Progress Seminar

An automated triple modular redundancy EDA flow for Yosys

Matt Young

01 October 2024

University of Queensland
School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. John Williams

Table of contents

Background

Single Event Upsets

Fault tolerant computing is important for safety critical sectors (aerospace, defence, medicine, etc.)

For space-based applications, Single Event Upsets (SEUs) are very common

- Bit flips caused by ionising radiation
- Must be mitigated to prevent catastrophic failures

Even in terrestrial applications, SEUs can still occur

- Must be mitigated for high reliability applications



Source: https://www.cogenda.com/article/SEE

Motivation

Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs) and Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) commonly deployed in space (and on Earth)...

Motivation

Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs) and Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) commonly deployed in space (and on Earth)... but protection from SEUs remains expensive!

RAD750 CPU [1] (James Webb Space Telescope, Curiosity rover, + many more) is commonly used, but costs **>$200,000 USD** [2]!

Triple Modular Redundancy



Triple Modular Redundancy

TMR can be added manually...

but this is **time consuming** and **error prone**.

Can we automate it?

TaMaRa

Concept

Implement TMR as a pass in an EDA synthesis tool.

- Integrated with the rest of the flow
- Easy to use
- Fully automated

Concept

Implement TMR as a pass in an EDA synthesis tool.

- Integrated with the rest of the flow
- Easy to use
- Fully automated

Goal: Pick any design, of any complexity, “press a button” and have it be rad-hardened.

Concept

Implement TMR as a pass in an EDA synthesis tool.

- Integrated with the rest of the flow
- Easy to use
- Fully automated

Goal: Pick any design, of any complexity, “press a button” and have it be rad-hardened.

Yosys [3] is the best (and the only) open-source, research grade EDA synthesis tool.

Concept

Implement TMR as a pass in an EDA synthesis tool.

- Integrated with the rest of the flow
- Easy to use
- Fully automated

Goal: Pick any design, of any complexity, “press a button” and have it be rad-hardened.

Yosys [3] is the best (and the only) open-source, research grade EDA synthesis tool.

- Proprietary vendor tools (Synopsys, Cadence, Xilinx, etc) immediately discarded
- Can’t be extended to add custom passes

Existing works

Two main paradigms:

- **Design-level approaches** (“thinking in terms of HDL”)
  - Kulis [4], Lee [5]
- **Netlist-level approaches** (“thinking in terms of circuits”)
  - Johnson [6], Benites [7], Skouson [8]

The TaMaRa algorithm

TaMaRa is mainly netlist-driven. Voter insertion is inspired by Benites [7] "logic cones" concept, and parts of Johnson [6].

Also propagate a Verilog annotation to select TMR granularity (like Kulis [4]).

Runs after techmapping (i.e. after `abc` in Yosys)



Verification

Comprehensive verification procedure using formal methods, simulation and fuzzing.

Driven by SymbiYosys tools *eqy* and *mcy*

- In turn driven by Satisfiability Modulo Theorem (SMT) solvers (Yices [9], Boolector [10], etc)

Formal verification

Equivalence checking: Formally verify that the circuit is functionally equivalent before and after the TaMaRa pass.

- Ensures TaMaRa does not change the underlying behaviour of the circuit.

Formal verification

Equivalence checking: Formally verify that the circuit is functionally equivalent before and after the TaMaRa pass.

- Ensures TaMaRa does not change the underlying behaviour of the circuit.

Mutation: Formally verify that TaMaRa-processed circuits correct SEUs (single bit only)

- Ensures TaMaRa does its job!

Formal verification

Equivalence checking: Formally verify that the circuit is functionally equivalent before and after the TaMaRa pass.

- Ensures TaMaRa does not change the underlying behaviour of the circuit.

Mutation: Formally verify that TaMaRa-processed circuits correct SEUs (single bit only)

- Ensures TaMaRa does its job!

Beltrame's verification tool [11] was considered, but is not complete and does not compile under modern Clang/GCC.

Fuzzing

TaMaRa must work for *all* input circuits, so we need to test at scale.

Fuzzing

TaMaRa must work for *all* input circuits, so we need to test at scale.

Idea:

1. Use Verismith [12] to generate random Verilog RTL.
2. Run TaMaRa synthesis end-to-end.
3. Use formal equivalence checking to verify the random circuits behave the same before/after TMR.

Fuzzing

TaMaRa must work for *all* input circuits, so we need to test at scale.

Idea:

1. Use Verismith [12] to generate random Verilog RTL.
2. Run TaMaRa synthesis end-to-end.
3. Use formal equivalence checking to verify the random circuits behave the same before/after TMR.

Problem: Mutation

- We need valid testbenches for these random circuits
- Requires automatic test pattern generation (ATPG), highly non-trivial
- Future topic of further research

Simulation

We want to simulate an SEU environment.

- UQ doesn't have the capability to expose FPGAs to real radiation
- Physical verification is challenging (particularly measurement)

Simulation

We want to simulate an SEU environment.

- UQ doesn't have the capability to expose FPGAs to real radiation
- Physical verification is challenging (particularly measurement)

Use one of Verilator or Yosys' own cxxrtl to simulate a full design.

- Each simulator has different trade-offs
- Currently considering picorv32 or Hazard3 RISC-V CPUs as the Device Under Test (DUT)

Simulation

We want to simulate an SEU environment.

- UQ doesn't have the capability to expose FPGAs to real radiation
- Physical verification is challenging (particularly measurement)

Use one of Verilator or Yosys' own cxxrtl to simulate a full design.

- Each simulator has different trade-offs
- Currently considering picorv32 or Hazard3 RISC-V CPUs as the Device Under Test (DUT)

Concept:

- Iterate over the netlist, randomly consider flipping a bit every cycle
  - May be non-trivial depending on simulator
- Write a self-checking testbench and ensure that the DUT responds correctly (e.g. RISC-V CoreMark)

Current status & future

Current status

Algorithm design and planning essentially complete. Yosys internals (particularly RTLIL) understood to a satisfactory level (still learning as I go).

Current status

Algorithm design and planning essentially complete. Yosys internals (particularly RTLIL) understood to a satisfactory level (still learning as I go).

C++ development well under way, approaching 1000 lines across 8 files. Using modern C++20 features like `shared_ptr` and `std::variant` meta-programming.

Current status

Algorithm design and planning essentially complete. Yosys internals (particularly RTLIL) understood to a satisfactory level (still learning as I go).

C++ development well under way, approaching 1000 lines across 8 files. Using modern C++20 features like `shared_ptr` and `std::variant` meta-programming.

Designed majority voters and other simple circuits in Logisim and translated to SystemVerilog HDL.

Current status

Algorithm design and planning essentially complete. Yosys internals (particularly RTLIL) understood to a satisfactory level (still learning as I go).

C++ development well under way, approaching 1000 lines across 8 files. Using modern C++20 features like `shared_ptr` and `std::variant` meta-programming.

Designed majority voters and other simple circuits in Logisim and translated to SystemVerilog HDL.

Started on formal equivalence checking for TaMaRa voters and simple manually-designed combinatorial circuits.

Current status

Algorithm design and planning essentially complete. Yosys internals (particularly RTLIL) understood to a satisfactory level (still learning as I go).

C++ development well under way, approaching 1000 lines across 8 files. Using modern C++20 features like `shared_ptr` and `std::variant` meta-programming.

Designed majority voters and other simple circuits in Logisim and translated to SystemVerilog HDL.

Started on formal equivalence checking for TaMaRa voters and simple manually-designed combinatorial circuits.

Programming hopefully finished *around* February 2025, verification by April 2025.

Progress: Automatically triplicating a NOT gate and inserting a voter

Original circuit:




```
(* tamara_triplicate *)
module not_triplicate(
    input logic a,
    input logic clk,
    output logic o
);

logic ff;

always_ff @(posedge clk) begin
    ff <= a;
end

assign o = !ff;

endmodule
```

Progress: Automatically triplicating a NOT gate and inserting a voter

After `tamara_debug replicateNot`:



Progress: Automatically triplicating a NOT gate and inserting a voter

Results:

- NOT circuit identified in `tamara::LogicGraph`
- RTLIL primitives replicated correctly
- Voter inserted using `tamara::VoterBuilder`
- Voter *not* yet wired up to main design
- Replicated components *not* yet re-wired

Progress: Equivalence checking

Voter circuit:

a	b	c	out	err
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0

```
module voter(
    input logic a,
    input logic b,
    input logic c,
    output logic out,
    output logic err
);
    assign out = (a && b) || (b && c) || (a && c);
    assign err = (!a && c) || (a && !b) || (b && !c);
endmodule
```

Progress: Equivalence checking

Manual design in Logisim:



Progress: Equivalence checking

```
    Voter
tamara::VoterBuilder::build(RTLIL::Module
*module) {
        // NOT
        // a -> not0 -> and2
        WIRE(not0, and2);
        NOT(0, a, not0_and2_wire);
        ...

        // AND
        // b, c -> and0 -> or0
        WIRE(and0, or0);
        AND(0, b, c, and0_or0_wire);
        ...

        // OR
        // and0, and1 -> or0 -> or2
        WIRE(or0, or2);
        OR(0, and0_or0_wire,
and1_or0_wire, or0_or2_wire);
        ...

        return ...;
    }
```



$auto$tamara_debug.cpp:57:execute$1

Progress: Equivalence checking

Marked equivalent by eqy in conjunction with Yices!

```
~/w/t/build (master) [n] ⤑ eqy -f ../tests/formal/equivalence/voter.eqy
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] read_gold: starting process "yosys -ql voter/gold.log voter/gold.ys"
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] read_gold: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] read_gate: starting process "yosys -ql voter/gate.log voter/gate.ys"
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] read_gate: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] combine: starting process "yosys -ql voter/combine.log voter/combine.ys"
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] combine: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] partition: starting process "cd voter; yosys -ql partition.log partition.ys"
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] partition: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: starting process "make -C voter -f strategies.mk"
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: make: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/voter'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: Running strategy 'sby' on 'voter.err'..
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: Proved equivalence of partition 'voter.err' using strategy 'sby'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: Running strategy 'sby' on 'voter.out'..
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: Proved equivalence of partition 'voter.out' using strategy 'sby'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: make -f strategies.mk summary
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: make[1]: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/voter'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: make[1]: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/voter'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: make: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/voter'
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] run: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] Successfully proved equivalence of partition voter.out
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] Successfully proved equivalence of partition voter.err
EQY 22:47:32 [voter] Successfully proved designs equivalent
EQY 22:47:33 [voter] summary: Elapsed clock time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:47:33 [voter] summary: Elapsed process time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:47:33 [voter] DONE (PASS, rc=0)
```

Progress: Equivalence checking (Voter insertion)

Original, very simple circuit:



Progress: Equivalence checking (Voter insertion)

After manual voter insertion (using SystemVerilog):



Progress: Equivalence checking (Voter insertion)

Are they equivalent? Yes! (Thankfully)

```
~/w/t/build (master) [n] ⤫> eqy -f ../tests/formal/equivalence/not_voter.eqy
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gold: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/gold.log not_voter/gold.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gold: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gate: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/gate.log not_voter/gate.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gate: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] combine: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/combine.log not_voter/combine.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] combine: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] partition: starting process "cd not_voter; yosys -ql partition.log partition.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] partition: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: starting process "make -C not_voter -f strategies.mk"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: Running strategy 'sby' on 'design.o'..
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: Proved equivalence of partition 'design.o' using strategy 'sby'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make -f strategies.mk summary
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make[1]: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make[1]: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] Successfully proved equivalence of partition design.o
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] Successfully proved designs equivalent
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] summary: Elapsed clock time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] summary: Elapsed process time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] DONE (PASS, rc=0)
```

Progress: Equivalence checking (Voter insertion)

Are they equivalent? Yes! (Thankfully)

```
~/w/t/build (master) [n] ⚡> eqy -f ../tests/formal/equivalence/not_voter.eqy
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gold: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/gold.log not_voter/gold.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gold: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gate: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/gate.log not_voter/gate.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] read_gate: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] combine: starting process "yosys -ql not_voter/combine.log not_voter/combine.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] combine: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] partition: starting process "cd not_voter; yosys -ql partition.log partition.ys"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] partition: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: starting process "make -C not_voter -f strategies.mk"
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: Running strategy 'sby' on 'design.o'..
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: Proved equivalence of partition 'design.o' using strategy 'sby'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make -f strategies.mk summary
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make[1]: Entering directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make[1]: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: make: Leaving directory '/home/matt/workspace/tamara/build/not_voter'
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] run: finished (returncode=0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] Successfully proved equivalence of partition design.o
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] Successfully proved designs equivalent
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] summary: Elapsed clock time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] summary: Elapsed process time [H:MM:SS (secs)]: 0:00:00 (0)
EQY 22:10:20 [not_voter] DONE (PASS, rc=0)
```

Caveat: Still need to verify circuits with more complex logic (i.e. DFFs).

The future

Tasks that remain (more or less):

- Fixing duplicate logic elements when replicating RTLIL primitives
- Wiring voter to logic elements, and wiring replicated logic elements to the rest of the circuit
- Considering wiring for feedback circuits *(expected to be complex/massive time sink!)*
- Global routing of error signal to a net
- Processing complex circuits like picorv32
- Writing a cycle-accurate fault-injection simulator, and associated testbenches
- Formal equivalence checking for complex circuits
- Formal mutation coverage
- Fuzzing *(if time permits)*

The future

I’m aiming to produce at least one proper academic publication from this thesis, about TaMaRa.

The future

I'm aiming to produce at least one proper academic publication from this thesis, about TaMaRa.

TaMaRa plugin code and tests will be released open-source under the Mozilla Public Licence 2.0 (used by Firefox, Eigen, etc).

Papers, including thesis and hopefully any future academic publications, will be available under CC-BY.

In short, TaMaRa will be freely available for anyone to use and build on.

The future

I'm aiming to produce at least one proper academic publication from this thesis, about TaMaRa.

TaMaRa plugin code and tests will be released open-source under the Mozilla Public Licence 2.0 (used by Firefox, Eigen, etc).

Papers, including thesis and hopefully any future academic publications, will be available under CC-BY.

In short, TaMaRa will be freely available for anyone to use and build on.

I have also spoken with the team at YosysHQ GmbH and Sandia National Laboratories, who are very interested in the results of this project and its applications.

Conclusion

Summary

- TaMaRa: Automated triple modular redundancy EDA flow for Yosys
- Fully integrated into Yosys suite
- Takes any circuit, helps to prevent it from experiencing SEUs by adding TMR
- Netlist-driven algorithm based on Johnson's work [6] (TODO NOT TRUE)
- **Key goal:** "Click a button" and have any circuit run in space/in high reliability environments!

I'd like to extend my gratitude to N. Engelhardt of YosysHQ, the team at Sandia National Laboratories, and my supervisor Assoc. Prof. John Williams for their support and interest during this thesis so far.

References

[1] R. Berger *et al.*, "The RAD750™ - a radiation hardened PowerPC™ processor for high performance spaceborne applications," in *2001 IEEE Aerospace Conference Proceedings (Cat. No.01TH8542)*, 2001, pp. 2263–2272. doi: 10.1109/AERO.2001.931184.

[2] H. Hagedoorn, "NASA Perseverance rover 200 MHZ CPU costs $200K." Accessed: Aug. 20, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.guru3d.com/story/nasa-perseverance-rover-200-mhz-cpu-costs-200k/

[3] C. Wolf and J. Glaser, "Yosys - A Free Verilog Synthesis Suite," in *Proceedings of Austrochip 2013*, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://yosyshq.net/yosys/files/yosys-austrochip2013.pdf

[4] S. Kulis, "Single Event Effects mitigation with TMRG tool," *Journal of Instrumentation*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. C01082–C01082, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/12/01/c01082.

[5] G. Lee, D. Agiakatsikas, T. Wu, E. Cetin, and O. Diessel, "TLegUp: A TMR Code Generation Tool for SRAM-Based FPGA Applications Using HLS," in *2017 IEEE 25th Annual International Symposium on Field-Programmable Custom Computing Machines (FCCM)*, 2017, pp. 129–132. doi: 10.1109/FCCM.2017.57.

[6] J. M. Johnson and M. J. Wirthlin, "Voter insertion algorithms for FPGA designs using triple modular redundancy," in *Proceedings of the 18th annual ACM/SIGDA international symposium on Field programmable gate arrays*, in FPGA '10. ACM, Feb. 2010. doi: 10.1145/1723112.1723154.

[7] L. A. C. Benites and F. L. Kastensmidt, "Automated design flow for applying Triple Modular Redundancy (TMR) in complex digital circuits," in *2018 IEEE 19th Latin-American Test Symposium (LATS)*, 2018, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/LATW.2018.8349668.

[8] D. Skouson, A. Keller, and M. Wirthlin, "Netlist Analysis and Transformations Using SpyDrNet," in *Proceedings of the 19th Python in Science Conference*, M. Agarwal, C. Calloway, D. Niederhut, and D. Shupe, Eds., 2020, pp. 40–47. doi: 10.25080/Majora-342d178e-006.

[9] B. Dutertre, "Yices 2.2," in *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*, 2014, pp. 737–744.

[10] A. Niemetz, M. Preiner, and A. Biere, "Boolector 2.0," *Journal on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 53–58, 2014.

[11] G. Beltrame, "Triple Modular Redundancy verification via heuristic netlist analysis," *PeerJ Computer Science*, vol. 1, p. e21, Aug. 2015, doi: 10.7717/peerj-cs.21.

[12] Y. Herklotz and J. Wickerson, "Finding and Understanding Bugs in FPGA Synthesis Tools," in *ACM/SIGDA Int. Symp. on Field-Programmable Gate Arrays*, in FPGA '20. Seaside, CA, USA: ACM, 2020. doi: 10.1145/3373087.3375310.

Thank you! Any questions?