

Part 1

1. We say  $\mu_n$  is unbiased estimator if  $E(\mu_n) = \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mu_n) &= E\left(\alpha \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum y_{1i} + (1-\alpha) \frac{1}{n} \sum y_{2i}\right) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum E(y_{1i}) + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \sum E(y_{2i}) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{n} \left[ \sum E(x_i) + E(\varepsilon_{1i}) \right] + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \left[ \sum E(x_i) + E(\varepsilon_{2i}) \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum \mu + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \sum \mu = \frac{\alpha}{n} (n\mu) + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} (n\mu) \\ &= \alpha\mu + (1-\alpha)\mu = \mu \end{aligned}$$

2. Consistent if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum y_{1i} + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \sum y_{2i} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_{1i} \right] + (1-\alpha) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i + \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_{2i} \right] \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \alpha [E(x_i) + E(\varepsilon_{1i})] + (1-\alpha) [E(x_i) + E(\varepsilon_{2i})] \right) \\ &= \alpha\mu + 0 + (1-\alpha)\mu + 0 = \mu \end{aligned}$$

3.  $\text{Var}(\mu_n) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n} \sum x_i + \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum \varepsilon_{1i} + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \sum x_i + \frac{1-\alpha}{n} \sum \varepsilon_{2i}\right)$

because  $\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}\left(\sum x_i\right) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}\left(\sum \varepsilon_{1i}\right) + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}\left(\sum \varepsilon_{2i}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum \text{Var}(x_i) + \frac{\alpha^2}{n^2} \sum \text{Var}(\varepsilon_{1i}) + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{n^2} \sum \text{Var}(\varepsilon_{2i}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_x^2}{n} + \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma_1^2}{n} + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_2^2}{n} = \frac{1}{n} [\sigma_x^2 + \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + (1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_2^2] \end{aligned}$$

4.  $\frac{d}{d\alpha} \text{Var}(\mu_n) = 2\alpha^* \sigma_1^2 - 2(1-\alpha^*) \sigma_2^2 = 0$

$$2\alpha^* \sigma_1^2 = 2(1-\alpha^*) \sigma_2^2$$

$$\alpha^* (\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2) = \sigma_2^2$$

$$\boxed{\alpha^* = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}}$$

This means if  $\sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$  then  $\alpha^* < 0.5$ , meaning  $y_2$  is weighted more than  $y_1$ .

If  $\sigma_1^2 < \sigma_2^2$  then  $\alpha^* > 0.5$ , meaning  $y_1$  is weighted more than  $y_2$ .

If they are equal  $\alpha^* = 0.5$ , meaning  $y_1$  &  $y_2$  are equally weighted



5. yes, for example we don't need  $\varepsilon_1 \perp \varepsilon_2$  to have unbiasedness.



Part 2

$$2. \quad y_1 = \mu + \epsilon_{1i}$$

$$y_2 = \mu + \epsilon_{2i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) &= E(y_1 y_2) - E(y_1) E(y_2) \\ &= E(\mu^2) + \mu E(\epsilon_{1i}) + \mu E(\epsilon_{2i}) + E(\epsilon_{1i} \epsilon_{2i}) \\ &\quad - E(\mu)^2 - E(\epsilon_{1i}) E(\epsilon_{2i}) - E(\mu) E(\epsilon_{1i}) - E(\mu) E(\epsilon_{2i}) \\ &= 0 + E(\epsilon_{1i} \epsilon_{2i}) - E(\epsilon_{1i}) E(\epsilon_{2i}) = 0 + \text{Cov}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\mu^2$  because  $E(y_i) = \mu$   
since  $E(\epsilon_{1i}) = 0$

$\therefore$  under these conditions,  $y_1 \perp y_2$

If  $\sigma_x > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) &= E(y_1 y_2) - E(y_1) E(y_2) \\ &= E((x_i + \epsilon_{1i})(x_i + \epsilon_{2i})) - E(x_i + \epsilon_{1i}) E(x_i + \epsilon_{2i}) \\ &= E(x_i^2) + E(x_i \epsilon_{1i}) + E(x_i \epsilon_{2i}) + E(\epsilon_{1i} \epsilon_{2i}) \\ &\quad - E(x_i)^2 - E(x_i) E(\epsilon_{1i}) - E(x_i) E(\epsilon_{2i}) - E(\epsilon_{1i}) E(\epsilon_{2i}) \\ &= [E(x_i^2) - E(x_i)^2] + [E(x_i \epsilon_{1i}) - E(x_i) E(\epsilon_{1i})] \\ &\quad + [E(x_i \epsilon_{2i}) - E(x_i) E(\epsilon_{2i})] - [E(\epsilon_{1i} \epsilon_{2i}) - E(\epsilon_{1i}) E(\epsilon_{2i})] \\ &= \text{Var}(x) + \text{Cov}(x, \epsilon_1) + \text{Cov}(x, \epsilon_2) - \text{Cov}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \end{aligned}$$

$= 0$  bc  $\text{Cov}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = 0$

$$2. \quad L(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2; (y_{1i}, y_{2i})_{i=1}^n) \stackrel{\text{because of independence}}{=} L(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2; y_{1i}) \cdot L(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2; y_{2i})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{from normality}}{=} [2\pi\sigma_1^2] e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{1i} - \mu)^2} [2\pi\sigma_2^2] e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{2i} - \mu)^2}$$

refer to  $\star$

$$l(\mu, \sigma_1, \sigma_2; (y_{1i}, y_{2i})_{i=1}^n) = \log(\star)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{n}{2} \log[2\pi\sigma_1^2] - \frac{n}{2} \log[2\pi\sigma_2^2] - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{1i} - \mu)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{2i} - \mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
3. \quad \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(y_{i1} - \mu^*) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^n 2(y_{i2} - \mu) = 0 \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{i1} - \mu^*) + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_{i2} - \mu) = 0 \\
&= \frac{\sum y_{i1}}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\sum y_{i2}}{\sigma_2^2} - \frac{n\mu^*}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{n\mu^*}{\sigma_2^2} = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad \frac{\frac{\sum y_{i1}}{n}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2}} + \frac{\frac{\sum y_{i2}}{n}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}} &= \frac{\sigma_2^2 \mu^* + \sigma_1^2 \mu^*}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \mu^* &= \left( \frac{\bar{y}_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{\bar{y}_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{\sigma_2^2 \bar{y}_1 + \sigma_1^2 \bar{y}_2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}
\end{aligned}$$

4. Similarities: calculation for both is dependent on  $\sigma_1$  &  $\sigma_2$

Differences:

Estimator includes an additional variable, which is the sample means of  $y_1$  &  $y_2$ .

This means it is not solely relying on the magnitude of  $\sigma_1^2$  &  $\sigma_2^2$  (the variance) but also  $\bar{y}_1$  &  $\bar{y}_2$  (our means)



Part 3

1. False

$$\text{let } \gamma = 2 \quad \text{Then } E(U^2) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b u^2 du = \frac{1}{b-a} \left[ \frac{u^3}{3} \right]_a^b = \frac{b^3 - a^3}{3(b-a)}$$

We have  $a=0$  &  $b=1 \Rightarrow E(U^2) = \frac{1}{3} \neq \frac{1}{2}$  so the problem would suggest

2. False

let's take a look at the MLE of variance, where

$$\text{we know } \sigma_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - M_{MLE})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma_{MLE}^2) &= \frac{1}{n} E(x_i^2 - 2x_i M_{MLE} + M_{MLE}^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E(x_i^2) - 2n M_{MLE}^2 + n M_{MLE}^2 \\ &= E(x^2) - E(M_{MLE}^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we know } E x_i &= M_{MLE} \\ \& \sum M_{MLE}^2 &= n M_{MLE}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\sigma_x^2 + \mu^2) - (\sigma_{MLE}^2 + \mu^2) = \sigma_x^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_x^2 \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma_x^2 \Rightarrow \text{UNBIASED estimator of } \sigma_x^2 \end{aligned}$$

3. True

$$E(x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(1-p)^{k-1} p = p \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} (1-p)^{k-1} + \dots \right]$$

because we multiply  $(1-p)^{k-1}$  by  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} &= p \left[ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1-p}{p} + \frac{(1-p)^2}{p} + \frac{(1-p)^3}{p} + \dots \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p} \end{aligned}$$

property of geometric series