

Lecture 20

MAC-then-Encrypt or Encrypt-then-MAC?

- Method 1: Encrypt-then-MAC
 - First compute $\text{Enc}(K_1, M)$
 - Then MAC the ciphertext: $\text{MAC}(K_2, \text{Enc}(K_1, M))$
- Method 2: MAC-then-encrypt
 - First compute $\text{MAC}(K_2, M)$
 - Then encrypt the message and the MAC together: $\text{Enc}(K_1, M \parallel \text{MAC}(K_2, M))$
- Which is better?
 - In theory, both are secure if applied properly
 - MAC-then-encrypt has a flaw: You don't know if tampering has occurred until after decrypting
 - Attacker can supply arbitrary tampered input, and you always have to decrypt it
 - Passing attacker-chosen input through the decryption function can cause side-channel leaks
- **Always use encrypt-then-MAC** because it's more robust to mistakes

TLS 1.0 “Lucky 13” Attack

- TLS: A protocol for sending encrypted and authenticated messages over the Internet
- TLS 1.0 uses MAC-then-encrypt: $\text{Enc}(k_1, M \parallel \text{MAC}(k_2, M))$
 - The encryption algorithm is AES-CBC
- The Lucky 13 attack abuses MAC-then-encrypt to read encrypted messages
 - Guess a byte of plaintext and change the ciphertext accordingly
 - The MAC will error, but the time it takes to error is different depending on if the guess is correct
 - Attacker measures how long it takes to error in order to learn information about plaintext
 - TLS will send the message again if the MAC errors, so the attacker can guess repeatedly
- Takeaways
 - Side channel attack: The algorithm is proved secure, but poor implementation made it vulnerable
 - Always encrypt-then-MAC
- <https://medium.com/@c0D3M/lucky-13-attack-explained-dd9a9fd42fa6>

Authenticated Encryption: Summary

- Authenticated encryption: A scheme that simultaneously guarantees confidentiality and integrity (and authenticity) on a message
- First approach: Combine schemes that provide confidentiality with schemes that provide integrity and authenticity
 - MAC-then-encrypt: $\text{Enc}(K_1, M \parallel \text{MAC}(K_2, M))$
 - Encrypt-then-MAC: $\text{MAC}(K_2, \text{Enc}(K_1, M))$
 - Always use Encrypt-then-MAC because it's more robust to mistakes

Digital Signature

Digital Signatures

- NIST FIPS PUB 186-4 - the result of a cryptographic transformation of data that, when properly implemented, provides a mechanism for verifying **origin authentication**, **data integrity**, and signatory **non-repudiation**
- Based on asymmetric keys

Digital Signatures

- Asymmetric cryptography is good because we don't need to share a secret key
- Digital signatures are the asymmetric way of providing integrity/authenticity to data
- Assume that Alice and Bob can communicate public keys without David interfering

Digital Signatures: Definition

- Three parts:
 - $\text{KeyGen}() \rightarrow PK, SK$: Generate a public/private keypair, where PK is the verify (public) key, and SK is the signing (secret) key
 - $\text{Sign}(SK, M) \rightarrow sig$: Sign the message M using the signing key SK to produce the signature sig
 - $\text{Verify}(PK, M, sig) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$: Verify the signature sig on message M using the verify key PK and output 1 if valid and 0 if invalid
- Properties:
 - **Correctness**: Verification should be successful for a signature generated over any message
 - $\text{Verify}(PK, M, \text{Sign}(SK, M)) = 1$ for all $PK, SK \leftarrow \text{KeyGen}()$ and M
 - **Efficiency**: Signing/verifying should be fast
 - **Security**: Same as for MACs except that the attacker also receives PK
 - Namely, no attacker can forge a signature for a message