Chapter 1

∞-category theory

1.1 Motivations

Exercise 1.1.1. We fix a base field k. Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1_k$ and let U_0 and U_1 be the standard open affine cover of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$. For any k-algebra A, we have:

$$U_0(A) \coloneqq \{[x_0 : x_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(A) \mid x_0 \neq 0\}, \qquad U_1(A) \coloneqq \{[x_0 : x_1] \in \mathbb{P}^1_k(A) \mid x_1 \neq 0\}.$$

Let $U_{01} = U_0 \cap U_1$ be their intersection. Show that the canonical functor

$$\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^1_k)) \to \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{D}(U_0)) \times_{\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{D}(U_{01}))} \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{D}(U_1))$$

is essentially surjective but not fully faithful.

Exercise 1.1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a triangulated category where countable products and countable direct sums exist. Show that if there exists a functor Tr from the category of arrows $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta^1}$ to the category of exact triangles in $\mathcal{C}$, then every triangle in $\mathcal{C}$ is split. (See [4, Proposition II.1.2.13].)

1.2 Reminders on simplicial sets

Exercise 1.2.1. Show that the nerve functor $\mathrm{N}\colon \mathrm{Cat} \to \mathrm{sSet}$ is fully faithful and its essential image is spanned by those simplicial sets K satisfying the following lifting condition: for every $n \geq 2$ and for every $0 < i < n$ every lifting problem

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Lambda^n_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & K \\ \Delta^n \arrow[ur, dashed] & \end{tikzcd}$$

has a unique solution.

Solution. The nerve of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is:

$$(N\mathcal{C})_n = \{(f_1, \ldots, f_n) | \text{ composable morphisms}\}.$$

The face maps are:

$$d_j(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \begin{cases} (f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}), & j = 0 \\ (f_1, \ldots, f_j \circ f_{j-1}, \ldots, f_n), & 0 < j < n \\ (f_2, \ldots, f_n), & j = n. \end{cases}$$

The degeneracy s_j is obtained by inserting an identity map in the j^{th} slot.

A functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, induces a simplicial map:

$$\begin{aligned} N(F)_n : (N\mathcal{C})_n &\to (N\mathcal{D})_n \\ (f_1, \dots, f_n) &\mapsto \big(F(f_1), \dots, F(f_n)\big). \end{aligned}$$

If two functors F, F' induce simplicial maps $N(F) = N(F')$ which agree, then $F(f) = F'(f)$ for every morphism f. Hence N is faithful. Given a simplicial map $G : N\mathcal{C} \to N\mathcal{D}$, we define a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ to be G_0 on objects and G_1 on morphisms. We show that F respects composition. Let f_1, f_2 be two composable morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ and denote by x the 2-simplex (f_1, f_2). Then:

$$F(f_2 \circ f_1) = G(d_1 x) = d_1 G(x) = F(f_2) \circ F(f_1).$$

This proves that N is also full.

We move on to the essential image. Let K be the nerve of a category. The data of a map $\Lambda^n_i \to K$ is the same as the data of maps $y_j : \Delta^{n-1} \to K$ for $j \neq i$, which are compatible along their faces. By Yoneda, this is the same as simplices $\{y_j \in K_{n-1}\}_{j \neq i}$ compatible along faces. Given this data, we define the horn filler $x \in K_n$ by:

$$x = \left((d_0)^{n-2} y_{n-1}, (d_0)^{n-3} d_n y_{n-1}, \dots, d_0 (d_n)^{n-3} y_{n-1}, (d_n)^{n-2} y_0\right).$$

The simplicial identities ensure that $d_j x = y_j$ for $j \neq i$. [1] Using the compatibility of the y_j along faces, x is the unique solution to the lifting problem.

Conversely, given a K which has unique solutions to all lifting problems of inner horns, we define a category $\mathcal{C}$ such that $K \cong \mathcal{C}$. Let K_0 be the objects of $\mathcal{C}$, and for $X, Y \in K_0$, define:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) := \{f \in K_1 | d_1 f = X, d_0 f = Y\}.$$

Given $f_1 : X \to Y$ and $f_2 : Y \to Z$, define a lifting problem by mapping the 1-simplices $0 \to 1$ and $1 \to 2$ in Λ^2_1 to f_1 and f_2, respectively. We define $f_2 \circ f_1$ to be d_1 of the unique lift. Associativity of this composition follows from the unique filling of the horn Λ^3_1; we don't give the details here. $\square$

Exercise 1.2.2. Let S, S' be sets, seen as discrete simplicial set. Show that any morphism $f \colon S \to S'$ is a Kan fibration, and that f is a trivial Kan fibration if and only if f is a bijection.

Solution. Since S and S' are sets, all k-simplices are of the form $s^k x$, for x a 0-simplex. Given a lifting problem:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Lambda^n_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & S \arrow[d, "f"] \\ \Delta^n \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dashed] & S' \end{tikzcd}$$

all k-simplices of Λ^n_i, for $k > 0$, must map to degenerate k-simplices in S. Hence Λ^n_i maps to a point $s \in S$. Similarly, Δ^n maps to $f(s)$. The constant map from Δ^n to s is then the unique solution to the lifting problem. It follows that f is a Kan fibration, and moreover that all sets S are ∞-groupoids.

By definition, f is a weak equivalence if it induces a weak equivalence on geometric realizations. $|S|$ and $|S'|$ are discrete topological spaces, therefore $|f|$ is a weak equivalence iff it is a bijection. $\square$

Exercise 1.2.3. Let G and H be simplicial groups and let $f \colon G \to H$ be a surjective group homomorphism. Show that f is a Kan fibration.

Solution. There is an algorithm for constructing fillers on nLab. [2] We don't have any intuition for it, so we should work on building that.

The algorithm produces unique fillers for all horns, so in particular simplicial groups are ∞-groupoids. $\square$

[1] Note that it's essential that both y_0 and y_{n-1} are available to use in the definition of x, i.e. that Λ^n_i is an inner horn.
[2] `https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/simplicial+group`

Exercise 1.2.4. Let $\partial\Delta^2$ be the smallest full subsimplicial set of Δ^2 spanned by its non-degenerate edges $\Delta^1 \to \Delta^2$. Show that $\partial\Delta^2$ fits into a coequalizer diagram

$$(\Delta^0)^{\amalg 6} \rightrightarrows (\Delta^1)^{\amalg 3} \to \partial\Delta^2.$$

(Hint: Have a look at [2, Theorem III.3.1].)

Exercise 1.2.5. Let S be a set, seen as a discrete simplicial set. Show that $\mathrm{cosk}_n(S)$ satisfies the following property: for every $m \geq n$ and every $0 \leq i \leq m$ the lifting problem

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Lambda^n_i \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathrm{cosk}_n(S) \\ \Delta^n \arrow[ur, dashed] & \end{tikzcd}$$

has a solution. In particular, deduce that $\mathrm{cosk}_0(S)$ is a Kan complex.

1.3 ∞-categories

Exercise 1.3.1. Show that every Kan complexes and 1-categories are ∞-categories (quasicategories).

Solution. Kan complexes have fillers for all horns. 1-categories have unique fillers for all inner horns. In particular, both have fillers for all inner horns, which is the definition of ∞-categories. $\square$

Exercise 1.3.2. A morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ in an ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be an equivalence if its image in $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism. Define $S^\infty := \mathrm{cosk}_0(\{0,1\})$ and let $j \colon \Delta^1 \to S^\infty$ be the map classified by

$$\mathrm{sk}_0(\Delta^1) = \{0,1\} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \{0,1\}.$$

To give a morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ in an ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ it is equivalent to specify a morphism of simplicial sets $e_f \colon \Delta^1 \to \mathcal{C}$. Show that f is an equivalence if and only if the lifting problem

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Delta^1 \arrow[r, "e_f"] \arrow[d, hook, "j"] & \mathcal{C} \\ S^\infty \arrow[ur, dashed] & \end{tikzcd}$$

has at least one solution. Next, show that any two such solution are homotopic. (Hint: have a look at Exercises 1.2.5 and 1.4.1.)

Exercise 1.3.3. In [3] a functor of ∞-categories $f \colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is said to be a *categorical equivalence* if and only if the induced functor $\mathfrak{C}[f] \colon \mathfrak{C}[\mathcal{C}] \to \mathfrak{C}[\mathcal{D}]$ is an equivalence of simplicial categories. Show that f is a categorical equivalence if and only if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

Exercise 1.3.4. Let E denote the walking isomorphism (i.e. the 1-category with two objects and an isomorphism between them). Recall the definition of S^∞ from the previous exercise. Show that there is a canonical map $E \to S^\infty$ and that this is a categorical equivalence. In particular, for every ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$, the functor

$$\mathrm{Fun}(S^\infty, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(E, \mathcal{C})$$

is a categorical equivalence. (This is a very simple example of what an "internal rectification theorem" looks like.)

Exercise 1.3.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category. Let S_0 be a collection of *objects* in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the smallest full subsimplicial set of $\mathcal{C}$ containing S_0 (explicitly, an n-simplex $\sigma \colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if for every morphism $\Delta^0 \to \Delta^n$ the composition $\Delta^0 \to \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}$ belongs to S_0.) Show that $\mathcal{C}_0$ is an ∞-category. Furthermore, show that the inclusion $\mathcal{C}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of simplicial sets is a fully faithful functor of ∞-categories.

Exercise 1.3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category. Let S_0 be a collection of *morphisms* in $\mathcal{C}$, and suppose that S_0 is closed under composition, in the sense that for every 2-simplex

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Y \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\ X \arrow[ur, "f"] \arrow[rr, "h"] & & Z \end{tikzcd}$$

is $\mathcal{C}$, if f and g belong to S_0 then so does h. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ be the smallest full subsimplicial set of $\mathcal{C}$ containing S_0 (explicitly, an n-simplex $\sigma\colon \Delta^n \to \mathcal{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if for every morphism $\Delta^1 \to \Delta^n$ the composition $\Delta^1 \to \Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{C}$ belongs to S_0). Show that $\mathcal{C}_0$ is an ∞-category.

Exercise 1.3.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category. Show that the collection of equivalences in $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under composition, in the sense of the previous exercise. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq}$ be the ∞-subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ spanned by equivalences in $\mathcal{C}$. Show that $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq}$ is a Kan complex.

1.4 Localization of ∞-categories

Exercise 1.4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category (seen as a quasicategory). Let $\mathcal{C} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a fibrant replacement for the Kan model structure on sSet. Show that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ enjoys the following universal property: for every ∞-category $\mathcal{D}$ the functor of ∞-categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{D}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

is fully faithful and its essential image is spanned by those morphisms $f\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ that send every morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ into an equivalence in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, there is a categorical equivalence $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \simeq \mathcal{C}[W^{-1}]$, where W denotes the collection of all arrows in $\mathcal{C}$. Deduce that if $\mathcal{C}$ is an ∞-category where every morphism is invertible, then $\mathcal{C}$ is categorically equivalent to a Kan complex.

Exercise 1.4.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category and let S be a (small) collection of arrows in $\mathcal{C}$. Show that $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{C}[S^{-1}]) \in \mathrm{Cat}$ is canonically equivalent to the 1-categorical localization of $\mathrm{h}(\mathcal{C})$ at $\overline{S}$, the collection of morphism which is the image of S via the canonical functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{h}(\mathcal{C})$.

Exercise 1.4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category with finite limits and let S be a (small) collection of arrows in $\mathcal{C}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is stable under pullbacks. Then the ∞-categorical localization $\mathcal{C}[S^{-1}]$ has finite limits and the localization functor $L\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}[S^{-1}]$ commutes with them.

1.5 Limits and colimits

Exercise 1.5.1. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the ∞-category of spaces and let X be an object in $\mathcal{S}$. Using [3, Theorem 4.2.4.1] show that the colimit of the diagram

$$* \longleftarrow X \longrightarrow *$$

can be canonically identified with $\Sigma(X)$.

Now fix two points $p, q\colon * \to X$. Show that the limit of the diagram

$$* \xrightarrow{p} X \xleftarrow{q} *$$

can be canonically identified with the path space $\mathrm{Path}_X(p, q)$.

Exercise 1.5.2. $\star$ Prove the following variation of Quillen's theorem A: let $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ and let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $(n,1)$-category. Let $G\colon I \to J$ be an ∞-functor between ∞-categories. Let $F\colon J \to \mathcal{C}$ be any other ∞-functor. Suppose that for every $j \in J$ and any $i \in I_{/j} := I \times_J J_{/j}$ one has

$$\pi_m(I_{/j}, i) = 0$$

for all $0 \leq m \leq n-1$ (the above homotopy group is understood to be the homotopy group of the enveloping groupoid of $I_{/j}$). Then F admits a limit if and only if $F \circ G$ admits a limit, in which case they coincide.

Remark 1.5.3. The above version of Quillen's theorem A appears in [**?**] for $n = 1$ and in [3, 4.1.3.1] for $n = \infty$.

Exercise 1.5.4. Let $\mathbf{\Delta}_s$ denote the subcategory of $\mathbf{\Delta}$ spanned by all the objects and only the monomorphisms between them. For $n \geq 1$, let $\mathbf{\Delta}_s^{\leq n}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{\Delta}_s$ spanned by the objects $1, 2, \ldots, n$. Prove that for every $n \geq 1$ and every $k \geq 0$ the enveloping groupoid of $(\mathbf{\Delta}_s^{\leq n})_{/n+k}$ is equivalent to the wedge of a certain number $N_{n,k}$ of $(n-1)$-spheres.[3]

Exercise 1.5.5. A useful consequence of Quillen's theorem A is the following: let I be a weakly contractible ∞-category, by which we mean that the enveloping groupoid of I is weakly contractible. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category and let $x \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $c_x \colon I \to \mathcal{C}$ be the constant diagram associated to x. Then prove that both the limit and the colimit of c_x exists and coincides with x.

The above result is false if I is not weakly contractible. Construct a counterexample by choosing $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{S}$, $I = \{\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet\}$ and $x = *$, the final object of $\mathcal{S}$. Nevertheless, show that keeping the same I and the same x, the result is again true for $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Set}$. What happens in the ∞-category of n-homotopy types $\mathcal{S}^{\leq n}$ for general n?

Exercise 1.5.6. $\star$ Let K be a simplicial set and let $F \colon K^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{L}}$ be an ∞-functor. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a presentable ∞-category and let $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \colon K^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{L}}$ denote the constant ∞-functor associated to F. Let $\varphi \colon \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \to F$ be a natural transformation in $\mathrm{Fun}(K^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{P}\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{L}})$. We let

$$\Phi \colon \mathcal{C} \to \varprojlim F$$

be the induced functor. For every $x \in K$, the functor $\varphi_x \colon \mathcal{C} \to F(x)$ admits a right adjoint, which we denote $\psi_x \colon F(x) \to \mathcal{C}$. Show that there exists an ∞-functor

$$\overline{\Psi} \colon \varprojlim F \to \mathrm{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})$$

which informally sends $Y = \{Y_x\}_{x \in K} \in \varprojlim F$ to the diagram $\overline{\Psi}(Y) \colon K \to \mathcal{C}$ given by

$$\overline{\Psi}(Y)(x) = \psi_x(Y_x).$$

Prove moreover that the composition

$$\varprojlim F \xrightarrow{\overline{\Psi}} \mathrm{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\lim} \mathcal{C}$$

can be canonically identified with a right adjoint for Φ.

1.6 Left and right fibrations

Exercise 1.6.1. Let X be a connected Kan complex and let F be any other Kan complex. Let us further fix a point $x \in X$. Let $\mathrm{LF}_x(X; F)$ be the full subcategory of left fibrations $\mathrm{LF}(X)$ over X whose homotopy fiber at x is equivalent to F. Let $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{hAut}(F))$ be the classifying space of the simplicial group of homotopy automorphisms of F. Show that there is a canonical equivalence of ∞-categories

$$\mathrm{LF}_x(X; F) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{hAut}(F))).$$

[3] It should be possible to determine these numbers. We certainly have $N_{n,0} = 1$ and $N_{n,1} = 3$.

1.7 Cartesian and coCartesian fibrations

Exercise 1.7.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category and let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. Let $f\colon U \to X$ and $g\colon V \to X$ be two morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. For every 2-simplex $\sigma\colon \Delta^2 \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $d_0(\sigma) = f$ and $d_1(\sigma) = g$, show that there is a pullback square in $\mathcal{S}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Path}_{\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(U,X)}(f, d_2(\sigma)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_{/X}}(f,g) \arrow[d] \\ * \arrow[r, "d_2(\sigma)"] & \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(U,V). \end{tikzcd}$$

(Hint: Use [3, Propositions 2.1.2.1 and 2.4.4.2].)

1.8 Adjunctions

Exercise 1.8.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category with a zero object 0. Suppose that for every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the span

$$0 \longleftarrow X \longrightarrow 0$$

has both a limit $\Omega(X)$ and a colimit $\Sigma(X)$. Construct in an explicit way ∞-functors $\Sigma, \Omega\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ informally given by $X \mapsto \Sigma(X)$ and $X \mapsto \Omega(X)$, respectively. Show that Σ and Ω are adjoint by explicitly constructing a fibration $\mathcal{D} \to \Delta^1$ which is both Cartesian and coCartesian.

Exercise 1.8.2. Let $F\colon \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be an ∞-functor. Show that the following statements are equivalent:

1. F has a right adjoint $G\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$;
2. for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $\varepsilon_X\colon F(X) \to Y$ such that for every other $X' \in \mathcal{C}$ the canonical composition

   $$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', X) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(f(X'), f(X)) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{X*}} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(f(X'), Y)$$

   is a weak homotopy equivalence.

1.9 Stable ∞-categories

Exercise 1.9.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable ∞-category and let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a full stable subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. Let $S \coloneqq \{f\colon X \to Y \in \mathcal{C} \mid \mathrm{cofib}(f) \in \mathcal{D}\}$. Show that the ∞-categorical localization $\mathcal{C}[S^{-1}]$ is a stable ∞-category.

Exercise 1.9.2. It is shown in [1] that $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{Ex}}$ is a presentable ∞-category. Prove directly that cofibers in $\mathrm{Cat}_\infty^{\mathrm{Ex}}$ exist.

Chapter 2

Derived rings

2.1 Derived rings

Exercise 2.1.1. Show that a discrete commutative ring A over k is finitely presented if and only if its associated corepresentable functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{CAlg}_k}(A, -) \colon \mathrm{CAlg}_k \to \mathrm{Set}$$

commutes with filtered colimits.

Exercise 2.1.2. Let $A \in \mathrm{sCAlg}_k$ and let $M \in A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\leq 0}$. Show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{Sym}_A(M) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[d] \\ A \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sym}_A(M[1]) \end{tikzcd}$$

is a (homotopy) pushout square (where the two maps $\mathrm{Sym}_A(M) \to A$ are both classified by the zero map $M \to A$, and where both the maps $A \to \mathrm{Sym}_A(M[1])$ are the structure morphisms).

Exercise 2.1.3. Let $A \in \mathrm{sCAlg}_k$ and let $M \in A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\leq 0}$. Let $A \oplus M$ denote the split square-zero extension of A by M. Show that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} A \oplus M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & A \arrow[d, "d_0"] \\ A \arrow[r, "d_0"] & A \oplus M[1] \end{tikzcd}$$

is a homotopy pullback, where $d_0 \colon A \to A \oplus M[1]$ is the morphism classifying the zero derivation.

2.2 Modules

Exercise 2.2.1. Let A be a discrete commutative ring over k. Show that $M \in A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit}$ is finitely generated if and only if its associated corepresentable functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit}}(M, -) \colon A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit} \to \mathrm{Set}$$

commutes with filtered colimits of monomorphisms.

Exercise 2.2.2. Let A be a discrete commutative ring over k. Show that $M \in A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit}$ is finitely presented if and only if its associated corepresentable functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit}}(M, -) \colon A\text{-}\mathrm{Mod}^{\heartsuit} \to \mathrm{Set}$$

commutes with filtered colimits.

2.3 Cotangent complex

Exercise 2.3.1. Compute the cotangent complex of the following morphisms:

1. $k \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, $\deg(\varepsilon) = 0$;
2. $k[X,Y] \to k[X,Y]/(Y^3 - X^2)$;
3. $k \to k[X,Y]/(Y^3 - X^2)$.

Exercise 2.3.2. Find all the square-zero extensions (up to homotopy) of $R \coloneqq k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$ by $k \simeq R/(\varepsilon)$. What happens if we replace k by $k[n]$, $n \geq 0$?

Solution. We could work with the cotangent complex of $k \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, as computed in Exercise 2.3.1. Instead, we work straight from the definition, in order to get a more explicit understanding of the extensions. Recall that square zero extensions up to homotopy are:

$$\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_{cdga_k^{\leq 0}/k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)} \big(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2), k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \oplus k[1]\big). \tag{2.3.1}$$

Note that mapping spaces are *not* homotopy invariant; in order to obtain the correct answer, we need to take a cofibrant replacement of the first variable and a fibrant replacement of the second, in the category $cdga_k^{\leq 0}/k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. Recall that the model structure on $cdga_k$ is obtained via transfer from the model structure on $Chain_k$; in particular:

- Fibrations are the same as those of the underlying complexes, i.e. the degree-wise surjections. All objects are fibrant.
- Cofibrations $f : A \to B$ are the morphisms such that B is quasi-free over A. The cofibrant objects are cdga's which are quasi-free over k.

Therefore, to describe the square-zero extensions given by 2.3.1, it suffices to take a k-free resolution of $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. This is accomplished by:

$$0 \longrightarrow k[\varepsilon] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^2} k[\varepsilon] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Of course, one needs to check that this gives indeed a cdga.

- For $|a| = |b| = 0$, ab is ring multiplication in $k[\varepsilon]$.
- For $|a| = 0, |b| = 1$, ab is ring multiplication in $k[\varepsilon]$.
- For $|a| = |b| = 1$, $|ab| = 2$, so the only possibility is $ab = 0$.
- Let's check that multiplication by ε^2 satisfies the Leibniz rule. We do this for $|a| = 0, |b| = 1$:

$$\varepsilon^2(a) \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a\varepsilon^2(b) = 0 \cdot b + (-1)^0 a\varepsilon^2 b = \varepsilon^2(ab).$$

With this cofibrant model, we compute 2.3.1. These are maps between cdga's, and we identify them by their components:

$$\begin{tikzcd} k[\varepsilon] \arrow[r, "\varepsilon^2"] \arrow[d, "\eta"'] & k[\varepsilon] \arrow[d, "p"] \\ k \arrow[r, "0"] & k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2). \end{tikzcd}$$

But, since we are working in the comma category of cdga's over $k[\varepsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$, the map p is forced to be the canonical projection $k[\varepsilon] \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. It follows that the only freedom is in choosing η. The constraints on η are given by the fact that a morphism of cdga's must commute with the cdga multiplication, in the sense that, for $|f| = 0$ and $|g| = 1$, $\eta(fg) = p(f)\eta(g)$. In particular:

$$\eta(\varepsilon) = \eta(1 \cdot \varepsilon) = p(\varepsilon) \cdot \eta(1) = \varepsilon \cdot \eta(1) = 0,$$

because ϵ acts by 0 on $k = k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon)$. Similarly, $\eta(\epsilon^i) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. It follows that, if $|g| = 1$ with $g = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\varepsilon + \dots$, then $\eta(g) = \lambda\alpha_0$, for some $\lambda \in k$. Thus, elements of 2.3.1 are classified by $\lambda \in k$.[1]

To see the square-zero extensions explicitly, we need to compute the homotopy fiber products:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A^\eta \arrow[r, dashed] \arrow[d, dashed] & k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \arrow[d, "d_\eta"] \\
k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \arrow[r, "d_0"] & k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \oplus k[1].
\end{tikzcd}$$

Homotopy fiber products *are* homotopy invariant, so this is the same as computing the homotopy fiber products:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& k[\varepsilon] \arrow[rr, "\varepsilon^2"] \arrow[dd, "\eta"] & & k[\varepsilon] \arrow[dd, "p"] \\
A \arrow[ur, dashed] \arrow[rr, dashed, "\phi"] \arrow[dd, dashed] & & B \arrow[ur, dashed] \arrow[dd, dashed] & \\
& k \arrow[rr, "0"] & & k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \\
k[\varepsilon] \arrow[ur, "0"] \arrow[rr, "\varepsilon^2"] & & k[\varepsilon] \arrow[ur, "p"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The advantage of using this model is that the maps on the right face of the cube are degree-wise surjections, hence fibrations, so it suffices to compute the naive fiber product. This gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= k[\varepsilon] \oplus (\varepsilon)k[\varepsilon], \\
B &= k[\varepsilon] \oplus (\varepsilon^2)k[\varepsilon], \\
\phi &= (\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Note first that ϕ is injective, so the homotopy fiber product is (cohomologically) concentrated in degree 0. In other words, it is quasi-isomorphic as cdga to:

$$0 \to 0 \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \oplus k \to 0.$$

It remains to see how the choice of $\lambda \in k$ determines the product structure on $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2) \oplus k$. The claim is that we get:

$$(a + b\varepsilon, c) \cdot_\lambda (a' + b'\varepsilon, c') = \big(aa' + (a'b + ab')\varepsilon, \lambda bb' + ac' + ca'\big)$$

Note that for $\lambda = 1$ this is just the ring multiplication in $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3)$, so we recover the classical square-zero extension $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3) \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. (Todo: I actually don't understand how we get this product structure in degree 0, given that the only freedom is in the map η, which goes between the degree -1 parts.) □

[1] We point out that, had we not used a cofibrant replacement for $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$, we would obtain $(0, \mathrm{id})$ as the only map of cdga's; this corresponds to the zero derivation. This answer is clearly wrong, as it doesn't account for the square-zero extension $k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^3) \to k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$.

Chapter 3

Derived stacks

Bibliography

[1] Andrew J Blumberg, David Gepner, and Gonçalo Tabuada. A universal characterization of higher algebraic k-theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 17(2):733–838, 2013.

[2] P. Gabriel and M. Zisman. *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 35. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1967.

[3] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.

[4] Jean-Louis Verdier. Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes. *Astérisque*, 1996.