

Customer Satisfaction Module & Lecturer Report_July 2021 for MDIS_Lecturer for Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)

Prepared by: Survey Admin

Creation Date: Monday, August 2, 2021

Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	43
Invited	69
Response Ratio	62.32%

Batch Code: BBTD11814A, BSCD11807A, BSCD11907B, BSCD11907C, BSCD12011A, BSCD12111B, BSCD21907A

Batch Code: BBTD11814A

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	4	2	0	0	10	100.00%	42.00	4.20
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	4	2	0	0	10	100.00%	42.00	4.20
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	4	3	0	0	10	100.00%	40.00	4.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	4	3	0	0	10	100.00%	40.00	4.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	6	2	0	0	10	100.00%	40.00	4.00
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	4	1	0	0	10	100.00%	44.00	4.40
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	4	2	0	0	10	100.00%	42.00	4.20
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	25	30	15	0	0	70	100.00%	290.00	4.14

	Unique Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	5	3	0	0	10	100.00%	39.00	3.90
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	5	2	1	0	9	90.00%	38.00	3.80
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	3	4	1	0	9	90.00%	36.00	3.60
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	4	3	1	0	9	90.00%	37.00	3.70
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	5	2	1	0	9	90.00%	38.00	3.80
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	4	3	2	0	8	80.00%	34.00	3.40
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	11	26	17	6	0	54	90.00%	222.00	3.70

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

Dr Maurice Ling is one of the few good lecturers in the MDIS SLS. He is very detailed in the lectures he gives, and very knowledgeable about bioinformatics which in this case is expecially needed for students to understand the Bioinformatic themes of the Component 1 Practical assessment.

good knowledge on course material

Good simplified explanations. Explains topics interestingly and lighthearted conversations.

Nil

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
NIL
A little more kind.
Nil

Other comments:

Comments	
NIL	
Nil.	
Nil	

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

While the proteomics, genomics and postgenomics have been covered in other modules before, there was more exposure to the modern concept in Bioinformatics and its applications in biological data.

NII

Learnt new topics.

Nil

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

With that said about the good qualities of Bioinformatics, the practical designed in this module seems to be both Data science and Life science related. Data science, statistics and its elements were only taught briefly and inadequate, leaving a weak foundation for students to learn upon.

NIL

Details too spread out.

Nil

Other comments:

Comments

Overall. Bioinformatics is the combination of Life Science and Computer/Data Sciences and is a new modern application in these combined industries. Statistics are needed for analysis of data in the Final Year Projects. A more extensive foundation for Bioinformatics and or Statistics should be taught throughout all modules in future batches of students.

NIL

Nil.

Nil

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	100.00%

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	90.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	90.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	90.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	90.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	80.00%

Batch Code: BSCD11807A

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	3	2	0	0	10	100.00%	43.00	4.30
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	2	2	0	0	10	100.00%	44.00	4.40
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	3	2	0	0	10	100.00%	43.00	4.30
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	7	1	2	0	0	10	100.00%	45.00	4.50
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	2	2	0	0	10	100.00%	44.00	4.40
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	8	1	1	0	0	10	100.00%	47.00	4.70
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	3	1	0	0	10	100.00%	45.00	4.50
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	43	15	12	0	0	70	100.00%	311.00	4.44

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	2	3	1	0	9	90.00%	39.00	3.90
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	3	2	0	1	9	90.00%	39.00	3.90
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	2	3	0	1	9	90.00%	38.00	3.80
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	2	2	0	1	9	90.00%	40.00	4.00
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	3	2	1	0	9	90.00%	40.00	4.00
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	2	3	0	1	9	90.00%	38.00	3.80
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	25	14	15	2	4	54	90.00%	234.00	3.90

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Engaging lecturer.
Makes concepts easy to understand
Nothing
Very good at engaging students to listen in class

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
Should be more precise in giving rubics to help students tackle the exam/assignment better
NIL
To help students with their doubts
_

Other comments:

Comments
_
-
NIL
NA NA
_

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments
Online assignment and lecture
Everything
T

What I did not like about the module:

Comments
-
Lab report assignment – lack of rubrics given
a lot of topics in this module is too tough to understand.
NIL
-

Other comments:

Comments	
_	
NIL	
-	

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	100.00%

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	90.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	90.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	90.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	90.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	90.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	90.00%

Batch Code: BSCD11907B

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	1	1	0	3	75.00%	14.00	3.50
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	1	1	0	3	75.00%	14.00	3.50
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	1	0	3	75.00%	13.00	3.25
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	1	0	3	75.00%	12.00	3.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	1	0	3	75.00%	13.00	3.25
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	1	0	3	75.00%	13.00	3.25
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	10	8	6	0	22	78.57%	96.00	3.43

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	2	0	2	50.00%	11.00	2.75
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	2	0	2	50.00%	11.00	2.75
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	2	0	2	50.00%	11.00	2.75
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	2	0	2	50.00%	11.00	2.75
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	0	2	2	0	2	50.00%	10.00	2.50
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	0	3	1	0	3	75.00%	11.00	2.75
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	4	9	11	0	13	54.17%	65.00	2.71

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

Good punctuality

Upload the recorded lesson promptly and fast

Reply to email fast

Knowledgeable and able to answer questions raised by students.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

More visual aid to enhance the teaching. Example, youtube video

_

Other comments:

Comments	
_	
-	

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

Learning the various bioinformatic tool to analyze the data

Useful applicable skill learnt.

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

Not much info in the books to refer to.

The report is hard

More explanation could be provided on R studios/other programmes used.

Other comments:

Comments

-

_

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	75.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	75.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	75.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	75.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	75.00%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	75.00%

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	50.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	50.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	50.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	50.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	50.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	75.00%

Batch Code: BSCD11907C

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
Punctuality of lecturer for class		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
Overall		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The module topics and assignments were well spaced		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP
Overall		0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	NRP	NRP

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments	;
----------	---

Not Answered

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

Not Answered

Other comments:

Comments

Not Answered

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

Not Answered

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

Not Answered

Other comments:

Comments

Not Answered

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	NRP
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	NRP
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	NRP
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	NRP
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	NRP
Punctuality of lecturer for class	NRP
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	NRP

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	NRP
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	NRP
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	NRP
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	NRP
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	NRP
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	NRP

Batch Code: BSCD12011A

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	4	3	0	0	13	100.00%	55.00	4.23
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	6	1	0	0	13	100.00%	57.00	4.38
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	4	3	1	0	12	92.31%	52.00	4.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	7	3	0	0	13	100.00%	52.00	4.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	4	3	1	0	12	92.31%	52.00	4.00
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	9	2	2	0	0	13	100.00%	59.00	4.54
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	5	4	0	0	13	100.00%	52.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	38	32	19	2	0	89	97.80%	379.00	4.16

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	7	1	0	0	13	100.00%	56.00	4.31
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	6	2	0	0	13	100.00%	55.00	4.23
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	6	3	0	0	13	100.00%	53.00	4.08
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	4	4	0	0	13	100.00%	53.00	4.08
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	5	5	3	0	0	13	100.00%	54.00	4.15
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	5	4	0	0	13	100.00%	52.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	28	33	17	0	0	78	100.00%	323.00	4.14

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

The lecturer was very helpful and made classes interesting.

He always try his best by asking questions to engage us and making jokes in between class to ensure the class isn't boring

The experience with lots of examples.

Able to explain concepts with ease.

Everything. I appreciated how involved he was and mixed both real world happenings and his own experiences with the lectures.

He helped the students in lab session many things

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

Not applicable

He could explain more on the results we observed during practical sessions

With the abundance of time we have, it would be great to give a brief recap of the previous lecture at the start of the lesson, and a summary at the end of each lecture.

To please start the class only one time (theory class) and not five minutes early of the scheduled time.

To identify and highlight which piece of information from the lecture is important and relevant for exams & practicals.

Other comments:

Comments

Not applicable

NIL

Nil

I loved learning from Dr. Maurice. I hope to learn from him again.

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

There are alot of lab sessions for us to gain the practical skills needed.

It is interesting and enriching. There are many sub components in this module I would like to learn in-depth about them. I have learnt a lot especially during the practical sessions

Helps in learning a lot of new things.

Broad range of topics.

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

OA2's topics were not the same as the ones described in the exam guide.

I wish there are more practical sessions

There were problems in the questions and topics assessed when they set online assessments. It would be better if that is omitted in the future.

The tightly packed huge module.

Online Exam/test guestions could be further structured properly, in accordance to lecture flow.

Other comments:

Comments

Not applicable

Please have a quality assurance check on the classroom allocated to us. It isn't very much a great conducive environment to learning when we have a classroom with an inaccurate motion sensor that turn its' lights off every 10 mins.

Nil.

I think the school need to provide the textbook for the students

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	92.31%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	92.31%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	100.00%

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

Batch Code: BSCD12111B

	Unique Nome	Excellent	Very Good - 4	Good	Fair	Poor - 1	Good &	Good &	Cum	Moon
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Unique_Name Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	Above 4	Above % 100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	26	2	0	0	0	28	100.00%	138.00	4.93

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	0	0	0	1	3	75.00%	16.00	4.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	0	1	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	3	0	1	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	1	0	1	0	3	75.00%	16.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	15	5	2	1	1	22	91.67%	104.00	4.33

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

explains well

Dr. Maurice is the most enthusiastic lecturer i met. He really loves science. His lecture is always fun to listen to.

Very good in explaining, pacing his speed, informing us of which information are more important than the others, and shows that he cares for our education and future. I.e. e encouraging us to attend lab since he understood the importance of getting hands—on experience.

He explains the topics well and helps us understand the concepts

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

align explanations better with the slides, so that we do not handle too much verbal information without any visuals

I think he is already a perfect lecturer.

None from me, I like his style of teaching.

NA

Other comments:

Comments

_

Thankmyou Dr. Maurice.

Thank you for these few months of teaching. This being my first module, it left a good first impression for me in this school!

NA

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

interesting practical sessions

The module covers many lectures. I was able to learn so many interesting facts about cells.

Educational not just for studies, but general knowledge which may be used in real life.

I got many new insights about various topics and I got to see the different organs through the microscope

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

most sets of slides are generally not very nice to look at (blue/red backgrounds), information is sometimes messy and/or unclear, does not link up with the previous/next slides for smooth flow of information

The assessment online exam asks things that we never learnt in the lectures!! This was very annoying. I thought i was taking exam for wrong module.

Could probably touch on Singapore related topics too rather than all examples being the UK.

NA

Other comments:

Comments

I believe exams should cover lectures we learnt.

The classroom could use better tables, but it's not a big issue for me.

NA

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	100.00%

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	75.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	75.00%

Batch Code: BSCD21907A

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	2	0	0	0	0	2	100.00%	10.00	5.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	14	0	0	0	0	14	100.00%	70.00	5.00

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	4	2	0	0	12	100.00%	52.00	4.33

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

He is very dedicated in his work and always makes lessons interesting and fun for me. This is particularly important as bioinformatics was not my strong suits. Thanks to his dedication in teaching, I can say that I am more interested in this subject than before.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

Perhaps more worked examples especially with bioinformatics.

Other comments:

\sim	_					4_
C	o	m	m	е	n	IIS

Not Answered

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

What I like about the module

Comments

I like that this module attempts to introduce bioinformatics and relevant programming language – R – to students. This is particularly important as we are entering a highly digitalised world and some form of computational know–how is important for us to remain relevant. I have heard of friends in other local universities who are also studying biosciences and it is said that computational biology is an essential skill for the workforce. Thus, I feel a little assured that this module managed to teach a little computational biology to students.

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

Information regarding computational biology is too little. I feel that we need to go more in-depth.

Other comments:

Comments

Perhaps it might be better to increase the length of this module.

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	100.00%

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of case studies, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%