

CFPS — WS 2022

Seminar

Maximilian von Tschirschnitz

Lehrstuhl für Sicherheit in der Informatik / I20

Prof. Dr. Claudia Eckert

Technische Universität München

Oct 25, 2022

Paper Writing

Disclaimer: Other disciplines (than Computer Science) and even other sub-disciplines in CS may have their own style on how to structure research papers!

The following is **one possible** way out of many. Every paper has it's own dynamics and different things make sense.

Classical Research Papers

Structure

1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. Related Work
4. Background
5. *Your stuff*
6. Evaluation
7. Discussion
8. Conclusion / Future Work

Alternative Structure

1. Abstract
2. Introduction
3. Background
4. *Your stuff*
5. Evaluation
6. Discussion
7. Related Work
8. Conclusion / Future Work

Sometimes the reader needs knowledge about your work before they can understand the related work part.

General Stuff

- ▶ Prefer *direct speech* over indirect speech.
- ▶ You may use the scientific *We* when you write
- ▶ Do not use shortened forms like: **Don't**, **We'll** or **Kinda**
- ▶ Decide if you want to write *American* or *British English*. Be consistent!

General Stuff II

- ▶ English sentences commonly do not have a deeply nested sentence structure!
- ▶ You may want to structure your paragraphs in: Statement, Explanation, Example style.
- ▶ Reference **all** figures, tables in your text!
- ▶ Try to be **precise** in your formulation!
 - ▶ this doesn't necessarily mean it has to be complicated!

Abstract

- ▶ Extent: **170 - 250 words** (my impression from ACM CCS 2019)
- ▶ Informal! Do not cite!

Structure

- ▶ Motivation - Why do we care?
- ▶ Problem Statement - What problem are we trying to solve?
- ▶ Approach - How did we go about solving?
- ▶ Result - What is the answer to the problem?
- ▶ Conclusions - What are the implications?

See also

<https://users.ece.cmu.edu/~koopman/essays/abstract.html>

Introduction

- ▶ Motivation for problem
- ▶ Extent: Usually **one** page!
- ▶ High-Level Overview of the problem and the structure of the paper.
- ▶ Clearly state your **contributions** in the end.

setups. In summary, we make the following contributions:

- We provide FridgeLock, a tool to study the impact of suspend time memory encryption on real world setups.
- FridgeLock is designed as an LKM, such that suspend time memory encryption can easily be tested on a large number of Linux distributions without the need to recompile their kernel. We achieve this using DKMS to recompile the LKM in case of security updates. This results in a solution more agnostic to kernel changes.
- We tested our module on various distributions on the x86 platform and provide performance measurements, showing a user-acceptable performance for real world usage.

Related Work

- ▶ Makes the reviewer or editors task easier: What is so novel about your work?
- ▶ Therefore you state in this section what has already been done on this topic.
- ▶ Give a wide overview only shortly introducing other approaches.

Background

Explain the foundations of the problem to the reader.

Your stuff

This is your main part! ;)

You describe here your...

- ▶ idea
- ▶ methodology
- ▶ implementation (if applicable)

Evaluation

- ▶ How did you **test** your work?
 - ▶ How have you made sure it works in all cases?
- ▶ How does it perform...
 - ▶ performance-wise?
 - ▶ in comparison to other approaches?

Discussion

- ▶ Defend your work against anything the reader might not like...
 - ▶ How much impact has your work?
 - ▶ What does the evaluation suggest?
 - ▶ What are the limitations?

Conclusion

- ▶ Take a step back from your deep technical writing and summarize one last time what the reader has just learned.
- ▶ You may want to incorporate the next steps you are planning to do (Future Work).

SoK: Structure

Similar as for Classic Research Papers, but *Related Work* is skipped and *Your Stuff* is ...

- ▶ **systematization** of the research field
 - ▶ adds structure
 - ▶ identifies questions and problem statements
- ▶ **categorization** of others work
 - ▶ outlines the basic idea, limitations and implications of every surveyed paper
 - ▶ compares surveyed papers

SoK: Overview Table Example

Sanitizers	Year Published	Actively Maintained	IV. Bug Finding Techniques													V. Instr.		VI. Metadata Mgmt.				VIII. Analysis															
			Red-zone Ingestion	Guard Pages	Reuse Delay	Per-pointer Bounds Tracking	Per-object Bounds Tracking	Lock-and-key	Dangling Pointer Tagging	Uninit. Mem. Read Detection	Uninit. Value Use Detection	Pointer Casting Monitor	Pointer Use Monitor	Variable Arg. Mismatch Detection	Stateless Monitoring	Language-level Instr.	IR-level Instr.	Binary Instr.	Library Interposition	Embedded Metadata	Direct-mapped Shadow	Multi-level Shadow	Custom Data Structure	Fat/Tagged Pointers	Disjoint Per-pointer Metadata	Static Metadata	Spatial Safety Violation (III-A1)	Temporal Safety Violation (III-A2)	Use of Uninit. Variables (III-B)	Bad-casting (III-C)	Load Type Mismatch (III-C)	Func. Call Type Mismatch (III-C)	Varadic Func. Misuse (III-D)	Signed Integer Overflow (III-E)	Other Underf. Behavior (III-E)	Performance Overhead	Memory Overhead
Purify [27]	'92	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Memcheck [28]	'05	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Dr. Memory [29]	'11	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
LBC [30]	'12	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
ASan [31]	'12	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Electric Fence [32]	'93	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
PageHeap [33]	'00	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
D&A Dangling [35]	'06	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Oscar [36]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
RTCC [45]	'92	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Safe-C [46]	'94	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
P&F [47]	'97	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
MSCC [52]	'04	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
SoftBound+CETS [48], [56]	'10	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Intel Pointer Checker [49]	'12	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
SGXBounds [54]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
CUP [55]	'18	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
J&K [34]	'97	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
CRED [37]	'04	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
D&A Bounds [38]	'06	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
BBC [39]	'09	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
PariCheck [41]	'10	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Low-fat Pointer [42], [43]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Undangle [57]	'12	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
FreeSentry [59]	'15	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
DangNull [58]	'15	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
DangSan [60]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
MSan [66]	'15	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
CaVer [69]	'15	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
TypeSan [70]	'16	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
HexType [71]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Logvinov et al. [72]	'01	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
LLVM TySan [73]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
EffectiveSan [74]	'18	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
Clang CFI [68]	'15	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
HexVASAN [79]	'17	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a
UBSan [67]	'12	✓	✓	✓	✓												✓									✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	○	n/a

TABLE II
OVERVIEW OF SANITIZERS

SPATIAL SAFETY VIOLATION

- No stack/global var. overflow detection
- No overflow to padding detection
- No intra-object overflow detection

TEMPORAL SAFETY VIOLATION

- Dangling pointer identified at compile time
- No restriction for pointers to reused memory

BAD-CASTING

- Polymorphic class support only
- Non-polymorphic class support
- Better but incomplete run-time type tracing

LOAD TYPE MISMATCH

- Scalar type granularity
- Incomplete run-time type tracing

PERFORMANCE OVERHEAD

- Over 10x
- Up to 10x
- Up to 3x
- Up to 10%
- Verified (see Appendix A)

MEMORY OVERHEAD

Important

Every part of your paper has to have a golden thread easily recognizable.

Making fancy complicated sounding papers is easy, making easy to understand text is the skill!

What we read on this topic:

Steven Pinker's - The Sense of Style

<https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/20821371-the-sense-of-style>

Constance Hale's - Sin and Syntax https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/310014.Sin_and_Syntax

William Zinssner - On writing Well https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/53343.On_Writing_Well

Questions?