



## Response Summary:

Raters	Students
Responded	14
Invited	35
Response Ratio	40%

**BATCH CODE: BBVD12403A, BBVD22402A, BBVE22402A, BBVE22504A, BHSD12303A**

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	11	3	0	0	0	14	100.00%	67.00	4.79
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	11	3	0	0	0	14	100.00%	67.00	4.79
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	9	5	0	0	0	14	100.00%	65.00	4.64
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	10	3	1	0	0	14	100.00%	65.00	4.64
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	11	3	0	0	0	14	100.00%	67.00	4.79
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	9	4	1	0	0	14	100.00%	64.00	4.57

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Knowledgeable and engaging
Give great example during teaching
Really engaging, good analogies, interesting daily life facts that applies to what we have learnt
Dr Maurice is very engaging especially when he is presenting the lessons. He also likes to engage the students, as well as telling us his own experiences from his diverse working background, making me look forward to each of his lessons.
Concise and clear delivery of content
Very interactive professor, very knowledgeable
NA
can explain well
Keeping the class engaged.
Able to explain and conceptualize content to us well
Encouraging engaging and good in explaining.

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
Could slow down when explaining certain concepts for greater clarity
Sometimes hard to understand
N/A
Nil, I believe Dr Maurice did the best he could in engaging the students as well as teaching the complicated concepts.
Appreciated the website materials from Dr Maurice's archives, perhaps receiving that earlier at the start of the module would be great.
–
NA
if he provide the exercises more, that would be better but overall its good
NA
Nil

### Other comments:

Comments
–
na
Nil.
Thanks for the great semester, learned a lot from Dr Maurice's experience
NA
NA
Nil

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	10	2	2	0	0	14	100.00%	64.00	4.57
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	8	2	3	1	0	13	92.86%	59.00	4.21
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	10	2	2	0	0	14	100.00%	64.00	4.57
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	5	6	3	0	0	14	100.00%	58.00	4.14
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	8	4	2	0	0	14	100.00%	62.00	4.43
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	6	6	2	0	0	14	100.00%	60.00	4.29
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	47	22	14	1	0	83	98.81%	367.00	4.37

## What I like about the module

Comments
–
First time learning about bioinformatics
Very interesting, engaging to learn with the right lecturer
Lab sessions are really interesting and adequate, and the lectures as well are very informative as well.
Variety of topics taught
I like how interesting it is!
NA
How the module was spaced and conducted made it easy to follow through each concept.

## What I did not like about the module:

Comments
Materials provided were quite surface level
nil
EXAM...open book or cheat sheet please...
Some lectures are a bit text-heavy, and a bit harder to understand than the rest.
Could have included bioinformatics lab
Nil
NA
For the bioinformatics portion of the module, I personally feel like teaching us how to actually do some basic programming (R/Python) would be beneficial, instead of just learning the theory behind it. Perhaps we can take programming workshops/classes etc.

## Other comments:

Comments
–
nil
Nil.
NA
The slides need to improve more to put more information
NA

## Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	92.86%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

## Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics BBVE22402A\_BBVE22504A

### Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
6	4	66.67%

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Give great example during teaching
Really engaging, good analogies, interesting daily life facts that applies to what we have learnt
Concise and clear delivery of content

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
Sometimes hard to understand
N/A
Appreciated the website materials from Dr Maurice's archives, perhaps receiving that earlier at the start of the module would be great.

## Other comments:

Comments
na
Thanks for the great semester, learned a lot from Dr Maurice's experience

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	21	3	0	0	0	24	100.00%	117.00	4.88

### What I like about the module

Comments
First time learning about bioinformatics
Very interesting, engaging to learn with the right lecturer
Variety of topics taught

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
nil
EXAM...open book or cheat sheet please...
Could have included bioinformatics lab

### Other comments:

Comments
nil

### Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

## Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics BHSD12303A\_BBVD12403A

### Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
15	4	26.67%



## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	2	0	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Very interactive professor, very knowledgeable
can explain well
Keeping the class engaged.

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
–
if he provide the exercises more, that would be better but overall its good

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	12	6	6	0	0	24	100.00%	102.00	4.25

### What I like about the module

Comments
I like how interesting it is!

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
Nil

### Other comments:

Comments
The slides need to improve more to put more information

### Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

## Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics BHSD12303A\_BBVD12403A\_BBVD22402A\_BBVD22503A

### Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
6	2	33.33%

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	0	0	2	100.00%	7.00	3.50
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Knowledgeable and engaging

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
Could slow down when explaining certain concepts for greater clarity

### Other comments:

Comments
—

## Lecturer's effectiveness

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%

## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	0	2	0	0	2	100.00%	6.00	3.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	0	1	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	1	1	0	0	2	100.00%	7.00	3.50
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	1	0	0	0	2	100.00%	9.00	4.50
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	2	0	0	0	2	100.00%	8.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	5	4	0	0	12	100.00%	47.00	3.92

### What I like about the module

Comments
–

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
Materials provided were quite surface level

### Other comments:

Comments
—

### Module and content

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	100.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%

## Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics BHSD12303A\_BBVD22402A

### Response Summary:

Invited	Responded	Response Ratio
8	4	50.00%

## LECTURER EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Lecturer's effectiveness

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	4	0	0	0	0	4	100.00%	20.00	5.00
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25

### What are the lecturer's strengths?

Comments
Dr Maurice is very engaging especially when he is presenting the lessons. He also likes to engage the students, as well as telling us his own experiences from his diverse working background, making me look forward to each of his lessons.
NA
Able to explain and conceptualize content to us well
Encouraging engaging and good in explaining.

### What improvements would you suggest to the lecturer?

Comments
Nil, I believe Dr Maurice did the best he could in engaging the students as well as teaching the complicated concepts.
NA
NA
Nil

**Other comments:**

Comments
Nil.
NA
NA
Nil

**Lecturer's effectiveness**

Question	Good And Above %
The lecturer was well-prepared for the classroom and used practical examples which help to communicate ideas and concepts clearly	100.00%
The lecturer uses of appropriate teaching aids and technology	100.00%
The lecturer was able to encourage student participation in class	100.00%
The lecturer handled questions raised by students to the satisfaction of the class	100.00%
The lecturer is well-groomed and punctual for classes	100.00%
The lecturer address questions and provides timely and useful feedback	100.00%



## MODULE EVALUATION COMMENTS

### Module and content

	Unique_Name	Excellent - 5	Very Good - 4	Good - 3	Fair - 2	Poor - 1	Good & Above	Good & Above %	Sum	Mean
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	0	1	0	0	4	100.00%	18.00	4.50
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	0	1	0	3	75.00%	16.00	4.00
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	3	1	0	0	0	4	100.00%	19.00	4.75
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	0	3	1	0	0	4	100.00%	15.00	3.75
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	2	1	1	0	0	4	100.00%	17.00	4.25
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	1	2	1	0	0	4	100.00%	16.00	4.00
Overall	Ling Han Tong, Maurice (Lin Hanzhong) - (LC1701000905)	11	8	4	1	0	23	95.83%	101.00	4.21

### What I like about the module

Comments
Lab sessions are really interesting and adauquete, and the lectures as well are very informative as well.
NA
How the module was spaced and conducted made it easy to follow through each concept.

### What I did not like about the module:

Comments
Some lectures are abit text-heavy, and a bit harder to understand than the rest.
NA
For the bioinformatics portion of the module, I personally feel like teaching us how to actually do some basic programming (R/Python) would be beneficial, instead of just learning the theory behind it. Perhaps we can take programming workshops/classes etc.

**Other comments:**

Comments
Nil.
NA
NA

**Module and content**

Question	Good And Above %
The subject matter was adequately covered as stated in the course objectives	100.00%
The course materials were useful in the learning of concepts covered in the classroom	75.00%
There were appropriate use of educational technology, case studies,, examples and classroom discussion to support learning of concepts	100.00%
The duration of the course was sufficient to cover the content of the programme	100.00%
The module topics and assignments were well spaced	100.00%
The difficulty of the module was pitched at the right level	100.00%