}}\check{l}e^{\dagger}d-ile bróneh b-\varepsilon-m\check{o}ita. 'he heard that his son was in that town.' (D:7)
pşéxli t-kem'ēðènnux.' 'I am happy that I got to know you.' (PP:39)
ránda d-mu\theta \dot{e}lux<sup>5</sup> 'án\epsilon-xammé\dot{s}-armóne '(it is) good that you brought those five
pomegranates,' (A:217)
```

In this function the complementizer is often optional and frequently omitted:

```
kx\acute{a}šwen 'étte b\grave{e}\theta a.' 'I think he has a house' (A)
```

An Arabic complementizer, 'ennu, is also attested:

našwāθa kút-xa' kāwε pṣìxe' 'ennu yāléy šgélle gurbāna gā gamèθa.' 'the relatives, every one, are happy that their child has taken communion for the first time.' (B:58)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In the original, which was spoken quite fast, the *d*- was inaudible or possible realized as an /n/. Repeated later, the *d*- was consistently pronounced.

# 10.4.4 Conjunctions introducing an adverbial clause

Many conjunctions used to introduce adverbial clauses involve the complementizer //d//, whether as part of the conjunction itself or attached to the following verb. Some are based on a preposition, e.g. *m-qammed* 'before', from (*m-*)qam 'before', and ta d- 'so that', from ta 'for'. An interrogative adverb 'iman 'when' is used as the basis in 'iman d- 'whenever'.

```
kud
                         'when'
iman d-
                         'when', 'whenever'
                         'if'
<sup>5</sup>en
'en la- ≁'ella
                         'if ... not', 'unless'
d\bar{a}n (< d\bar{a} 'en)
                         'now if'
                         'before'
(m-)qammed-
(m-)qamma d-
                         'before'
báθer-ma d-
                         'after'
hásab-ma
                         'depending on what' (Arab.)
·εr m-
                         'apart from' (Arab.)
dex d-
                         'as'
hel\ d-\nsim wel\ d-^6
                         'until'
ta d-
                         'so that'
ta xāter d-
                         'in order that' (Arab. xātir)
dla- (normally dlá-)
                         'lest', 'without ...-ing'
dlá-kun
                         'lest'
```

The causal conjunction, borrowed from Arabic, has various forms. The first two given below are colloquial forms. The third is the classical Arabic form. In all the forms the /i/ and the // of the source words are elided.

lan (I.A. li<sup>2</sup>an) ≁ lannu<sup>7</sup> ≁ lánnahu 'because' (Classical Arab. li<sup>2</sup>annahu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This may be pronounced as [wed] d-, due to assimilation.

# 10.5 Interjections

There are a number of interjections, all of them monosyllabic:

```
'o' 'oh!'

hā 'hey!'

wo 'O', 'hey!' (calling to someone)

yā 'O' (addressing someone) (Arab.)

'i (expression of impatience)

wāya 'Woe!', e.g. wāya 'ellux! 'Woe to you!'
```

When wo is used with a name, then the name is stressed on its final syllable (§4.2.5.1).

## 10.6 Miscellaneous particles

The particles in this section do not fit into a particular group. Some express the attitude of the speaker to the situation described.

```
'āxer 'in the end', 'after all' (Arab.)

hár-dex t-āwe 'anyway', 'in any case' (lit. 'just as it may be')

'ella 'lo and behold!' (used with the deictic copula)
```

The following particles are usually attached to a word in a stress group, the particle taking the stress, e.g. *hám-āna* 'I too'. They may be attached to verbs, nominals and other parts of speech.

```
har- 'just'ham- 'also'bas- 'only', 'just', 'instead' (with noun or verbs, also see conjunctions)
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This is derived from *li²an* with the 3ms. pronominal suffix (*li²annu*). This is not the form found in Baghdadi Arabic (which is *li²anna*) but is similar to the form found in Syrian Arabic and is probably borrowed from a northern Mesopotamian dialect of Arabic as would be expected.

The negator  $l\bar{a}$ - is found with nouns, adjectives and adverbs. It usually forms a stress group, with  $l\bar{a}$ - taking the stress:

```
lā-'enše,' 'not women' (A)
lā-xoš-nāša 'not a nice person' (A)
šūle lā-ṭāwe 'things (that are) not good' (B)
lā-har-b-āði 'not only with this one' (A)
```

The particle *la*-negates verbs (cf. §6.9).

The comparative particle *beš*- (~*bez*-~*bes*-) is found mostly with adjectives (§8.5) but also with other parts of speech. It also normally takes the stress in a stress group:

```
béš-²atira m-kùl-... nāšed-mấθa.¹ 'richer than ALL the people of the village. '
(A:221)

d-béš-nāše kēðíle 'which the most people know of' (A)

b-áθ-oḍa béš-iθen tàxte¹ men d-έ-²oḍa xèrta 'In this room there are more seats than in the other room' (A)
```

With *kabira* 'many' and *qeṣṣa* 'few', it has special meanings:

```
béš-kabira 'more béš-qeṣṣa 'less'
```

The above are used for uncountable nouns or to modify verbs. For plural nouns the following are used:

```
béš-kabire 'more'
béš-qeṣṣe 'less'
```

These terms can be used independently or as modifiers of nouns.

# 10.7 Quantifiers and other non-adjectival modifiers of nouns and pronouns

This group covers modifiers of nouns and pronouns that are not covered in the chapter on Adjectives (§8). Prototypical adjectives are noun-modifiers that express quality, post-pose the noun and are inflected for number and gender. Most modifiers listed here have none of these properties: they mostly express quantity, precede the noun and are uninflected. There are some words which fall between these two extremes, having properties of both. These are listed here or in §8 according to their meaning. Thus uninflected *xoš*- 'good' as in *xóš-nāša* 'good person' is listed in §8.

Some of the pronouns described in §5 may also serve as modifiers of nouns. These include the attached deictic pronouns (§5.3), as well as the interrogatives  $m\bar{a}$ -'what', ' $\epsilon ma$  'which',  $\epsilon ma$  'how many' and  $m\bar{a}$ - $\epsilon qadra$  'how many, how much' (§5.4). They will only be listed here where they have an additional meaning restricted to their modifying function. Numerals are also noun-modifiers but are treated separately in §9.

Some uninflected modifiers are commonly attached to the words  $n\bar{a}sa$  'person' and *mendi* 'thing' to form indefinite pronouns (§5.8), e.g.  $x\acute{a}-n\bar{a}s\acute{a}$  'someone' and  $\check{c}\acute{u}-mendi$  'anything', 'nothing'.

Uninflected modifiers are divided into two groups. Some form a stress group with the noun. The modifier usually takes the stress but the noun may take the stress if it is being emphasized in some way. Others modifiers are independent words, in some cases nouns themselves. The modifiers are listed here with notes on their use below.

#### Attached

- (1) *kul-* (*kull-*) 'all'
- (2)  $terwa\theta n$   $\nsim terw$   $\nsim tr\bar{a}w$  'both of'
- (3) *kud* 'every'
- (4)  $\check{c}u$  'any', 'no'
- (5) flān- 'such and such'
- (6) xatrē-(m.), xattē-(f.) 'one or two', 'a couple of'
- (7) *kma* 'many'

This group also includes the indefinite article which, unlike the others, is inflected for gender:

(8) 
$$xa$$
- (m.),  $\eth a$ - (f.) 'a', 'one'

# **Independent**

- (9)  $x\bar{a}^{\flat}$  (m.),  $\partial \bar{a}^{\flat}$  (f.) 'a certain'
- (10) xá-qeṣṣa ≁ xaqṣa 'a little', 'a few'
- (11) *xakma* 'some'
- (12) māgada ≁ māgad ≁ māga ≁ mā-gadra 'so many', 'so much'
- (13) 'ðá-dunye 'masses of' (lit. 'a world of')
- (14) *kabire* 'many'
- (15) xá-/ ðá-camal 'a sort of' (often pejorative)

### Notes on use

The following all precede the noun, unless otherwise indicated.

(1) kul-: This may be used with nouns or pronominal suffixes. A special stem kull- is found with suffixes, e.g. kulleh 'all of it' and kulle (<\*kulléy) 'all of them'. It may be used with singular or plural nouns, e.g. go kul-'eraq 'in all of Iraq' (B:59), p-kul-dukkane 'in all the places' (A:22). It may also take suffixes agreeing with the gender and number of the noun, e.g. kullah šata 'all (of the) year' (B), kulle xayeh 'all his life' (A:222).

(2)  $terwa\theta n$ -  $\sim terw$ -  $\sim tr\bar{a}w$ -: All three variants are attested or elicited. It is not clear whether they are free variants or there is some distinction between them. They are not attached directly to nouns, only to plural pronominal suffixes.

 $terwa\theta n\varepsilon$  'both of them'  $terw\varepsilon$  'both of them'  $tr\bar{a}w\varepsilon$  'both of them'

These forms may modify a noun, e.g.  $tr\hat{a}w\epsilon \sqrt[3]{g}li + t\hat{e}rw\epsilon \sqrt[3]{g}li$  'both of the boys' (A).

(3) *kud*-: This is only used with singular nouns. It assimilates regularly, also sporadically to /n/, e.g. *kún-naqla* 'always' (lit. 'every time') (A). It normally takes the stress.

(4)  $\check{c}u$ : This normally takes the stress. It is attested in the following expressions:

 $\check{c}\check{u}$ -mendi'anything', 'nothing' $\check{c}\check{u}$ -nāša'anyone', 'no-one' $\check{c}\check{u}$ -duk $\theta$ a'anywhere', 'nowhere'

 $\check{c}\check{u}$ - $ga \nsim \check{c}\check{u}$ - $g\bar{a}\theta a$  'never'

It is mostly found with a negative verb, e.g. *lá-kūðennux čù-mendi* 'I won't do anything to you' (A:108), but has negative force even on its own, e.g. *beš-ţó' m-čù-mendi* 'better than nothing' (A). In this it resembles French *rien* 'anything', 'nothing'.

(5) flān-: This is attested with only two words, mendi 'thing' and yoma 'day':

flā́n-mendi 'such-and-such a thing' flā́n-yoma 'such-and-such a day'

(6)  $xatr\bar{e}$ -(m.),  $xatt\bar{e}$ - (f.): These are derived respectively from xa- +  $tr\bar{e}$ - (m.) and ' $\delta a$ - +  $tett\bar{e}$ - (f.) 'one (or) two' (cf. §9.7). They sometimes take the main stress. Examples:  $xatr\bar{e}$ - barxe-u1' 'one or two lambs' (A:15),  $xatr\bar{e}$ - $yum\bar{a}\theta a$ 1' 'one or two days,' (A:145),  $xatt\bar{e}$ -armóne-u1' 'a couple of pomegranates' (A:209).

(7) *kma*-: This is used with plural nouns, e.g. *kmà-šenne* 'many years' (A). It normally takes the stress.

(8) xa- (m.), ' $\partial a$ - (f.): The indefinite article is a common modifier, although many indefinite nouns are unmarked. It is also used as the numeral 'one' (§9.1.1). It normally takes the stress. Examples:  $x\acute{a}$ - $kappo\theta a$  'a sandwich' (A:121),  $x\acute{a}$ - $s\acute{i}v\bar{a}na$  'a shepherd' (A:161),  $x\acute{a}$ - $y\bar{a}la$  'a young man' (B:40), ' $\partial \acute{a}$ - $tap\check{s}\grave{e}k\theta a$  'a flat-stone' (A:70), le-' $\partial \grave{a}$ - $m\check{o}ita$  'to a town' (D:7).

(9)  $x\bar{a}^{\flat}$  (m.), ' $\partial\bar{a}^{\flat}$  (f.): This is the independent form of the indefinite article/numeral 'one'. Before a noun it means 'a certain' and may be used to introduce a new character who is going to be of importance in the narrative, e.g. ' $e\theta wa \ x\bar{a}^{\flat} \ mraq\bar{a}net$ - $p\bar{e}l\bar{a}ve$ .' 'There was a certain cobbler.' (A:180). It is also used with numbers to indicate an approximation (§9.7).

(10)  $x\acute{a}$ - $qessa \nsim xaqsa$ : The second form is a contraction of the first. The noun qessa 'few' is from Turkish. Example:  $x\acute{a}$ - $qessa š\acute{e}kar$  'a little sugar' (A).

(11) xakma: This is derived from xa- 'one' + kma '(how) many'. Examples:  $x\acute{a}kma$   $bah\bar{a}r\grave{a}t$  'some  $bahar\bar{a}t$ ' (A:32),  $x\acute{a}kma$   $f\grave{e}lse^l$  'some fils' (A:148).

(12)  $m\ddot{a}qada \nsim m\ddot{a}qad \nsim m\ddot{a}qa \nsim m\ddot{a}-qadra$ : This is used with both singular and plural nouns.  $m\ddot{a}-qadra$  ...  $\ddot{s}arr\ddot{a}\theta a$  'so many battles' (A:124),  $m\ddot{a}-qadra$   $q\grave{a}mxa$  'so much flour' (A),  $m\ddot{a}-qadra$   $m\ddot{a}ye$  'so much water (pl.)'.

(13) 'ðá-dunye: This precedes the noun. It is used of very large amounts, e.g. 'ðà-dunye māye 'masses of water' (A).

(14) *kabire*: In form this is the plural of *kabira* 'very' (Arab. *kabīr* 'big'). It may precede or postpose the noun, e.g. *kabíre* 'alqušnā́ye 'many Alqoshis' (A:95), nā́še kabíre 'Many people' (B:32).

(15)  $x\acute{a}$ - $'\check{b}\acute{a}$ -'amal: Like zarra 'enormous' (§8.3(21)) this is combined with an indefinite article that agrees with the gender of the noun modified, e.g.  $x\acute{a}$ -'amal  $\dot{h}\grave{e}zza$  'a sort of notch' (A),  $\check{b}\acute{a}$ -'amal  $\dot{b}\grave{a}xta$  'some sort of woman' (A),  $x\acute{a}$ -'amal  $m\grave{e}ndi$  'some sort of thing' (A). This modifier is derived from Arabic 'amal 'work'.

<sup>8</sup> The modern Turkish form is kisa, but in Ottoman Turkish it was written with the letter  $q\bar{a}f$ :  $\pm u^3$  ( qysa).

<sup>9</sup> This word is recorded by Maclean (1901: 241) for the dialects of the Mosul plain with the meaning 'a kind, sort', e.g.  $xa^{c}\hat{a}m\hat{a}l$  jerð $\hat{a}$  'a sort of rat'.

317

# **TEXTS**

# **INFORMANT A**

#### TRADITIONAL HOUSES

- (1) bɛθawā 'θa b-'àlquš,' bɛθawā 'θa 'atìqe,' 'an d-ílɛ 'atìqe:' (2) bèθa,' kēðūtun ... lá-wāwa šéna-u šlāma. 11 zdòθa-wāwa. 11 'zéθwa hjāma,' 'zeθwa nhāba-u slāba. 21 (3) bɛθawā 'θa kúllɛ 'zoðíwālɛ 'lòye.' ya'ni ... dāÿer-ma-dāyered-béθa hāwēwa 'lòya 1 kabìra 'loya.'
- (4) 'u dàrga, 'táred-barāye, dàrga, 'd-'amrìwale, 'hāwēwa xlíma t-qèsa.' (5) 'u kud paθxétwa 'áθ-ṭaṛa 'orètwa, 'hoyāwa dàrta' d-itāwa; 'yatwíwa 'axlìwa.' (6) 'āy go qèṭa d-amrex.' 'āni b-yumā 'θι t-āwε' bassìme.'
- (7) men dàrta¹ ²éθwa ... ²amríwalɛ ²oḍáθa yan kučèkat.¹ (8) kučéke ġðāʾ -ila, yaʻni,¹ ²òḍa.¹ (9) ²u ²eθwālɛ ġðāʾ ... kučéke lo tèttē².¹ ²āġ l'ēl.¹ (10) r-rēšéy ²eθwa gāre.¹ p-qéṭa damxìwa¹ lo dārɛwa dábṛɛy, xèṭṭe,¹ ṣāre,¹ mà d-eθwālɛ;⁴¹ dāréwāla l-garawāθa.¹ (11) ²āy ... daṛawāθa yasqíwalɛ ta gāre men ... dàrta.¹ (12) ²u ṭaṛawāθed-oḍāθa ... hāwɛwa yaʻni¹ ... kud-²óda-u ṭara dìyah.¹
- (13) <sup>2</sup>u xόθed- ... d-áð-bɛθa hāwēwa ... <sup>2</sup>amríwale bikāre. (14) <sup>2</sup>āði dāréwa kθayaθèy lo ḥɛwāne d-eθwālɛ, qenyāne; xmāra-wēwa, tawèrta hoyāwa, bàrxe. (15) <sup>2</sup>o d-éθwāle ... méndi qèṣṣa ya<sup>c</sup>ni: ġðā tettē-torāθa-u ṭèllaθ, lo xámša <sup>2</sup>eštā-barxe;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corrected from: *lá-wāwa dúnye ... 'amān.' láθwa ...* 'Life was not ... security. There was no ...'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Arabic derivations *nahb* and *salb* often occur together in this way: *nahbun wa salbun* 'looting and pillaging'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The subjunctive is used here rather than t- $k\bar{a}w\varepsilon$  bassime. It is perhaps because the fine weather is hypothetical.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Corrected from:  $m\hat{a}$ - $^{2}e\theta w\bar{a}l\varepsilon$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Or *hāwēwa*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Corrected from: *xámši 'eštì-barxe*.

# **INFORMANT A**

#### TRADITIONAL HOUSES

- (1) Houses in Alqosh, the old houses, those which are old: (2) A house, you know ... there wasn't peace and security. There was fear. There was raiding, there was looting and pillaging. (3) The houses, all of them, they made high. I mean, all around the house it was high, very high.
- (4) And the *darga* {front door}, the outside door, the *darga*, as they called it, it was of thick wood.<sup>1</sup> (5) And when you opened the door and went through, there was the courtyard, for sitting; they used to sit and eat. (6) That was in the summer, I'd say.<sup>2</sup> These things were on the days which were fine.
- (7) Off the courtyard there were ... (what) were called  ${}^{2}oda\theta a$  {rooms} or  $ku\check{c}ekat$  {rooms}. (8)  $Ku\check{c}eke$  {room} is one, I mean, an  ${}^{2}oda$  {room}. (9) And they had one ... room or two. This is upstairs. (10) On top of them there was a roof. In summer they used to sleep (there) or put their provisions: wheat, barley, whatever they had; they put them on the roofs. (11) This ... staircase they ascended to the roof from the courtyard. (12) And room doors ... there were, I mean ... Every room and its door.
- (13) And under this house, there was ... (what) was called a *bikare* {stable}. (14) This was where they put<sup>3</sup> their chickens or the animals which they had, livestock; there was a donkey, there was a cow, lambs. (15) He who had few, I mean two cows or three, or five or six lambs; there was one donkey, one cow or two, or one or two lambs, and chickens. (16) All of them went down into the *sardab* {cellar}, which we call *bikare*; *sardab* is in Arabic.<sup>4</sup> We call it *bikare*. (17) And there, in the *bikāre* there were

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Literally: thick of wood.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Literally: we'd say.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Literally: This they put...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In fact they appear to have different uses. A *sardab* (Arab.  $sird\bar{a}b$ ) is a cellar used for storing foods, while a  $bik\bar{a}re$  is a ground floor space used for keeping animals.

xà-xmāra hāwēwa, ġðá-tawerta tettē<sup>3</sup> ló xatrē-bàrxe-u' kθayāθa.' (16) kúllɛ naxθíwa l-sàrdab,' de-kemrexle bikāre;' sardab b-ʿarabi-la.' kemréxle bikāre.' (17) ²u tāma ... b-bikāre hāwē @nwa² dàbṛe: xéṭṭe dārɛ́wālɛ go AbarāmìlA8 hāwɛ́wa¹ lo p-sànde;' (18) dārɛwa gùpta-u' ṭárped- ... mawēsíwa ṭárped- ... d-ʾēnwiθa, 91 yaprāġe,' ²u tūma-u bèṣle ...' ²ānɛ d-dārɛwalɛ ltēx.' go bikāre.'

(19) 'u 'oḍā 'a hāwɛwa pšìṭe;' láθwālɛ ... ('ella) ġðā' taḥtíya ... prèsta.' 'āġ go sétwa parsìwāla,' lánnahu qàrθa.' (20) 'u kāwēθen¹0 mànqal,' d-mašxồne.¹¹ 'u ... 'āy.' 'eθwa maṭrāḥe-u laḥēfe ṣípe ta dmāxa.' (21) 'āġ mmaḥkóye l-álquš 'atèqta,' yumāθa qamāġe 'atìqe.' t-qámmed- ... raxšíwa ta qāma d-amrex.'

(22) 'u dáha bāted-àlquš' ... yá'ni mṭuwềṛɛ;' péšlɛ ġ-bāte dex d-ílɛ p-kùl-dukkāne,' b-báġdad-u mòṣel-u ...' (23) bèθa 'u ...' 'ibe bestāna, Aḥadīqa -u' Amàtxal -u' m-āy (?) bdòret-u (?)<sup>12</sup>, Ahoḍāt -u' 'ibe 'itāwa-u Asteqbāl -u Aṭa'ām ; dex d-an-šūle.' (24) 'u kuðilɛ trề-qāṭe-u ...' mennéy ṭlầθa-u ...' yá'ni bɛθawāθa,' men d-ầni.' d-ílɛ be-mðināθa, d-amrex.'

(25) bas 'āni t-qaméθa, xá-mendi xènna-wɛwa.' (26) yumāθed- ... ya'ni qamāye, <sup>131</sup> qam ... 'álpa-u teššā-'emma-u 'èšti-u,' 'álpa-u teššā-'emma-u ... d-amrex-u 'èšti,' 'ánɛ-yumāθa: 'àdax-wɛwa,' bāted- ... b-àlquš.' (27) dex de-wenwa mmāra:' kúllɛ bikarwāθa-u' torāθa-u' sardābe-u' ... 'āni.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Corrected from:  $h\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta en$ . Or could be corrected to  $k\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta en$  'there are'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In retrospect A gives *hugge* as more correct.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Should be *tarped-daliθa* 'vineleaves', not *tarped-'enwiθa* 'grapeleaves'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Corrected by A from  $h\bar{a}w\bar{e}\theta en$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Corrected from *mašxone*, without d-.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> This phrase is unclear even to the speaker but this is a possible interpretation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Should be  $vum\bar{a}\theta a \ aam\bar{a}ve$ .

provisions: wheat they put in - <sup>A</sup>barrels<sup>A</sup>, they were, or in pots. (18) They put cheese and leaves of – they dried grape leaves, stuffed vine-leaves, and garlic and onions ... those they put below. In the *bikāre*.

- (19) And the houses were simple; they only had a ... felt cloth ... spread out. This they spread out in winter, because it was cold. (20) And there is a brazier, for heating. And ... so on. There were mattresses and bedcovers stacked up for sleeping. (21) This is talking about the old Alqosh, the former, old days. From before<sup>5</sup> they 'progressed', as we say.
- (22) And now the houses of Alqosh, I mean, they developed; they became like houses as they are in all the places, in Baghdad and Mosul: (23) A house ... and it has a garden, a <sup>A</sup>garden and an <sup>A</sup>entrance from this you enter and <sup>A</sup>halls and it has a <sup>A</sup>reception room and a <sup>A</sup>dining room; things like these. (24) And they make two floors and some of them three ... I mean, houses, such as these. The ones which are in the towns, we would say.
- (25) But the ones of before, they were something different. In, I mean, the old days, before nineteen sixty, nineteen I'd say<sup>7</sup> sixty, those days, they were like this, the houses in Alqosh. (27) As I was saying, all *bikāres* and cows and cellars and so on.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Literally: of before.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Uncertain.

<sup>7</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Literally: we'd say.

#### MAKING BREAD AND CHEESE

- (28) 'oðiwa léxma b-'àlquš.' (29) qaméθa 'éθwālɛ tanūra.' 'àθ-tanūra mašexnìwale 'u ...' m-qám mašxóneḥ maḥeðṛiwa lèša.' (30) léša m-ìle?' (31) kšáqli qàmxa,' xéṭṭe kúllɛ zaṛā'e-wɛwa,' '' 'éθwālɛ xèṭṭe 'ṭaxníwālɛ, ''oðíwalɛ qàmxa.' (32) qámxa lɛšíwale b-māye-u' dārɛwa xmíra-mmeḥ-u mèlxa-u ...' '' u bahāṛāt,' xákma bahāṛāt kemrexlɛ. (33) bás-kámta lā ... lā-bahāṛāt déx t-kēðila b-'àṛabi d-amrex.' kámta dārèwa.'
- (34) 'oðíwa trē' ṭlaθa-šekled-lèxma,' mennɛy léxma raqìqa' 'u mennéy paθxàθa' 'u mennéy, 'en maxemíwāle rànda,' 'amriwalɛ xmì'e-u' 'óðiwa taxràθa. (35) 'u taxrā'θa

  A'aškàl<sup>A</sup>-ilɛ ...' šèkle hādax:' 'āði d-gùpta;' 'eθwa mennéy s-sèsqe,' mennéy d-'èruq,' d-gèrsa' ... 'āġ taxràθa.'
- (36) kúd lešìwāle, dārewa xwāna p-pàlga. (37) lešiwa-u mqaṭṭíwāle lèša, (38) 'en wewa taxrā 'θα-u paθxāθa, báz-garòma gàrmiwāle. (39) w-en hāwēwa ráqqa d-lèxma ráqqa ... ya ni 'āni raqìqe māxéwāle b-gēṛa. (40) gēṛa qésa yarìxe-le hādax; naqìða.
- (41) 'u léxma raqíqa mṭāpéwāle go mànzaq.' (42) go mánzaq dlà-čāyeq' dārele bìða,' lánnahu ṛābe kāwe.' (43) 'u 'āni taxrā 'ða-u paθxā 'ða hàr-b-iða;' ménney b-mànzaq,'
  ménney b-ìða mṭāpéwāle.' (44) klíθa l-bàxte-la: 'en 'eθwāba d- ... morāwa 'íðaḥ p-tanūra
  dla ... dlà-manzaqta.'

#### MAKING BREAD AND CHEESE

(28) They used to make bread in Alqosh. (29) Before, they used to have an oven. This oven they heated and before heating it they prepared  $l\varepsilon \check{s}a$  {dough}. (30)  $L\varepsilon \check{s}a$ , what is it? (31) They take flour, wheat – all of them were farmers, they had wheat – they milled it, they made it into flour. (32) The flour they kneaded with water, and they put yeast with it and salt and  $bah\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$  {spices}<sup>8</sup>, some  $bah\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$  (as) we call them. (33) Just  $kamta^9$ , not  $bah\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$  as they know them in Arabic, I'd say. They added kamta.

(34) They made two or three types of bread, among them 'fine bread' and among them  $pa\theta x\bar{a}\theta a$  {large thin pitta breads} and among them, if they leavened it well, (what) they called 'leavened'; and they made  $taxr\bar{a}\theta a$  {small thick pitta breads}. (35) And  $taxr\bar{a}\theta a$  come in <sup>A</sup>different kinds<sup>A11</sup> ... kinds like this: cheese-bread<sup>12</sup>; there was among them sheep's-tail-fat-bread, among them ' $\bar{e}ruq^{13}$ -bread, cracked-wheat-bread ... That's  $taxr\bar{a}\theta a$ .

(36) When they kneaded them, they put a  $xw\bar{a}na$  {low round table} in the middle. (37) They kneaded and pinched off the dough. (38) If they were  $taxr\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $pa\theta x\bar{a}\theta a$ , just a rolling-pin – they rolled them out. (39) And if it was a raqqa {thin piece} of bread – a raqqa, that is, the thin ones – they rolled it with a  $g\bar{e}ra$  {fine rolling pin}. (40) A  $g\bar{e}ra$  is a long piece of wood like this; thin.

(41) And the fine bread they stuck (inside the oven) with the oven-cushion. (42) With the oven-cushion so that it doesn't tear, so that they may hold it with the hand, because they are large. (43) And these,  $taxr\bar{a}\theta a$  and  $pa\theta x\bar{a}\theta a$ , are just with the hand; some of them with an oven-cushion, some of them they stuck them with the hand. (44) It depends on the woman: if she could put her hand into the oven without an oven-cushion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> According to Jastrow (1979: 64), *bahārāt* in the Mosul dialect of Arabic refers to a specific 'Gewürzmischung' (spice(/herb?)-mix).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Literally: black (f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Literally: we'd say.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Literally: are kinds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Literally: this one of cheese.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> A type of yellow powdered spice, perhaps turmeric.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Literally: hit it.

(45) mṭāpéwālɛ p-tanūra hāwēwa ... mulèhya' - malhɛwa ló qésa lo bàrta.' (46) bárta 'āni ... [B: paṭòxe.'] paṭòxe ya'ni ...' toràθa.' (47) 'āy wēwa šarèy, meskēne;' làθwālɛ.'

(48) 'āġ lèxma 'u ...' léxma mapelṭìwāle,' xá-qeṣṣa rakìxa.' (49) kúd pāleṭwa, šaxína, ta'pìwāle;' tettē-gāθa' lánnahu mḍàwwar-wēwa' (50) ta'píwa ġðá-gāθa 'aw tettē';' pāyešwa xá-mendi <sup>A</sup>mθàllaθ. <sup>A1</sup> (51) baθér-dex yāwéšwa, pāġešwa -' 'ārēwa qàlwa hādax.' (52) ṭamšíwāle b-māġe-u' dārɛwale p-sàlla' lo b- ... paθàrta' lo ṭabaqìya 'amriwāla tāṁa-u' gðílaḥ m-qaṣāle.' (53) mkāséwāle xa-bèna-u' rākexwa pāġešwa x-pàsta.' (54) 'u taxrāθa-u paθxāθa lá-rɛsiwālɛ b-māġe.' (55) 'āġ lèxma.'

(56) dāṛex l-gùpta, dēx 'oðíwāla gùpta.' (57) 'āθēwa mzabnāna-u' lo 'āni d-éθwalɛ 'èrwe-u' torāθa-u ...' gupted-'érwe bèš-bassemtɛ-la-u' bèš-rxešta.' (58) 'oðíwāla gùpta; hoyāwa xām dex d-ámrex: xām.' lá-wāwa wéðta ... šlèqta. (59) zonéxwāla gùpta-u' mɛθéxwāla l-bèθa; (60) 'oðéxwāla ... qɛṣéxwāla zelpè-zelpe; maṛeθxéxwāla¹⁴ b-māye, xà-qeṣṣa.' (61) kpéša x- ... x-ʿallūča, x-lastìk, hādax pšèrta.' (62) mennéy d-bás-'oðiwāla d- ... m-mèlxa:' 'āni ... báθer-ma š-šalqìla, 'oðíwāla fèsse ... [interruption]

(63) gùpta: 'šalqìwāla; '²oðíwāla ... ménnaḥ p-tūma, 'ménnaḥ bás-b-mèlxa' - lá-dārɛwa tūma gāwaḥ.' (64) tūma tàri, yaʻni, 'lā-tūma men d-āni fēṣṣe.' [B: yarūqe.'] yarūqe d-amrex, '²ē.' (65) baθér-dex šaqlìwāla, 'daʻkíwāla b-ìða' mṣaneṣlíwālɛ māyaḥ.' 'aṣrìwāla, 'māyaḥ palṭìwa.' (66) dārɛ́wa tūma p-pàlgaḥ, '²u dabqíwāla l-èġðāðe' - wola x-kubàbta.' (67) dārɛ́wāla b-mèlxa; 'dārɛ́wāla p-qóqa lo p-sànda.' (68) '²u dārɛ́wa māye [interruption] māye-u mèlxa, 'r-rēšèy' '²ìman t-qɛṛāwa.' (69) dārɛwa māye-u mélxa r-

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Should be  $\check{s}alqexw\bar{a}la$ , as  $\dot{r}\theta x$  III 'to boil' is used only of water, while  $\check{s}lq$  I is used of boiling things in the water.

(45) They stuck them inside an oven which had been lit – they lit either wood or sheep-dung. (46) Sheep-dung, these ... [B: Cow dung.] cow-dung, I mean ... Cows. (47) This was their fuel, the poor; they didn't have (anything else).

(48) That's bread ... The bread they brought out, a little soft. (49) When it came out, hot, they folded it, twice because it was circular. (50) They folded once or twice; it became something  $^{A}$ triangular.  $^{A}$  (51) Afterwards it dried and became – took a shape, like this. (52) They dipped it in water and put it in a basket or in a  $pa\theta arta$ -basket or a tabaqiya {wicker tray}, (as) they called it there, woven from straws. (53) They covered it for a while and it softened and became like cloth. (54) taxrau and taxrau and taxrau they did not sprinkle with water. (55) That's bread.

(56) Let us return to cheese, how they made the cheese. (57) There used to come a seller or those who had sheep and cows ... Sheep's cheese is nicer and more common. (58) They made the cheese; it was unprocessed, as we say: 'unprocessed'. It was not made ... boiled. (59) We bought the cheese and took it home; (60) we made it ... we cut it into slices; we boiled it in water, a little. (61) It becomes like ... like chewing gum, <sup>15</sup> like elastic, melted like that. (62) (There were) some who just made it with salt. These ... after they boiled it, they made it into segments. [interruption]

(63) Cheese: they boiled it; they made some of it is with garlic and some of it just with salt – they didn't put garlic in it. (64) Fresh garlic, I mean, not garlic from these cloves. [B: Green.] Green, as we say, 17 yes. (65) After that they took it, they pressed it by hand, they made its water drip out. They squeezed it, its water came out. (66) They put garlic in the middle of it, and they stuck it together – it's like a *kubba*. 18 (67) They put it in salt; they put it in a small pot or a big pot. (68) And they put bri- [interruption] brine, 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *callūča*: a type of sweet similar to chewing gum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Literally: They made it, some of it ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Literally: we'd say.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> A *kubabta* (pl. *kubεbe*) is a type of meatball made from minced meat and bulgar wheat, known in Arabic as *kubba*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Literally: water and salt.

rēšèy-u (70) dārewa ġðá-ṭapšèkθa, párčed- ... [B: faràšta.] faràšta 'amriwāla, t
<sup>A</sup>ḥáṣu<sup>A15</sup> ... bṭèxta, ta t-pāġeš yùqra r-rēšaḥ, wél n-naxθāwa, xu māġe-u mèlxa-u' 'u

'àxliwa.'

#### THE GAME OF ŞLĀWA (EXTRACT)

(71) 'āġ t-kesxūre,' w-íθen de-ṣlāwa. (72) trē' ṭlāθa kāwɛ ...' kāwɛ ... 'āni ... d-amréxlɛ nāṭòṛe.' nāṭòṛe kāwɛ.' '²u ... xámša 'éšta kāwɛ 'rìqe.' (73) '²án-nāṭoṛe k'àrqi,' trē' kárqi baθer ... 'an d-ílɛ be'rāqa;' k'ārèlɛ.' (74) '²íman t-qéḥlɛ gawèy,' k'ārélɛ, kmɛθélɛ l-gūda,' kṣalwìle.' kpā 'æx 'zidā 'æḥ l-gūda' '²u kṣalwìle-u.' kāwe nāṭòṛa gēbeḥ.' (75) wél ... de-kxalṣílɛ kùllɛ.' (76) bas 'en θēle xā' dlá-hāwe 'èrya-u' θēle qèḥle,' [B: kemxālèṣla.'] θēle-u kemxālèṣla,' qéḥle b-idāθèy,' w-àn-ṣliwe,' kúllɛ kárqi gā-xerta.' (77) dáha nāṭòṛe gā-xerta:' bárqi baθer kúllɛ ta d-'ārélɛ wél ... 'āxer,' wél xarāya.' (78) 'o- ... bróna xarāyed-'ārèle,' 'āwa ppāyeš ... 'é-naqla ppéši 'āni ġlìbe.'

#### **CLOTHES**

(79) dēx 'oðíwa ... d-amrex ... šāla-u šáppuk b-àlquš: (80) qɛṣíwa ... 'ámṛed-'èrwe; garíwālɛ 'èrwe<sup>16</sup>; (81) 'oðíwālɛ 'ézla go dūk: maxeðríwāle 'oðíwālɛ gðāðe gðāðe; (82) dāréwālɛ go ... gūba - kemréxle gūba, 'ağ ... de-zqāra; (83) 'u zaqríwāle 'oðíwāle ... [B: Aqmāš. A] Aqmāš d-amrex. b-cárabi kemréxle Aqmāš. Al

<sup>15</sup> I.A. *ḥaṣu* (Standard Arab. *ḥaṣwa*).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Later corrected. gr³ is only used of humans. qɛsíwa 'ámred-'èrwe is the correct idiom here.

on top of them, when it cooled down. (69) They put brine on top of them (70) and they put a flat-stone, a piece of ... [A pebble.<sup>20</sup>] a pebble they called it, of <sup>A</sup>pebble, <sup>A</sup> flat, to be a weight on top of it, until it would go down, under the brine ... And they ate.

#### THE GAME OF SLAWA (EXTRACT)

(71) This is (the game) of *Kesxūre*, and there is (the game) of *Ṣlāwa* {Crossing}. (72) Two or three are ... are those that we call 'guards'. They are guards. And five or six have run away. (73) These guards run – two run after ... those that are running; they catch them. (74) When they touch them, they catch them, they bring them to the wall, they 'cross' him. He opens his arms to the wall and they 'cross' him. There is a guard with him. (75) Right until they finish off all of them. (76) But if someone comes who hasn't been caught and he comes and touches [B: He frees them.] he comes and frees them, touches their arms, while they are crossed, all of them run again. (77) Now the guards once again: they will run after all of them to catch them, right until the <sup>A</sup>last one <sup>A</sup>, until the last one. (78) The last boy that they catch, he will become ... then these will become the winners.

#### **CLOTHES**

(79) How they used to make, we would say, (traditional) trousers and jacket<sup>22</sup> in Alqosh. (80) They cut the wool of sheep; they shaved the sheep; (81) they made them<sup>23</sup> into yarn with a spindle: they made it spin, they made them all threads;<sup>24</sup> (82) they put them in a loom – they call it a 'loom', that thing for weaving; (83) and they wove it, they made it into ... [B: Cloth.] <sup>A</sup>cloth<sup>A</sup>, we would say. In Arabic we call it <sup>A</sup>cloth. <sup>A</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> That is, a hard stone such as marble. A softer stone would give off dust.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> I.e. stretch his arms out horizontally so that he is in the shape of a cross.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The traditional costume of Alqosh. The *šāla* is the baggy trousers common in several eastern cultures, *širwāl* in Arabic and *šalvār* in Persian. The *šappuk* or *šappukta* is from Kurd. *şapik*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Should no doubt be 'it', referring to the wool, rather than the sheep.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Literally: threads threads.

(84) baθèr-dex' nablíwāle gēbet-xayāṭa; (85) qāyeṣwa-u' 'àweðwāle,' xāyeṭwāle pàšma,' 'ay de-klošíle ya'ni ta ltēx' - pàšma kemríle, 'u r-rēšaḥ šappùkta.' (86) lošiwa šàqta xu šappúkta' 'u gā-gāθa lošiwa sùxma' 'en wāwa qàrθa;' (87) 'u jammadānat mɛθέwālɛ m-baṛāye,' lá-'oðiwālɛ b-àlquš.' (88) dārɛwa tettē-jammadānat-u ...' baθér-dex yaṣṛíwa šibāqa 'amríwāle:' (89) xá-qmāš yarìxa kāwe,' kyaṣṛíle l-xaṣèy.' (90) kmaxéðṛile xátrē-gàrre,' xā̄' 'árba-xamša gàrre.' (91) 'u 'āy-wāwa lwested-'alquš.'

(92) 'u 'oðíwa kālèkke,' hám d-àqla;' hám-āni ... m-'èzla 'oðíwālɛ;' (93) zaqríwālɛ zqāra;' dārɛwa xá-parčed-gélda me-ltēx.' 'āni 'amréxwālɛ kālèkke. (94) 'u gérwe hàm-... zqāra zaqríwālɛ.' kúllɛ b-ìða.' 'enše yatwìwa ...' go ... kūša.' go kūša zaqrìwālɛ' - 'oðíwālɛ gèrwe.'

# A TRADITIONAL DOCTOR<sup>17</sup>

(95) šwāwi tòma, gòà-gāθa, kud brēwāle ḥādeθ p-kòstar - kabíre alqušnāye ... jrèḥwālε-u mennéy zèlwālε - (96) éθwālan ... šwāwi tòma berd-PN. (97) wēwa rūšeḥ ... twìra, ' '2u 'àqleḥ, ' '2u ... ' šišeltet-xāṣeḥ wāwa ... twèrta. (98) láθwābe de-mḥārekwa ... '

(99) θēle jamíl bi-PŇ' kemxāzèle.' péšle mmaḥkòye-mmeḥ,' hādax mmanšóyeḥ m-màreḥ-u ...' (100) báθer xá-bɛna mmáḥðoṛe wàṣle-u ...' bedrāya ... daṛmāna' kimēre šamarūn.' (101) là-kēðen' mā gdāre gāweḥ:' bề e-u' xerṭmāne-u ...' là-kēðen-u ... méšḥez-zèθe-u.' (102) péšle mmanšòyeḥ,' mmaḥkòye-mmeḥ-u' grišāle 'ίðeḥ,' kémmadēṛa l-šòpaḥ.' (103) rūšeḥ wēwa flìša:' kémgārèšle' kémmadēṭa l-šópaḥ.'

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Names have been changed.

(84) Then they would take it to the tailor; (85) he cut and made them, he sewed it into a pašma {traditional trousers}<sup>25</sup>, that which they wear, I mean, underneath – pašma it's called, and over it a (traditional) jacket. (86) They used to wear a shirt under the jacket and sometimes they wore a waistcoat, if it was cold. (87) And the turbans they brought from outside. They did not make them in Alqosh. (88) They put two turbans and ... then they tied a –  $šib\bar{a}qa$  {sash} they call it. (89) It is a long piece of cloth. They tie it at the back.<sup>26</sup> (90) They make it go round one or two loops, about four or five loops. (91) These were the clothes of Alqosh.

(92) And they made  $k\bar{a}lekke$  {knitted shoes}, also for feet; These were also made from yarn.<sup>27</sup> (93) They made them by weaving; they put a piece of leather below. These we called kalekke. (94) And socks were also woven. All by hand. The women used to sit ...With knitting needles.<sup>28</sup> With knitting needles they wove them – they made the socks.

# A TRADITIONAL DOCTOR<sup>29</sup>

(95) My neighbour Toma, once, when an accident happened in a *Coaster*<sup>30</sup> – many Alqoshis got injured and some of them passed away – (96) For us there was our neighbour Toma *berd*-PN. (97) His shoulder was broken, and his leg, and his backbone was broken. (98) He couldn't move.

(99) Jamil *bi*-PN came and saw him. He started speaking with him, distracting him in this way from his pain, (100) after a while preparing dressings and applying ... medicine which he calls *šamarūn*. (101) I don't know what he puts in it: eggs and chickpeas and – I don't know ... And olive-oil. (102) He started distracting him, speaking with him and he pulled his arm and put it back into its place. (103) His shoulder was dislocated: he pulled it and put it back in its place.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Another name for  $\check{sala}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Literally: their back.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Literally: they made them

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> zgr covers knitting as well as weaving.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Names have been changed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> A type of minibus.

(104) báθer xà-bɛna' ²āw péšle mʿayòṭe, meskēna,' péšle beqrāya:' hāwar-u' bebxāya-u' mā bāweð?' (105) bas rūša dēṛe l-šópeḥ,' nèxle.' (106) ²u ²àqleḥ,' ²áqleḥ ham nàfsen-mendi,' hādax brēle,' gā-xerta:' kémmanšēle b-maḥkèθa-u ...' ²u grišāle ²áqleḥ,' kémmadēṛa l-šòpaḥ.' (107) kémmaqléble l-xāṣeḥ.' (108) péšle mmanšóyeḥ m-maḥkèθa-u' ²āmerwa:' bás diparxènnuxile' n-marekxènne.' lá-kūðennux čù-mendi ²edyu.' (109) ²u kemāmèran,' kemāšèran,' ²āmerwa:' trē' t-hāwɛ gròse' d-ʾārèle,' xā' mɛked-idāθeḥ' (²u xā') méked-aqlāθeḥ' dla-qāleq.' (110) jmèʿlan-elleḥ,' péšlan hādax mfaròje m-ile -' xāzex m-íle bwāða' ta-dlá ... jāfel' tòma.' (111) kemārèxlɛ ²aqlā @ḥ-u' ... (wexwa) bexyāra w-āxa-u tāma' ²rēle garòma b-iðeḥ,' péšle bizāla-u biθāya l-xāṣeḥ.' (112) ²āw péšle mʿayòṭe.' baθer xá-bɛna qṭèle qāleḥ' - là-wellēbe,' ģmère yāla.' (113) báθer trè-yumāθa' xāṣa láθwābe čù-mendi,' ²u ²ìðeḥ,' báθer yàrxa' nèxwāla-u' ²àqleḥ,' pešle berxāšà-llaḥ' m-baθer yàrxa' yarxà-u palgeḥ.' (114) ²u nèxwāle tóma.'

#### THE DEATH OF A'S GRANDMOTHER

(115) 'ālā mḥāsēla sòti.' qaṣèttaḥ:' dēx mèθla,' sòti,' yémmed-bàbi.' (116) yémmed-bàbi-wāwa.' ġðá-baxta mar-ġìra-wāwa.' lá-kalyāwa b-bèθa.' (117) bás-bālaḥ ... ló z-zāla maθya māye,' naqla māye m-bērāθa;' ló zāla náxθa l- ... 'arāθa' t-xázya ... záṛa diyan dēx-ile;' le-'šāpa,' le-dyāšet-'aqùbra.' (118) ya'ni 'āy lá-kalyāwa gyānaḥ.'

(119) xá-yoma ... go 'ēðed- ... d-lébbed-ìšu<sup>c¹</sup> - 'ēða-wēwa d-lebbed-ìšu<sup>c¹</sup> go 'àlquš -¹ zélla šmēla rāże qamāya¹ 'u pléţla m-rāze¹ - yémmi là-qablāwa d-oðāwa 'an-šūle -¹ bas

(104) After a while that one started crying out, the poor thing, he began calling out, 'Help!' and weeping. What could he do? (105) But the shoulder returned to its place and got better. (106) And his leg ... his leg likewise, <sup>31</sup> it happened like that, another time: he distracted him with talk and pulled his leg and returned it to its place. (107) He turned him over on to his back. (108) He started to distract him with talk. He said, 'I'm just going to massage it for you to soften it. I won't do anything to you today.' (109) And he said to us, he indicated to us, he said two who should be well-built should hold him, one by his arms (and one) by his legs, <sup>32</sup> so that he wouldn't move. (110) We gathered towards him. We started watching, like this, what he was – to see what he was doing so that Toma would not be startled. (111) We held his feet and ... (we were) looking here and there. He held a rolling-pin in his hand and began going backwards and forth on his back. (112) That one started crying out. After a while his voice stopped <sup>33</sup> – he couldn't cope, he lost consciousness, the lad. (113) After two days, his back had nothing wrong with it, <sup>34</sup> and his arm, after a month it healed, and his leg, he started walking on it a month, a month and a half later. (114) And he recovered, did Toma.

#### THE DEATH OF A'S GRANDMOTHER

(115) God absolve my grandmother. Her story: how she died, my grandmother, my father's mother. (116) She was my father's mother. She was an active women. She didn't stay at home. (117) Her attention (was) just ... to go and fetch water, to transport water from the wells; or to go down to the fields to see how our crop was; to weed, to trample mice.<sup>35</sup> (118) I mean, this one, she was always busy.

(119) One day, during the Festival of the Heart of Jesus – it was the festival of the Heart of Jesus in Algosh – she went and listened to the First Mass and left the mass – my

<sup>31</sup> Literally: also the same thing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Literally: one from the place of the arms (and one) from the place of the legs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Literally: cut out.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Literally: The back, there was in it nothing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Literally: for weeding, for trampling of mouse.

m-ìle?' (120) dlá-berāšed-yèmmi' zélla šmēla ṛāze qamāya.' lan sóti ʾēðāwa' yemmi dízāla ṛ-ṛāze xarāya,' lánnahu zuyāḥa ʾíθen-u' manšoqeṭ-ṣúrted- ˙ d-lébbed-ìšuʿ.' (□□□) pléṭla m-ṛāze qamāya, soti,' θēla, xélla xá-kappoθa go bèθa:' fṭēṛa.' (122) wélla bālaḥ ta ʾarāθa' z-zāla xázya záṛa dēx-ile.! (123) w-ánɛ-yumāθa là-wɛwa rande.' (124) ʾeθwa mā-qadra ... šarrāθa bebrāġa-wɛwa.' ʾémmet-qùrðāye.' yaʿni ... ʿaṛabāye mmet-qurðāye wɛwa p-šarrāθa.' (125) fa čú-nāša láθwābe d-nāxéθwa l-ʾarāθa' yæʿni ... ʾémez-zàwāle,' dúnye lá-wāwa bassèmta.' gbāre d-āθēwa l-qèṭla.' (126) fa báxta sòta-wāwa.' ʾāy lá-mtaxemlāwa b-an-šūle.' (127) qémla zélla l-ʾarāθa,' ʾāy-u tettē-šwoyāθa.'

(128) dēṛa yemmi m-'ēta; mbuqēra kè-la sotóxun? mēran wola plèṭṭa. lá-kēðex 'ékɛ-la zèlta. (129) mbuqēra me-šwāwe 'amríwa: zéllɛ 'āy-u ... 'u 'ánɛ-tettē-šwoyāθa. zéllɛ le-fqāda xèṭṭe. (130) b-ánɛ-yumāθa kòme - lá-wɛwa yumā 'θı bassíme dex d-mēri. (131) qémla yèmmi zélla mšudēṛa baθer bābi ta z-zālɛ jèli-llaḥ dlá-kun bārēba xàmendi.

(132) fa ... tettē-šwoyā 'θt b-ʿāṣérta dēṛɛ.' '²u sòti la-dēṛa.' (133) péšlan mbaqóre mennèy,' 'èka pešla?' mērɛ 'áxni zéllan l-ʾarāθa,' kemxāzéxlɛ-u dēṛan.' (134) '²u 'āġ mēra dízāli xazyan 'é-ʾara xtèθa' - lan tettē-ʾarāθa 'eθwālan -' (135) mēra zā(li) xazyan 'è-ʾara xtɛθa' dēx-ila-u' bdāṛan.' (136) zélla-u la-dēṛa.' (137) yóma qamāġa lèθ-u' de-trē' lèθ-u' hādax hél ... 'eštā-ġarxe mušèlla' - ʾarbā-ġarxe 'eštā-ġarxe: lèn betxara.' (138) zòrawenwa. p-šāted-trè-u-šo'i-wāwa.'

mother did not agree to her doing these things – but what's the truth of it?<sup>36</sup> (120) Without my mother's knowledge<sup>37</sup> she went and listened to the First Mass. Because my grandmother knew my mother was going to the Last Mass, because there was a procession and kissing of the picture of the Heart of Jesus. (121) She left the First Mass, my grandmother, she came and ate a sandwich in the house: she had breakfast. (122) She turned her attention to the fields, to go and see how the crop was. (123) Now those days were not good. (124) There were so many battles happening. With the Kurds. That is, Arabs with Kurds were (engaged in) in battles. (125) So no-one could go down to the fields. That is, whoever went, things were not good.<sup>38</sup> Perhaps he would be killed. (126) You see she was an old woman. She did not think about these matters. (127) She got up and went to the fields, she and two neighbours.

(128) My mother returned from church; she asked, 'Where's your grandmother?' We said, 'She's gone out. We don't know where she's gone.' (129) She asked the neighbours. They said, 'She and those two neighbours<sup>39</sup> went off. They went to check the wheat.' (130) In those black days – they were not good days, as I said. (131) My mother got up. She went and sent for my father for them to go and search for her so that nothing would happen to her.

(132) So ... the two neighbours returned in the evening. But my grandmother did not return. (133) We started to ask them, 'Where has she got to?' They said, 'We went (to) the lands, we saw them and came back. (134) But she said, 'I'm just going to see the lower field' – for we had two fields – (135) She said, 'I'll go and see how that that lower field is and I'll come back.' (136) She went but didn't return. (137) The first day there was nothing, <sup>40</sup> the second there was nothing and likewise until she was six months late – four months, six months: I don't remember. (138) I was young. It was in '72.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Literally: But what is it?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Literally: awareness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Literally: the world was not good.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The word specifies female neighbours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Literally: there is nothing.

(139) baθér-dēx, báθer ... kemrénnax ... báθer 'arbā-yarxe lo 'eštā-yarxe, xzēlan nāše-wɛwa. (140) péšla kúl-nāše bénxāθa bejyāla; lá-kemxāzèla. (141) wél de-ġzèdlɛ nāše-u xlèṣlɛ; xzēwālɛ go šmáyya qálle befyāṛa. (142) xā-wēwa bexyāra go ... durbìn kemrèxle (143) péšle bexyāra; xzēle qálle benxāθa l-ġðà-dūka. (144) mēre: gbāre d-iθen xá-nāša mìθa tāma lo ... lan lá-gbāre d-jám i qálle hādax.

(145) baθer xàtrē-yumāθa' šqéllɛ d-amrex rùxṣa' m-šùrṭa-u' ²u m-nāšed-jēš d-wewa tāma, 'áskar d-wēwa tāma.' (146) mērɛ zālan xāzex bè-dūka' lannu ²ettan ġðà-baxta' wola msukárta ʾāy kmà-yarxe.' (147) qémlɛ zèllɛ;' kemxāzèla,' kem²ēðìla' bas gàrma-wāwa péšta;' bás kem²ēðíla m- ... ²eθwāla risáqteṣ-ṣalóye b-ìða.' (148) ²u... ²u jezḍānaḥ:' ²eθwāba xákma fèlse' - xá-xamšāser ²esri fèlse.' kemēðíla d-ila ʾāġ sòti.' (149) ²u kemšaqlìla-u' kemmɛθéla l-bèθa.'

(150) faqérta hādax-wāwa:¹ ma de-xxášwex wāwa 'ṣíθa bgo ʾaryòθa¹ - ʾiθen xá-mendi kemrex ʾaryòθa.¹ (151) nāšed-k- ... lèbe d-rāxeš,¹ k'āṣe b-jùlleḥ.¹ lá-kē(ðen) ʾaryòθε-le¹ lo xá-mendi xènnε-le.¹ (152) k'āṣe,¹ lèbe d-rāxeš¹ w-āy báxta sòta-wāwa.¹ (153) fa kemʾaryāla-u ...¹ ²u npèlla.¹ n²ísaḥ-ile xūwe?¹ ʾālā kyāðe m-íla.¹ ʾālā mḥāsēla.¹

(139) Afterwards, after – I'd say – four months or six months, we saw ... there were people (140) All the people started going down and searching. They didn't find her. (141) Until the people harvested and had finished. They saw in the sky storks flying. (142) Someone was looking with ... 'binoculars', they're called. (143) He started looking and saw vultures descending to a certain place. (144) He said, perhaps there is a dead person there or.. Because it does not happen that 41 storks gather like this.

(145) After one or two days, they got, as we say... 'permission' from the police and from the men of the army who were there, the military who were there. (146) They said, 'Let's go and look in that place because we have a woman who has been lost for such-and-such many months.' (147) They got up and went; they saw her and recognized her. Only bone was left. They only knew her from ... She had rosary beads in (her) hand. (148) And her purse: it had some fils – some fifteen, twenty fils. (So) they knew that this was my grandmother. (149) They took her and brought her home.

(150) The poor thing was like that. What we think is that she was caught in a thorn-bush – there is something we call a 'thorn-bush'. (151) A person who ... he can't walk, he is caught<sup>42</sup> by his clothes. I don't know – it is a thorn-bush or it is something else. (152) He gets caught, he can't walk, and this was an old woman. (153) So it caught her and ... she fell. Was she bitten by a snake?<sup>43</sup> God knows what it was. God absolve her soul.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Literally: It does not happen that.

<sup>42</sup> Or: stuck.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Literally: Has a snake bitten her?

#### THE STORY OF THE SPARROW WITH THE SPLINTER IN HIS FOOT

(154) ²éθwa-u laθwa xà-bēdika.' čékle ketwa b-àqleḥ.' (155) zélle befyāṛa,' xzēle ġðà-sota.' ²āmerwa: wó sotò!' màpleṭle ketwi!' ²ámrāwa: hàyyu.' (156) kemmapelṭāle ketweḥ,' kemḥalqāle p-tanūra.' (157) péšle bebxāya.' ²āmerwa: ²ū kében kètwi! (158) ²amrāwa: là-bāxet,' byāwánnux ġðà-paθexta.' (159) ²āmerwa: hàlli.' (160) šqélle paθèxta-u' péšle fyāṛa.'

(161) fēṛe-u fēṛe-u' xzēle xá-šivāna bixāla màsta,' ' u láθwāle lèxma.' (162) 'àmerwa ṭāleḥ:' wó šivāna!' qāġ-iwet bixāla másta dlà-lexma?' (163) 'āmerwa: m-òðen?' làtti.' mā 'oðen?' (164) 'āmerwa: 'àna byāwánnux paθéxta' d-áxlex b-èġðāðe.' 'āmerwa: hàyyu.' (165) péšlɛ bixāla' b-èġðāðe,' xléṣla paθexta,' xléṣlɛ 'ixāla.' (166) 'āmerwa: 'āna kében paθèxti.' péšle bebxāya,' 'āṇa 'èlla yāwetti paθéxti. (167) 'āmerwa šivāna:' là-bāxet' byāwénnux xà-barāna.'

(168) šqélle baràna-u' 'u péšle befyāṛa' fyāṛa,' xzēle ġðà-dāwa.' (169) xzēle nāše' wole tíwe beštāya-u<sup>181</sup> látte màzze.' (170) 'āmerwa ṭalèy:' yā nāše,' qāy-iwotu beštāya dlà-mazze?' (171) 'amriwa: m-òðex?' láttan čú-mendi d-áxlex 'emmed-štèθa.' (172) 'āmerwa: 'āna byāwénnoxu barāna.' (173) kemyāwèlle barāna-u' kemnaxrìle-u' péšle bixāla'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Or *tíwe-u beštaya-u* (unclear).

### THE STORY OF THE SPARROW WITH THE SPLINTER IN HIS FOOT

(154) Once upon a time,<sup>44</sup> there was a sparrow. A splinter got stuck in his foot. (155) He went flying, he saw an old woman. He said 'O Old Woman! Take out my splinter! She said, 'Come!' (156) She took out his splinter, she threw it into the oven. (157) He started crying. He said, 'Oh I want my splinter!'. (158) She said, 'Don't cry! I'll give you a piece of bread.' (159) He said, 'Give it to me'. (160) He took the piece of bread and started flying.

(161) He flew on and on<sup>47</sup> and saw a shepherd eating yoghurt without having any bread.<sup>48</sup> (162) He said to him, 'O shepherd, why are you eating yoghurt without bread?' (163) He said 'What should I do? I haven't got any. What should I do?' (164) He said, 'I'll give you a piece of bread so that we may eat together.' He said, 'Come'. (165) They started eating together. The piece of bread was finished, they finished eating. (166) He said, 'I want my piece of bread!' He started crying: 'You must give me my piece of bread. (167) The shepherd said, 'Don't cry. I'll give you a ram.'

(168) He took the ram and started flying and flying. He saw a wedding party. (169) He saw people sitting drinking<sup>49</sup> and without having any snacks.<sup>50</sup> (170) He said to them, 'O people! Why are you drinking without snacks? (171) They said, 'What should we do? We don't have anything to eat with the drink.' (172) He said, 'I'll give you a ram. (173) He gave them the ram and they slaughtered it and they started eating with the drink.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Literally: There was and there wasn't – the standard fairy tale opening, similar to Arabic *kān yā mā kān* 'there was or there wasn't'. The ANA may be contracted from 'eθwa 'aw laθwa 'there was or there wasn't'. Garbell (1965b: 175), discussing the J. Azerbaijani form *ítwa lítwa*, gives similar formulas in Kurdish (häbu näbu) and Turkish ((bir) vármɨš (bir) jóxmuš). Cf. also 'ntwa latwa in Jewish North-western Aramaic (Sabar 2002: 62).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Actually a type of pitta bread, i.e. round and flat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Literally: Give me!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Literally: He flew and flew.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Literally: he saw a shepherd eating yoghurt, he didn't have bread.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Or: sitting and drinking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> mazze are small dishes of food, like Greek mezze.

'emmed-štèθa. (174) xléṣla štầya,' 'āmerwa: 'ū' 'āṇa kebénni barầni.' (175) péšle bxầya' 'élla-yāwotunli barầni!' (176) 'ámriwa ṭầleḥ' là-bāxet,' byāwéxlux xéθna-u kầlu! (177) šqélle xéθna-u kầlu,' péšle befyāṛa-u bezmầra:'

- (178) támbal támbal támbaltā<sup>19</sup> kétwa wélli p-pā @xtā-u
- (179) pā @xtá bgo bārānā-u
  bārāná p-xeθná-u kāló
  tí tí támbaltā!

### THE STORY OF THE COBBLER

(180) ²eθwa xā² mraqānet-pēlāve.²0¹ (181) ²āw-u bàxteḥ ...¹ be-ġðà-ga faqíre-wɛwa,¹ láθwālɛ p-ḥalèy.¹ (182) xá-yoma báxteḥ qèmla-lleḥ.¹ (183) mēra qāy lɛt x-kùl-nāše?¹ sì!¹ wolɛ bizāla mtajòre¹ ²u mmaθoye pāre¹ w-āyet hár wot tìwa.¹ (184) ²āmerwa ta bàxteḥ:¹ báxta ²āna go mā zāli?¹ mèkāli pāre?¹ (185) ²amrāwa: sì!¹ hár-dex t-āwe sì!¹

(186) xá-yoma pléţle mmet-kàrwan, bizāla. (187) şhēlɛ, kebélɛ māye - 'urxa yarèxta-wāwa - (188) xzēlɛ bēra, 'amriwa: mḥálqex 'èðwe ta xāter ta d- ... xāzex mán mnāxeθ l-bēra n-mɛ́θēlan māye. (189) ḥuléqlɛ 'èðwe, npélla p-qard-àθ-meskēna, 'āy faqírd-wēwa zíla mmet-kàrwan (190) - 'āw làθwāle pāre-u ...' pqéðlɛ 'èlleḥ, kemmanexθìle. (191) kémšaršɛ́le b-bēra. 'amúqta xašùkta. xzēle şèr. (192) mērɛ lāzem n-náxθet tóret şèr lan qàrθa-wāwa - torétte şèr ta xāter š-šātex māye.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> These five lines are chanted with four beats per line. Short vowels taking the stress are lengthened.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> A corrected himself at the time from  $kundar(\bar{a}t)$  'shoes' (Arab.).

(174) They finished drinking.<sup>51</sup> He said, 'Oh I want my ram back'.<sup>52</sup> (175) He started crying: 'You must give me my ram!', (176) They said to him, 'Don't cry. We'll give you the bride and groom!' (177) He took the bride and groom, he started flying and singing:

(178) *tamḥal ṭamḥal ṭamḥalta!*I exchanged a splinter for a piece of bread

(179) And a piece of bread for a ram

And a ram for a bride and groom

ti ti tambalta!<sup>54</sup>

### THE STORY OF THE COBBLER

(180) There was a certain cobbler.<sup>55</sup> (181) He and his wife were extremely poor and were without means.<sup>56</sup> (182) One day, his wife challenged him. (183) She said, 'Why aren't you like all the (other) people? Go! They are going trading and bringing money and you are just sitting.' (184) He said to his wife, 'Wife, with what am I to go? Where could I get the money?'<sup>57</sup> (185) She said, 'Go! Go anyway.'

(186) One day, he set off with the caravan, travelling. (187) They got thirsty, they want the water – the road was long. (188) They found a well. They said, 'Let's cast lots in order to see who will climb down the well to bring us water. (189) They cast lots. It fell to the lot of this poor man, <sup>58</sup> this poor person who had gone with the caravan, (190) – he didn't have any money ... they picked him, they made him go down. (191) They let

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> And eating as well presumably.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Literally: I want for me my ram.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Literally: If you don't give me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> The untranslated words in this chant are nonsense words like 'fee fie fo fum' in Jack and the Beanstalk.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Literally: patcher of shoes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Literally: they did not have in their condition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Literally: From where to me the money?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Literally: It fell on the head of this poor man.

(193) nxéθle, xzēle ġðá-kāwe kòmta.' (194) wēre b-'è-kāwe,' xzēle 'ella wólɛ hilāned-'armòne,' xákma záṛṛe 'armòne,' wolɛ mparsóne ta gyanèy.' (195) smóqe mnaznòze.' (196) wēwa kpìna,' míθa m-kèpneḥ.' (197) θēle ta t-qāṭe',' xzēle xá-nāša kóma ... yarìxa.' gròsa,' ġ-déged-ile <sup>A</sup>jìnn<sup>A</sup>.' (198) 'āmerwa ṭāleḥ:' 'āyet màn-iwet-u' mā kemmɛθēlux 'āẋa?' (199) 'āmerwa: 'àdax-iwen:' nāša faqìra-u ...' pléṭli p-kàrwan' - báxti mēra plòṭ-u' kében z-zāli ...' méθen xakma pāre.'

(200) kembāqēre, baqrénnux xà-buqāra. (201) 'en 'iðēlux' de-mjobètte, byāwénnux méndi t-kèbet, méndi d-íle b-bālux byāwénnux-ile-u' (202) w-élla-'iðēlux, pqaṭènne qárux, mšadṛénnux parčāče, bgaršílux xūrux parčāce. (203) xēre, 'āmerwa: látti čāra. mā-mendi d-amrètte 'āwa ptāwe. (204) 'āmerwa: 'āyet mā-ranga kebet, kóma lo xwāra? (205) 'áy-meskēna mā-mjāweb ránga? (206) xēre, 'ella wola kāwe kòmta-u' šékled-ó-nāša kòma x-šexṛa. (207) 'i, 'āmerwa: dān 'amrennux: xwāra keben, pqaṭèṭṭe gári. bás-bamrénnux kòma.

(208) kemāmēre, ' 'āmerwa: 'āyet gòre-wet-u' 'ādax gabbāra len xèzya-u' méndi t-kèbet ' byāwènnux-ile.' (209) 'āmerwa ṭāleḥ: mā'? ' bás-won kpìna.' kében š-šaqlen xàttē-' armóne-u' z-zāli.' (210) 'āmerwa: kmá-'armone t-kèbet ' qṭó'-u sì!'

(211) qṭēle xammèš-armone,' kémdārēlε p-čànteḥ' - b-zawwādeḥ-u' kémdārēla l-rūša-u<sup>21</sup> zèlle.' twēre ṣér-u sèqle' štēlε māye.' (212) me-zdóθeḥ góra lá-wellēbe z-zāle

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Corrected by A from b- $r\bar{u}$ ša-u. Normally l- would be assimilated to an /r/ (§1.5.4). In his explanation A was pronouncing it as /l/ only in order to make it clear.

him down into the well. (It was) deep (and) dark. He found ice. (192) They said, 'You must go down and break the ice – for it was cold – break the ice so that we may drink some water.'

(193) He went down, he saw a black hole. (194) He entered through that hole and lo and behold he saw there were some pomegranate trees! – some enormous pomegranates which were splitting open of their own accord.<sup>59</sup> (195) Red and flushed. (196) He was hungry, dying from hunger.<sup>60</sup> (197) He came to pick, saw a person, BLACK and TALL! Big as if he were a <sup>A</sup>genie.<sup>A</sup> (198) He said to him, 'You, who are you and what brought you here?' (199) He said, 'I am thus: a poor man, I set off in the caravan – my wife told me to set out<sup>61</sup> and I want to go and bring (back) some money.'

(200) He asked him, 'Let me ask you a question. (201) If you know how to answer it, I will give you whatever you want – whatever you think of,<sup>62</sup> I'll give it to you. (202) And if you don't know, I will cut off your head, I will send you (back in) pieces, your friends will pull you (out in) pieces. (203) He considered, he said, 'I've got no alternative. Whatever you may say, that will be.' (204) He said, 'You, what colour do you prefer, black or white?' (205) This poor man, what colour should he answer? (206) He looked: lo and behold the window was black and the appearance of that man as black as charcoal! (207) 'Oh', he said, 'Now if I tell you I prefer *white*, you will cut off my head. I will just tell you black.'

(208) He said to him, he said, 'You are a (real) man<sup>63</sup> and such a hero I have not seen (before). Whatever you want, I will give it you.' (209) He said to him, 'What? I'm just hungry. I want to take a couple of pomegranates and go.' (210) He said, 'However many pomegranates you want, pick (them) and go.'

(211) He picked five pomegranates, he put them in his bag, in his provisions bag and he put it on to (his) shoulder and went. He broke the ice and climbed up and they

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Literally: ... pomegranates, they are splitting open of their own accord.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Literally: dead from hunger.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> Literally: my wife said 'set out!'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Literally: whatever is in your mind.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> gora can have this connotation of manly, virile.

mmet-kàrwan.' qémle dèṛe.' (213) dēṛe l-dekkàneḥ' de-mrāqe' kundaràt-u' 'āmerwa: 'áθ-zdóθa là-gben d-axlénna.' (214) dèṛe,' zélle gēbed-bàxteḥ,' 'āmerwa: báxta hàyyu.' (215) háyyu ... 'āṇa górux là-xxašxen ta 'an-šūled-' ... zāli l-karwānat-u' méθen pāre-u ...' (216) 'āṇa šūli 'àði:' mraqqāṇet-pēlāve-u' ppéšen kúl- ... xāÿi mraqót-pēlāve.<sup>221</sup>

(217) 'amrāwa ṭāleḥ,' yáḷḷa ránda d-muθēlux<sup>23</sup> 'anε-xamméš-armóne 'āxer.' m-íman<sup>24</sup> léx xile 'armòne.' (218) qémle twēre ġðà-'armota.<sup>251</sup> pléṭla lired-dēwa.' (219) ġðá-'armota twēre,' pléṭla lired-dēwa.' twēre t-tèttē',' pléṭla lires-sēma.' (220) 'u twēre xerted-dēwa-u' kùllɛ' pléṭlɛ lired-dēwa-u sēma' - 'ànε-'armone.' (221) péšle 'ó- ... mraqānet-pēlāve ... béš-'atira m-kùl-nāšed-māθa.' (222) bnēle qàṣra-u' xēle 'āw-u bàxteḥ,' 'u kúllɛ xāyeḥ ...' péšwālɛ kúlle-<sup>26</sup> ya'ni kabíra 'atìre-u ...' (223) 'āÿ ma de-ktáxren m-àθ-qaṣétta.'

(The end of this story was later corrected by A. The cobbler in fact sent the pomegranates back to his wife, to her surprise. When the cobbler returned he saw that his house had become a big palace and his wife explained to him how she had broken open the pomegranates and liras of silver and gold had come out.)

-

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  < mrago et- $p\bar{e}l\bar{a}ve$ . The /e/ is elided and hence the // too (compare \*qto la > qto la 'pluck it (f.)!')

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> In the original, which was spoken quite fast, the d- was inaudible or possible realised as an /n/. Repeated later, the d- was consistently pronounced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The final /n/ has assimilated to the following /l/: [mimallex]. The same occurred when this phrase was repeated on a later occasion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Originally <sup>2</sup>armona, but corrected by A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Was going to say *kulleš* 'very' (Arab.).

drank the water. (212) Out of fear, the man could not go with the caravan. He got up and returned. (213) He returned to his shop, to repair shoes and said, 'I don't want to be afraid.' (214) He returned, he went to his wife, he said, 'Wife, come! (215) Come! I, your husband, I am not suited to these things: to go to the caravans and bring money.' (216) My work is this: a shoe-repairer and all my life I will remain shoe-repairing.' 66

(217) She said to him, 'Come on, (it is) good that you brought those five pomegranates, after all. We haven't eaten pomegranates for a long time.' (218) He broke open one pomegranate. Out came a gold lira. (219) He broke open one pomegranate. Out came a gold lira. He broke the second one. Out came a silver lira. (220) And he broke open another one of gold and all of them, there came out gold and silver liras – those pomegranates. (221) That shoe-repairer became richer than ALL the people of the village. (222) He built a palace and lived, he and his wife, and all his life they remained very rich and ... (223) This is what I remember from this story.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Literally: This fear I don't want to eat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Literally: that I may go.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> This sentence would make more sense as the following: *My* work is this: shoe-repairing and all my life I will remain a shoe-repairer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The verb *qym* I sometimes occurs with other verbs, bearing no meaning of its own but adding a nuance to the meaning of the other verb. This idiom is similar to the English 'he went and ...'. It is attested in other dialects such as Jewish Arbel (Khan 1999: 378), where it marks 'the onset of a new turn of events' or 'an action that is the climax of a section of discourse'. It is also found in Christian Aradhin (Krotkoff 1982: 56) where it said to 'carry an inchoative connotation.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> A has repeated himself.

### THE STORY OF THE TWO GOATS

(The section between the asterixes was added later. In the first telling the final character who agreed to the request was the mouse, not the cat.) (224) qaşétta  $t^{27}$ -carjūned-dāṛa l-bè $\theta$ a. (225) 'eθwa-u láθwa ... ġðá-ezza 'arjūne.' zélla barāye, 28 'āy-u xàrθaḥ.' xélla xarθaḥ qàm-mennaḥ; mēra: dārex l-bèθa. (226) mēra: š-lèn swēta. mēra: zín 'amren ta ... dēwa d-āθe 'āxèllax!' mèra: sé! WOLF (227) zélla l-dèwa; 'mēra: wó dēwà! hay 'íxalla 'arjūne, ' 'arjūne ta d-dāra l-bèθa.' <sup>2</sup>āmerwa: lèbi. 1 'āmrāwa: zín 'amren ta kálba d-āθe ... nā eslux.' 'āmerwa: sì! DOG (228) zélla mēra ta kàlba: wó kalbā! háyyu mxíle dèwa, 1 dēwa d-māxe 'arjūne,' <sup>c</sup>arjūne d-dāra l-bèθa.' mēre: lèbi.1 <sup>2</sup>āmrāwa: zín <sup>2</sup>amren ta šivāna d-āθe qāṭèllux. āmerwa: sì!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> There is a slight hesitation which may explain why the relativizer particle is devoiced as normally happens only word-finally.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Corrected from *baṛāya* 'outer'.

```
THE STORY OF THE TWO GOATS<sup>69</sup>
```

(224) The story of the crippled goat: so that he would go home.<sup>70</sup>

(225) Once upon a time<sup>71</sup> ... there was a crippled goat. It went outside (the village), it and its friend. Its friend finished eating before it and said, 'Let's return home!'

(226) (The cripple) said 'I'm not full yet.'

(The other one) said, 'I'm going to tell the wolf to come to eat you!'

(The cripple) said, 'Go away.'

(227) (The other one) went to the wolf; it said, 'O Wolf, come, eat the cripple so that the cripple may return home.'

(The wolf) said, 'I can't.'

(The goat) said, 'I'm going to tell the dog to come ... to bite you.'

(The wolf) said, 'Go away!'

(228) (The goat) went and said to the dog, 'O Dog!'

'Come and beat the wolf,

so that the wolf may beat the cripple,

so that the cripple may return home.'

(The dog) said, 'I can't.'

(The goat) said, 'I'm going to tell the shepherd to come to kill you.'

(The dog) said, 'Go away!'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> This story bears a resemblance to the story (in Aramaic) told to children in the Ashkenazi tradition at Passover, called *Ḥad Gadya* 'The Goat'. Although the storyline is different, the structure in which one character harms another which harms another, with cumulative repetitions (reminiscent of the British children's story 'The House that Jack built') is the same. Several of the characters are also shared by both stories. It is possible that this story has been transmitted via Neo-Aramaic-speaking Jews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> The syntax of this is a little uncertain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Cf. n. 44.

```
and so on until ....
CAT
*(229) zélla gēbed- ... qāţu. mēra: wó qāţò!
<sup>2</sup>āmrāwa: mā?<sup>1</sup>
        <sup>2</sup>amrāwa: hàyyu, <sup>1</sup>
        'íxulle 'aqùbra,'
        <sup>2</sup>aqúbra t-qāreţle xòla, <sup>1</sup>
        xóla ... xāneqle gùmla, 1
        gúmla šāte māye,¹
        māye madēxile nūret-ḥadāda,
        ḥadāda tāwer màggaṣ,¹
        máqqaş qāyeş dáqneš-šivana,
        šivāna māxe kàlba,'
        kálba māxe dèwa,'
        dēwa māxe 'arjūne,'
        dāṛa l-bὲθa.'
'ámrāwa qāṭu: myāw!' 'āṇa kpènta.'
²amrāwa: fòt!¹
(230) zélla l-'aqùbra.' 'āmerwa 'aqùbra: la la la!' zín qaṭénne xòla.'*
(231) zélle 'aqúbra t-qāréṭle xòla.' 'āmerwa xòla:' là lā lā!' zín xanqénne gùmla.'
(232) zélle xóla t-xāneq gùmla. ' ' āmerwa: là lā!' zín šāténnɛ màye. '
```

# [And so on until ....] (229) It went to the cat and said, 'O Cat!' (The cat) said 'What?' He said, 'Come and eat the mouse, so that the mouse may nibble the rope, so that the rope may hang the camel, so that the camel may drink the water, so that the water may put out the blacksmith's fire, so that the blacksmith may break the scissors, so that the scissors may cut off the shepherd's beard, so that the shepherd may beat the dog, so that the dog may beat the wolf, so that the wolf may beat the cripple,

The cat said, 'Miaow! I'm hungry!'

so that the cripple may return home.'

It said, 'Go on!'

- (230) It went to the mouse. The mouse said, 'No no no! I'm going to cut the rope!'\*
- (231) The mouse went to nibble the rope. The rope said, 'No no no! I'm going to hang the camel!'
- (232) The rope went to strangle the camel. He said, 'No no! I'm going to drink the water!'

- (233) zélle l-màye.' 'amríwa màye:' là la la!' zín madēxéxle nūret-ḥadàda.'
- (234) zélle l-ḥadāda.' 'āmerwa: lā lā lā!' zín torénne màqqaṣ.'
- (235) màqqaş 'āmerwa: là la'! zín qeşénne daqneš-šivana.
- (236) 'āmerwa šivāna:' lā lā!' zín māxénne kàlba.'
- (237) kàlba 'āmerwa: la? zín nāsénne dèwa.
- (238) dēwa zélle l-carjūne' 'ella carjūne wola xèlta-u' dérta l-bèθa!'

# **INFORMANT B**

# Notes on language

- (i) The distinction between /a/ and /e/ is sometimes unclear.
- (ii) Lengthened final /e/ is pronounced as a central vowel [9:].
- (iii) Consonantal emphasis is particularly weak and /q/ is further forward than in A's speech.
- (iv)  $\delta a$  is found for the feminine indefinite article  $\dot{g}\delta a$ -.
- (v) Pretonic shortening does not occur consistently, e.g.  $b\bar{a}baw\bar{a}\theta a$  'fathers' (B:2) for  $babaw\bar{a}\theta a$ .

### **ALQOSH**

(1) 'alqóš ... ŏa-māθa 'atèqta,' kabíra 'atèqtɛ-la' b-zòna' ya'ni ... gdá'ṛa l- ... trē' ṭlaθā'alpe ... šènne' b-zòna,' qam mšíḥa be-trē-'alpe šènne Eprobably. El (2) 'u kmáḥkɛ
bābawāθa 'èllaḥ' 'u māqadda 'eθwāba ... šūlāne-u' dēẋ 'ɛšìwa gāwaḥ' 'u dēẋ wēra
mšiḥaytūθa; (3) 'u māqada θēlɛ qaddìše-u ...' xaweðṛānaḥ' 'u 'èšlɛ' 'u bnēlɛ madrassāθau dèṛe-u' 'u 'éšlɛ xaweðṛānaḥ. (4) weddā kxāżex kabíre texróne diyèy:' x- dèṛe' 'u mazāṛe'

- (233) (The camel) went to the water. The water said, 'No no no! I'm going to put out the blacksmith's fire!'
- (234) (The water) went to the blacksmith. He said, 'No no no! I'm going to break the scissors!'
- (235) The scissors said, 'No no! I'm going to cut off the shepherd's beard!'
- (236) The shepherd said, 'No no! I'm going to beat the dog!'
- (237) The dog said, 'No! I'm going to bite the wolf!'
- (238) The wolf went to the cripple but lo and behold! He had eaten and returned home!

# **INFORMANT B**

# **ALQOSH**

(1) Alqosh is an old town, very old ... in time. I mean, it goes back<sup>72</sup> to two or three thousand years in time, two thousand years before Christ <sup>E</sup>probably.<sup>E</sup> (2) And ... the fathers talk about it<sup>73</sup> and how much it had ... in the way of jobs, how they lived there and how Christianity came;<sup>74</sup> (3) and how many saints came... around it and lived and built schools and monasteries and lived around it. (4) We still see many of their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> A corrects to 'I'll go back'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> I.e. one generation to the next.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Literally: entered.

diyèy d-ile pìše, xaweðrāned- ... 'àlquš.' (5) ktáxrex mar-mìxa ' 'o-malpāna qamāya θθēle' ' u tūle' go 'alqòš-u' pθexle ' áwwal-madràssa<sup>29</sup> mmalópe<sup>30</sup> nāše dìyah, ' nāšd-alqòš.' <sup>2</sup>u bnēle <sup>2</sup>ēta.' (6) <sup>2</sup>u ... ktáxrex rabban-àrmez' θ-θēle baθer tlaθa-dāre-u' bnēle dέra go ţūra, d-ile qarìwa. (7) 'u ktáxrex mar-qàrdax de-θēle b-áθ-xarayūθa. ham ... péšle teθkār<sup>31</sup> dìveh tāma.' (8) 'u ktáxrex mar-yuhànnan,' mar-sādòna,' mart-šmòni,' 'u maršèm<sup>c</sup>un<sup>1</sup> 'u kabírē-la gaddíše xaweðrānah.'

(9) u 'álquš 'iba tettē-'ētā $\theta a$ , 'rābe: 'rēted-mar-giwarges 'rab $\theta a$ , d-ila ...' - 'u'ēted-mar-mìxa' d-ila garūta 'èllah,' b-álguš 'atègta.' (10) dáha, b-án-šenne xarāve,' weðle 'ēted-mar-qàrdaġ,' ham ltēx.' (11) 'u 'iθen 'ēted- ... mar-yòsep' d-ila ham p-pàlga,'  $ma\ddot{\partial}eph\varepsilon-la-u\ \dot{e}t\varepsilon-la'\ (12)\ \dot{u}\ \dots\ \dot{u}\ \dot{a}\dot{v}-ile.'\ \dot{a}\theta\ kabíre\ texraw \dot{a}\theta a^{331}\ \dot{u}\ \dot{g}\varepsilon r\ me-tr\bar{e}-d\dot{e}re'\ d$ iθen garíwed-àlquš: déred-rabban-òrmez, d-ile p-tūra t-kúl-nāše kēðìle-u wole šmì elleh.' (13) 'u dáha wole spìga' ... Ama'a-l-'ásaf d-ile spìga,' šwìga.' (14) 'u déred-pθòlta' dera xtāya t-qqārèle, 341 d-ile qaríwa l-alqòš ' u d-ile ... dáha mélye-le d-ibe rabbāne be'vāša ba'ad gāweh.

# **FESTIVALS**

(15) 'u mmáḥkex l- ... 'ēðed-bi-yàlde' go 'àlquš' texrónd-hwεθd-mšìḥa, kuðéxle kùčat.' (16) 'u māqada nāše kmaḥéðri ṭāleḥ' 'u kpàṣxi gāweḥ. 351 (17) 'u kūði kullèče, qam 'eða-u'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Corrected by A from *madràsta* which would mean 'little school' and is not appropriate here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> It would be better syntax to say here: 'u muleple 'and he taught' or 'u mšurēle mmalope 'and he began to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Arabic  $te\delta k\bar{a}r$  'memorial' (= ANA texrona).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Corrected by A from garges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> (Arab.  $te\theta k\bar{a}r + ANA - w\bar{a}\theta a$ ): Not accepted by by A who corrected to texrone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *de-agāréle* would be more normal here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Corrected by A from *kpasxi tāleh* which would mean 'they are happy for him'.

memorials: a monastery and shrines<sup>75</sup> which are remaining, around Alqosh. (5) We commemorate Mar Mixa {Michael}, the first teacher who came and settled in Alqosh, and opened the first school, teaching its people, the people of Alqosh. And he built a church. (6) And we commemorate Rabban Hormizd. He came three centuries later and built a monastery on the mountain which is nearby. (7) And we commemorate Mar Qardax who came at the end. Also ... there has remained a memorial of him there. (8) And we commemorate Mar Yuḥannan {John}, Mar Sahdona, Mart Šmoni and Mar Šem<sup>c</sup>un {Simon} and there are many saints around it.

(9) And Alqosh has two churches – big ones: the big church of Mar Giwarges {George}, which is ... and the church of Mar Mixa {Michael} which is close to it, in old Alqosh. (10) Now, in these last years, they made the church of Mar Qardax, also down below. (11) And there is the church of Mar Yosep {Joseph} which is also in the centre; it is a shrine and church. (12) And that's it. There are many memorials, quite apart from the two monasteries which there are near Alqosh: the monastery of Rabban Hormizd, which is on the mountain, which all the people know and have heard about. (13) And now it is empty ... which is unfortunately empty, abandoned. (14) And the monastery of the Virgin, which they call the Lower Monastery, which is close to Alqosh and which is ... now is full, which has monks still living in it.

### **FESTIVALS**

(15) And we'll speak about the festival of Christmas in Alqosh, the commemoration of the birth of Christ which we do every year.<sup>76</sup> (16) And so many people prepare for it and celebrate it. (17) And they make date-pastries, before the festival, and they prepare for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> From the Arabic word  $maz\bar{a}r$  'place which one visits; shrine, sanctuary'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Literally: ... birth of Christ. We do it every year.

kmaḥéðri ta 'ēða' (18) 'u b-yómed-'ēða' kízi l-'ēta' p-qadàmta.' 'u gdà'ṛi,' kúllɛ nāše kāwɛ pṣìxe-u' kem'édi bèġðāðe.' (19) 'u kmaḥéðri 'ixalāne' ta 'áθ- ... munāsaba,' 'aθ-texròna d-ile ...' kmaḥéðri - 'ákθar-ši kūði kēbāyāt' 'aw hám-kemrile <sup>A</sup>pāča. <sup>A1</sup> (20) 'u našwā 'θι kízi-u kìθɛ gēbd-éġðāðe-u' ''u 'ayóde-u peṣxūθa.'

- (21) 'u báθer-mennaḥ kiθe 'ēðed- ... rēš-šāta;' ham ... 'ēða 'èryε-le.' (22) 'u báθer-mennaḥ kiθe 'ēðed-bi-dènxa,' de-'máðted-māran.<sup>361</sup> (23) ham 'ēða ṛābɛle-u;' kemgádši nāše 'ṛāże ṛāba go 'ēta' 'u kul-nāše kìzi,' kḥàðṛi' ... l-'ēðed-bi-dènxa.'
- (24) 'u ktáxrex 'ēða ... 'ēða ṛầba,' 'ēðd-qyàmta,' qyámted-mầran' d-ile ðámunāsaba ṛàbθa ham ...' de-kḥaðríla nầše,' 'u kāréla kùtšat.'
- (25) 'u qám-mennaḥ kāwe ḥéššed-māran,' 'u 'rūtet-ḥèšša,' 'u xamšošābet-péṣḥa qàm-mennaḥ;' dēx kūðìla-u' xallóle 'aqlāθeš-šamāše.' (26) kūðíla go 'ēta' 'an-téxrone kùtšat,' 'u māqada nāše kxāzéla xá-mendi rāba-u' kìzi gāwaḥ,' kemšàrki gāwaḥ.'
- (27) <sup>2</sup>u munāsabāt t-kūðílɛ nàše ...' ṣwā²ed-bè²ē-lɛ,' kēðexle kúl-nāše,' d-ile 'āde p-kúl-'alma kūðìla' bas xá-mendi-le pìša' w-ám<sup>37</sup> kūði kullèče-u ham ...' (28) qám-mennaḥ kāwe ṣòma,' ṣómet-xamšì-yome' kāwɛ ṣíme nàše' l-pèṣṛa' (29) <sup>2</sup>u ba'dēn ... qqāru 'ēða' kfáṭṛi-u kūði ... kóri go 'ēða-u' kem'èdi b-eġðāðe-u.<sup>381</sup> <sup>2</sup>u peṣxūθa.'
- (30) 'u bàθer-mennaḥ' b-àlquš ...' kíθe 'a'dād ... šēṛawāθa' 'u texróned- ... dɛṛawāθa' d-íθen xaweṇrāṅd-àlquš.' (31) 'u bèš-muhum' 'u béš ... nāše t-kēðìle' dɛṛed-

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Corrected by B from: *de-hwéθed-māran*.

 $<sup>^{37} = ^{5}</sup>u \ ham.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Corrected by A to *kem<sup>c</sup>édi 'èġðāðe*.

the festival. (18) And on the day of the festival they go to church in the early morning. And they return; all the people are happy and they celebrate together. (19) And they prepare foods for this occasion, this commemoration which is – they prepare – most of all they make  $k\bar{e}b\bar{a}ye^{77}$  or they also call it  ${}^{A}p\bar{a}\check{c}a^{A}$ . (20) And the people go and come to each other's houses<sup>78</sup> and (there is) celebrating and joy.

- (21) And ... after that comes the festival of New Year; it is also a holiday. (22) And then comes the festival of Epiphany, of the baptism of our Lord. (23) It too is a big festival; the people celebrate a great mass in the church and all the people go, attend ... the festival of Epiphany.
- (24) And we commemorate the festival... the Great Festival,<sup>80</sup> the festival of the Resurrection, the Resurrection of Our Lord, which is a great occasion, also ... which the people attend and they have off (?)<sup>81</sup> every year.
- (25) And before that is the Passion of Our Lord, and Good Friday, and Maundy Thursday before it; how they do it and washing the feet of the deacons. (26) They do them in the church, these commemorations, every year, and so many people see them as something important and they go in it, participate in it.
- (27) And the occasions that the people do .... are the painting of the eggs we know it, all the people which is a custom they do in the whole world, but it is something remaining. And they also make date-pastries and also ... (28) Beforehand is the Fast, the fast of fifty days: people are fasting, from meat. (29) And later, the festival approaches, they break their fast and make ... they enter the church and celebrate together. And (there is) happiness.
- (30) And after that, in Alqosh comes a number of vigils and commemorations, monasteries which there are in the vicinity of Alqosh. (31) And the most important and

<sup>81</sup> I.e. as a holiday (uncertain).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> A dish consisting of a sheep's stomach stuffed with rice, minced meat and herbs. In the text it is given an Arabic plural suffix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Literally: go and come *chez* each other.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Corrected from: of the birth of our Lord.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> I.e. Easter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Corrected by A to 'they congratulate each other (i.e. wish each other a happy Easter)'.

ṛábban-hàrmez, d-ile báθer tettề-šabāθa; men 'ēða ṛàba. (32) kíθε nāše kabíre gjàm'i, l-déṛa 'lāya' 'u déṛa xtāya-u' xaweðṛanèy. (33) 'u gjám'i p-peṣxūθa<sup>391</sup> ta texróned- ... ṛábban-àrmez. (34) 'u kíθε m-kul- ... kúl-dukkāned- ... d-'erāq gjám'i, m-báġdad-u m-mòṣel, kúl-xaweðrāne. (35) kíθε kmahtàfli go texróned- ... šēra ... d-rábbān-àrmez.

(36) 'να qám-mennaḥ be-ġðá-šapθa kāwíθen šēṛed-mar-'òraha' go baṭnāya' 'να šēṛed- ... mar-codìšu' go ... qarwāwed-alqòš,' go jarāḥìya' 'aw neṣṣērìya.' (37) 'να báθer-mennaḥ kiθe šēṛed- ... mar-giwàrges,' go mòṣel,' 'να šēṛed- ... mar-danìye-u,' 'να kabìrē-lɛ texrawāθa<sup>40</sup>.'

### **BAPTISM**

(38) ²u dáha mmáḥkex le-ʿmāða' d-yāla de-gbāre,' ²aw yāle d-khāwɛ:' ma t-kēðex lá-kšoqilɛ kabìra,' xátrē- ... yàrxe-u' ²aw bèš-qeṣṣa ²aw' béš-kabìra.' (39) ²u kšáqlile yāla' bābeḥ-u yèmmeḥ,' ²u našwāθa-u' qarìwe diyèy.' ³u kízi l-'èta' ²u kmaʿemðìle.' (40) kāwíθ xa-yāla ... ṭìneḥ kemríle' ²aw qaríwa ²aw qarūta.' (41) kízi kemqadmíle l-maðèpḥa,' ²u kmaʿméðle qāša,' ²u kšāqel⁴ A³imān díyan,' suraytūθa,' haymānūθa diyan s-suraytūθa,' x- ... bābawāθeḥ' ²u nāše dìyeḥ,' x-kùllɛ.' (42) ²u gdàʾṛi-u' ham kūði peṣxūθa b-bèθa' dā ʿméðlɛ yalèy.' ²u kūði ²itòta' ²u peṣxūθa.' ²u ... hādax.'

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Corrected by A from: p- $psix\bar{u}\theta a$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Cf. n. 33. Corrected by A to texrone.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Corrected by A from *kpāyeš* ... *š-šāqel*.

the most ... people that know it: the monastery of Rabban Hormizd which is two weeks afterwards, after the Great Festival. (32) Many people come, gather, at the Higher Monastery and the Lower Monastery and around them. (33) And they gather in celebration for the commemoration of Rabban Hormizd. (34) And they come from all the places of Iraq, they gather, from Baghdad and Mosul, all the surroundings. (35) They come and celebrate in the commemoration, the vigil of Rabban Hormizd.

(36) And a week before, there is the vigil of Mar Oraha {Abraham}, in Baṭnāya. And the vigil of Mar 'Odišu near Alqosh, in Jarāḥiya or Neṣṣēriya. (37) And next comes the vigil of Mar Giwarges {George}, in Mosul, and the vigil of Mar Daniye {Daniel}, and there are many commemorations.

### **BAPTISM**

(38) And now we'll speak about the baptism of a child which is born,<sup>84</sup> or children which are born:<sup>85</sup> as we know they don't leave them too long, one or two months more or less.<sup>86</sup> (39) They take the child, the father and mother, and their family and close ones. And they go to the church and baptize him. (40) There is a young man 'carrying him', they say, either a godfather or godmother. (41) They go and present him at the altar and he is baptized by the priest. And he receives our <sup>A</sup>faith<sup>A</sup>, Christianity, our religion, Christianity, like his forefathers and his people, like everyone. (42) And they go back and they also make a celebration in the house, now their children have been baptized. And they make a party and a celebration. And ... it's like that.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> According to A it is in fact in Neṣṣēriya.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Verb *bry*.

<sup>85</sup> Verb hwy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Literally: either less or more.

# **INFORMANT C**

# Notes on language

- (i) The 1pl. A-set suffix is -ux, so that 'we open' would be  $kpa\theta xux$ , not  $kpa\theta xex$ , as the other informants would say.
- (ii) C uses mex 'like' instead of x-.

### AROUND ALQOSH

(1) ²álquš wola npélta l-sepθeṭ-ṭūra<sup>42</sup> ṭūra ... ʿlòya' ṭūra ʿlòya' m-bāra ʿlāya dìyaḥ.' (2) ²u ṭlaθá-barāne xénne dìyaḥ' kpéši kúllɛ ... - bedṛāθa lā?' [bedṛāθa.'] bedṛāθa 'ē,¹ pθìxe.' (3) bedṛā 'θ kúllɛ mazṛoyāθa,' mélye mazṛoyāθa<sup>43</sup> lā?' 'ē.' (4) w-íθen maθwāθa [interruption] 'ē,' ʾarā 'θ zṛì'e,¹ 'ē.' (5) 'u 'íθen maθwāθa' qaríwe l-'àlquš,¹ 'an de-kembèni: (6) 'íθen bibānu,¹ 'u šaṛafìya,¹ 'u bozāye,¹ 'u bendwāya,¹ 'u badrìya¹ ... 'ē.' (7) 'o d-yāṣeq l'ēl yaʿni,¹ xáqṣa l-ṭūra bdā'èllɛ,¹ 'an d-béš-raḥūqe ménnaḥ p-kabìra; <sup>441</sup> mex mòṣel-u¹ 'u <sup>A</sup>sàdd<sup>A1</sup> 'ē.' (8) 'u ltēẋ m-álquš p-xàqṣa¹ kíθɛ xákma mellāle,¹ qarwāwet-šaṛafīya.¹ 'ē.¹ [lànde.¹] (9) 'u lànde 'u ...¹ [ṛa'òle.¹] 'ē ...¹ [?<sup>45</sup>] ṛa'óle-u ...lèθen.¹ 'éket-šaṛafīya¹ 'u 'āni.¹ ṛa'óle-u ḥāle.¹

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Corrected by A from *l-qemmeţ-ṭūra*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Both instances of  $mazroy\bar{a}\theta a$  corrected by A from sg. mazrota.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Corrected by A from *kabire* which does not seem to fit the syntax.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Unclear.

# **INFORMANT C**

### AROUND ALQOSH

(1) Alqosh is set on the foot<sup>87</sup> of a mountain, a high mountain, a high mountain to the north of it. (2) And its three other sides are all ... uncultivated lands, no? [Uncultivated lands.] Uncultivated lands, yes, open (lands). (3) The uncultivated lands (are) all ... plants, aren't they. Yeah. (4) And there are villages [interruption], yeah, cultivated fields, yeah. (5) And there are villages close to Alqosh, those which are visible: (6) There is Bibānu and Šaṛafiya and Bozāye and Bendwāya and Badriya. Yeah. (7) and whoever climbs above, I mean, a little up the mountain, will be able to see them, those much further away from it; like Mosul and the Adam. Yeah (8) And a little south of Alqosh come some hills, near Šaṛafiya. Yeah. [Lande.]. (9) And Lande and [valleys]. Yeah ... [(?)] Valleys and ... there aren't! By Šaṛafiya and so on: valleys and such like.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Corrected by A from 'summit'.

# **INFORMANT D**

### THE STORY OF THE BAD SON

(1) ġða-ḥukkèθa' men 'àlquš' 'íba ḥaxemθa' mmaḥkēla ṭalóxu 'axonòxu' sa'íd šāmāya.'
(2) 'éθwa xà-bāba' 'u 'éθwāle xa-bróna 'azìza.' māġad mjuréble de-mdābēṛe b-dubāṛe
ṭāwe!' (3) bròna' ... lá-wēwa déx de-b'ēle bābeḥ.' rxéšle b-'urxā 'θ plìme.' (4) māġad
kemnāṣèḥle.' 'u kem'āmēre.' lá-šmēle qāled-bābeḥ. (5) 'u p-xàrθa,' npéqle m-bèθeḥ,'
'règle,' 'u bābeḥ bimāra ṭāleh:' bròni,' lá-kpɛšet nāša.'

(6) 'u zèlle' ... l<sup>46</sup>-'áθṛa raḥūqa,' 'u fétle zòna,' 'u yémma bimāra ta bāba:' xzi mā brēle me-brònux!' (7) 'u bāba' ḥènne lebbeḥ,' 'u qèmle' bejyāla l-bròneḥ' wél de-mṭēle le-gðà-mðita' 'u šmēle' d-ile bróneḥ b-ε-mðìta.'

(8) ²an de-wéwa ... Ahurrās' b-²é-mðita kem²ārèle.' mán-iwet ²āyet?' kud-ile nexrāya.' (9) ²u zéllɛ mērɛ ta ... wazìra.' ²u ²ó-wazira bròneḥ-wēwa.' (10) mērɛ ṭāleḥ:' ²iθ xā² nexrāya;' hādax-ile,' hādax-ile šèmmeḥ' w-ādax-ile⁴² šèkleḥ.' (11) ²āmerwa: só mòθole¹ p-qešyūθa,' ²u p-qapòxe,' ²u bgo ... ṛpāsa,⁴³¹ ... ²u b-²éna marètta.' (12) zèllɛ,' kemqāréle bāba-u¹ kemmɛθéle qam bròneḥ-u⁴³¹ ²āw mrì²a¹ ²u jèhya¹ ²u m²aðba.¹ (13) kud qèmle,' kemxāzēle bròneḥ,' kemyāðèle.' (14) mère:' hā¹ kem²ēðètti!' mēre ²è,' kem²ēðènnux.' (15) mēre: hā bābi!' là-²amretwa ṭāli:' lá-kpɛšet nāša?' xzi m-ìwen daha!' dáha wazíra ṛābɛ-wen.' (16) mēre: bròni¹ ²āna lá-mēri lá-kpɛšet wazìra.' mēri: lá-kpɛšet nāša.' (17) w-én hāwetwa nāša,' lá-kmɛθetwāli b-aθ-ḥāl de-kemmɛθéli¹ p-qapòxe-u¹ j²āfa¹ ²u p-şurta maretta.' šùkran.'

 $<sup>^{46}</sup>$  Originally *b*- but corrected by A to *l*-.

 $<sup>^{47} = ^{9}</sup>u \ h\bar{a}dax$ -ile.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> According to A, *b-rapse* 'with kicks' would be better in the context.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Originally  $b\bar{a}beh$  'his father' but corrected by A.

# **INFORMANT D**

### THE STORY OF THE BAD SON

- (1) A story from Alqosh which has a moral, which will be told to you by Sa'īd Šāmāya.
- (2) There was a father and he had an only son. How hard he tried to bring him up in good discipline!<sup>88</sup> (3) (But) his son was not as his father wished. He followed<sup>89</sup> crooked ways.
- (4) How often he advised him and spoke to him! (But) he did not heed his father. (5) Finally he left his home, ran away, his father telling him, 'Son, you will never be a human being {somebody}'. (9)
- (6) He went to a far-away place. Time passed and his mother (was) saying to the father, 'Find out what became of your son!' (7) The father relented<sup>92</sup> and he started to search for his son, until he reached a town and heard that his son was in that town.
- (8) Those who were <sup>A</sup>guards<sup>A</sup> in that town apprehended him (asking him), 'Who are you?'- as he was a stranger. (9) And they went and told the mayor. Now, that mayor was his son! (10) They said to him, 'There is someone, a stranger. He's like this and such and such is his name and he looks like this.<sup>93</sup> (11) He said, 'Go and bring him with cruelty<sup>94</sup> and blows<sup>95</sup> and kicking, and without mercy.'<sup>96</sup> (12) They went, they called the father and brought him before his son, in pain, tired and tortured. (13) When he rose, he saw his son and recognized him. (14) (The son) said, 'Well, do you recognize me?' He said, 'Yes, I recognize you'. (15) He said, 'Well, father! Didn't you used to say to me, 'You will never be somebody {a human being}'. 'See what I am now. Now I am a great mayor'. (16) He said, 'Son, I did not say you would not be a mayor. I said you would never be a human being {somebody}. (17) If you had been a human being {somebody}, you would not have brought me in this way that you brought me, with blows and pushing and scowling'. <sup>A</sup>Thank you<sup>A</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> *dubāre* is in fact plural. 'Good practices' might be another translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Literally: walked in.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Literally: hear his father's voice.

Literally: you will not become ... The moral of this story is based on the double meaning of  $n\bar{a}sa$ : 'somebody' (i.e. somebody important) and 'human' (i.e. humane).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Literally: The father, his heart relented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Literally: Thus is his appearance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Literally: harshness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> *qapoxe* are blows to the back and sides of the head (not the face).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Literally: with a bitter eye.

# REFERENCES

- AVINERY, I., 1978, 'A Folktale in the Neo-Aramaic Dialect of the Jews of Zakho', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 98, pp. 92-96.
- BLAU J., 1975, Le Kurde de 'Amādiya et de Djabal Sindjār: Analyse linguistique, textes folkloriques, glossaires, Paris: Librairie C. Klincksieck.
- BOFF DKHISSI, M., 1983, 'Contribution à l'étude expérimentale des consonnes d'arrière de l'Arabe Classique (locuteurs marocains)', in *Travaux de l'Institut de Phonétique de Strasbourg* 15.
- CHEIKHO, J.H., 1992, kaldān al-qarn al-cašrīn: dirāsa mujmila can al-mujtama al-kaldāni (in Arabic, English title: Chaldeans in the 20<sup>th</sup> Century), Detroit: The Author.
- CLARITY, B.E., STOWASSER, K., and WOLFE, R.C. (eds.), 1964, *A Dictionary of Iraqi Arabic: English Arabic*, The Richard Slade Harrell Arabic Series, no. 6. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- COWAN, J.M., cf. WEHR.
- COWELL, M.W., 1964, *A Reference Grammar of Syrian Arabic*, The Richard Slade Harrell Arabic Series, no. 7. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- FIEY, J.M., 1965, Assyrie Chrétienne: Contribution à l'Étude de l'Histoire et de la Géographie Écclesiastiques et Monastiques de Nord de l'Iraq, vol 2., Beirut: Imprimerie Catholique.
- FOX, S.E., 1994, 'The relationships of the Eastern Neo-Aramaic dialects', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 114, pp. 154-162.
- -----, 1997, *The Neo-Aramaic dialect of Jilu*, Semitica Viva 16. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

- GARBELL, I., 1965a, The Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialect of Persian Azerbaijan: Linguistic analysis and folkloristic texts, Janua Linguarum Series Practica III. The Hague: Mouton.
- GARBELL, I., 1965b, 'The Impact of Kurdish and Turkish on the Jewish Neo-Aramaic dialect of Persian Azerbaijan and the adjoining regions', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 85, pp. 159-177.
- GUIDI, I, 1883, 'Beiträge zur Kenntniss des neu-aramäischen Fellîḥî-Dialektes', Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 37, pp. 293-318.
- HETZRON, R., 1969, 'The Morphology of the Verb in Modern Syriac (Christian Colloquial of Urmi)', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 89, pp. 112-127.
- HOBERMAN, R.D., 1985, 'The Phonology of Pharangeals and Pharangealization in Pre-Modern Aramaic', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 105, pp. 221-231.
- -----, 1988, 'The history of the Modern Aramaic pronouns and pronominal suffixes', *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 108, pp. 557-575.
- -----, 1989, The Syntax and Semantics of Verb Morphology in Modern Aramaic: A Jewish Dialect of Iraqi Kurdistan, American Oriental Series, 69. New Haven: American Oriental Society.
- -----, 1990, 'Reconstructing Pre-Modern Aramaic Morphology: The Independent Pronouns', in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies 36. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 79-88.
- -----, 1993, 'Chaldean Aramaic of Zakho', in R. Contini, F. Pennacchietti and M. Tosco (eds.), *Semitica: Serta philologica Constantino Tsereteli dicata*, Turin: Silvio Zamorani, pp. 115-126.
- -----, 1997, 'The Modern Chaldean Pronunciation of Classical Syriac', in Asma Afsaruddin and A.H. Mathias Zahniser (eds.), *Humanism, Culture, and Language in the Near East: Studies in Honor of Georg Krotkoff*, Winona Lake, Ind.: Eisenbrauns, pp. 253-265.

- HOPKINS, S., 1989, 'Neo-Aramaic dialects and the formation of the preterite', *Journal of Semitic Studies* 34/2, pp. 413-432.
- INTERNATIONAL PHONETIC ASSOCIATION, 2000 (1<sup>st</sup> edition 1999), *The Handbook of the International Phonetic Association*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- JACOBI, H., 1973, 'Grammatik des thumischen Neuaramäisch (Nordostsyrien)', Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes 40/3. Wiesbaden.
- JASTROW, O., 1978, 'Die mesopotamisch-arabischen Qəltu-Dialekte Band I: Phonologie und Morphologie', in *Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes* 43/4. Wiesbaden. Deutsche Morgenländische Gesellschaft.
- -----, 1979, 'Zur Arabische Mundart von Mossul', in Zeitschrift für Arabische Linguistik 2, pp. 36-75.
- -----, 1981, 'Die mesopotamisch-arabischen qəltu-Dialekte Band II: Volkskundliche Texte in elf Dialekten', in *Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes* 46/1. Wiesbaden. Deutsches Morgenländische Gesellschaft.
- -----, 1982, 'Die Struktur des Neuarabischen', in W. Fischer, W. (ed.), *Grundriss der Arabischen Philologie, Band I: Sprachwissenschaft*, Wiesbaden. Reichert, pp. 128-141.
- -----, 1983, 'Tikrīt Arabic Verb Morphology in a Comparative Perspective', in Ramzi Baalbaki (ed.), *Al-Abhath* 31 (Special volume: Arabic Language and Culture). Beirut: American University of Beirut, pp. 99-110.
- -----, 1988, *Der neuaramäische Dialekt von Hertevin (Provinz Siirt)*, Semitica Viva 3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- -----, 1990a, 'Personal and Demonstrative Pronouns in Central Neo-Aramaic', in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 89-103.
- -----, 1990b, *Der arabische Dialekt der Juden von 'Aqra und Arbīl*, Semitica Viva 5. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

- -----, 1993, Laut- und Formenlehre des neuaramäischen Dialekts von Mīdin im Ṭūr 'Abdīn (4<sup>th</sup> edition), Semitica Viva 9. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz
- -----, 1997a, 'Zum neuaramäische Dialekt von Hassane (Provinz Şırnak)', in Asma Afsaruddin and A.H. Mathias Zahniser (eds.), *Humanism, Culture, and Language in the Near East: Studies in Honor of Georg Krotkoff*, Winona Lake, Ind.: Eisenbrauns, pp. 275-281.
- JOSEPH, J., 2000, The Modern Assyrians of the Middle East: Encounters with Western Christian Missions, Archaeologists, and Colonial Powers, Leiden: Brill.
- KHAN, G., 1999, A Grammar of Neo-Aramaic, Leiden: Brill.
- -----, 2002, The Neo-Aramaic dialect of Qaragosh, Leiden: Brill.
- KROTKOFF G., 1982, *A Neo-Aramaic dialect of Kurdistan*, New Haven: American Oriental Society.
- -----, 1985, 'Studies in Neo-Aramaic Lexicology', in Ann Kort and Scott Morschauser (eds.), *Biblical and Related Studies presented to Samuel Iwry*, Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, pp. 123-134.
- LADEFOGED P., 1968, *A Phonetic Study of West African Languages* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition.), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- LADEFOGED P. and MADDIESON I., 1996, *The Sounds of the World's Languages*, Oxford: Blackwell.
- LEVIAS, C., 1900, A Grammar of the Aramaic Idiom contained in the Babylonian Talmud, Cincinnati: Bloch (reprinted 1971 by Gregg International).
- MACLEAN, A.J., 1895, *Grammar of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- -----, 1901, A Dictionary of the Dialects of Vernacular Syriac as Spoken by the Eastern Syrians of Kurdistan, North-West Persia, and the Plain of Mosul, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- MACKENZIE, D.N., 1961, Kurdish dialect studies I, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- -----, 1990, 'Pashto', in B. Comrie (ed.), *The Major Languages of South Asia, The Middle East and Africa*, London: Routledge.
- MENGOZZI, A., 2002a, Israel of Alqosh and Joseph of Telkepe, A story in a truthful language: religious poems in vernacular Syriac (North Iraq, 17<sup>th</sup> Century), vol. I: Text and Glossary, Corpos Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium vol 589, Scriptores Syri Tomus 230. Leuven: Peeters.
- -----, 2002b, Israel of Alqosh and Joseph of Telkepe, A story in a truthful language: religious poems in vernacular Syriac (North Iraq, 17<sup>th</sup> Century), vol. II: Introduction and Translation, Corpos Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium vol 590, Scriptores Syri Tomus 231. Leuven: Peeters.
- MOLE, K., 2000, *The Chaldean dialect of Zakho: a dialect of North-Eastern Neo-Aramaic*, unpublished dissertation for the M.Phil degree, Faculty of Oriental Studies, University of Cambridge.
- MURRE-VAN DEN BERG, H.L., 1999a, From a Spoken to a Written Language: The Introduction and Development of Literary Urmia Aramaic in the Nineteenth Century, Publication of the 'De Goeje Fund' 28. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor het Nabije Oosten.
- -----, 1999b, 'The Patriarchs of the Church of the East from the Fifteenth to Eighteenth Centuries', *Hugoye: Journal of Syriac Studies* 2/2, http://syrcom.cua.edu/Hugoye/Vol2No2/HV2N2Murre.html.
- MUTZAFI, H., 2000a, 'The Neo-Aramaic dialect of Maha Khtaya d-Baz: Phonology, morphology and texts', *Journal of Semitic Studies* 45/2, pp. 293-322.
- -----, 2000b The Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Koy Sanjaq (Iraqi Kurdistan), Phonology, Morphology, Text, and Glossary, Ph.D. Thesis, Tel-Aviv University.
- NÖLDEKE, T., 1868, Grammatik der neusyrischen Sprache am Urmia-See und in Kurdistan, Leipzig: T.O. Weigel.
- -----, 1904, *Compendious Syriac Grammar* (translated by James A. Crichton). London: Williams and Norgate.

- ODISHO, E., 1988, *The Sound System of Modern Assyrian (Neo-Aramaic)*, Semitica Viva 2. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- PANOUSSI, E., 1990, 'On the Senaya dialect', in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 107-129.
- PAYNE SMITH, J., 1902 (reprinted 1999 by Wipf and Stock Publishers), A Compendious Syriac Dictionary, founded upon the Thesaurus Syriacus of R. Payne Smith, D.D., Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- POIZAT, B., 1990, 'La Complainte sur la Peste de Pioz', in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 161-179.
- -----, 1993, 'La peste de Pioz. Suite et fin', in R. Contini, F. Pennacchietti and M. Tosco (eds.), *Semitica: Serta philologica Constantino Tsereteli dicata*, Turin: Silvio Zamorani, pp. 227-272.
- POLOTSKY, H.J., 1961, 'Studies in Modern Syriac', *Journal of Semitic Studies* 6, pp. 1-32 (= Collected Papers. Jerusalem 1971, pp. 585-616).
- RHÉTORÉ, J., 1912, Grammaire de la langue Soureth ou Chaldéen vulgaire, selon le dialecte de la plaine de Mossoul et des pays adjacents, Mossoul: Imprimerie des Pères Dominicains.
- RIZGAR, B., 1993, Kurdish-English, English-Kurdish Dictionary, London: M.F. Onen.
- RUBBA, J., 1993, Forms derived from Verbal Roots in Tisqoopa Modern Aramaic, in R. Contini, F. Pennacchietti and M. Tosco (eds.), Semitica: Serta philologica Constantino Tsereteli dicata, Turin: Silvio Zamorani, pp. 115-126.
- SABAR, Y., 1976, Pəšaṭ Wayəhî Bəšallaḥ. A Neo-Aramaic Midrash on Bəšallaḥ (Exodus). Introduction, phonetic transcription, translation, notes and glossary, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- -----, 1978, 'From Tel-Kepe (A Pile of Stones) in Iraqi Kurdistan to Providence, Rhode Island: The Story of a Chaldean Immigrant to the U.S.A in 1927', in *Journal of the American Oriental Society* 98/4, pp. 410-5.

- -----, 1993, 'A Folktale and Folk Songs in the Christian Neo-Aramaic Dialect of Tel-Kēpe (Northern Iraq)', in R. Contini, F. Pennacchietti and M. Tosco (eds.), Semitica: Serta philologica Constantino Tsereteli dicata, Turin: Silvio Zamorani, pp. 289-297.
- -----, 1996, 'Christian Neo-Aramaic Love Songs in a Jewish Manuscript', in *Israel Oriental Studies* 16, Studies in Modern Semitic Languages, pp. 85-91.
- -----, 2002, A Jewish Neo-Aramaic Dictionary: Dialects of Amidya, Dihok, Nerwa and Zakho, northwestern Iraq, Semitica Viva 28. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SACHAU, E., 1895, Skizze des Fellichi-Dialekts von Mosul. Abhandlungen der Königlich-Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin vom Jahre 1895, Berlin.
- SARA, S.I., 1974, *A description of Modern Chaldean*. Janua Linguarum. Series Practica 213. Mouton Co. The Hague, Paris.
- -----, 1990, 'Feminine gender in Modern Chaldean: Form and Function' in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 45-52.
- SINHA, J., 2000, Der neuostaramäische Dialekt von B'spən (Provinz Mardin, Südosttürkei): Eine grammatische Darstellung, Semitica Viva 24. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
- SOCIN, A., 1882, Die neuaramäischen Dialekte von Urmia bis Mosul, Tübingen: Laupp.
- SOKOLOFF, M., 2002, A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods, Ramat Gan: Bar Ilan University Press; Baltimore and London: The John Hopkins University Press.
- TOSCO, M., 2002, 'On Aspiration and Emphasis in Eastern Neo-Aramaic', in W. Arnold and H. Bobzin (eds.), "Sprich doch mit deinen Knechten aramäisch, wir verstehen es!" 60 Beiträge zur Semitistik. Festschrift für Otto Jastrow zum 60. Geburtstag, Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, pp. 737-754.

- TSERETELI, K.G., 1978, *The Modern Assyrian language* (English translation of Tsereteli 1964), Moscow: Nauka publishing house.
- -----, 1990, 'The Velar Spirant ġ in Modern East Aramaic Dialects', in W. Heinrichs (ed.), *Studies in Neo-Aramaic*, Harvard Semitic Studies. Atlanta: Scholars Press, pp. 35-42.
- WEHR, H., 1976, A Dictionary of Modern Written Arabic edited by J. Milton Cowan.

  Third Edition, Ithaca, New York: Spoken Languages Services, Inc.
- WOODHEAD, D.R. AND BEENE, W. (eds.), 1967, A Dictionary of Iraqi Arabic: Arabic-English, The Richard Slade Harrell Arabic Series, no. 10. Washington D.C.: Georgetown University Press.
- WURZEL, P., 1997, Einführung in die kurdische Sprache: Schlüssel und Wörterverzeichnes, Wiesbaden: Reichert.
- YOUNANSARDAROUD, H., 2001, Der neuostaramäische Dialekt von Särdä:rïd, Semitica Viva 26. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.