# STAT 224 Autumn 2022 Homework 2

#### Matthew Zhao

### Question 1

```
fevdata = read.table("http://www.stat.uchicago.edu/~yibi/s224/data/fevdata.txt", h = TRUE)
fevdata$sex = factor(fevdata$sex, labels=c("Female", "Male"))
fevdata$smoke = factor(fevdata$smoke, labels=c("Nonsmoker", "Smoker"))
```

#### Q1a — 6 points

```
lmm.nosmoke = lm(fev ~ age, data=subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
summary(lmm.nosmoke)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = fev ~ age, data = subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" &
##
        smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
## -1.4850 -0.3506 -0.0438 0.3511
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -0.0576
                               0.1147
                                           -0.5
                                                     0.62
                                           25.3
                   0.2882
                               0.0114
                                                   <2e-16 ***
## age
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.556 on 308 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.676, Adjusted R-squared: 0.674
## F-statistic: 641 on 1 and 308 DF, p-value: <2e-16
\hat{\beta}_0^{mn} = -0.0576, \ s.e.(\hat{\beta}_0^{mn}) = 0.1147
\hat{\beta}_{1}^{mn} = 0.2882, \ s.e.(\hat{\beta}_{1}^{mn}) = 0.0114
\hat{\sigma}^{mn} = 0.556, n = 310
```

```
Q1b — 8 points
```

```
t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j^0}{s.e.(\hat{\beta}_j)}
```

**i**)

```
t = (-0.0576 - 0)/0.1147
n=nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
pval = pt(t,df=n-p-1,lower.tail = F)
print(paste0("t-stat: ",signif(t,digits = 5)))
## [1] "t-stat: -0.50218"
print(paste0("df: ",n-p-1))
## [1] "df: 308"
print(paste0("P-value: ",signif(pval,digits = 5)))
## [1] "P-value: 0.69205"
Fail to reject null hypothesis
ii)
t = (0.2882 - 0.1)/0.0114
n=nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
pval = 2*pt(abs(t),df=n-p-1,lower.tail = F)
print(paste0("t-stat: ",signif(t,digits = 5)))
## [1] "t-stat: 16.509"
print(paste0("df: ",n-p-1))
## [1] "df: 308"
```

```
print(paste0("P-value: ",signif(pval,digits = 5)))
## [1] "P-value: 2.6709e-44"
Reject null
iii)
t = (0.2882 - 0.1)/0.0114
n=nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
p=1
pval = pt(t, df=n-p-1)
print(paste0("t-stat: ",signif(t,digits = 5)))
## [1] "t-stat: 16.509"
print(paste0("df: ",n-p-1))
## [1] "df: 308"
print(paste0("P-value: ",signif(pval,digits = 5)))
## [1] "P-value: 1"
Fail to reject null
iv)
t = (0.2882 - 0.3)/0.0114
n=nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
p=1
pval = pt(t,df=n-p-1,lower.tail = F)
print(paste0("t-stat: ",signif(t,digits = 5)))
## [1] "t-stat: -1.0351"
print(paste0("df: ",n-p-1))
## [1] "df: 308"
```

```
print(paste0("P-value: ",signif(pval,digits = 5)))
## [1] "P-value: 0.84928"
```

Fail to reject null

### Q1c — 4 points

## [1] "confidence interval: (0.26939,0.30701)"

We are 90% confident that  $\beta_1^{mn}$  is between 0.26939 and 0.30701. In words, we are 90% confident that the lung capacity in liters of male nonsmokers increases by a value between 0.26939 and 0.30701 per year.

### Q1d — 5 points

```
aggregate(age ~ sex + smoke, data=fevdata, mean)
##
        sex
                smoke
                          age
## 1 Female Nonsmoker
                      9.366
       Male Nonsmoker 9.687
## 3 Female
               Smoker 13.256
## 4
       Male
               Smoker 13.923
aggregate(age ~ sex + smoke, data=fevdata, sd)
##
                smoke
        sex
                         age
## 1 Female Nonsmoker 2.693
       Male Nonsmoker 2.778
## 3 Female
               Smoker 2.245
## 4
       Male
               Smoker 2.465
```

```
\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 \pm t_{(n-2,\frac{\alpha}{2})} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}
est = lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[1] + lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[2]*18
est
    (Intercept)
##
##
              5.13
Estimate: \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 = 5.13
n = nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
t = qt(0.05/2, n-2, lower.tail = F)
sig_hat = 0.556
x 0 = 18
x bar = 9.687
sd = 2.778
num = (x_0-x_bar)^2
denom = (n-1)*sd^2
int = t*sig_hat*sqrt((1/n)+(num/denom))
int
## [1] 0.1963
print(paste0('CI: (',signif(est-int,digits=5),',',signif(est+int,digits=5),')'))
## [1] "CI: (4.9339,5.3266)"
Q1e — 4 points
\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 \pm t_{(n-2,\frac{\alpha}{2})} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}
est = lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[1] + lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[2]*14
est
## (Intercept)
             3.977
##
Estimate: \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 = 3.977
```

```
n = nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
t = qt(0.05/2, n-2, lower.tail = F)
sig hat = 0.556
x_0 = 14
x bar = 9.687
sd = 2.778
num = (x 0-x bar)^2
denom = (n-1)*sd^2
int = t*sig hat*sqrt((1/n)+(num/denom))
int
## [1] 0.1149
print(pasteO('CI: (',signif(est-int,digits=5),',',signif(est+int,digits=5),')'))
## [1] "CI: (3.8625,4.0923)"
The interval for Q1d is wider.
Q1f — 5 points
\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 \pm t_{(n-2,\frac{\alpha}{2})} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_0 - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}
est = lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[1] + lmm.nosmoke$coefficients[2]*14
est
## (Intercept)
           3.977
##
Estimate: \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_0 = 3.977
n = nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Male" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
t = qt(0.05/2, n-2, lower.tail = F)
sig hat = 0.556
x 0 = 14
x_{bar} = 9.687
sd = 2.778
num = (x 0-x bar)^2
denom = (n-1)*sd^2
int = t*sig_hat*sqrt(1+(1/n)+(num/denom))
int
```

## [1] 1.1

```
print(paste0('CI: (',signif(est-int,digits=5),',',signif(est+int,digits=5),')'))
## [1] "CI: (2.8774,5.0775)"
```

The interval for this question is much larger since we are making a point prediction for a specific individual rather than for the average.

#### Q1g — 6 points

```
lmm.nosmoke.female = lm(fev ~ age, data=subset(fevdata, sex == "Female" & smoke == "Nonsmok
summary(lmm.nosmoke.female)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = fev ~ age, data = subset(fevdata, sex == "Female" &
       smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
##
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q Median
                                   3Q
                                          Max
## -1.0984 -0.2826 -0.0135 0.2374 1.0972
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                             0.08913
                                        7.56 5.9e-13 ***
## (Intercept) 0.67387
                 0.18209
                             0.00915
                                        19.91 < 2e-16 ***
## age
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.411 on 277 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.589, Adjusted R-squared: 0.587
## F-statistic: 396 on 1 and 277 DF, p-value: <2e-16
\hat{\beta}_0^{fn} = 0.67387, \hat{\beta}_1^{fn} = 0.18209
\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{(n-p-1,\frac{\alpha}{2})} * s.e.(\hat{\beta}_j)
beta_hat = 0.18209
se = 0.00915
n=nrow(subset(fevdata, sex == "Female" & smoke == "Nonsmoker"))
p=1
alpha = 0.1
t = qt(alpha/2,df=n-p-1,lower.tail = F)
print(paste0("confidence interval: ",'(',
              signif(beta hat-t*se,digits=5),',',
              signif(beta_hat+t*se,digits=5),')'))
```

```
## [1] "confidence interval: (0.16699,0.19719)"
```

The confidence interval for boys is (0.26939, 0.30701) while it is (0.16699, 0.19719) for girls. Since there is no overlap, the lung capacity for boys most likely grows faster than for girls.

### Question 2

```
NLSY = read.table("http://www.stat.uchicago.edu/~yibi/s224/data/NLSY.txt", header=T)
NLSYm = subset(NLSY, Gender == "male")
```

### Q2a — 6 points

```
lm1 = lm(log(Income2005) ~ AFQT, data=NLSYm)
lm2 = lm(log(Income2005) ~ AFQT + Edu2006, data=NLSYm)

print(lm1$coefficients[1])

## (Intercept)
## 3.241

lm2$coefficients[1]

## (Intercept)
## 2.476
```

For the first model the coefficient of **AFQT** is 3.241 while for the second model it is 2.476.

The coefficients are different since in the second model we also have education as a covariate. This means that the interpretation of the regression coefficient for **AFQT** is different, since in the second model it is interpreted as the change in log income from an increase of 1 percentile on the AFQT for a given level of education. This is different than for the first model which is just the change in log income from an increase of 1 percentile on the AFQT.

## Q2b — 5 points

```
yres = lm(log(Income2005) ~ Edu2006, data=NLSYm)$res
tres = lm(AFQT ~ Edu2006, data=NLSYm)$res
lm(yres ~ tres)$coef

## (Intercept) tres
## -3.023e-17 6.738e-03
```

### Q2c — 2 points

## [1] 0.1491

```
sst = sum((log(NLSYm$Income2005) - mean(log(NLSYm$Income2005)))^2)
ssr = sum((lm1$fitted.values - mean(log(NLSYm$Income2005)))^2)
ssr/sst

## [1] 0.1221

sst = sum((log(NLSYm$Income2005) - mean(log(NLSYm$Income2005)))^2)
ssr = sum((lm2$fitted.values - mean(log(NLSYm$Income2005)))^2)
ssr/sst
```

The multiple  $\mathbb{R}^2$  values obtained mean that model 1 can explain 12.21% of the variation in Y and that model 2 can explain 14.91% of the variation in Y.