## 25 2025-10-22 | Week 09 | Lecture 25

The nexus question of this lecture: The matrix we use to represent a linear transformation depends on a choice of bases. How does it change when whe choose different bases?

This lecture is based on section 5.3 in the textbook

## 25.1 Introduction to change of basis

The following theorem summarizes the main ideas from the previous lecture.

**Theorem 114** (Change of Basis I). Let  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  be vector spaces. If  $T : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$  is a linear map,  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{A}$ , and  $\beta = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{B}$ , then we can represent T by the matrix

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\beta} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} | & | & | \\ T(\alpha_1) & T(\alpha_2) & \dots & T(\alpha_n) \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right]$$

where  $T(\alpha_i) = A\alpha_i = a_{1i}\beta_1 + a_{2i}\beta_2 + \ldots + a_{mi}\beta_m$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . (Here,  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{B}$ .)

**Example 115** (Example 1 in Section 5.3). Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be the linear transformation

$$T \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5x + z \\ 3x + 2y - 3z \\ 5x \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\alpha = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ , where

$$e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly  $\alpha$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(a) **Question:** Find the matrix of T with respect to the standard basis  $\alpha$ , that is, find  $[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}$ .

**Solution:** Observe that

$$T(e_1) = T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = 5e_1 + 3e_2 + 5e_3$$

Similarly,

$$T(e_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 0e_1 + 2e_2 + 0e_3$$
 and  $T(e_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-3\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 1e_1 - 3e_2 + 0e_3$ 

These becomes the columns of the matrix

$$[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & -3 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) **Question:** Let  $\beta = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$ , where

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Find  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$ , the matrix of T with respect to the basis  $\beta$ .

(The point of this example is to show you how to find  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$  mechanistically; I'm not trying to illustrate why this particular choice of basis  $\beta$  is good or meaningful—it's not.)

**Solution:** The columns of  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$  are the vectors  $T(\beta_1), T(\beta_2), T(\beta_3)$  expressed in terms of the vectors in  $\beta$ . By plugging  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  into T, we see that

$$T(\beta_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T(\beta_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad T(\beta_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

But in the above equations, the right hand sides are all expressed in terms of  $e_1, e_2, e_3$ . For example,

$$T(\beta_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = 7e_1 - e_2 + 5e_3.$$

This is no good: we need to express them using in basis  $\beta$ . Observe that

• 
$$T(\beta_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{*}{=} -2 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_2} + 4 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_2} + 5 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_3} = -2\beta_1 + 4\beta_2 + 5\beta_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}_{\beta}$$

Similarly,

• 
$$T(\beta_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{*}{=} -1 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_1} + 4 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_2} + 3 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_{\beta}$$

• 
$$T(\beta_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{*}{=} -1 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_1} + 2 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_2} + 5 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\beta_3} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}_{\beta}$$

Therefore by Theorem 114

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} & | & & | & & | \\ T(\beta_1) & T(\beta_2) & T(\beta_3) & \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix represents the same linear transformation, T, but now we are using  $\beta$  as the basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  rather than  $\alpha$  as in part (a). For some linear transformations, the is a "best" basis to use (and often it is not the standard basis!)

There is also a

## The starred equalities above

Note that the equalities marked with a (\*) required solving a linear system. In the  $T(\beta_1)$  case, for example, we needed to solve the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has solution  $c_1 = -2$ ,  $c_2 = 4$ ,  $c_3 = 5$ .

End of Example 115.  $\square$ 

## 25.2 The change of basis matrix

Suppose  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  are bases for the same vector space V. We can write the vectors of basis  $\beta$  in terms of the vectors of basis  $\alpha$ :

$$\beta_{1} = p_{11}\alpha_{1} + p_{21}\alpha_{2} + \ldots + p_{n1}\alpha_{n}$$

$$\beta_{2} = p_{12}\alpha_{1} + p_{22}\alpha_{2} + \ldots + p_{n2}\alpha_{n}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\beta_{n} = p_{1n}\alpha_{1} + p_{2n}\alpha_{2} + \ldots + p_{nn}\alpha_{n}.$$

where the p's are all scalars. In other notation, we can write the above equations as

$$\beta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{21} \\ \vdots \\ p_{n1} \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha}, \quad \beta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} p_{12} \\ p_{22} \\ \vdots \\ p_{n2} \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha}, \quad \cdots \beta_n = \begin{bmatrix} p_{1n} \\ p_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ p_{nn} \end{bmatrix}_{\alpha}.$$

Concatenating these column vectors, we obtain the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \cdots & p_{1n} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \cdots & p_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & p_{n2} & \cdots & p_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is called the change of basis matrix from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ .

The following theorem is Corollary 5.13 in the textbook.

**Theorem 116** (Change of basis II). If  $T: V \to V$  is a linear transformation,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are bases of V, and P is the change of basis matrix from  $\alpha$  to  $\beta$ , then  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta} = P^{-1}[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}P$ .

**Example 117** (Using a change of basis matrix). In Example 115, we had

$$[T]_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & -3 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad [T]_{\beta}^{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

One can compute the change of basis matrix P and its inverse  $P^{-1}$  for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . (For details, see Example 2 pages 260-261.) These are

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 3/2 & 1/2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we could solve part (b) using the formula in Theorem 116:

$$\begin{split} [T]^{\beta}_{\beta} &= P^{-1}[T]^{\alpha}_{\alpha}P \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 & 0 \\ 3/2 & 1/2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & -3 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

This computation agrees with the answer for  $[T]^{\beta}_{\beta}$  that we obtained in Example 115.

End of Example 117.  $\square$