

MA109 Tutorial Session

Week 4

Dhruv Arora

Sophomore, Dept of CSE

December 16, 2020

What's New this Wednesday

- 1 Tutorial Sheet 4
 - Q2.(a) 0 Riemann Integral and 0 function
 - Q2.(b) 0 Riemann Integral but non 0 function
 - A Useful Theorem
 - Q3. (ii) : Approximate Riemann Sums
 - Q3. (iv) : Approximate Riemann Sums
 - Another Useful Theorem
 - Q4. (b). (i) : Apply the Leibnitz Rule
 - Q4. (b). (ii) : Apply the Leibnitz Rule Again
 - Q6 : FTC I but Calculate (:

Q2. (a)

Q2. (a)

Question

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable.

Q2. (a)

Question

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable.

- 1 Given $f(x) \geq 0$, show that $\int_a^b f(x) dx \geq 0$

Q2. (a)

Question

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable.

- ① Given $f(x) \geq 0$, show that $\int_a^b f(x) dx \geq 0$
- ② Given that f is continuous and non negative, and that $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$, show that $f(x) = 0 \forall x \in [a, b]$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Note that $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \geq 0$ since $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Note that $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \geq 0$ since $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

So that, $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \geq 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Note that $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \geq 0$ since $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

So that, $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \geq 0$

Now, since $L(f) := \sup_P L(P, f)$, by definition, $L(f) \geq L(P, f) \geq 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Note that $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \geq 0$ since $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

So that, $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \geq 0$

Now, since $L(f) := \sup_P L(P, f)$, by definition, $L(f) \geq L(P, f) \geq 0$

Also, f is Riemann integrable, hence Darboux Integrable

Q2. (a)

Proof for (1).

Consider an arbitrary partition $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$

Note that $m_i := \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \geq 0$ since $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

So that, $L(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(x_i - x_{i-1}) \geq 0$

Now, since $L(f) := \sup_P L(P, f)$, by definition, $L(f) \geq L(P, f) \geq 0$

Also, f is Riemann integrable, hence Darboux Integrable

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = L(f) \geq 0$$



Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$

Consider the partition $P := \{a, d - \delta/2, d + \delta/2, b\}$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$

Consider the partition $P := \{a, d - \delta/2, d + \delta/2, b\}$

Here, $m_1 \geq 0$, $m_2 \geq f(d)/2 > 0$ and $m_3 \geq 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$$

Consider the partition $P := \{a, d - \delta/2, d + \delta/2, b\}$

Here, $m_1 \geq 0$, $m_2 \geq f(d)/2 > 0$ and $m_3 \geq 0$

Hence, $L(P, f) = m_1(d - \delta - a) + m_2(2\delta) + m_3(b - d - \delta) \geq 2m_2\delta > 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$$

Consider the partition $P := \{a, d - \delta/2, d + \delta/2, b\}$

Here, $m_1 \geq 0$, $m_2 \geq f(d)/2 > 0$ and $m_3 \geq 0$

Hence, $L(P, f) = m_1(d - \delta - a) + m_2(2\delta) + m_3(b - d - \delta) \geq 2m_2\delta > 0$

Now, since $L(f) := \sup_P L(P, f)$, by definition, $L(f) \geq L(P, f) > 0$

Q2. (a)

Proof for (2).

We shall prove this by contradiction. Assume that $\exists c \in [a, b]$ such that $f(c) > 0$

Claim : $\exists d \in (a, b)$ such that $f(d) > 0$.

If c were a or b , the $\epsilon - \delta$ definition would give such a d for $\epsilon = f(c)$ (show!)

Now, for d , let $\epsilon = f(d)/2$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $(d - \delta, d + \delta) \subset (a, b)$ and

$$x \in (d - \delta, d + \delta) \implies |f(x) - f(d)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) - f(d) > -f(d)/2 \implies f(x) > f(d)/2$$

Consider the partition $P := \{a, d - \delta/2, d + \delta/2, b\}$

Here, $m_1 \geq 0$, $m_2 \geq f(d)/2 > 0$ and $m_3 \geq 0$

Hence, $L(P, f) = m_1(d - \delta - a) + m_2(2\delta) + m_3(b - d - \delta) \geq 2m_2\delta > 0$

Now, since $L(f) := \sup_P L(P, f)$, by definition, $L(f) \geq L(P, f) > 0$

Also, f is Riemann integrable, hence $\int_a^b f(x)dx = L(f) > 0$ which is a contradiction. ■

Q2. (b)

Q2. (b)

Question

Give an example of a Riemann integrable function, $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in [a, b]$, $\int_a^b f(x)dx = 0$ but $f(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in [a, b]$

Q2. (b)

Q2. (b)

Obviously, you cannot take f to be any continuous function (why?)

Q2. (b)

Obviously, you cannot take f to be any continuous function (why?)

Consider the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

Q2. (b)

Obviously, you cannot take f to be any continuous function (why?)

Consider the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

f indeed is Reimann integrable and $\int_0^1 f(x)dx = 0$

Q2. (b)

Obviously, you cannot take f to be any continuous function (why?)

Consider the function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

f indeed is Reimann integrable and $\int_0^1 f(x)dx = 0$

This can be shown as follows

Q2. (b)

Proof.

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

$L(P_\delta, f) = 0$ and $U(P_\delta, f) = \delta$

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

$L(P_\delta, f) = 0$ and $U(P_\delta, f) = \delta$

By definition, $L(f) \geq L(P_\delta, f) \geq 0$

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

$L(P_\delta, f) = 0$ and $U(P_\delta, f) = \delta$

By definition, $L(f) \geq L(P_\delta, f) \geq 0$

And $U(f) := \inf_P U(P, f) \leq \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} U(P_\delta, f) = \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} \delta = 0$

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

$L(P_\delta, f) = 0$ and $U(P_\delta, f) = \delta$

By definition, $L(f) \geq L(P_\delta, f) \geq 0$

And $U(f) := \inf_P U(P, f) \leq \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} U(P_\delta, f) = \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} \delta = 0$

We know that $L(f) \leq U(f)$ and we obtained that $L(f) \geq 0 \geq U(f)$

Q2. (b)

Proof.

We show that f is Darboux integrable.

Let $1 > \delta > 0$ and $P_\delta := \{0, 1 - \delta, 1\}$

$L(P_\delta, f) = 0$ and $U(P_\delta, f) = \delta$

By definition, $L(f) \geq L(P_\delta, f) \geq 0$

And $U(f) := \inf_P U(P, f) \leq \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} U(P_\delta, f) = \inf_{0 < \delta < 1} \delta = 0$

We know that $L(f) \leq U(f)$ and we obtained that $L(f) \geq 0 \geq U(f)$

Hence, $L(f) = U(f) = 0$ and thus $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0$ ■

Approximate Reimann Sums

Approximate Reimann Sums

Theorem

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable. Let P_n be a sequence of partitions such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\| = 0$ (written more subtly as $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$). Let $P_n = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots x_m = b\}$ (ofcourse m depends on n), and $t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^m f(t_i)(x_i - x_{i-1}) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Indeed, the P_n and t_n combined are what are called **tagged partitions**. And the theorem is another way of saying that if $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Note that by definition of Riemann integrability, we have $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for any tagged partition (P, t) , $\|P\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P, t) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Note that by definition of Riemann integrability, we have $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for any tagged partition (P, t) , $\|P\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P, t) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain such a $\delta > 0$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Note that by definition of Riemann integrability, we have $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for any tagged partition (P, t) , $\|P\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P, t) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain such a $\delta > 0$

Since $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \implies 0 < \|P_n\| < \delta$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Note that by definition of Riemann integrability, we have $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for any tagged partition (P, t) , $\|P\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P, t) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain such a $\delta > 0$

Since $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \implies 0 < \|P_n\| < \delta$

Thus, by definition, $n \geq N \implies \|P_n\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P_n, t_n) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Proof of the Theorem

Proof.

We prove that if f is Riemann integrable and $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, then $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$

To show our claim, that $R(f, P_n, t_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f(x)dx$, proceed by definition.

Note that by definition of Riemann integrability, we have $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that for any tagged partition (P, t) , $\|P\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P, t) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Now, given $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain such a $\delta > 0$

Since $\|P_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \implies 0 < \|P_n\| < \delta$

Thus, by definition, $n \geq N \implies \|P_n\| < \delta \implies |R(f, P_n, t_n) - \int_a^b f(x)dx| < \epsilon$

Which is exactly what we wanted to show! ■

Q3. (ii)

Q3. (ii)

Question

Find the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ where

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n}{i^2 + n^2}$$

by formulating it as the limit of an appropriate Reimann sum

Q3. (ii)

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$$

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Reimann integrable

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Riemann integrable

Thus, by the theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx = \arctan(1) - \arctan(0) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Q3. (ii)

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (i/n)^2} \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x^2}$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Riemann integrable

Thus, by the theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 + x^2} dx = \arctan(1) - \arctan(0) = \frac{\pi}{4}$$

Where the last part implicitly uses the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II

Q3. (iv)

Q3. (iv)

Question

Find the $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ where

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos\left(\frac{i\pi}{n}\right)$$

by formulating it as the limit of an appropriate Riemann sum

Q3. (iv)

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \cos(\pi x)$$

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \cos(\pi x)$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Riemann integrable

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \cos(\pi x)$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Riemann integrable

Thus, by the theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x) dx = \frac{\sin(\pi)}{\pi} - \frac{\sin(0)}{\pi} = 0$$

Q3. (iv)

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \cos \left[\pi \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \right] \left(\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right)$$

Which is precisely $R(f, P_n, t_n)$ where

$$P_n = \{0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = 1\} : x_i = \frac{i}{n}; t_i = \frac{i}{n}$$

$$f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) = \cos(\pi x)$$

Note that $\|P_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ and f is Riemann integrable

Thus, by the theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \int_0^1 \cos(\pi x) dx = \frac{\sin(\pi)}{\pi} - \frac{\sin(0)}{\pi} = 0$$

Where the last part implicitly uses the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus II

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Differentiate an integral whose limits are differentiable functions of the concerned variable.

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Differentiate an integral whose limits are differentiable functions of the concerned variable.
Note that here, the function involved is INDEPENDENT of the concerned variable.

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Differentiate an integral whose limits are differentiable functions of the concerned variable. Note that here, the function involved is INDEPENDENT of the concerned variable.

Theorem (Leibnitz Integral Rule)

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Let $a, b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable functions. Define :

$$F(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t) dt$$

Then F is differentiable and $F'(x) = f(b(x))b'(x) - f(a(x))a'(x)$

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$$

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

Since f is continuous, F_1 is differentiable by Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

Since f is continuous, F_1 is differentiable by Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I

Also,

$$F(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t)dt = \int_0^{b(x)} f(t)dt - \int_0^{a(x)} f(t)dt = F_1(b(x)) - F_1(a(x))$$

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

Since f is continuous, F_1 is differentiable by Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I

Also,

$$F(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t)dt = \int_0^{b(x)} f(t)dt - \int_0^{a(x)} f(t)dt = F_1(b(x)) - F_1(a(x))$$

Also, b and a are differentiable functions, hence F is differentiable by the chain rule

Leibnitz Integral Rule

Proof.

Define

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$$

Since f is continuous, F_1 is differentiable by Fundamental Theorem of Calculus I

Also,

$$F(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(t)dt = \int_0^{b(x)} f(t)dt - \int_0^{a(x)} f(t)dt = F_1(b(x)) - F_1(a(x))$$

Also, b and a are differentiable functions, hence F is differentiable by the chain rule

$$F'(x) = F'_1(b(x))b'(x) - F'_1(a(x))a'(x) = f(b(x))b'(x) - f(a(x))a'(x)$$



Q4. (b). (i)

Q4. (b). (i)

Question

Define

$$F(x) = \int_1^{2x} \cos(t^2) dt$$

Show that F is differentiable and obtain $\frac{dF}{dx}$

Q4. (b). (i)

Q4. (b). (i)

Note that $\cos(x^2)$ is continuous, and 1 and $2x$ are both differentiable.

Q4. (b). (i)

Note that $\cos(x^2)$ is continuous, and 1 and $2x$ are both differentiable.

The hypothesis of Leibnitz Integral Rule are satisfied, hence

$$\frac{dF}{dx} = F'(x) = 2 \cos(4x^2) - 0 \cos(1) = 2 \cos(4x^2)$$

Q4. (b). (ii)

Q4. (b). (ii)

Question

Define

$$F(x) = \int_0^{x^2} \cos(t) dt$$

Show that F is differentiable and obtain $\frac{dF}{dx}$

Q4. (b). (ii)

Q4. (b). (ii)

Note that $\cos(x)$ is continuous, and 0 and x^2 are both differentiable.

Q4. (b). (ii)

Note that $\cos(x)$ is continuous, and 0 and x^2 are both differentiable.

The hypothesis of Leibnitz Integral Rule are satisfied, hence

$$\frac{dF}{dx} = F'(x) = 2x \cos(x^2) - 0 \cos(0) = 2x \cos(x^2)$$

Q6

Q6

Question

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Q6

Question

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Define

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x f(t) \sin[\lambda(x - t)] dt$$

Q6

Question

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Define

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x f(t) \sin[\lambda(x - t)] dt$$

Show that $g''(x) + \lambda^2 g(x) = f(x)$ and $g(0) = 0 = g'(0)$

Q6

Sketch.

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.
(That is all there is to this question, rest is FTC I and plain calculation)

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.
(That is all there is to this question, rest is FTC I and plain calculation)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\sin(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \cos(\lambda t) dt - \cos(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \sin(\lambda t) dt \right]$$

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.
(That is all there is to this question, rest is FTC I and plain calculation)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\sin(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \cos(\lambda t) dt - \cos(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \sin(\lambda t) dt \right]$$

Now note that f is continuous, so are $\cos(\lambda t)$ and $\sin(\lambda t)$, so that FTC I can be applied

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.
(That is all there is to this question, rest is FTC I and plain calculation)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\sin(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \cos(\lambda t) dt - \cos(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \sin(\lambda t) dt \right]$$

Now note that f is continuous, so are $\cos(\lambda t)$ and $\sin(\lambda t)$, so that FTC I can be applied
Also, $\cos(\lambda x)$ and $\sin(\lambda x)$ are differentiable, so that chain rule can be applied

Q6

Sketch.

Rearrange terms so that you do not have a function of x inside the integral.
(That is all there is to this question, rest is FTC I and plain calculation)

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[\sin(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \cos(\lambda t) dt - \cos(\lambda x) \int_0^x f(t) \sin(\lambda t) dt \right]$$

Now note that f is continuous, so are $\cos(\lambda t)$ and $\sin(\lambda t)$, so that FTC I can be applied
Also, $\cos(\lambda x)$ and $\sin(\lambda x)$ are differentiable, so that chain rule can be applied
The rest is simple calculation. I leave that to you (: