



Centro de Investigación
en Computación
Instituto Politécnico Nacional

INSTITUTO POLITÉCNICO NACIONAL
CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN CÓMPUTO

Chapter 3: The linear model.

Subject: Introducción a machine learning

Student:

■ Carpintero Mendoza Marcos Mauricio

Profesor:

Menchaca Mendez Ricardo

Índice

1. Exercises.	2
1.1. Linear regression.	2
1.1.1. The algorithm.	2
1.2. Nonlinear transformation.	5
1.2.1. The \mathcal{Z} space.	5

1. Exercises.

1.1. Linear regression.

1.1.1. The algorithm.

Exercise 3.3

Consider the hat matrix $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$, where X is an B by $d+1$ matrix, and $X^T X$ is invertible.

- Show that H is symmetric.
- Show that $H^k = H$ for any positive integer k .
- If I is the identity matrix of size N , show that $(I - H)^k = I - H$ for any possible positive integer k .
- Show that $\text{trace}(H) = d + 1$, where the trace is the sum of diagonal elements.

Solution:

- First, we want to know that $H = H^T$.

$$X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T = (X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)^T$$

Using the properties of transposed matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} &= X(X(X^T X)^{-1})^T \\ &= X((X^T X)^{-1})^T X^T \\ &= X((X^T X)^T)^{-1} X^T \\ &= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &H = H^T \end{aligned}$$

- We want to demonstrate that

$$\begin{aligned} H^k &= \prod_{i=1}^k H = H \\ \prod_{i=1}^k H &= \prod_{i=1}^k X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \end{aligned}$$

- Let us prove: $k = 1$

$$\prod_{i=1}^1 X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

- Now, our induction hypothesis is based on $k = n$. Let us assume it is true.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

- Let us prove: $k = n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \cdot (X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) \end{aligned}$$

- By our hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} &= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \cdot X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \\ &= (X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T)^2 \end{aligned}$$

- We know that: $H = H^2$.

$$= X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

- Finally:

$$H^k = H$$

c) We want to demonstrate that

$$(I - H)^k = \prod_{i=1}^k (I - H) = (I - H)$$

- Let us prove $k = 1$.

$$\prod_{i=1}^1 (I - H) = I - H$$

- Now our induction hypothesis is: $k = n$. Let us assume it true.

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (I - H) = I - H$$

- Demonstrate for $k = n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (I - H) = I - H \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} (I - H) = \prod_{i=1}^n (I - H) \cdot (I - H) \\ &= (I - H) \cdot (I - H) = (I - H)^2 \\ &= I^2 - HI - HI + H^2 = I - H - H + H = I - H \end{aligned}$$

- Finally:

$$(I - H)^k = (I - H)$$

c) First: We know that $\text{trace}(AB) = \text{trace}(BA)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{trace}(H) &= \text{trace}(X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T) = \text{trace}((X(X^T X)^{-1})(X^T)) \\ &= \text{trace}(X^T X(X^T X)^{-1}) = \text{trace}((X^T X)(X^T X)^{-1}) \\ &= \text{trace}(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{d+1} i_{nn} = d + 1\end{aligned}$$

1.2. Nonlinear transformation.

1.2.1. The \mathcal{Z} space.

Exercise 3.12

We know that in the Euclidean plane, the perceptron model \mathcal{H} cannot implement all 16 dichotomies on 4 points. That is, $m_{\mathcal{H}}(4) < 16$. Take the feature transform Φ in (3.12).

1. Show that $m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(3) = 8$.
2. Show that $m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) < 16$.
3. Show that $m_{\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) = 16$.

That is, if you used lines, $d_{\text{vc}} = 3$; if you used ellipses, $d_{\text{vc}} = 3$; if you used lines and ellipses, $d_{\text{vc}} > 3$.

Solution:

The transformation is: $\Phi(x) = (1, x_1^2, x_2^2)$. Now the weights in the space ' \mathcal{Z} ' are $W_{\text{PLA}} = (-0.6, 0.6, 1)$ it leads us to hypothesis $g(x) = \text{sign}(1 - 0.6x_1^2 + 0.6x_2^2)$, finally it became a 2D perceptron.

1. If $m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(3) = 8$, then the breakpoint is: $k = 4$.
In the previous chapter we found out the formula for convex sets.

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(N) = 1 + \frac{N^3}{6} + \frac{5N}{6}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(3) = 1 + \frac{27}{6} + \frac{15}{6}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(3) = 8$$

2. Using the following theorem:

$$\text{If } m_h(k) < 2^k \implies m_h(N) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{N}{i}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \binom{N}{i}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) \leq 15 < 16$$

3. In the previous chapter we found out:

$$m_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) = 14$$

The two missing correct classified dichotomies now can be shattered using the ellipses, then:

$$m_{\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) = 14 + 2 \geq 16$$

But we also have

$$m_{\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) \leq 16$$

Finally:

$$m_{\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}_{\Phi}}(4) = 16$$