All files mentioned in this document should be uploaded into the *github* repository.

Problem 1

A shell script, called *ranking.sh*, is created by a Python program – *ranking.py*. This script contains commands that will achieve the following tasks:

• Downloading the 1000 URIs from the previous assignment using curl. See examples below:

```
$curl www.cnn.com > file1.html
$curl www.yahoo.com > file2.html
$curl www.alarabiya.com > file3.html
...
```

The output files will have their names with sequential numbers (e.g. file1.html, file2.html, ...,file1000.html). A number in a file name indicates a specific URL. In other words, file1.html contains the raw html format of the first URI listed in the file links.txt while file2.html is the raw html format corresponding to the second URI in links.txt and so on.

• Remove (most) of the HTML markup from .html files and store results in files called: file1.html.processed, file2.html.processed, ..., file1000.html.processed. This can be done by the lynx command:

```
$lynx -dump -force_html file1.html > file1.html.processed
$lynx -dump -force_html file2.html > file2.html.processed
$lynx -dump -force_html file3.html > file3.html.processed
...
```

Problem 2

To count number of words, another two commands are added to the shell script ranking.sh:

• By wc command, a list of numbers of all words of all .processed files can be obtained. This list will be stored in a file called wordsFreq.txt. See the following examples:

```
$wc -w < file1.html.processed >> wordsFreq.txt
$wc -w < file2.html.processed >> wordsFreq.txt
$wc -w < file3.html.processed >> wordsFreq.txt
...
```

The output of wordsFreq.txt:

1127 2259 1322

• By both *grep* and *wc* commands, we can get a list of numbers of occurrences of a term in all *.processes* files. In this assignment, I have chosen the term **song**. The output will be stored in a file called *termFreq.txt*:

```
$grep -rohiw Shakira file1.html.processed | wc -w >>
    termFreq.txt
$grep -rohiw Shakira file2.html.processed | wc -w >>
    termFreq.txt
$grep -rohiw Shakira file3.html.processed | wc -w >>
    termFreq.txt
...
```

The output of termFreq.txt:

0 0 0 . . .

7

Because we are to choose only 10 documents containing the term, I have done all calculations shown in the below table manually.

- Number of Documents = 1000
- Number of Documents with the term song = 133

See table 1 for results.

Problem 3

Table 2 shows the estimation of the page rank of the 10 URI included in table 1 using the following page rank estimator:http://www.seocentro.com/tools/search-engines/pagerank.html. Because this tool always gives a page rank between 1 and 10, the result is divided by 10 to normalize the value to be from 0 to 1. Before even starting

Words	Term	TF	IDF(song)	TFIDF	URI
in	Freq.		, -,		
Doc.					
836	8	0.010	2.911	0.028	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					OFGgbT_VasI&feature=youtu.be
809	6	0.007	2.911	0.022	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					2XMN2dg70uU
873	6	0.007	2.911	0.020	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					qjOeKRb6fco&feature=youtu.be
1194	4	0.003	2.911	0.010	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					ln_RwnQC_vQ&feature=youtube_gdata_
					player
650	2	0.003	2.911	0.009	http://musiclikeneverbefore.com/
					index.html
1059	3	0.003	2.911	0.008	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					c7Rd5rchoiI
1034	2	0.002	2.911	0.006	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=
					xI44Xr2D0Ck&feature=youtu.be
1241	2	0.002	2.911	0.005	http://www.mjtunes.com/
1750	3	0.002	2.911	0.005	http://www.youtube.com/
					watch?v=s8QYxmpuyxg&list=
					PLEUun430sA1egklY4LVnk0_Satgfy-TY0
3825	4	0.001	2.911	0.003	http://www.5pinkave.com/

Table 1: 10 Hits for the term : song

this experience, I was almost sure that the result produced from both mechanisms will be totally different since they use different algorithms to produce the page rank. I think the page rank estimators, mentioned in question 3, involve more complicated computations. I was surprised when seeing 50 percent of the results in table 1 and 2 are identical. URIs, placed in rows 1, 3, 4, 6 and 10 are the same pages in both tables! On the other hand, I can not recogize any pateren for the rest 5 pages. For eaxmple, The URL, placed in row 2 in table 1, is in row 8 in table 2 while the fifth URL in table 1 is placed row 9 in table 2.

Problem 4

As mentioned in 'http://stackoverflow.com/questions/2557863/measures-of-association-in-r-kendalls-tau-b-and-tau-c'

$$KendallTau_b = (P - Q)/((n0 - n1)(n0 - n2))^{1/2}$$

Where:

P: concordant pairs

Page	URI			
Rank				
0.6	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OFGgbT_VasI&feature=youtu.be			
0.6	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s8QYxmpuyxg&list=			
	PLEUun430sA1egklY4LVnk0_Satgfy-TY0			
0.5	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qjOeKRb6fco&feature=youtu.be			
0.4	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ln_RwnQC_vQ&feature=			
	youtube_gdata_player			
0.4	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xI44Xr2D0Ck&feature=youtu.be			
0.3	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c7Rd5rchoiI			
0.3	http://www.mjtunes.com/			
0.2	http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2XMN2dg7OuU			
0.2	http://musiclikeneverbefore.com/index.html			
0.0	http://www.5pinkave.com/			

Table 2: The page rank estimation for the 10 URIs included in table 1

Q: discordant pairs

N0: n(n-1) / 2

n1: the number of tied pairs on x

n2: the number of pairs pairs tied on y

TFIDF	Page	Y pairs in natural order	Y pairs in reverse natural order
	Rank		
0.003	0.0	9	0
0.005	0.3	5	2
0.005	0.6	1	6
0.006	0.4	3	3
0.008	0.3	3	2
0.009	0.2	4	0
0.010	0.4	2	1
0.020	0.5	1	1
0.022	0.2	1	0
0.028	0.6	0	0

Table 3: to compute P, Q, X0 and Y0 values

From table 3 when can get the following:

$$P = 29$$

$$Q = 15$$

$$n0 = (10 * 9)/2 = 45$$

$$n1 = 2(1) / 2 = 1$$

$$N2 = (2(1) + 2(1) + 2(1) + 2(1)) / 2 = 4$$

$$KendallTau_b = (29 - 15)/((45 - 1)(45 - 4))^{1/2}$$

$$KendallTau_b = 0.32$$

$$P = ((n * \sum_{i=1}^{n} xy) - (sum_{i=1}^{n} x * sum_{i=1}^{n} y)) / (\sqrt{n(sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{2}) - (sum_{i=1}^{n} x)^{2}} * \sqrt{n(sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{2}) - (sum_{i=1}^{n} y)^{2}})$$

$$P = 0.011$$