Prepared by: **Survey Admin**
Creation Date: **Monday, July 8, 2024**

Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	6
Invited	14
Response Ratio	42.86%

BATCH CODE: BNCD12304A

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	4	0	2	0	4	66.67%	20.00	3.33
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	1	2	0	4	66.67%	19.00	3.17
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	1	2	0	4	66.67%	19.00	3.17
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	2	1	0	5	83.33%	22.00	3.67
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
NA
NIL
good explanation about topics
Being able to explain topics in different context and ways.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
The lecturer can improve by giving more examples or detailed explanations. can be more helpful and also address questions in depth. In the event, the lesson plan changes, inform the students in advance so that the students are aware and can be prepared.
NIL
give proper instructions on how to complete assignments
Please don't say I don't care, or up to you

Other comments:

Comments
Please stand from the student's point of view as well!
NIL
nil

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	66.67%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	66.67%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	50.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	66.67%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	83.33%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	50.00%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	3	0	1	5	83.33%	18.00	3.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	2	1	0	5	83.33%	20.00	3.33
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	2	2	0	4	66.67%	18.00	3.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	1	2	3	50.00%	14.00	2.33
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	2	1	1	4	66.67%	17.00	2.83
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	11	13	8	4	24	66.67%	103.00	2.86

What I like about the module

Comments
The physiology lab lessons.
The way he conducts the module and if any doubts we can directly ask him
nil
Physiology

What I did not like about the module:

Comments
The mymathlab homework where the overall quiz will affect the study plan score.
NIL
nil
the module was not very well organized as students do not know what is expected of the module whether is it the physiology or the statistics portion
Mathematics

Other comments:

Comments
NA.
NIL
nil

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	83.33%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	83.33%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	66.67%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	50.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	50.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	66.67%

Biometrics: Physiology, Statistics and Maths BNCD12304A

Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
14	6	42.86%

LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	4	0	2	0	4	66.67%	20.00	3.33
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	1	2	0	4	66.67%	19.00	3.17
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	1	2	0	4	66.67%	19.00	3.17
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	2	1	0	5	83.33%	22.00	3.67
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67

What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
NA
NIL
good explanation about topics
Being able to explain topics in different context and ways.

What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
The lecturer can improve by giving more examples or detailed explanations. can be more helpful and also address questions in depth. In the event, the lesson plan changes, inform the students in advance so that the students are aware and can be prepared.
NIL
give proper instructions on how to complete assignments
Please don't say I don't care, or up to you

Other comments:

Comments
Please stand from the student's point of view as well!
NIL
nil

Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	66.67%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	66.67%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	50.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	66.67%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	83.33%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	50.00%

MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	3	0	1	5	83.33%	18.00	3.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	2	1	0	5	83.33%	20.00	3.33
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	2	2	0	4	66.67%	18.00	3.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	1	2	3	50.00%	14.00	2.33
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	2	3	0	3	50.00%	16.00	2.67
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	2	1	1	4	66.67%	17.00	2.83
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	11	13	8	4	24	66.67%	103.00	2.86

What I like about the module

Comments
The physiology lab lessons.
The way he conducts the module and if any doubts we can directly ask him
nil
Physiology

What I did not like about the module:

Comments
The mymathlab homework where the overall quiz will affect the study plan score.
NIL
nil
the module was not very well organized as students do not know what is expected of the module whether is it the physiology or the statistics portion
Mathematics

Other comments:

Comments
NA.
NIL
nil

Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	83.33%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	83.33%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	66.67%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programmme	50.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	50.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	66.67%