Customer Satisfaction Module & Lecturer Combined Report_Jan 2022 for MDIS_Lecturer for Ling Han Tong, Maurice -(LC1701000905)

Prepared by: **Survey Admin**
Creation Date: **Thursday, February 17, 2022**

Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	9
Invited	23
Response Ratio	39.13%

BATCH CODE: BSCD11908A, BSCD11908B

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	4	4	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	5	0	0	9	100.00%	32.00	3.56
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	5	0	0	9	100.00%	32.00	3.56
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	5	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	21	31	5	0	58	92.06%	217.00	3.44

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
_
NIL
Engaging.
Short lectures, gives real life examples
Knows his topics well.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
_
NIL
NA
we are from biomedical science degree and would appreciate if you explain concepts in more detail especially biotechnical concepts as we are unfamiliar with this.
Slow down when teaching. Give a bit more guidance.

Other comments:

Comments
_
NIL
NA

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	88.89%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	88.89%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	88.89%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	88.89%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	88.89%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	5	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	3	2	0	7	77.78%	30.00	3.33
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	3	2	0	7	77.78%	30.00	3.33
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	3	2	1	6	66.67%	27.00	3.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	16	22	9	1	44	81.48%	179.00	3.31

What I like about the module

Comments
–
NIL
Useful for future application in research.
I do not like the module

What I did not like about the module:

Comments
–
NIL
NA
This module should not be in a biomedical degree
The final assignment is tough and does not really make much sense.

Other comments:

Comments
–
NIL
NA

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	88.89%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	88.89%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	77.78%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	77.78%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	88.89%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	66.67%

Genomics BSCD11908B_BSCD11908A

Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
23	9	39.13%

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	0	4	4	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	5	0	0	9	100.00%	32.00	3.56
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
Punctuality of lecturer for class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	5	0	0	9	100.00%	32.00	3.56
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	5	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	21	31	5	0	58	92.06%	217.00	3.44

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
–
NIL
Engaging.
Short lectures, gives real life examples
Knows his topics well.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
–
NIL
NA
we are from biomedical science degree and would appreciate if you explain concepts in more detail especially biotechnical concepts as we are unfamiliar with this.
Slow down when teaching. Give a bit more guidance.

Other comments:

Comments
–
NIL
NA

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer is knowledgeable and explained the subject matter clearly	88.89%
The lecturer was well prepared for the classroom teaching including the use of appropriate teaching aids and technology	88.89%
The lecturer used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	88.89%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	88.89%
Punctuality of lecturer for class	100.00%
The lecturer provided timely and useful feedback	88.89%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	5	1	0	8	88.89%	30.00	3.33
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	3	2	0	7	77.78%	30.00	3.33
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	3	2	0	7	77.78%	30.00	3.33
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	3	4	1	0	8	88.89%	31.00	3.44
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	1	2	3	2	1	6	66.67%	27.00	3.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice - (LC1701000905)	6	16	22	9	1	44	81.48%	179.00	3.31

What I like about the module

Comments
–
NIL
Useful for future application in research.
I do not like the module

What I did not like about the module:

Comments
–
NIL
NA
This module should not be in a biomedical degree
The final assignment is tough and does not really make much sense.

Other comments:

Comments
–
NIL
NA

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	88.89%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	88.89%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	77.78%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	77.78%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	88.89%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	66.67%