

Customer Satisfaction Module & Lecturer Combined Report_FEB 2023 for MDIS_Lecturer for Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) -(LC1701000905)

Prepared by: Survey Admin

Creation Date: Monday, March 6, 2023

Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	1
Invited	12
Response Ratio	8.33%

BATCH CODE: BNCD12203A

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique Name	Excellent	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments	
Very knowledgeable.	

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments		
To be more informed	and aware about the assignments and tests.	

Other comments:

Com	ments
Nil	

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	6	0	0	0	6	100.00%	24.00	4.00

What I like about the module

Comments

Statistics.

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

Lack of details given for the physiology report.

Other comments:

,	$\overline{}$		m				4 -
a.	$\mathbf{\mathcal{I}}$	u		ш	o	ш	

Nil

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

Biometrics: Physiology, Statistics and Maths BNCD12203A

Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
12	1	8.33%

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	1	0	0	1	100.00%	3.00	3.00

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments

Very knowledgeable.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments

To be more informed and aware about the assignments and tests.

Other comments:

Comment	

Nil

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	0	0	0	1	100.00%	4.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	6	0	0	0	6	100.00%	24.00	4.00

What I like about the module

Comments	
Statistics.	

What I did not like about the module:

Comments

Lack of details given for the physiology report.

Other comments:

Comments

Nil

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%