

# Logarithmic pooling and log-concavity

Luiz Max F. de Carvalho

July 10, 2019

## Abstract

In this brief note I claim to show that logarithmic pooling is the *only* pooling operator that will *always* produce a log-concave opinion when all expert opinions are also log-concave.

Key-words: logarithmic pooling; log-concavity; uniqueness.

## Background

Logarithmic pooling is a popular method for combining opinions on an agreed quantity, specially when these opinions can be framed as probability distributions. Let  $\mathbf{F}_\theta := \{f_0(\theta), f_1(\theta), \dots, f_K(\theta)\}$  be a set of distributions representing the opinions of  $K + 1$  experts and let  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} := \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K\} \in \mathcal{S}^K$  be the vector of weights, such that  $\alpha_i > 0 \forall i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^K \alpha_i = 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}^{K+1}$  is the space of all open simplices of dimension  $K + 1$ . The **logarithmic pooling operator**  $\mathcal{LP}(\mathbf{F}_\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{LP}(\mathbf{F}_\theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \pi(\theta|\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = t(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \prod_{i=0}^K f_i(\theta)^{\alpha_i}, \quad (1)$$

where  $t(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \int_{\Theta} \prod_{i=0}^K f_i(\theta)^{\alpha_i} d\theta$ . This pooling method enjoys several desirable properties and yields tractable distributions for a large class of distribution families (Genest et al., 1984, 1986).

Another desirable property of the logarithmic pooling operator is log-concavity. Log-concavity of the pooled prior may be important to consider in order to guarantee unimodality and certain conditions on tail behaviour (Bagnoli and Bergstrom, 2005).

**Definition 1. Relative propensity consistency (Genest et al., 1984).** Taking  $\mathbf{F}_X$  as a set of expert opinions with support on a space  $\mathcal{X}$ , define  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \{\mathbf{F}_X, a, b\}$  for arbitrary  $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a pooling operator and define two functions  $U$  and  $V$  such that

$$U(\boldsymbol{\xi}) := \left( \frac{f_0(a)}{f_0(b)}, \frac{f_1(a)}{f_1(b)}, \dots, \frac{f_K(a)}{f_K(b)} \right) \text{ and} \quad (2)$$

$$V(\boldsymbol{\xi}) := \frac{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{F}_X}(a)}{\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{F}_X}(b)}. \quad (3)$$

We then say that  $\mathcal{T}$  enjoys relative propensity consistency (RPC) if and only if

$$U(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) \geq U(\boldsymbol{\xi}_2) \implies V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1) \geq V(\boldsymbol{\xi}_2), \quad (4)$$

for all  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \boldsymbol{\xi}_2$ .

Informally, this property says that if all experts consider a particular event  $A$  more probable than another event  $B$ , then the pooled opinion should be consistent with these relative judgments.

**Lemma 1. Uniqueness of LP for RPC (Genest et al., 1984).** Logarithmic pooling is the *only* pooling operator that enjoys RPC.

We refer the reader to Genest et al. (1984) for a proof.

**Lemma 2. Representation of a pooling operator with RPC (Genest et al., 1984, eq. 3.1).** The only relative propensity consistent operator can always be represented by

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{F}_\theta)(\theta) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_\theta) c(\theta) \prod_{i=0}^K [f_i(\theta)]^{w_i},$$

with  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_\theta) > 0$ ,  $c(\theta) > 0$  and  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_K \geq 0$  arbitrary.

Again, see Genest et al. (1984) for a proof.

## The result

Now we can state and prove the result (Remark 1).

**Remark 1. Log-concavity.** *If  $\mathbf{F}_\theta$  is a set of log-concave distributions, then  $\pi(\theta \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha})$  is also log-concave. Moreover, logarithmic pooling is the only pooling operator to preserve log-concavity.*

*Proof.* First, we will show by direct calculation that logarithmic pooling (LP) leads to a log-concave distribution. Notice that each  $f_i$  can be written as  $f_i(\theta) \propto e^{\nu_i(\theta)}$ , where  $\nu_i(\cdot)$  is a concave function. We can then write

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\theta \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}) &\propto \prod_{i=0}^K [\exp(\nu_i(\theta))]^{\alpha_i}, \\ &\propto \exp(\nu^*(\theta)),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu^*(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^K \alpha_i \nu_i(\theta)$  is a concave function because it is a linear combination of concave functions.

We will now show that LP is the only operator that guarantees log-concavity when  $\mathbf{F}_\theta$  is a set of concave distributions. First, recall that LP is the only pooling operator that enjoys RPC (Lemma 1). Then, with the goal of obtaining a contradiction, suppose that there exists a pooling operator  $\mathcal{T}$  that is log-concave but does not enjoy RPC. From Lemma 2, we know that  $\mathcal{T}$  cannot be represented as  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_\theta)c(\theta) \prod_{i=0}^K f_i(\theta)^{w_i}$ . Every non-negative log-concave function  $g(\theta)$  can be represented as

$$g(\theta) = a \cdot c(\theta) \cdot h(\theta), \tag{5}$$

with  $a \geq 0$  and  $c(\theta)$  and  $h(\theta)$  non-negative and log-concave. But under the assumptions on  $\mathbf{F}_\theta$ , we have that  $h(\theta) := \prod_{i=0}^K f_i(\theta)^{w_i}$  is non-negative and log-concave and therefore  $\mathcal{T}$  can in fact be represented in the form of (5) and thus the form of Lemma 2, a contradiction.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

To Professor Christian Genest for taking a look at this note.

## References

- Bagnoli, M. and Bergstrom, T. (2005). Log-concave probability and its applications. *Economic theory*, 26(2):445–469.
- Genest, C., McConway, K. J., and Schervish, M. J. (1986). Characterization of externally bayesian pooling operators. *The Annals of Statistics*, pages 487–501.
- Genest, C., Weerahandi, S., and Zidek, J. V. (1984). Aggregating opinions through logarithmic pooling. *Theory and Decision*, 17(1):61–70.