



## Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	5
Invited	19
Response Ratio	26.32%

**BATCH CODE: BHSD12302A, BNCD12203A**

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	3	1	0	0	5	100.00%	20.00	4.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	2	0	0	5	100.00%	19.00	3.80
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	2	0	0	5	100.00%	19.00	3.80
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	3	1	0	0	5	100.00%	20.00	4.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	2	0	0	5	100.00%	19.00	3.80
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	2	0	0	5	100.00%	19.00	3.80

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Clear explanation supported with relevant and easy to understand examples
engaging very knowledgeable
Very knowledgeable lecturer. Does not beat around the bush and comes straight to point when teaching. I appreciate the fact that he was able to guide the students regarding the usage of SPSS.
able to engage the class and able to let the class understand the topics taught

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
nil
i think sometimes he goes too in detail, goes to the basic to explain concepts which we were already taught in previous modules so it gets a little hard to focus sometimes because we already know what he is teaching.
nil
–

### Other comments:

Comments
nil
alot better than the previous modulator, appreciate his enthusiasm to teach.
nil
–

### Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	2	0	0	5	100.00%	19.00	3.80
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	3	0	0	5	100.00%	18.00	3.60
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	1	1	0	4	80.00%	18.00	3.60
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	1	1	0	4	80.00%	18.00	3.60
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	1	0	0	5	100.00%	21.00	4.20
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	1	0	0	5	100.00%	21.00	4.20
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	8	11	9	2	0	28	93.33%	115.00	3.83

### What I like about the module

Comments
Combination of theory and application (in proposal writing)
i like that its basic and easy to understand
The deadlines set for the proposal was very helpful and useful in making sure the work got done.
its interesting

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
nil
quite a bit of the content was an overlap from our previous modules, would have been more interesting if we covered more chemical pathways or other processes of the cell like specialisation because there was quite abit of repetitive content.
nil
—

**Other comments:**

Comments
nil
easy module
nil
–

**Module and content**

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	80.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	80.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

---

**Biosciences Research Methods BNCD12203A****Response Summary:**

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
12	2	16.67%

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Clear explanation supported with relevant and easy to understand examples
Very knowledgeable lecturer. Does not beat around the bush and comes straight to point when teaching. I appreciate the fact that he was able to guide the students regarding the usage of SPSS.

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
nil
nil

### Other comments:

Comments
nil
nil

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	6	0	6	0	0	12	100.00%	48.00	4.00

### What I like about the module

Comments
Combination of theory and application (in proposal writing)
The deadlines set for the proposal was very helpful and useful in making sure the work got done.

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
nil
nil

### Other comments:

Comments
nil
nil

### Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

## Cell Biology BHSD12302A

### Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
7	3	42.86%



## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	0	0	0	3	100.00%	12.00	4.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	0	0	3	100.00%	11.00	3.67
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	0	0	3	100.00%	11.00	3.67
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	0	0	0	3	100.00%	12.00	4.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	0	0	3	100.00%	11.00	3.67
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	0	0	3	100.00%	11.00	3.67

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
engaging very knowledgeable
able to engage the class and able to let the class understand the topics taught

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
i think sometimes he goes too in detail, goes to the basic to explain concepts which we were already taught in previous modules so it gets a little hard to focus sometimes because we already know what he is teaching.
—

### Other comments:

Comments
alot better than the previous modulator, appreciate his enthusiasm to teach.
—

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	1	0	0	3	100.00%	11.00	3.67
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	0	0	3	100.00%	10.00	3.33
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	0	1	0	2	66.67%	10.00	3.33
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	0	1	0	2	66.67%	10.00	3.33
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	0	0	0	3	100.00%	13.00	4.33
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	0	0	0	3	100.00%	13.00	4.33
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	11	3	2	0	16	88.89%	67.00	3.72

### What I like about the module

Comments
i like that its basic and easy to understand
its interesting

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
quite a bit of the content was an overlap from our previous modules, would have been more interesting if we covered more chemical pathways or other processes of the cell like specialisation because there was quite abit of repetitive content.
—

### Other comments:

Comments
easy module
—

### Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	66.67%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	66.67%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%