

# HYPERKÄHLER MANIFOLDS

NOTES TAKEN BY MAXIM JEAN-LOUIS BRAIS

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF TUDOR SARPE

ABSTRACT. These are personal notes for the course on hyperkähler manifolds taught by Alessio Bottini at Universität Bonn in the Winter 2025-2026 semester. Please email me at [s37mbrai@uni-bonn.de](mailto:s37mbrai@uni-bonn.de) if you notice any typo.

## 1. FIRST LECTURE: COMPLEX AND KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

We first review some complex geometry.

**Definition 1.0.1.** A **complex manifold** is a locally ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that

- $X$  is Hausdorff and second countable (this part is to ensure we actually have a topological manifold);
  - $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is locally isomorphic to  $(\Delta, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is the polydisc.
- 

**Example 1.0.2.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_d \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$  be complex polynomials such that the Jacobian of

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_d) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$$

has everywhere full rank on the vanishing set  $V = V(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . By the holomorphic implicit function theorem (regular value theorem),  $V$  is a complex manifold.

---

**Example 1.0.3.** If  $X$  is a smooth algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we may cover it by affines  $V_i$  which are of the same form as in [Example 1.0.2](#). We may consider the analytic topology  $X^{an}$  obtained by gluing the different charts  $V_i$ . Similarly, we may define the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X^{an}$  on  $X^{an}$  by considering the sheaf of holomorphic functions on each  $V_i$  (the transitions  $V_i \rightarrow V_j$  are regular algebraic, hence holomorphic, so that this gluing makes sense). Then,  $(X^{an}, \mathcal{O}_X^{an})$  is a complex manifold.

---

Note that in [Example 1.0.3](#), we obtain a natural map of ringed spaces

$$\alpha : (X^{an}, \mathcal{O}_X^{an}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

since the analytic topology is finer than the Zariski topology, and regular functions are holomorphic. In particular, we obtain a functor between abelian categories:

$$\alpha^* : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{an}\text{-mod}$$

restricting to

$$\alpha^* : \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X^{an}).$$

**Theorem 1.0.4** (Géométrie algébrique géométrie analytique; [Ser56]). *If  $X$  is smooth<sup>1</sup> and proper functor  $\alpha^* : \mathrm{Coh}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(X^{an})$  is an equivalence, which moreover induces an isomorphism of sheaf cohomology.*

**1.1. Almost complex structures.** A complex manifold  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  has an underlying smooth manifold  $(X, C_X^\infty)$ , where  $C_X^\infty$  denotes the sheaf of smooth functions on  $X$ ; indeed, if  $X$  has complex charts  $U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , the transitions are holomorphic, hence  $C^\infty$ .

**Notation 1.1.1.** Since the indices  $i$  will be ubiquitous,  $\iota$  shall denote the root of  $-1$  for these notes (this spares the cumbersome  $\sqrt{-1}$  alternative).

---

On each chart  $U_i$ , we have multiplication by  $\iota$ , but this does not globalise, as  $\iota$  does not commute with holomorphic functions: in the Taylor expansion, we have terms which are of degree  $m$  where  $m \neq 1 \pmod{4}$ . However, the differential of  $\iota$  may be globalised, as we get rid of the higher order terms. In a local chart  $U_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , the (real) tangent bundle has a local frame

$$T_{\mathbb{R}} U_i = \langle \partial_{x_j}, \partial_{y_j} : 1 \leq j \leq n \rangle,$$

on which  $I := d\iota$  acts by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{x_j} \mapsto \partial_{y_j} \\ \partial_{y_j} \mapsto -\partial_{x_j}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.1.2.** An **almost complex structure** on a smooth manifold  $X$  is an endomorphism  $I \in \mathrm{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}} X)$  such that  $I^2 = -1$ . We say that  $I$  is integrable if  $X$  is a complex manifold and  $I$  is obtained by locally differentiating  $\iota$ .

---

**Question 1.1.3.** Given  $I$  an almost complex structure, when is it integrable?

Let us first set up some tools in order to address this question appropriately. Assume only for now that  $X$  is a smooth manifold and  $I$  is an almost complex structure. We can consider the complexified tangent bundle

$$T_{\mathbb{C}} X := T_{\mathbb{R}} X \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This can be dropped by considering complex analytic spaces (rather than manifolds).

to which we can extend the action of  $I$ . Since  $I^2 = -1$ , the minimal polynomial of  $I$  is  $x^2 + 1$ , which is separable over  $\mathbb{C}$ , meaning that  $I$  is diagonalisable, with eigenvalues  $\pm i$ . The eigenspaces must have the same dimension as  $I$  acts on the *real* tangent space. We thus obtain a decomposition

$$T_{\mathbb{C}}X = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X = T^{1,0}X \oplus \overline{T^{1,0}X}.$$

Note that we have

$$T^{1,0}X = \{(v - iIv) : v \in T_{\mathbb{C}}X\} \quad T^{0,1}X = \{(v + iIv) : v \in T_{\mathbb{C}}X\}.$$

**Notation 1.1.4.** We will use the following notation

- $\mathcal{A}^0(X) := C_X^\infty$ ;
  - $\mathcal{A}^k(X)$  denotes the sheaf of (smooth) degree  $k$  real forms;
  - $\mathcal{A}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$  denotes the sheaf of sections of  $\bigwedge^k T_{\mathbb{C}}^*X$  (i.e. smooth complex degree  $k$  forms) and  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$  denotes the sheaf of sections of  $\bigwedge^p T^{1,0}X \otimes \bigwedge^q T^{0,1}X$ ;
  - $d : \mathcal{A}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  denotes the complexification of the usual exterior derivative, and can be decomposed by types as  $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ , where  $\partial$  denotes the part corresponding to the differentiation in holomorphic coordinates, and similarly  $\bar{\partial}$  for anti-holomorphic coordinates.
  - $A^k(X)$ ,  $A^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $A^{p,q}(X)$  denotes the global sections of  $\mathcal{A}^k(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$  respectively.
  - $\mathcal{T}_X$  denotes the sheaf of homolomorphic vector fields, i.e.  $\mathcal{T}_X := \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ ;
  - $\Omega_X := \mathcal{T}_X^*$  denotes the cotangent sheaf.
- 

The following theorem answers [Question 1.1.3](#).

**Theorem 1.1.5** (Newlander-Nirenberg).  *$I$  is integrable if and only if  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ .*

Note that this is equivalent to  $T^{1,0}X$  being closed under the (complex) Lie bracket (this is much related to the Frobenius theorem of differential geometry), and also equivalent of the vanishing of a certain tensor  $N_I$  called the *Nijenhuis* tensor.

**1.2. Metrics.** Let  $E$  be a real vector bundle on  $(X, C_X^\infty)$ . A **Riemannian metric**  $g$  on  $E$  is a section of  $\text{Sym}^2 E^\vee$  such that for all  $p \in X$ ,  $g_p$  is positive definite. If  $E$  is a complex bundle, a **Hermitian metric**  $h$  is a map of sheaves  $E \otimes \overline{E} \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$  such that each  $h_p$  is Hermitian, i.e.  $h_p(e, f) = \overline{h_p(f, e)}$  and  $h_p(e, e) > 0$  for all  $e, f \in E_p$ . When  $E = T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ , we say that  $g$  (resp.  $h$ ) is a **Riemannian** (resp. **Hermitian**) metric **on**  $X$  (here, the almost complex structure  $I$  is used to put a  $\mathbb{C}$ -structure on  $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ ).

If  $X$  is a complex manifold and  $h$  is a Hermitian metric, then we can write

$$h = g - i\omega$$

where  $g = \Re(h)$  and  $\omega = -\Im(h)$ . We obtain that  $g$  is a Riemannian metric, and  $\omega$  is skew-symmetric since

$$\omega(X, Y) = \frac{i}{2}(h - \bar{h})$$

and  $h$  is conjugate skew-symmetric. Thus,  $\omega \in A^2(X)$ .

**Definition 1.2.1.**  $(X, h)$  is **Kähler** if  $d\omega = 0$ .

That  $h$  is linear in the first variable and anti-linear in the second ensures that  $h(I-, I-) = h(-, -)$ , implying that  $g(I-, I-) = g(-, -)$ , a property that is sometimes called **compatibility** of the metric with  $I$ . We have

$$\omega(-, -) = \frac{i}{2}(h(-, -) - \bar{h}(-, -)) = \frac{1}{2}(h(I-, -) + \bar{h}(I-, -)) = g(I-, -),$$

which also implies

$$\omega(-, I-) = g(-, -).$$

**Definition 1.2.2.** A form  $\omega \in A^2(X)$  is called **positive** if  $\omega(u, Iu) > 0$  for all  $u \in T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ . We see that a de Rham cohomology class in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is **positive** if it can be represented by a positive form. If moreover  $\omega$  is  $I$ -invariant (or equivalently, of type  $(1, 1)$  after embedding  $A^2(X) \subset A(X, \mathbb{C})$ ), we say  $\omega$  is **Kähler**.

---

If  $\omega$  is Kähler, we may define the hermitian metric  $h_\omega = \omega(-, I-)$ , and we have that  $\omega$  is Kähler if and only if  $(X, h_\omega)$  is Kähler.

**Example 1.2.3.** Let  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ , with projective coordinates  $Z_0, \dots, Z_n$ . Let  $U_i$  be the  $Z_i \neq 0$  chart, and define  $z_j = \frac{Z_j}{Z_i}$ . We may define on  $U_i$  the metric

$$\omega_{FS} = \omega = i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \left( 1 + \sum_j z_j \bar{z}_j \right),$$

and one checks that these glue to a global form, which we call the **Fubini-Study metric**. Written as a Kähler potential this way shows that it is a Kähler metric.

---

Note that if  $(X, \omega)$  is Kähler, restricting the metric to a complex submanifold  $Y$  preserves all properties of [Definition 1.2.2](#), and so  $(Y, \omega_Y)$  is Kähler. Thus, any projective manifold is Kähler.

**1.3. Connections.** Let  $E$  be a complex (the real case is identical) vector bundle on  $(X, C_X^\infty)$ . A **complex connection** in  $E$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A}^0(E, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(E, \mathbb{C}),$$

(here  $\mathcal{A}^i(E, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \Gamma(E)$ ) such that

$$\nabla(f \cdot s) = df \otimes s + f \cdot \nabla s$$

for all section  $s$  of  $E$  and  $f \in C_X^\infty$ .

If  $E$  is a holomorphic bundle on a complex manifold, we can define the operator

$$\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^0(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(E)$$

as follows: if  $\sigma_i$  is a local frame, and  $s = s^i \sigma_i$  a section, we let

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{\partial}(s^i \sigma_i) := (\bar{\partial}s^i) \otimes \sigma_i.$$

Indeed, given another frame  $\tau_j$  related by  $\sigma_i = g_{ij} \tau_j$ , we have

$$\bar{\partial}(s^i) \otimes \sigma_i = \bar{\partial}(s_i) \otimes g_{ij} \tau_j = \bar{\partial}(g_{ij} s^i) \otimes \tau_j$$

since the transitions  $g_{ij}$  are holomorphic by assumption.

A (complex) connection being valued in  $\mathcal{A}^1(E, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(E) \oplus \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(E)$ , we may split  $\nabla = \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1}$ .

**Definition 1.3.1.** The complex connection  $\nabla$  in  $E$  is said to be **compatible** with the holomorphic structure if  $\nabla^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}$ . Suppose  $E$  has a hermitian metric  $h$ . We say  $\nabla$  is **compatible** with  $h$  if for any sections  $e, f$ , we have equality of forms

$$d(h(e, f)) = h(\nabla e, f) + h(e, \nabla f).$$

More geometrically, this says that  $h$  is parallel to the connection, i.e. constant along parallel transport, i.e. the connection has  $U(n)$ -holonomy. We say  $\nabla$  is a **Chern connection** if it is both compatible with the holomorphic structure and the hermitian metric.

---

**Theorem 1.3.2** (Chern). *There exists a unique Chern connection.*

When  $E = T_{\mathbb{R}X}$ , the Chern connection ought to be regarded as the complex geometric analogue of the Levi-Civita connection from Riemannian geometry. In fact this is more than an analogy. If  $h$  is a hermitian metric, the Levi-Civita connection of  $g = \Re(h)$  can be complexified to a complex connection. It is a theorem that the Levi-Civita connection is the Chern connection if and only if  $(X, h)$  is Kähler.

We can extend the connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{A}^0(E, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^1(E, \mathbb{C})$  to a connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A}^p(E, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1}(E, \mathbb{C})$$

for all positive  $p$  via

$$\nabla(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \otimes s + (-1)^p \omega \wedge \nabla s,$$

where  $\omega$  is a  $p$ -form and  $s$  is a section of  $E$ .

**Remark 1.3.3.** Note that this is different from the usual extension of a connection to tensors since we are dealing with skew-symmetric forms. In particular, this satisfies a different Leibniz rule:

$$\nabla(fs) = df \wedge s \otimes d\nabla s.$$


---

**Definition 1.3.4.** We define the **curvature** of  $\nabla$  to be the composition  $\nabla^2 = \nabla \circ \nabla = F_\nabla$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla(\nabla f s) &= \nabla(df \otimes s + f\nabla s) = ddf - df \wedge \nabla s + \nabla(f\nabla s) \\ &= -df \wedge \nabla s + df \wedge \nabla s + f\nabla^2 s = f\nabla^2 s\end{aligned}$$

so that  $F_\nabla$  is  $C_X^\infty$ -linear, that is a section of  $\mathcal{A}^2(\text{End}(E), \mathbb{C})$ .

We may also define

$$F_\nabla^k := \underbrace{F_\nabla \circ \cdots \circ F_\nabla}_k \in \mathcal{A}^{2k}(\text{End}(E), \mathbb{C}).$$

We define the  **$k$ th Chern character** of  $\nabla$  to be

$$\text{ch}_k(E, \nabla) := \text{Tr} \left( \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{\iota}{2\pi} F_\nabla^k \right) \right) \in A^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

**Theorem 1.3.5** (Chern-Weil). *The following is true about the Chern character.*

- (1)  $\text{ch}_k(E, \nabla)$  is closed;
- (2) The cohomology class  $\text{ch}_k(E) := [\text{ch}_k(E, \nabla)] \in H_{dR}^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is independent of  $\nabla$ ;
- (3)  $\text{ch}_k(E)$  is real, i.e. in  $H_{dR}^{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$  (in fact, it is integral);
- (4) The total Chern character  $\sum_k \text{ch}_k(E)$  is equal to the cohomology class of  $\text{Tr}(\exp(\frac{\iota}{2\pi} F_\nabla))$  (this one directly follows from developing the exponential).

## 2. SECOND LECTURE: CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

**2.1. Chern classes.** Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  of dimension  $r$ . Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}[End(V)]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $k$ . Assume moreover  $P$  is  $GL(V)$  invariant, that is  $P(A^{-1}BA) = P(B)$  for any  $A \in GL(V)$ .

Let now  $E$  be a complex vector bundle and  $\nabla$  a connection. By  $GL(V)$  invariance,  $P(\frac{i}{2\pi}F_\nabla)$  is well-defined, and lives in  $A^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Fact 2.1.1** (Chern-Weil).  $P(\frac{i}{2\pi}F_\nabla)$  is closed, and the class  $[P(\frac{i}{2\pi}F_\nabla)] \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is independent of  $\nabla$ .

---

Consider now the  $GL(V)$ -invariant homogeneous polynomials  $P_k$  returning the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials (i.e.  $P_k$   $k$ th elementary symmetric polynomial on the eigenvalues). We can explicitly define  $P_k$  by the formula:

$$\det(I + tB) = \sum_k P_k(B)t^k.$$

We define the  **$k$ th Chern class** of  $E$  to be the cohomology class of  $P_k(\frac{i}{2\pi}F_\nabla)$ , and the **total Chern class** of  $E$  to be  $c(E) := \sum_{i=0}^k c_i(E)$ .

The Chern classes and characters satisfy certain properties:

- $c_0(E) = 1$  and  $ch_0 = r$ ;
- $c_d = 0$  if  $d > r$ . In particular, if  $L$  is a line bundle,  $c(L) = 1 + c_1(L)$ ;
- $ch(L) = \exp(c_1(L)) := 1 + c_1(L) + \frac{c_1(L)^2}{2!} + \dots \in H^{2\bullet}(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

To derive further elementary properties of the Chern characters and classes, let us first observe how we can assemble connections into new connections

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be vector bundles with respective (complex) connections  $\nabla_1$  and  $\nabla_2$ . Then,

- $\nabla_{E_1 \oplus E_2} := \nabla_1 \oplus \nabla_2$  is a connection on  $E_1 \oplus E_2$ , and  $F_{\nabla_1 \oplus \nabla_2} = F_{\nabla_1} \oplus F_{\nabla_2}$ , where this is seen as a block matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{\nabla_1} & \\ & F_{\nabla_2} \end{pmatrix};$$

- $\nabla_{E_1 \otimes E_2} := \nabla_1 \otimes \text{id}_{E_2} + \text{id}_{E_1} \otimes \nabla_2$  is a connection on  $E_1 \otimes E_2$ ;
- the assignment

$$(\nabla^\vee \phi)(s) := d(\phi(s)) - \phi(\nabla(s))$$

where  $s \in E$  and  $\phi \in E^\vee$  defines a connection on  $E^\vee$  (note that this is the usual way to extend connections on tensors). In other words, if  $\langle -, - \rangle$  denotes the natural pairing on  $E \otimes E^\vee$ , the dual connection is defined by

$$d\langle s, \phi \rangle = \langle \nabla s, \phi \rangle + \langle s, \nabla^\vee \phi \rangle.$$

Let us try to compare the two curvatures. Given  $s_i$  and  $t_j$  frames of  $E$ , we consider the connection form  $A = (A_i^j)$  satisfying  $\nabla s_i = A_i^j \otimes t_j$ . Let  $s^i$  and  $t^j$  be the dual frames. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\langle s_i, t^j \rangle &= 0 = \langle \nabla s_i, t^j \rangle + \langle s_i, \nabla^\vee t^j \rangle \\ &= \langle A_i^k \otimes t_k, t^j \rangle + \langle s_i, B_k^j \otimes s^k \rangle \\ &= A_i^j + B_i^j, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B = (B_i^j)$  is the connection form of  $\nabla^\vee$ . And so we have  $B = -A^t$  as sections of  $\mathcal{A}^2(End(E), \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{A}^2(End(E^\vee), \mathbb{C})$ . Using Cartan's formula for the curvature of a connection, we conclude

$$F_{\nabla^\vee} = d(-A^t) + (-A^t) \wedge (-A^t) = -(dA + A \wedge A)^t = -F_\nabla^t.$$

- connections pull back, that is if  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a smooth map and  $E$  is a bundle on  $X$  with connection  $\nabla_E$ , we may define the connection  $\nabla_{f^*E}$  by *locally* demanding

$$\nabla_{f^*E}(f^*s) = f^*\nabla s.$$

**Corollary 2.1.2.** Let  $E_1, E_2$  be complex vector bundles on  $X$ . The following hold:

- (1)  $ch(E_1 \oplus E_2) = ch(E_1) + ch(E_2)$  and  $c(E_1 \oplus E_2) = c(E_1) \cup c(E_2)$ ;
- (2)  $ch(E_1 \otimes E_2) = ch(E_1) \cup ch(E_2)$ ;
- (3)  $c_k(E^\vee) = (-1)^k c_k(E)$ ;
- (4)  $ch_k(f^*E) = f^*(ch_k(E))$  and  $c_k(f^*E) = f^*(c_k(E))$ .

Note that  $c_k(E) \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is in fact real: indeed, conjugation acts via  $\overline{F_\nabla} = F_{\overline{\nabla}}$ , and up to choosing a hermitian metric, we have  $E^\vee \simeq \overline{E}$  and  $F_{\overline{\nabla}} = -F_\nabla^t$ , and in a Chern splitting, the eigenvalues  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$  are purely imaginary. We obtain

$$c_k(E) = P_k\left(\frac{\iota}{2\pi}F_\nabla\right) = \left[\frac{\iota^k}{(2\pi)^k}P_k(F_\nabla)\right] = \left[\frac{\iota^k}{(2\pi)^k}\sigma_k(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)\right]$$

while

$$\overline{c_k(E)} = \left[\frac{(-1)^k\iota^k}{(2\pi)^k}\sigma_k(-\omega_1, \dots, -\omega_r)\right] = \left[\frac{(-1)^{2k}\iota^k}{2\pi}\sigma_k(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)\right] = c_k(E)$$

where  $\sigma_k$  denotes the  $k$ th standard symmetric polynomial, so that  $c_k(E) \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbb{R})$ . The  $k$ th Chern class is also  $(1, 1)$ . Indeed, consider the Chern connection  $\nabla = \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1} = \nabla^{1,0} + \bar{\partial}$ . From this decomposition, we see that the  $(0, 2)$ -part of the curvature is  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ . Similarly, one can use the fact that the hermitian metric is parallel to show that the  $(2, 0)$  part vanishes so that all  $\omega_i$  are of type  $(1, 1)$ , from which one obtains that  $c_k(E)$  is of type  $(k, k)$ .

Let  $D \subset X$  be a divisor. It is a fact that the fundamental class of  $D$  is the Chern class of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ , i.e.

$$[D] = c_1(\mathcal{O}(D)) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C}),$$

showing that the first—and therefore any—Chern class is integral.

An important theorem relating to chern classes is Kodaira's embedding theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.3** ([Kod54]). *A (holomorphic) line bundle  $L$  on a complex manifold  $X$  is ample (i.e. induces an embedding in projective space) if and only if it admits a metric  $h$  such that  $\frac{\iota}{2\pi}F_{D_h}$  is a positive form.*

In particular, let  $h_0$  be any hermitian metric on  $L$ , and let  $\omega_0 = \frac{\iota}{2\pi}F_{D_{h_0}}$ . Assuming  $X$  is Kähler, if  $c_1(L) = [\omega_0]$  is positive, i.e. if it has a positive form  $\omega$  as representative of the cohomology class, then we can write  $\omega = \omega_0 + \frac{\iota}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi$  for some function  $\phi$  by the  $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma. Then, one may compute that the metric  $h := e^{-\phi} \cdot h_0$  satisfies  $\frac{\iota}{2\pi}F_{D_h} = \omega$ ; indeed the  $(1, 0)$ -part of the Chern of the connection is

$$h^{-1}\partial h = \partial \log h = \partial \log(e^{-\phi}h_0) = \partial \log h_0 + \partial(-\phi)$$

so that the curvature is given by

$$F_{D_{h_0}} + \bar{\partial}\partial(-\phi) = F_{D_{h_0}} + \partial\bar{\partial}\phi.$$

In particular, a line bundle  $L$  is ample if and only if  $c_1(L)$  is positive, i.e. is represented by a Kähler form. Now, on a compact manifold, slightly perturbing a Kähler form inside  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$  still yields a Kähler form, since it preserves the positivity criterion. Thus, Kähler forms form an open positive cone  $\mathcal{K}_X$  inside of  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$  (scaling by a positive real preserves Kähleriness). Moreover, by the Lefschetz theorem on  $(1, 1)$  classes, the Chern map  $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is surjective. Thus, we conclude that a compact complex manifold is projective if and only if  $\mathcal{K}_X$  intersects with  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (or equivalently  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ ) inside of  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

## 2.2. Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch.

**Definition 2.2.1.** Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold. Let  $\nabla$  be a connection in the tangent bundle. We define the **Todd class** of  $X$  to be

$$td(X) := \left[\det\left(\frac{\frac{\iota}{2\pi}F_\nabla}{1 - \exp(\frac{-\iota}{2\pi}F_\nabla)}\right)\right] \in H^{2\bullet}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

---

In terms of Chern roots  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ , we have that

$$td(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\omega_i}{1 - e^{-\omega_i}}$$

It can be computed that we have

$$td_0(X) = 1; \quad td_1(X) = \frac{c_1}{2}; \quad td_2(X) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2); \quad td_3(X) = \frac{c_1c_2}{24} \quad td_4(X) = \frac{-c_1^4 + 4c_2 + c_1c_3 + 3c_2^2 - c_4}{720}; \quad \dots$$

where  $td_k(X)$  denotes the  $k$ th homogeneous component of  $td(X)$  and  $c_i = c_i(X) := c_i(\mathcal{T}_X)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.2** (Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch). *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on a compact complex manifold  $X$ . Then, we have equality*

$$(2.1) \quad \chi(X, E) := \sum_k (-1)^k h^i(X, E) = \int_X ch(E) \cup td(X).$$

Note that since we are integrating over  $X$ , we only need to consider the top degree parts.

**Example 2.2.3.** Let  $X = C$  be a compact Riemann surface and  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ . we have  $ch(E) = 1 + c_1(L)$  and  $td(1) = 1 + \frac{c_1(X)}{2}$ . thus, we have

$$\chi(X, L) = \int_X 1 + c_1(L) + \frac{c_1(X)}{2} + \frac{c_1(L)c_1(X)}{2} = \int_X c_1(L) + \frac{c_1(X)}{2} = \deg(L) + \frac{\deg(\mathcal{T}_C)}{2}.$$


---

What is remarkable about this theorem is that the left-hand side of (2.1) is purely holomorphic (or algebraic) whilst the right-hand side is purely topological. Another similar theorem is the algebro-geometric Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Then,*

$$e(X) := \sum_i (-1)^i b_i(X) = \int_X c_n(X).$$

Recall the classical relation between the Euler characteristic  $\chi_{top}$  and the genus  $g$  of a topological surface:  $e = 2 - 2g$ . In particular, this implies for a compact Riemann surface  $C$  as above, that

$$\int_X c_1(X) = \deg(\mathcal{T}_C) = 2 - 2g.$$

In particular, in light of what we found in Example 2.2.3, we recover the classical Riemann-Roch theorem:

$$\chi(X, L) = \deg(L) - g + 1.$$

### 2.3. Kähler-Einstein manifolds.

**Question 2.3.1.** When does a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$  admit a “canonical” metric?

**Definition 2.3.2.** Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a compact Kähler manifold, and let  $D_\omega$  be the corresponding Chern connection. We define the **Ricci form**  $\text{Ric}(\omega)$  of  $\omega$  to be

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) = i\text{Tr}(F_{D_\omega}) \in A^2(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

We say that  $(X, \omega)$  is **Kähler-Einstein** if  $\text{Ric}(\omega) = \lambda\omega$  for some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

**Remark 2.3.3.** We make the following comments.

- (1) Recall that we argued earlier that all the Chern roots of  $F_{D_\omega}$  were pure imaginary of type  $(1, 1)$  so that  $\text{Ric}(\omega)$  is real of type  $(1, 1)$ .
- (2) Note also that  $D_\omega$  is invariant under rescaling  $\omega$  by some  $\lambda > 0$  (indeed, parallelness of  $h$  is unaffected so we get the same connection). Thus, we may always assume that  $\lambda = -1, 0, 1$ .
- (3) since  $c_1(X) = [\frac{i}{2\pi} \text{Tr} F_{D_\omega}]$  by definition, we have  $[\text{Ric}(\omega)] = 2\pi c_1(X) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (4)  $\lambda$  is proportional to the scalar curvature, and so  $(X, \omega)$  being Kähler-Einstein implies that the scalar curvature with respect to  $g_\omega = \omega(I-, -)$  is constant.
- (5) If  $X$  is Kähler-Einstein, then we have

$$c_1(X) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ \pm \text{positive form.} \end{cases}$$


---

**Definition 2.3.4.** We say that a complex manifold  $X$  is **Calabi-Yau** if  $c_1(X) = 0$ , **Fano** if  $c_1(X)$  is positive, of **general type** (or **canonically polarised**) if  $-c_1(X)$  is positive.

Note that by Kodaira’s embedding theorem, Fano and general type manifolds are projective.

**Caution 2.3.5.** It is not because a manifold fits in this trichotomy that it admits a Kähler-Einstein metric. In fact, there exist Fano varieties with no Kähler-Einstein metric. Whether a Fano variety admits such metric is equivalent to  $K$ -stability, a purely algebro-geometric notion. Nonetheless, Yau (cf. [Yau78]) proved that any Calabi-Yau manifold admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, and Aubin–Yau (cf. [Aub76; Yau78]) proved the same for general type manifolds.

Note also that not all manifolds fit in this trichotomy. 

---

**Example 2.3.6 (Curves).** Let us see how these categories apply to curves.

- $g = 0$  gives only  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . Since it is diffeomorphic to a sphere, we have positive scalar curvature. And indeed, the Fubini-Study metric is Kähler-Einstein with  $\lambda = 1$ . Note also that  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is Fano.
- The  $g = 1$  case corresponds to elliptic curves. These are Ricci-flat and Calabi-Yau.
- The case  $g > 1$  are of general type, and there exists a Kähler-Einstein metric with negative scalar curvature. 

---

For Fano manifolds, here is a summary of the known classifications:

- (1) In dimension 1 there is only the projective line.
- (2) In dimension 2, they are called *del Pezzo* surfaces. There are 10 different deformation families. First,  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  which are isolates. The other 8 families are obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{P}^2$  at  $d$  points in general position, where  $1 \leq d \leq 8$ .
- (3) In dimension 3, Mukai proved there are 105 families.
- (4) In dimension 4, we know there are finitely many families but it remains open to know how many.

For Calabi-Yau manifolds, there is the following structural theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.7** (Beauville–Bogomolov; Bog74 and Bea83). *Let  $X$  be Kähler and Calabi-Yau. Then, there exists an étale cover  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  such that*

$$\tilde{X} = T \times \prod_j X_j \times \prod_i V_i$$

where  $T$  is a torus,  $X_j$  is **hyperkähler** for all  $j$ , and  $V_i$  are **strict Calabi-Yau** for all  $i$ .

We now define the terms.

**Definition 2.3.8.** A compact Kähler manifold  $V$  is called **strict Calabi-Yau** if

- $K_V \simeq \mathcal{O}_V$  is trivial, where  $K_V$  denotes the canonical bundle;
- $V$  is simply connected;
- $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$  for all  $0 < i < \dim V$ .

A complex manifold  $X$  is **hyperkähler** if

- it is simply connected;
- $H^0(X, \Omega_X^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is holomorphic symplectic (in particular, it induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_X \simeq \Omega_X$ ). 

---

**Remark 2.3.9.** If  $V$  is a strict Calabi-Yau of dimension greater than two, then  $h^{2,0} = h^{0,2} = 0$ . In particular,  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ , and so  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . Since the Kähler cone is not empty by assumption, we conclude that it intersects  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , so that  $V$  is projective by our discussion on Kodaira's embedding theorem. In dimension 2, non-projective K3 surfaces yield an example of non-projective strict Calabi-Yau manifolds. 

---

**Remark 2.3.10.** Theorem 2.3.7 has an important corollary. Namely, if  $X$  is a Kähler, we have a degree  $n$  étale map  $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  with the canonical bundle  $K_{\tilde{X}}$  of  $\tilde{X}$  trivial. Since  $f$  is étale,  $f^*K_X = K_{\tilde{X}}$  is trivial, and so by the projection formula,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = f_*f^*K_X = K_X \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

Taking determinants, we have

$$\det(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = K_X^n \otimes \det(f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}),$$

so that  $K_X^n = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Hence, the power of the canonical bundle of a Kähler Calabi-Yau is always trivial. 

---

### 3. THIRD LECTURE: HODGE THEORY

In this lecture, we recollect Hodge theory.

**3.1. Linear algebra.** Let us first explore the constructions of Hodge theory in the setting of linear algebra, our toy model.

Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $n$  and  $\langle -, - \rangle$  be an inner product on  $V$ . The scalar product induces a scalar product on  $\bigwedge^k V$  via declaring

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_k, u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_k \rangle := \det(\langle u_i, v_j \rangle)_{ij}.$$

Moreover, if  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an *ordered* orthonormal basis of  $V$ , the vectors  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$  for  $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$  form an orthonormal basis of  $\bigwedge^k V$ .

**Definition 3.1.1.** The **volume form** of  $V$  (with respect to the chosen ordered basis) is

$$\text{vol}_V = \text{vol} := e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n.$$

For any  $k \leq n$ , we define the **Hodge operator** to be the map

$$\begin{aligned} * : \bigwedge^k V &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-k} V \\ e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k} &\mapsto \varepsilon e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{n-k}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{n-k}}\}$  is the complement of  $\{e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_k}\}$  in the full basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and this map is well-defined because of the permutation index  $\varepsilon = \text{sgn}(i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{n-k})$ . 

---

Let  $\alpha = e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$  and  $\beta = e_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_k}$  be elements of the basis of  $\bigwedge^k V$ . We have that

$$\alpha \wedge * \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \neq \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \\ \text{vol} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In any case, we have that

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha \wedge * \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cdot \text{vol},$$

and by bilinearity of the left and right hand side, we see that (3.1) holds for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \bigwedge^k V$ .

Let  $I$  be a complex structure on  $V$ , i.e.  $I^2 = -\text{id}_V$ . Recall that it forces the dimension of  $V$  to be even. Suppose furthermore that the inner product is compatible with  $I$ , i.e.  $\langle I-, I- \rangle = \langle -, - \rangle$ . Let  $\langle -, - \rangle$  also denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -sesquilinear extension of the inner product to a hermitian product on the complexified space  $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The decomposition  $V = V^{1,0} \oplus V^{0,1}$  into  $\pm i$  eigenspaces is orthodonal for  $\langle -, - \rangle$ , indeed, for  $v \in V^{1,0}$  and  $u \in V^{0,1}$ , we have

$$\langle v, u \rangle = \langle Iv, Iu \rangle = \langle iv, iu \rangle = -\langle v, u \rangle.$$

By extension, this shows that the decomposition

$$(3.2) \quad \bigwedge^k V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k}^k \bigwedge^{p,q} V \quad \text{where } \bigwedge^{p,q} V := \bigwedge^p V^{1,0} \otimes \bigwedge^q V^{0,1}$$

is orthogonal. We may extend the hodge star operator  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly to

$$* : \bigwedge^k V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-k} V_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and by looking at an orthonormal basis for (3.2), we see that the operator restricts to

$$* : \bigwedge^{p,q} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{n-q, n-p} V.$$

Note that the equality (3.1) now becomes

$$\alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol}_{V_{\mathbb{C}}}.$$

**3.2. Harmonic forms.** From now on,  $(X, h)$  is a Kähler manifold and  $g = \Re(h)$  is the compatible Riemannian metric associated to  $h$ . We will write  $\langle -, - \rangle$  for the hermitian metric induced by  $h$  on  $T_{\mathbb{R}}^*X$ . We have the real volume form

$$\text{vol} \in A^{2n}(X).$$

The decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$$

is orthogonal with respect to  $\langle -, - \rangle$ . As before, we have the hodge operator

$$*: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{n-q, n-p}.$$

Recall also our three different exterior derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} d &: \mathcal{A}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}(X) \\ \partial &: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p+1,q}(X) \\ \bar{\partial} &: \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

We use the hodge operator to define other operators:

$$\begin{aligned} d^* &:= (-1)^k *^{-1} \circ d \circ * : \mathcal{A}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(X) \\ \partial^* &:= -* \circ \bar{\partial} \circ * : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q}(X) \\ \bar{\partial}^* &:= -* \circ \partial \circ * : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The mismatch in the sign is a convention, and we note that  $*^2 = (-1)^{k(n-k)}$ . Extending  $d^*$   $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly, these operators satisfy

$$d^* = \partial^* + \bar{\partial}^*$$

We use these operators to define three different Laplacians:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_d &:= dd^* + d^*d : \mathcal{A}^k(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^k(X) \\ \Delta_\partial &:= \partial\partial^* + \partial^*\partial : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \\ \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} &:= \bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}^* + \bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X). \end{aligned}$$

A  $k$ -form  $\alpha$  is  **$d$ -harmonic** if  $\Delta_d\alpha = 0$ , and a  $(p, q)$ -form  $\beta$  is  **$\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic** if  $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\beta = 0$ . We will write  $\mathcal{H}^k(X) := \ker \Delta_d$  for the space of  $d$ -harmonic  $k$ -forms (note, we can do this either over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and do not specify), and  $\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(X) := \ker \Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$  for the space of  $\bar{\partial}$ -harmonic  $(p, q)$ -forms.

We have an  $L^2$  inner product

$$\begin{aligned} A^k(X) \otimes A^k(X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \int_X \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol} = \int_X \alpha \wedge * \beta. \end{aligned}$$

From the right hand side, we compute that for  $\alpha \in A^k(X)$  and  $\beta \in A^{k+1}(X)$ ,

$$(d\alpha, \beta) = \int_X d\alpha \wedge * \beta = \int_X \alpha \wedge (-1)^{k+1} d(*\beta) = \int_X \alpha \wedge *(-1)^{k+1} *^{-1} d(*\beta) = \int_X \alpha \wedge *d^*\beta = (\alpha, d^*\beta),$$

so that  $d$  and  $d^*$  are formal adjoints with respect to  $(-, -)$ . From this, we see that

$$(\alpha, \Delta_d\alpha) = (\alpha, dd^*\alpha + d^*d\alpha) = (d^*\alpha, d^*\alpha) + (d\alpha, d\alpha),$$

and so by positive definiteness,  $\Delta\alpha = 0$  if and only if  $d\alpha = d^*\alpha = 0$ . This yields a map

$$\mathcal{H}^k(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^k(X, \mathbb{R}).$$

**Theorem 3.2.1** (Hod41). *This map is an isomorphism.*

**Remark 3.2.2.** Note that this works equally well over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the hermitian product

$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_X \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \text{vol} = \int_X \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta}$$

**3.3. The Dolbeault side.** We can get a similar theorem by taking into account the  $(p, q)$  type of forms. First note that for any  $p$ , we have a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,0}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,n}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

The  $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma (also called the Dolbeault–Grothendieck lemma) says that this complex is exact, i.e. that analytically locally, a  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. Moreover, since each  $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X)$  admits partitions of unity (these are smooth sections), this is an acyclic resolution. As corollary, we obtain an isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) = \mathbb{H}^q(\mathcal{A}^{p,0}(X) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,n}(X) \rightarrow 0) = \frac{\ker(\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}(X))}{\text{im } (\bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}^{p-1,q}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(X))} =: H^{p,q}(X),$$

and we call the right-hand side the  $(p, q)$  Dolbeault cohomology of  $X$ .

As before, we have an inner product on  $(p, q)$ -forms:

$$(\alpha, \beta) := \int_X \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta},$$

and the same computation shows that  $\partial^*$  and  $\bar{\partial}^*$  are formal adjoints. Therefore,  $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}\alpha = 0$  if and only if  $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \bar{\partial}^*\alpha = 0$ . This yields a map

$$\mathcal{H}^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H^{p,q}(X).$$

**Theorem 3.3.1.** *This map is an isomorphism.*

It is worth noting that Theorem 3.3.1 does not require  $X$  to be Kähler.

**Proposition 3.3.2.** *If  $X$  is compact Kähler, then*

$$\Delta_d = 2\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} = 2\Delta_{\partial}.$$

**Corollary 3.3.3** (Hodge decomposition). *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. We have a decomposition*

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X)$$

such that  $H^{p,q}(X) = \overline{H^{q,p}(X)}$  and  $H^{p,q}(X) \simeq H^q(X, \Omega_X^p)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$  preserves the  $(p, q)$ -type, and thus so does  $\Delta_d$  by Proposition 3.3.2. Therefore, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(X) = \mathcal{H}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

It remains to show that  $H^{p,q}(X) = \overline{H^{q,p}(X)}$ , and this follows from the fact that  $\Delta_d(\bar{\alpha}) = \overline{\Delta_d(\alpha)}$ , as  $\Delta_d$  is a real operator.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.4.** It is worthwhile to observe that along the isomorphism (3.4),  $H^{p,q}(X)$  is identified with the subset  $\{[\alpha] : d\alpha = 0 \text{ and } \alpha \in A^{p,q}\} \subset H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ . Note moreover that the Hodge decomposition is compatible with the wedge product (that is, wedging  $(p, q)$   $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms yields the same algebra structure as that on  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$  along (3.4)). However, there is no clear algebra structure on

$$\bigoplus_{p,q \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^{p,q}(X),$$

as wedging two harmonic forms need not yield a harmonic form (this comes from the fact that  $d^*$  does not satisfy a Leibniz rule).  $\underline{\underline{}}$

**Corollary 3.3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. If  $k$  is odd, then the  $k$ th Betti number  $b_k(X)$  is even.*

**Remark 3.3.6.** For any Kähler form  $\omega$ ,

$$\int_X \omega^{\dim X} = n! \cdot \text{vol}(X) > 0,$$

implying that  $\omega$  is not  $d$ -exact (assuming  $X$  compact), i.e. that  $b_k > 0$  for  $k$  odd.  $\underline{\underline{}}$

**Remark 3.3.7** (Hodge diamond). We can make the Hodge numbers fit in what is called the Hodge diamond:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & h^{0,0} & & h^{0,1} & & \\
& & h^{1,0} & & h^{1,1} & & h^{0,2} \\
& & h^{2,0} & & \cdots & & h^{0,n} \\
& \cdot & \cdot & & \text{---} & & \cdot \\
& h^{n,0} & \cdots & \text{---} & \text{---} & \cdots & h^{0,n} \\
& \cdot & \cdot & & \text{---} & & \cdot \\
& h^{n,n-2} & & h^{n-1,n-1} & & h^{n-2,n} & \\
& h^{n,n-1} & & h^{n-1,n} & & & \\
& & h^{n,n} & & & & \\
& & \longleftrightarrow & & & & 
\end{array}$$

where  $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ , and these are the only non-zero Hodge numbers. Moreover, there are some symmetries. We already saw Hodge symmetry, which implies  $h^{p,q} = h^{q,p}$ , which is represented by the arrow in the bottom.

Recall that some version of Poincaré duality says that there is a non-degenerate pairing

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\
(\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta,
\end{aligned}$$

yielding an isomorphism  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})^\vee = H_k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{C})$ . This pairing restricts to a non-degenerate pairing

$$H^{p,q}(X) \times H^{n-p, n-q}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C};$$

indeed, if a  $d$ -closed form of type  $(p, q)$  is non-zero, we know by (3.5) that we may pair it with a form  $\beta$  with  $\alpha \wedge \beta \neq 0$ , but this forces the type of  $\beta$  to be  $(n-p, n-q)$ . Therefore, we get an isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad H^{p,q}(X) = H^{n-p, n-q}(X)^\vee.$$

In particular, our diamond has the symmetry  $h^{p,q} = h^{n-p, n-q}$ , which is represented by the central circling arrow in the Hodge diamond. Note that (3.6) can also be seen using Serre duality:

$$H^{p,q}(X) = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) = H^{n-q}(X, (\Omega_X^p)^\vee \otimes K_X)^\vee = H^{n-q}(X, \Omega_X^{n-p})^\vee = H^{n-p, n-q}(X)^\vee,$$

where the isomorphism  $(\Omega_X^p)^\vee \otimes K_X = \bigwedge^p \mathcal{T}_X \otimes K_X \simeq \Omega^{n-p}$  comes from contraction of vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge^p \mathcal{T}_X \otimes K_X &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{n-p} \\
X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_p \otimes \alpha &\mapsto \alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p, -, \dots, -).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that there is also a way to see this duality with the Hodge star operator.

The Hodge diamond also satisfies a unimodal condition. Namely, in each row (hence each column by Poincaré/Serre-duality), the Hodge numbers increase before reaching half, then decrease (the latter follows from the former by Hodge symmetry).

**3.4. Lefschetz theorems.** Let  $X$  be compact Kähler manifold with Kähler form  $\omega$ . As  $\omega$  is a  $(1,1)$  real form, we obtain an operator

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\omega : A^k(X) &\rightarrow A^{k+2}(X) \\
\alpha &\mapsto \omega \wedge \alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

In the complexification, this restrict to

$$L_\omega : A^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow A^{p+1, q+1}(X),$$

and these operators descend to cohomology by definition. We define the operator

$$\Lambda_\omega := *^{-1} \circ L_\omega \circ *,$$

and the degree operator

$$h : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$$

where  $h|_{H^k(X)} = (k-n)\text{id}|_{H^k(X)}$ . Here, we do not specify the coefficients, as we want to work over either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.1.** On cohomology, we have  $[L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega] = h$ ,  $[h, L_\omega] = 2L_\omega$  and  $[2, \Lambda_\omega] = -2\Lambda_\omega$ , that is,  $L_\omega, \Lambda_\omega$  and  $h$  form an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Moreover, we have  $[L_\omega, \Delta_d] = 0 = [\Lambda_\omega, \Delta_d]$ .

From this  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -representation, one can deduce the following.

**Theorem 3.4.2** (Hard Lefschetz). Let  $k \leq n$ .

(1) the map

$$L_\omega^{n-k} : H^k(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-k}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

(2) Let  $H^k(X)_{\text{prim}} \subset H^k(X)$  be the kernel of  $L_\omega^{n-k+1}$ . We have a **Lefschetz decomposition**

$$H^k(X) = \bigoplus_{2r \leq kr} L_\omega^r H^{k-2r}(X)_{\text{prim}}.$$

Moreover, over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this decomposition is compatible with the Hodge decomposition; i.e., if we define

$$H^{p,q}(X)_{\text{prim}} := \ker(L_\omega^{2n-p-q+1} : H^{p,q}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-p+1, n-q+1}(X)),$$

we have

$$H^{p,q}(X) = \bigoplus_{2r \leq p+q} L_\omega^r H^{p-r, q-r}(X)_{\text{prim}},$$

and

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C})_{\text{prim}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X)_{\text{prim}}.$$

**Remark 3.4.3.** Note that when  $X$  is projective, we may choose  $\omega$  to be integral and the Lefschetz decomposition also holds over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Example 3.4.4.** Let us study the consequences of these theorems on the cohomology of a surface  $X$  with Kähler form  $\omega$ . We have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^0(X) & = H^0(X)_{\text{prim}} \\ & \nearrow & \\ L_\omega^2 & \nearrow L_\omega & H^1(X) = H^1(X)_{\text{prim}} \\ & \searrow & \\ & H^2(X) = H^2(X)_{\text{prim}} \oplus LH^0(X) & \\ & \searrow & \\ & H^3(X) & \\ & \searrow & \\ & H^4(X) & \end{array}$$

where  $H^0(X)_{\text{prim}} = H^0(X)$  and  $H^1(X)_{\text{prim}} = H^1(X)$  since the primitive parts are defined as the kernel of maps to a cohomology groups that vanish for dimension reasons. Since  $H^0(X)$  is generated by the identity element in the cohomology ring, we obtain (over say  $\mathbb{R}$  coefficients)  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\omega] \oplus H^2(X, \mathbb{R})_{\text{prim}}$ . As we soon shall see, this decomposition is orthogonal with respect to a certain intersection pairing, so that we may write  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}[\omega] \oplus [\omega]^\perp$ .

**3.5. Hodge index theorem.** Let  $X$  be compact and  $\omega$  be a Kähler form. Consider the complex Poincaré pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^{2n-k}(X, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta. \end{aligned}$$

This pairing is skew symmetric for  $k$  odd and symmetric for  $k$  even. We use the polarisation  $\omega$  to turn this into a pairing on  $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$  for  $k \leq n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_k : H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \times H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (\alpha, \beta) &\mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge L_\omega^{n-k}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

We now define the hermitian pairing  $H_k(\alpha, \beta) := \iota^k Q_k(\alpha, \bar{\beta})$  called the **Hodge–Riemann bilinear form**.

**Theorem 3.5.1** (Hodge–Riemann bilinear relations). The following hold true

- (1) The Hodge decomposition is orthogonal with respect to  $H_k$ ;
- (2) The Lefschetz decomposition is orthogonal with respect to  $H_k$ , and for  $\alpha, \beta \in H^{k-2r}(X, \mathbb{C})_{\text{prim}}$ , we have

$$H_k(L^r(\alpha), L^r(\beta)) = (-1)^{k+r} H_{k-2r}(\alpha, \beta);$$

- (3) The form

$$(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \iota^{p-q-k} H_k$$

is positive definite on  $H^{p,q}(X)_{\text{prim}}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)$  and  $\beta \in H^{p',q'}(X)$  with  $p+q = p'+q'$ . By definition, we have

$$H_k(\alpha, \beta) = \iota^k \int_X \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k} \wedge \bar{\beta},$$

but the form  $\alpha \omega^{n-k} \wedge \bar{\beta}$  is of degree  $2n$  but not of type  $(n, n)$ . Hence it vanishes, implying that the integral vanishes.

(2) Let  $\alpha' = L_\omega^r(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in H^{k-2r}(X)_{\text{prim}}$  and  $\beta' = L_\omega^s(\beta)$  for  $\beta \in H^{k-2s}(X)_{\text{prim}}$ . Without loss of generality, assume  $r > s$ . We have that

$$H_k(\alpha', \beta') = \iota^k \int_X \alpha' \wedge L_\omega^{n-k+s}(\bar{\beta}') = \iota^k \int_X \omega^r \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k+s} \wedge \bar{\beta} = (-1)^k \iota^k \int_X \alpha \wedge L_\omega^{n-k+r+s}(\bar{\beta}) = 0$$

since  $n - k + r + s > n - k + 2s$ , so that primitiveness of  $\beta$  ensures it is in the kernel of  $L_\omega^{n-k+r+s}$ .

Now if  $\alpha', \beta'$  are chosen as above but  $r = s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(\alpha', \beta') &= \iota^k \int_X \alpha \wedge L^{n-k+r}(\bar{\beta}') = \iota^k \int_X \omega^r \wedge \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k+r} \wedge \bar{\beta} \\ &= (-1)^k \iota^{2r} \iota^{k-2r} \int_X \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k+2r} \wedge \bar{\beta} = (-1)^{k+r} H_{k-2r}(\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

(3) For  $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X)_{\text{prim}}$ . For such form, on Kähler manifolds, we have

$$*\alpha = \iota^{p-q} (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{\omega^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \wedge \alpha;$$

see [Voi98, Proposition 6.29]. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(\alpha, \alpha) &= \iota^k \int_X \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k} \wedge \bar{\alpha} = (n-k)! (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \iota^{k-p+q} \int_X \alpha \wedge *\bar{\alpha} \\ &= (n-k)! (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \iota^{k-p+q} \int_X \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \text{vol}_{\mathbb{C}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \iota^{p-q+k} H_k$$

is positive-definite. □

**Corollary 3.5.2** (Hodge index theorem). *Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler surface. Then, the signature of the Poincaré intersection pairing  $Q_2$  on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  is*

$$(2h^{2,0} + 1, h^{1,1} - 1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ . We may decompose into types:  $\alpha = \alpha^{2,0} + \alpha^{1,1} + \alpha^{0,2}$ . The fact that  $\alpha = \bar{\alpha}$  forces  $\alpha^{1,1} \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\alpha^{2,0} = \overline{\alpha^{2,0}}$ . We thus have the decomposition

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = ((H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})) \oplus H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

which we know to be orthogonal with respect to the Poincaré pairing. Any  $\alpha \in (H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)) \cap H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$  is primitive for degree reasons: taking the cup product with  $\omega$  yields types  $(3, 1)$  or  $(1, 3)$ . Thus, we have

$$Q_2(\alpha, \alpha) = \int_X \alpha \wedge \alpha = \int_X \alpha^{2,0} \wedge \overline{\alpha^{2,0}},$$

which is positive by Theorem 3.5.1(3). Now, we have the Lefschetz decomposition

$$H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})_{\text{prim}} \oplus L_\omega H^0(X, \mathbb{R}) = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})_{\text{prim}} \oplus \mathbb{R}[\omega].$$

This decomposition is orthogonal: if  $\alpha \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})_{\text{prim}}$ , then

$$Q_2(\omega, \alpha) = \int_X \omega \wedge \alpha = 0$$

as  $\omega \wedge \alpha = 0$  by definition of primitive cohomology. We have

$$Q_2(\omega, \omega) = \int_X \omega^2 = 2 \cdot \text{vol}(X) > 0.$$

There remains to compute  $Q_2$  on real  $(1,1)$  primitive classes. But by Theorem 3.5.1,

$$\int_X \alpha^2 < 0,$$

and so we obtain the right count for the index of the pairing.  $\square$

**3.6. (1,1) classes.** Consider now the exponential exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i -)} \mathcal{O}_X^* \rightarrow 0.$$

It is a fact that the induced connecting homomorphism  $\delta$  in the long exact cohomological sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is related to the Chern map  $c_1 : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ : after composing  $\delta$  with the map  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  (which kills torsion), we have  $\delta(\mathcal{L}) = -c_1(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.1** (Lefschetz theorem on  $(1,1)$  classes). *If  $X$  is compact Kähler, then the first chern map  $c_1$  composed with  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is surjective onto  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the composition is indeed valued in  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  by definition of the Chern class (indeed, the  $(2,0)$  and  $(0,2)$  part of the curvature of the Chern connection vanish). The map  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  factors as

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{0,2}(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where the middle arrow is the projection onto the  $(2,0)$  part. This can be seen as follows: the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  factors as  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ , and the map in cohomology  $H^2(\mathbb{C}, X) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  may be computed by considering the map between the resolutions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^1(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{A}^2(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}^{0,1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \mathcal{A}^{0,2} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are projections. Thus, the map  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  vanishes on  $(1,1)$  classes, so that  $(1,1)$  classes are in the kernel of this map, and equivalently in the image of  $c_1 = -\delta$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.6.2.** We define the **Néron-Severi** group of  $X$ , denoted  $\text{NS}(X)$ , to be the image of  $c_1$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . We note that  $\text{NS}(X)/\text{torsion} = H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , as  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is defined to be the intersection of  $H^{1,1}(X)$  with the image of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

We define the rank  $\rho(X)$  of  $\text{NS}(X)$  (or equivalently of  $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ ) to be the **Picard number**. To motivate this terminology, note that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\text{Pic}^0(X)$  is the connected component of the identity. 

---

**Remark 3.6.3.** The integral Hodge conjecture, which has been proven to be false, states that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{algebraic } \mathbb{Z}\text{-cycles of dimension } k\} &\rightarrow H^{(k,k)}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \\ Z &\mapsto [Z] \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. Nevertheless, the Lefschetz theorem on  $(1,1)$  classes shows that it is true for  $k = 1$ . The rational Hodge conjecture, notoriously unsolved, asks whether taking this map over  $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients is surjective. 

---

#### 4. FOURTH LECTURE: K3 SURFACES

**4.1. K3 Surfaces.** We now focus on K3 surfaces, which are the fundamental examples in dimension 2 arising from the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem.

**Definition 4.1.1** (Strong definition). A **K3 surface** is a compact connected Kähler surface  $S$  such that

- (1) The canonical bundle is trivial,  $K_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S$ ;
  - (2)  $S$  is simply connected, i.e.  $\pi_1(S) = \{e\}$ .
- 

K3 surfaces are exactly the strict Calabi-Yau manifolds of dimension 2. To show this, we introduce a second definition of K3 surfaces, which we will show is equivalent to the one above.

**Definition 4.1.2** (Weak definition). A K3 surface is a compact, connected Kähler surface  $S$  such that

- (1)  $K_S \simeq \mathcal{O}_S$ ;
  - (2)  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ .
- 

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *The two definitions (Definition 4.1.1 and Definition 4.1.2) are equivalent. In particular, K3 surfaces are exactly the two dimensional strict Calabi-Yau manifolds.*

*Proof.* (4.1.1  $\implies$  4.1.2): Assume  $S$  satisfies the strong definition. We need to show  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ . Since  $S$  is simply connected,  $H_1(S, \mathbb{C})$  and hence  $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) = H_1(S, \mathbb{C})^\vee$  vanish. Since  $S$  is Kähler, the Hodge decomposition gives  $H^1(S, \mathbb{C}) = H^{1,0}(S) \oplus H^{0,1}(S)$ . Thus  $H^{0,1}(S) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ .

(4.1.2  $\implies$  4.1.1): Assume  $S$  satisfies the weak definition. A deep theorem proved by Siu in [Siu83] that any such surface is Kähler. We need to prove that  $S$  is simply connected.

First, we compute the holomorphic Euler characteristic:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) &= h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) - h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + h^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \\ &= 1 - 0 + h^0(S, K_S) \quad (\text{by Serre duality}) \\ &= 1 - 0 + 1 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem (Theorem 2.3.7) to conclude by dimension reasons that there exists an étale cover  $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$  with  $\tilde{S}$  either a complex torus, a Hyperkähler surface, a strict Calabi-Yau surface, or a product of two elliptic curves. Suppose first that  $\tilde{S}$  is either a product of two elliptic curves or a complex torus. Then,

$$\chi(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) = \int_{\tilde{S}} ch(\mathcal{O}_S) \cup td(S) = \int_{\tilde{S}} \frac{c_1^2(S) + c_2(S)}{12} = 0$$

since  $\tilde{S}$  is flat. But by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch again, we have  $\chi(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}) = \deg(\pi)\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 2\deg(\pi)$ , which forces  $\deg(\pi) = 0$ , contradicting that  $\pi$  is a covering.

Therefore,  $\tilde{S}$  is either a strict Calabi-Yau or a Hyperkähler manifold (we will see *a posteriori* that these two conditions coincide for surfaces), and in particular is simply connected. Thus,  $\deg(\pi) = 1$  and  $S = \tilde{S}$  is simply connected.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.4.** Importantly, it is also true that a two dimensional Hyperkähler manifold is nothing but a K3 surface, but we shall see this later.

#### 4.2. Cohomology and Picard group of K3 surfaces.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a K3 surface. Then:*

- (1)  $H^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2)  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ .
- (3)  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}$  and is torsion-free.
- (4) The intersection pairing (cup product)

$$(-, -) : H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \int_S \alpha \cup \beta$$

is symmetric, bilinear, and unimodular (i.e. induces an isomorphism  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})^\vee$ ).

- (5) The signature of the pairing is  $(3, 19)$ .
- (6) The pairing is even, i.e.,  $\alpha^2 := (\alpha, \alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  for all  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* We use the strong definition.

(1):  $S$  is compact and oriented.

(2): Since  $S$  is simply connected,  $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . By the universal coefficient theorem,  $H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  (there is no torsion in  $H_0(S, \mathbb{Z})$ ). By Poincaré duality,  $H^3(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1(S, \mathbb{Z})^\vee = 0$ .

(3) By the universal coefficient theorem, the torsion subgroup of  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  comes from the torsion of  $H_1(S, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . So  $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion-free.

To compute the rank  $b_2(S)$ , we use the topological Euler characteristic  $e(S)$ .

$$e(S) = \sum (-1)^i b_i(S) = 1 - 0 + b_2(S) - 0 + 1 = 2 + b_2(S).$$

By the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we have

$$e(S) = \int_S c_2(S).$$

However, Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem gives us

$$\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = \int_S \frac{c_1(S)^2 + c_2(S)}{12} = \int_S \frac{c_2(S)}{12},$$

since  $S$  is Ricci-flat by definition. Since  $\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 2$ , we conclude that  $b_2(S) = 2 \cdot 12 - 2 = 22$ .

(4) Unimodularity follows from Poincaré duality over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Symmetry just follows from the degree in cohomology.

(5) This is a direct consequence of the Hodge index theorem (Corollary 3.5.2) and the fact that  $h^{1,1}(S) = 20$  since  $h^{2,0} = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 1$ .

(6) For algebraic classes  $\alpha = c_1(L) \in NS(S)$ , we use Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch:

$$\chi(S, L) = \frac{c_1(L)^2}{2} + \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + 2.$$

Since  $\chi(S, L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha^2$  must be even.

For the general  $\alpha \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ , this follows from Wu's formula.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.2** (Hodge Diamond). The Hodge diamond of a K3 surface is the following

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & 0 & \\ 1 & & 20 & & 1 \\ & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & 1 & & \end{array}$$


---

**Proposition 4.2.3.** For a K3 surface  $S$ , the Picard group is isomorphic to the Néron-Severi group.

*Proof.* Consider the exponential sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \xrightarrow{-c_1} H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ , the map  $c_1$  is injective. Thus  $\text{Pic}(S) \simeq \text{im } (c_1) = \text{NS}(S)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.4.** This implies that a line bundle on a K3 surface is determined by its first Chern class.

The Picard number satisfies  $\rho(S) \leq h^{1,1}(S) = 20$ . If  $S$  is algebraic,  $\rho(S) \geq 1$  by Kodaira's embedding theorem. For the very general<sup>2</sup> K3 surface,  $\rho(S) = 0$ .

**4.3. Examples of K3 surfaces.** We now discuss examples of how K3 surfaces may be constructed.

**Example 4.3.1** (Quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ). Let  $S = \{f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  be a smooth hypersurface defined by a homogeneous polynomial  $f$  of degree 4. We verify that  $S$  is a K3 surface.

By adjunction formula, we have

$$K_S = (K_{\mathbb{P}^3} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4))|_S = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(4))|_S = \mathcal{O}_S.$$

There remains to show  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ : Consider the short exact sequence defining  $S$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

<sup>2</sup>The notion of “very general” is to be distinguished from “generic”: a property holds for the very general K3 surface if, in the appropriate moduli space, it holds outside of a *countable* union of (analytic) Zariski-closed sets.

The long exact sequence in cohomology gives:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since  $H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = H^2(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)) = 0$ , we conclude  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .  $\square$

To construct further examples, we review the cyclic covering trick, used to construct branched covers. If we are given a covering  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , the ramification divisor  $R$  is that where the rank of the differential drops, i.e. the zero locus of

$$\det(df) : f^*K_Y \rightarrow K_X.$$

This shows that  $R \in |f^*K_Y^\vee \otimes K_X|$ . Quite tautologically, the Hurwitz formula follows:

$$K_X = f^*K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}(R).$$

We say that  $f$  is ramified over  $f(R)_{\text{red}} = B$ . Conversely, given the choice of an effective divisor  $B \subset Y$ , we want to describe ways to construct finite coverings of  $Y$  that are ramified over  $B$ .

**Construction 4.3.2** (Cyclic covering trick). Assume for simplicity that  $Y$  is algebraic, the analytic case shall be discussed in [Remark 4.3.7](#). Let  $B \subset Y$  be an effective reduced divisor, and suppose that  $\mathcal{O}(B)$  is a  $m$ th power for some  $m \geq 2$ , that is, there exists a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$  with  $\mathcal{L}^m = \mathcal{O}(B)$ , and let  $s \in \mathcal{O}(B)$  be a defining section for  $D$ .

Let  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$  be the total space of  $\mathcal{L}$ . We have

$$\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow Y$  be the projection. We have a tautological section

$$\tau \in H^0(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}), \pi^*\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} \mathcal{L}^i$$

given by the identity element of  $\mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}$  and whose zero locus coincides with that of the zero section  $Y \subset \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ . Consider the variety  $X$  defined as the zero locus of the section  $\tau^m - \pi^*s \in \pi^*\mathcal{L}^m$ , i.e.

$$X := Z(\tau^m - \pi^*s) \subset \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}).$$

the map  $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$  is finite, and it is ramified over  $B$   $\square$

**Remark 4.3.3.** By using the Jacobian criterion on the local equations for  $X$ , it is obvious that  $X$  is smooth if and only if  $B$  is.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.4.** *Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be the  $m$ -cyclic cover defined by  $B$  as above.*

- (1) *The pushforward of the structure sheaf is  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}^{-j}$ .*
- (2) *The canonical bundle is  $K_X \simeq f^*(K_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1})$ .*

*Proof.* (1): Consider the short exact sequence defining  $X$  in  $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})}(-X) \xrightarrow{\tau^m - \pi^*s} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

We have by definition  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})}(-X) \simeq \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^{-m})$ . We push-forward via  $\pi$ , which preserves exactness since  $\pi$  is affine:

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_*(\pi^*\mathcal{L}^{-m}) = \mathcal{L}^{-m} \otimes \text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{L}^\vee \xrightarrow{-s} \text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{L}^\vee \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0,$$

where the equality is obtained from the projection formula. Since  $s$  has degree  $m$ , this multiplication map identifies a section in  $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{L}^\vee$  of degree  $a + mb$  (where  $a, b \leq 0$ ,  $a > -m$ ) with a section of degree  $a$ . Therefore, as  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules, we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{L}^{-j}.$$

(2): By the adjunction formula, we have

$$K_X \simeq (K_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})}(X))|_X = (\pi^*(K_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{L}^m)|_X = f^*(K_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1}).$$

Alternatively, looking at the defining equation, the ramification divisor of  $X \rightarrow Y$  is  $(m-1)Z(\tau) \subset X$ , and so we have by the Hurwitz formula

$$K_X = f^*(K_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}^{m-1}).$$

**Remark 4.3.5.** Such a cyclic cover has a  $\mu_m$ -action, acting transitively on the fibers, which can be seen from the  $\mu_m$ -graded structure on  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . Conversely, it can be shown that any cover that has such a  $\mu_m$ -action arises as a cyclic covering as constructed above. 

---

**Remark 4.3.6.** Note that not every finite covering  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of degree  $m$  is a cyclic covering. However, in characteristic coprime to  $m$ , we can say the following. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \text{coker} \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules splits as we have a retraction given by  $\frac{1}{m}\text{Tr}$ , where  $\text{Tr} : \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$  is the trace, defined because we can view elements of  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  as acting on  $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$  by multiplication. Since  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X$  is locally free of rank  $m$ , this implies that  $\mathcal{T}^\vee := \text{coker}$  is also locally free, of rank  $m - 1$ . The splitting also ensures that we have a section  $\mathcal{T}^\vee \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ , and the universal property of the symmetric algebra yields a map of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebras

$$\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{T}^\vee \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X,$$

which is obviously surjective. Since  $f$  is affine, this map comes from a closed immersion

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T})$$

over  $Y$ .  $\mathcal{T}$  is called the *Tschirnhausen bundle*, and we have shown that any finite map (under characteristic assumptions) factors through its Tschirnhausen bundle. It is to be expected that this bundle would have rank  $m - 1$ . Indeed, if we take  $m$  points in a very big vector space, the affine space they span has dimension  $m - 1$ . In particular, any degree 2 covering factors through (the total space of) a line bundle, and one sees readily that this recovers the cyclic covering trick. 

---

**Remark 4.3.7.** The only subtlety in the analytic case is that the total space of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  has more functions than  $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{L}^\vee$ . But we can circumvent this e.g. by constructing directly the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra structure on

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{m-1},$$

and showing afterwards it corresponds to  $Z(\tau^m - \pi^*s) \subset \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ . Alternatively, one may also show that the analytic counterpart of the exact sequence (4.1) yields the desired  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -algebra structure in the same way, e.g. after locally injecting holomorphic functions into formal functions and taking Taylor expansions in local coordinates. 

---

**Example 4.3.8** (Double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branched over a sextic). Let  $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ . Let  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a smooth curve of degree 6. We have  $\mathcal{O}(B) = \mathcal{O}(6)$ . We take  $m = 2$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(3)$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  be the double cyclic cover branched along  $B$ . We check the K3 conditions.

1. Canonical bundle: Using Lemma 4.3.4 (2),

$$K_X = f^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = f^*(\mathcal{O}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{O}(3)) = \mathcal{O}_X.$$

2. Vanishing of  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ : Using Lemma 4.3.4 (1),

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-3).$$

Since  $f$  is finite,

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \oplus H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(-3)) = 0.$$

Since  $B$  was chosen to be smooth, so is  $X$ , which is also connected since it is smooth and a ramified cover. Thus,  $X$  is a K3 surface. 

---

**Example 4.3.9** (Kummer surfaces). Let  $A$  be a complex torus of dimension 2. Consider the involution  $i : A \rightarrow A$ ,  $x \mapsto -x$ .

The fixed locus of  $i$  is the set of 2-torsion points  $A[2]$ , which consists of 16 points. These points induce 16 singularities in  $A/i$ . So we first blow up.

Let  $p : \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$  be the blow-up of  $A$  at the 16 fixed points. The exceptional locus is a disjoint union of 16 smooth rational curves  $\tilde{E}_i$ . The involution  $i$  lifts to an involution  $\tilde{i} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  by the universal property of the blow-up: indeed, we have the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} & \tilde{A} \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & A, \end{array}$$

and so the diagonal arrow lifts to  $\tilde{i}$  since the total transform of the 16 points under the diagonal arrow is a Cartier divisor. Incidentally, the fixed locus of  $\tilde{i}$  is exactly the union of the exceptional divisors  $\bigcup E_i$ . This implies that the quotient  $X = \tilde{A}/\tilde{i}$ , which we define to be the **Kummer surface** of  $A$ , is smooth because the fixed locus has codimension 1.  $X$  is Kähler since it is the quotient of a Kähler surface by a finite group. In order to check that it is a K3 surface, there are two conditions to check: that the canonical bundle is trivial, and that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ .

We first show that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . Let  $f : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}/\tilde{i}$  be the quotient map. Since  $f$  is finite, we have that the pullback  $f^* : H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{C})$  is injective; indeed this is seen easily as for a given  $\alpha \in H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$ , the projection formula (singular cohomology version) gives

$$f_* f^* \alpha = \alpha \cup f_* 1_{\tilde{A}} = 2\alpha,$$

and so  $f_* f^*$  is injective. Thus, we may identify

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\tilde{A}, \mathbb{C})^{\mu_2} = H^1(A, \mathbb{C})^{\mu_2},$$

where the superscript indicates taking the invariants under  $i$ , and the last equality holds because blowing up a point does not affect singular cohomology<sup>3</sup>. But now, on  $H^1(A, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^4$ , the involution acts as multiplication by  $-1$ ; this can be seen directly by looking at how it acts on the generators  $dz_1, dz_2, d\bar{z}_1$  and  $d\bar{z}_2$ . Therefore,  $H^1(A, \mathbb{C})^{\mu_2} = H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , implying that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  by the Hodge decomposition.

We now show that  $K_X = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Let  $b : \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$  be the blow-down. By the Hurwitz formula, we have

$$K_{\tilde{A}} \simeq f^* K_X \otimes \mathcal{O} \left( \sum \tilde{E}_i \right),$$

and similarly, by Hurwitz, we have

$$K_{\tilde{A}} \simeq b^* K_A \otimes \mathcal{O} \left( \sum \tilde{E}_i \right) = \mathcal{O} \left( \sum \tilde{E}_i \right),$$

so that  $f^* K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}$ . Using the projection formula, we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}} \simeq f_* f^* K_X \simeq f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}} \otimes K_X,$$

implying, after taking determinants, that  $K_X$  is 2-torsion. Now,  $f$  is a  $\mu_2$ -covering, and so by our previous discussion on the cyclic covering trick, we have

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee,$$

where  $f^* \mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{O} \left( \sum \tilde{E}_i \right)$ . Thus, we have

$$K_X \oplus (K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{L}^\vee.$$

This isomorphism has to be given by a matrix of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{Hom}(K_X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \text{Hom}(K_X, \mathcal{L}^\vee) \\ \text{Hom}(K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee, \mathcal{O}_X) & \text{Hom}(K_X \otimes \mathcal{L}^\vee, \mathcal{L}^\vee) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H^0(X, K_X^\vee) & H^0(X, (K_X \otimes \mathcal{L})^\vee) \\ H^0(X, K_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}) & H^0(X, K_X^\vee) \end{pmatrix}$$

Suppose for the purpose of contradiction that  $K_X \neq \mathcal{O}_X$ . Then, since  $K_X = K_X^\vee$ , it cannot have a non-zero global section, and so (4.3) is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^0(X, (K_X \otimes \mathcal{L})^\vee) \\ H^0(X, K_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implying that we must have an isomorphism  $K_X \simeq \mathcal{L}^\vee$ , so that  $\mathcal{L}$  is 2-torsion. But this is impossible, as  $f^* \mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{O} \left( \sum \tilde{E}_i \right) \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}$ . Thus,  $K_X \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ . ———

**Remark 4.3.10.** Note that the map  $q : A \rightarrow A/i$  is flat. Let  $Z$  be the singular locus of  $A/i$ , comprising of 16 points. Recall that the blow-up (i.e. Rees) algebra of  $A$  at  $Z$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_{A/i}[\mathcal{I}_Z t],$$

---

<sup>3</sup>In general, if  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is the blow-up at a complex submanifold  $Z$ , we have the formula

$$H^\bullet(\tilde{Y}) = H^\bullet(Y) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\text{codim}(Z)-1} H^{\bullet-2i}(Z).$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is the ideal at  $Z$ . We can equally recover the blow-up from the Rees algebra in the analytic setting, where there exists an analytic version of the projective spectrum; see [AHV18]. Now, since  $q$  is flat,

$$q^* \mathcal{O}_{A/i}[\mathcal{I}_Z t] = \mathcal{O}_A[(q^* \mathcal{I}_Z)t] = \mathcal{O}_A[\mathcal{I}_{Z'}t],$$

where  $Z' = q^{-1}(Z)$ , that is,  $Z'$  consists of the 16 points fixed by  $i$ . The projective spectrum commutes with base change, and so if  $\widetilde{A/i}$  denotes the blow up of  $A/i$  at  $Z$ , we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{A/i} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{q} & A/i \end{array}$$

now the quotient  $q : A \rightarrow A/i$  may locally be defined as a GIT quotient, (we are quotienting by a finite group), and so it is universal under base change, hence  $\tilde{A} \rightarrow \widetilde{A/i}$  is also the quotient of  $\tilde{A}$  by the lifted action. But this implies that  $\tilde{A}/\tilde{i} = \widetilde{A/i} = X$ . That is, when constructing  $X$ , we may equally have taken the quotient first, and resolve the singularities afterwards. 

---

## REFERENCES

- [AHV18] José Manuel Aroca, Heisuke Hironaka, and José Luis Vicente. *Complex Analytic Desingularization*. 1st ed. Springer Tokyo, 2018. ISBN: 978-4-431-70218-4. DOI: [10.1007/978-4-431-49822-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-49822-3) (cit. on p. 22).
- [Aub76] Thierry Aubin. “Equations du type Monge–Ampère sur les variétés kähleriennes compactes”. French. In: *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A* 283 (1976), pp. 119–121. ISSN: 0366-6034 (cit. on p. 9).
- [Bea83] Arnaud Beauville. “Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle”. In: *J. Differential Geom.* 18.4 (1983), pp. 755–782. DOI: [10.4310/jdg/1214438181](https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214438181) (cit. on p. 9).
- [Bog74] F. A. Bogomolov. “On the Decomposition of KÄHLER Manifolds with Trivial Canonical Class”. In: *Sbornik: Mathematics* 22.4 (Apr. 1974), pp. 580–583. DOI: [10.1070/SM1974v022n04ABEH001706](https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1974v022n04ABEH001706) (cit. on p. 9).
- [Hod41] William Vallance Douglas Hodge. *The Theory and Applications of Harmonic Integrals*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 20. First edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1941, pp. viii+303. ISBN: 9780521358811 (cit. on p. 11).
- [Kod54] K. Kodaira. “On Kähler Varieties of Restricted Type An Intrinsic Characterization of Algebraic Varieties”. In: *Annals of Mathematics* 60.1 (1954), pp. 28–48. ISSN: 0003486X, 19398980. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969701> (visited on 10/27/2025) (cit. on p. 7).
- [Ser56] Jean-Pierre Serre. “Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique”. fr. In: *Annales de l’Institut Fourier* 6 (1956), pp. 1–42. DOI: [10.5802/aif.59](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.59). URL: <https://www.numdam.org/articles/10.5802/aif.59/> (cit. on p. 2).
- [Siu83] Yum-Tong Siu. “Every K3 surface is Kähler”. In: *Inventiones Mathematicae* 73.1 (1983), pp. 139–150. DOI: [10.1007/BF01393829](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01393829) (cit. on p. 17).
- [Voi98] Claire Voisin. *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe. I*. Vol. 10. Cours Spécialisés. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 1998. ISBN: 2-85629-064-1 (cit. on p. 15).
- [Yau78] Shing-Tung Yau. “On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I”. In: *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* 31.3 (1978), pp. 339–411. ISSN: 0010-3640. DOI: [10.1002/cpa.3160310304](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160310304) (cit. on p. 9).