Exercise 3

Deadline: 22.11.2017, 10:00

Regulations

Please hand-in your solution for task 1 as a PDF file LDA.pdf, created with LaTeX or jupyter (or another tool of your liking), or scanned in from a hand-written solution on paper. The solution for task 2 shall be a jupyter notebook naive-bayes.ipynb, accompanied with exported PDF naive-bayes.pdf. Zip all files into a single archive with naming convention (sorted alphabetically by last names)

lastname1_firstname1_lastname2-firstname2_exercise03.zip

or (if you work in a team of three)

lastname1-firstname1_lastname2-firstname2_lastname3-firstname3_exercise03.zip and upload it to Moodle before the given deadline.

Starting this exercise, we will give zero points if your zip-file does not conform to this naming convention.

1 LDA-Derivation from the Least Squares Error (24 points)

The goal of this exercise is to derive closed-form expressions for the optimal parameters \hat{w} and \hat{b} in Linear Discriminant Analysis, given some training set with two classes. Remember that the decision boundary in LDA is given by a D-1 dimensional hyperplane (where D is the dimension of the feature space) that we parametrize via

$$\hat{w}^T x + \hat{b} = 0. \tag{1}$$

 \hat{w} is the hyperplane's normal vector and \hat{b} a scalar fixing its position in the *D*-dimensional space. **Note that** w **and** x **are column vectors in this exercise.** The decision rule for our two classes at query point x is then

$$\hat{y} = \text{sign}(\hat{w}^T x + \hat{b}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \hat{w}^T x + \hat{b} > 0\\ -1, & \text{if } \hat{w}^T x + \hat{b} < 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

In the training phase we are given N datapoints $\{x_i\}_{i\in 1,...,N}$ with $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and their respective labels $\{y_i\}_{i\in 1,...,N}$ with $y_i \in \{-1,1\}$. We assume that the training set is balanced, i.e.

$$N_1 = N_{-1} = \frac{N}{2} \tag{3}$$

with N_k denoting the number of instances in either class. The optimal parameters \hat{w} and \hat{b} are now the ones minimizing the least squares error criterion:

$$\hat{w}, \hat{b} = \operatorname{argmin}_{w,b} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(w^{T} x_i + b - y_i \right)^2. \tag{4}$$

You shall solve this problem in three steps: First (4 points), compute \hat{b} from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(w^T x_i + b - y_i \right)^2 = 0.$$
 (5)

Second (16 points), use this result to reshuffle

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(w^T x_i + \hat{b} - y_i \right)^2 = 0.$$
 (6)

into the intermediate equation

$$\left(S_w + \frac{1}{4}S_B\right)\hat{w} = (\mu_1 - \mu_{-1}). \tag{7}$$

Here, μ_1 and μ_{-1} are the class means

$$\mu_{-1} = \frac{1}{N_{-1}} \sum_{i: y_i = -1} x_i \tag{8}$$

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{i: y_i = 1} x_i \tag{9}$$

and S_B and S_W are the between-class and within-class covariance matrices

$$S_B = (\mu_1 - \mu_{-1})(\mu_1 - \mu_{-1})^T \tag{10}$$

$$S_W = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu_{y_i})(x_i - \mu_{y_i})^T.$$
 (11)

The notation μ_{y_i} means

$$\mu_{y_i} = \begin{cases} \mu_{-1} & \text{if } y_i = -1\\ \mu_1 & \text{if } y_i = 1 \end{cases}$$
 (12)

Finally (4 points), transform equation (7) into

$$\hat{w} = \tau \ S_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_{-1}) \tag{13}$$

where τ is an arbitrary positive constant, expressing the fact that $\operatorname{sign}(\tau(\hat{w}^Tx+\hat{b}))$ is the same decision rule as $\operatorname{sign}(\hat{w}^Tx+\hat{b})$. During your calculations you may find the following relation for general vectors a, b and c useful:

$$a \cdot (b^T \cdot c) = (a \cdot c^T) \cdot b \tag{14}$$

2 Naive Bayes Classifier (16 points)

We will once again work with the digits dataset, this time using the naive Bayes classifier. If we assume that all classes are equally likely, i.e. the priors are p(y = k) = 1/C with C the number of classes, the decision rule is defined by

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_k \left(\prod_{j=1} p_j(x_j | y = k) \right)$$
(15)

where $p_j(x_j|y=k)$ are 1-dimensional histograms (or other suitable 1-dimensional probability estimators) for each feature j and class k. Since the product of many small probabilities is a tiny number prone to numerical inaccuracy, it is better to rewrite this as a sum of logarithms:

$$\hat{y} = \operatorname{argmax}_k \left(\sum_{j=1} \log p_j(x_j | y = k) \right)$$
(16)

Implement training of the naive Bayes classifier as a function

histograms, binning = fit_naive_bayes(features, labels, bincount)

where histograms is the $C \times D \times L$ array of histograms (D is the number of feature dimensions, L the number of bins), and binning is a $C \times D \times 2$ array describing the bin layout. Specifically, binning [k,j,0] is the lower bound of the first bin for class k and feature j, and binning [k,j,1] is the corresponding bin width. The data in binning is needed during prediction to find out in which bin l_{kj} a new instance i belongs:

$$l_{kj} = \left| \frac{X_{ij} - \operatorname{binning}[k, j, 0]}{\operatorname{binning}[k, j, 1]} \right| \tag{17}$$

where [.] is the floor function, i.e. rounding down. When fit_naive_bayes() is called with bincount=0, the number of bins shall be determined automatically according to the Freedman-Diaconis rule. However, this rule recommends a bin width Δx_{kj} for each feature j in class k, and the corresponding bin count must be computed by the equation

$$\#bins_{kj} = \left\lceil \frac{\max_{i: y_i = k}(X_{ij}) - \min_{i: y_i = k}(X_{ij})}{\Delta x_{kj}} \right\rceil$$
(18)

where $\lceil . \rceil$ is the ceiling function, i.e. rounding up. Thus, the rule may suggest a different number of bins for every feature, whereas we want a fixed bin count L for simplicity. You should therefore choose L as a suitable compromise between the different recommendations of the Freedman-Diaconis rule. For features that need fewer bins than your choice of L, you may just fill the first L' < L bins and leave the rest empty. For features where the rule recommends more bins, you must increase Δx_{kj} so that the data fits in L bins.

Now implement prediction as a function

predicted_labels = predict_naive_bayes(test_features, histograms, binning)

Filter the training set to use only digits "3" and "9", train the classifier with

- the 2-dimensional feature space you constructed in exercise 2 (with bincount=0), and
- the full 64-dimensional feature space (one histogram per pixel with bincount=8)

and determine the two confusion matrices on the test set. Visualize the decision boundary for the 2-D case, overlayed with the scatterplot of the test data.