

# Grouping 10,000 CSV records

comparing PowerShell and MySQL

Mauricé Ricardo Bärish

Term Paper  
in course type Computer Science

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Stefan Schiffner

Submission Date: May 12, 2024

### Disclaimer

I confirm that this thesis type is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, May 12, 2024

Author

## **Abstract**

Performing aggregation and visualization on large datasets has become a major part in Information Technology. Such datasets are often exported by a third party software or service provider, and arrive in a transmittable format like JSON or CSV. This term paper compares the grouping operation on large CSV files between PowerShell's `Group-Object` command and MySQL's `GROUP BY` statement in regards of their interface, underlying algorithm and RAM usage. On two identical machines limited in RAM, we simulate how PowerShell's and MySQL's grouping operations perform. As a result, we formulate best practices for aggregating large CSV files.

**Keywords:** Database, Aggregation, Grouping, CSV

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Main contributions . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Comma-Separated Values (CSV) . . . . .	2
2.2	Relational Model . . . . .	2
2.2.1	Relational Schema . . . . .	2
2.2.2	Selection and Projection . . . . .	3
2.3	Grouping . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Simulation</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Methods . . . . .	5
3.2	Implementation . . . . .	5
3.3	Results . . . . .	5
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1	Comparison . . . . .	5
4.2	Future Work . . . . .	5
<b>5</b>	<b>General Addenda</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	Detailed Addition . . . . .	6
<b>6</b>	<b>Figures</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1	Example 1 . . . . .	6
6.2	Example 2 . . . . .	6
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Introduction

Nowadays, many processes that handle large amounts of data (not to confuse with Big Data<sup>1</sup>) already exist. Still, they must be maintained, verified and optimized to ensure both quality and efficiency.

## 1.1 Motivation

At q.beyond, we had to deal with just that: large monthly CSV exports (each file contains the data of a month) by a third-party service provider that our process was operating on. For verification, we collected the exports from a whole year, grouped the records by a column and exported each group as an individual Excel file. Each file contained two sheets: one with an aggregated monthly overview and the other with all the individual records of that particular group. In 2.3, we will explain why those two sheets require grouping, but only the latter requires every particular record to be stored (in memory or storage).

We ended up writing a PowerShell<sup>2</sup> Script, which, in our first draft, crashed the Azure Virtual Desktop (AVD) it was running on due to insufficient memory.

## 1.2 Main contributions

Based on this experience, we can define the purpose of this paper:

- **Problem:** We need to group CSV data bigger than the available memory
- **Objectives:**
  1. Find a solution that can group large CSV data
  2. Proof by tests and simulation that the solution works
- **Questions:**
  1. Why does the Group-Object command not work on limited memory?
  2. What are requirements for a grouping algorithm in order to run on low memory?

As an alternative solution, we will consider MySQL, a Database Management System (DBMS).

---

<sup>1</sup>Data with high variety, volume and velocity. Cannot be processed by conventional data processing software.

<sup>2</sup>A scripting language coming with Windows. Used for administrative and automation tasks.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 Comma-Separated Values (CSV)

Specified in Shafranovich (2005), CSV is a file format for storing a table in plain text. It has the following characteristics:

1. each row starts on a new line
2. the values for each column are separated by a delimiter (usually a comma)
3. there may be a header line those values specify the names of the columns
4. whitespaces other than line breaks are ignored, unless part of a value

Our CSV export is structured in exactly this way. Let it be<sup>3</sup>:

```

1 tid,      pname,  amount,      comment
2 1,        Alice,  -1,          daily expenses
3 2,        Bob,    2,          pocket money
4 3,        Alice,  1,          friend
5 4,        Carl,   0,          dummy
6 5,        Dan,    2.99,        card game
7 ...

```

Listing 1: CSV export

### 2.2 Relational Model

Introduced by E. F. Codd (1970), the relational model is a mathematical description of data management, structuring data in tuples and tuples in relations. A relational algebra is provided to act as a simple mathematical query language. Industrial query languages like SQL later implemented the features described in the relational model.

As further explained by Arenas et al. (2022), a relation is just a pair of a relation schema and a set of tuples (the rows of the relation).

#### 2.2.1 Relational Schema

Arenas defines the relation schema based on three characteristics:

- **relation name**: the name of the table
- **relation attributes**: a list of uniquely identifiable attributes/columns
- **relation arity**: the number of attributes/columns

---

<sup>3</sup>In this paper, we will use a simplification and abstraction of the original data mentioned in 1.1. The "...” indicate that it is indeed a large CSV with many more records.

Schweikardt et al. (2010) defines the relation schema more formally as a database-wide mapping from relation names to either their respective arity (unnamed perspective) or their respective attributes (named perspective). Then, a row in a relation is either defined as a tuple of values  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  (unnamed perspective) or a set of pairs  $a = \{(c_1, a_1), \dots, (c_n, a_n)\}$ , where each pair consists of the column name  $c_n$  and the value  $a_n$  assigned to it (named perspective).

We will use the named perspective. For that, we must ensure that each column got a unique name. Luckily, the header from our CSV export satisfies this condition (see listing 1). In the named perspective, let its schema be:

Transaction [ tid, pname, amount, comment ]

Note that we do not assign any types to the attributes, as the CSV header row only consists of attribute names. This conforms with the understanding of the relational model from Schweikardt, who just assumes that the values for our columns come from an infinite set containing any values for any columns.

The visual representation of a relation is a table. Below figure shows the corresponding relation to the first 5 records of the CSV export from listing 1.

tid	pname	amount	comment
1	Alice	-1	daily expense
2	Bob	2	pocket money
3	Alice	1	friend
4	Carl	0	dummy
5	Dan	2.99	card game

Table 1: Transaction

## 2.2.2 Selection and Projection

In chapter 12.1, Halpin & Morgan (2008) introduces relational algebra. It includes six comparison operators  $\theta \in \{=, <, >, \leq, \geq\}$  as well as the three logical operators  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$ . Furthermore, some language-specific operations are defined. We will focus on the selection and projection.

Given a relation  $R$ , the selection operator  $\sigma_c(R)$  selects all rows from that relation that match the condition  $c$  (similar to the **where** clause in SQL). Such condition can consist of any valid expression using comparison operators, logical operators, attributes and constants.

For example, the selection  $\sigma_{pname=Alice}(\text{Transaction})$  returns the following relation:

tid	pname	amount	comment
1	Alice	-1	daily expense
3	Alice	1	friend

Table 2: Selection of  $pname=Alice$  on Transaction

Similarly, the projection operator  $\pi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}(R)$  projects all columns from a relation  $R$  stated in the projection list  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and ignores all others. Consider the query  $\pi_{pname}(\text{Transaction})$ :

pname
Alice
Bob
Carl
Dan

Table 3: Projection of *pname* on Transaction

Note that the projection removes fully duplicate rows, hence why Alice only exists once in the resulting relation.

As the visualizations suggests, both the selection and projection return a relation.

## 2.3 Grouping



## **3 Simulation**

### **3.1 Methods**

### **3.2 Implementation**

### **3.3 Results**

## **4 Conclusion**

### **4.1 Comparison**

### **4.2 Future Work**

## **5 General Addenda**

If there are several additions you want to add, but they do not fit into the thesis itself, they belong here.

### **5.1 Detailed Addition**

Even sections are possible, but usually only used for several elements in, e.g. tables, images, etc.

## **6 Figures**

### **6.1 Example 1**

### **6.2 Example 2**

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

1	Transaction . . . . .	3
2	Selection of <i>pname=Alice</i> on Transaction . . . . .	3
3	Projection of <i>pname</i> on Transaction . . . . .	4

## Glossary

**AVD** Azure Virtual Desktop. 1

**DBMS** Database Management System. 1, 8

**SQL** The Structured Query Language is a popular query language based on the relational model. It is used by many known relational DBMS. 3

## Listings

1	CSV export . . . . .	2
---	----------------------	---

## References

- ARENAS, MARCELO; PABLO BARCELÓ; LEONID LIBKIN; WIM MARTENS; und ANDREAS PIERIS. 2022. *Database theory querying data*. Preliminary Ed. San Francisco, CA, USA: Santiago Paris Bayreuth Edinburgh.
- CODD, E. F. 1970. A relational model of data for large shared data banks. *Commun. ACM* 13.377–387. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/362384.362685>.
- HALPIN, TERRY, und TONY MORGAN. 2008. *Information modeling and relational databases*. 2 Ed. San Francisco, CA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- SCHWEIKARDT, NICOLE; THOMAS SCHWENTICK; und LUC SEGOUFIN. 2010. *Database theory: query languages*, 19. 2 Ed. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- SHAFRANOVICH, YAKOV. 2005. Common Format and MIME Type for Comma-Separated Values (CSV) Files. RFC 4180. URL <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4180>.