# Concepts in Abstract Mathematics MAT246 LEC0101 Winter 2020

# Problem Set 2 Solutions

- 1. (a) Let a and b be relatively prime natural numbers greater than or equal to 2. Prove that  $a^{\phi(b)} + b^{\phi(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{ab}$ .
  - (b) Find the remainder when  $47^{144} + 185^{46} \cdot (46! + 2)^{46}$  is divided by 8695.

#### Solution.

(a) Since a and b are relatively prime, Euler's Theorem implies that  $a^{\phi(b)} \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$  and  $b^{\phi(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{a}$ . Thus,  $b \mid a^{\phi(b)} - 1$  and  $a \mid b^{\phi(a)} - 1$ , so

$$ab \mid (a^{\phi(b)} - 1)(b^{\phi(a)} - 1),$$

which means that  $(a^{\phi(b)} - 1)(b^{\phi(a)} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{ab}$ . Hence, expanding the left-hand side, we get

$$a^{\phi(b)}b^{\phi(a)} - a^{\phi(b)} - b^{\phi(a)} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{ab}.$$

Since  $a, b \geq 2$ , we have  $\phi(a), \phi(b) \geq 1$ , so  $ab \mid a^{\phi(b)}b^{\phi(a)}$  and hence  $a^{\phi(b)}b^{\phi(a)} \equiv 0 \pmod{ab}$ . Thus, we get  $0 - a^{\phi(b)} - b^{\phi(a)} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{ab}$ , so  $a^{\phi(b)} + b^{\phi(a)} \equiv 1 \pmod{ab}$ .

(b) Let a=47 and  $b=185=5\cdot 37$  so that ab=8695. Since for any primes p,q, we have  $\phi(p)=p-1$  and  $\phi(pq)=(p-1)(q-1)$ , we get that  $\phi(a)=46$  and  $\phi(b)=4\cdot 36=144$ . Moreover, the canonical factorizations into primes of a and b are a=47 and  $b=5\cdot 37$ , so they have no prime factor in common, and hence they are relatively prime. Thus,  $47^{144}+185^{46}\equiv 1\pmod{8695}$  by part (a).

Now, a is prime so  $a \mid (a-1)! + 1$  by Wilson's Theorem, and hence

$$ab \mid b \cdot ((a-1)! + 1).$$

In other words,  $185 \cdot (46! + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8695}$ , so

$$185 \cdot (46! + 2) \equiv 185 \cdot (46! + 1) + 185 \equiv 185 \pmod{8695}.$$

Hence,

$$47^{144} + 185^{46} \cdot (46! + 2)^{46} = 47^{144} + (185 \cdot (46! + 2))^{46} \equiv 47^{144} + 185^{46} \equiv 1 \pmod{8695},$$
 so the remainder is 1.

- **2.** (a) Let p and q be primes. Prove that  $\sqrt{pq}$  is rational if and only if p=q.
  - (b) Prove that  $\sqrt{57} + \sqrt{n}$  is irrational for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Solution.

- (a) If p = q then  $\sqrt{pq} = \sqrt{p^2} = p$  is rational. For the converse, we give two different proofs.
  - **Proof 1.** Suppose, by contradiction, that  $p \neq q$  and  $\sqrt{pq} = \frac{a}{b}$  for some relatively prime numbers  $a,b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $pqb^2 = a^2$ , so  $p \mid a^2$  and, since p is prime, this implies that  $p \mid a$  (Lemma 7.2.2). Similarly,  $q \mid a^2$ , so  $q \mid a$ . Since p and q are distinct primes, they are relatively prime, so  $pq \mid a$  (this was proved in Lecture 7 and is also a special case Q6 in PS1). Hence, a = pqk for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $pqb^2 = a^2 = p^2q^2k^2$  and hence  $b^2 = pqk^2$ . Repeating the same argument, we get that  $p \mid b$  and  $q \mid b$  so  $pq \mid b$ . Hence,  $pq \mid a$  and  $pq \mid b$  contradicting that a and b are relatively prime.
  - **Proof 2.** Suppose that  $\sqrt{pq}$  is rational. Since the square root of a natural number is rational only if the square root is a natural number (Theorem 8.2.8), we have  $\sqrt{pq} = n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $pq = n^2$ . Let  $n = r_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots r_k^{\alpha_k}$  be the canonical factorization of n, so that  $pq = r_1^{2\alpha_1} \cdots r_k^{2\alpha_k}$ . Then, we must have that p = q, as otherwise, we get two canonical factorizations of the same number, where all the exponents of the first one (i.e. pq) are 1 while all the exponents of the second one (i.e.  $r_1^{2\alpha_1} \cdots r_k^{2\alpha_k}$ ) are even, contradicting uniqueness of canonical factorizations.
- (b) Note that  $57 = 3 \cdot 19$  is the product of two distinct primes. (Side note: although 57 is not prime, it is often jokingly called the *Grothendieck prime*.) Hence,  $\sqrt{57}$  is irrational by (a). Suppose, by contradiction, that  $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{57} = r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\sqrt{n} = r \sqrt{57}$ , so  $n = (r \sqrt{57})^2 = r^2 2r\sqrt{57} + 57$  and hence  $\sqrt{57} = \frac{r^2 + 57 n}{2r} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , contradicting that  $\sqrt{57}$  is irrational. Hence,  $\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{57}$  is irrational.

- **3.** (a) Prove that if  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w}$ .
  - (b) Prove that if  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$  then  $\overline{zw} = \overline{z}\overline{w}$ .
  - (c) Prove that if  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of a polynomial with real coefficients, then  $\bar{r}$  is also a root of that polynomial.

## Solution.

(a) Let z = a + bi and w = c + di, where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{(a+bi) + (c+di)}$$

$$= \overline{(a+c) + (b+d)i}$$

$$= (a+c) - (b+d)i$$

$$= (a-bi) + (c-di)$$

$$= \overline{z} + \overline{w}.$$

(b) Let z = a + bi and w = c + di, where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,

$$\overline{zw} = \overline{(a+bi)(c+di)}$$

$$= \overline{(ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i}$$

$$= (ac-bd) - (ad+bc)i$$

$$= (ac-(-b)(-d)) + (a(-d) + (-b)c)i$$

$$= (a-bi)(c-di)$$

$$= \overline{z}\overline{w}.$$

(c) Let  $p(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$  be a polynomial with  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of p(z), i.e. p(r) = 0. We want to show that  $p(\bar{r}) = 0$ . By  $\underline{(a)}$ , we have  $\overline{a_1 z + a_0} = \overline{a_1 z} + \overline{a_0}$ . Applying (a) again, we get  $\overline{a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0} = \overline{a_2 z^2} + \overline{a_1 z + a_0} = \overline{a_2 z^2} + \overline{a_1 z} + \overline{a_0}$ . Hence, applying (a) n times, we get

$$\overline{a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0} = \overline{a_n z^n} + \overline{a_{n-1} z^{n-1}} + \dots + \overline{a_1 z} + \overline{a_0}$$

Now, by (b), we have  $\overline{a_i z^i} = \overline{a_i} \overline{z^i} = \overline{a_i} \overline{z^i}$  for all i. But  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\overline{a_i} = a_i$  and hence we have shown that

$$\overline{a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0} = a_n \bar{z}^n + a_{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \bar{z} + a_0,$$

or in other words,

$$\overline{p(z)} = p(\bar{z}).$$

In particular, if p(r) = 0, then  $p(\bar{r}) = \overline{p(r)} = \overline{0} = 0$ .

**4.** Show that  $|\mathbb{R}^n| = |\mathbb{R}|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Solution.** We first show that  $|\mathbb{R}^2| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Since  $|\mathbb{R}| = |[0,1]|$  (Theorem 10.3.8), we also have  $|\mathbb{R}^2| = |[0,1] \times [0,1]|$ . Indeed, the equality  $|\mathbb{R}| = |[0,1]|$  implies that there is a bijection  $f: \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  and hence the function  $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0,1] \times [0,1]$  given by F(x,y) = (f(x),f(y)) is also a bijection. Now, we also showed that  $|[0,1] \times [0,1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$  (Theorem 10.3.33) so we have  $|\mathbb{R}^2| = |[0,1] \times [0,1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Hence,  $|\mathbb{R}^2| = |\mathbb{R}|$  (the fact that if |S| = |T| and |T| = |U| then |S| = |U| follows from the fact that if  $f: S \to T$  and  $g: T \to U$  are bijections, then  $g \circ f: S \to U$  is a bijection since  $f^{-1} \circ g^{-1}$  is an inverse).

Now, we show by induction on n that  $|\mathbb{R}^n| = |\mathbb{R}|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The base case n = 1 is trivial, since  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $|\mathbb{R}^k| = |\mathbb{R}|$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We want to show that  $|\mathbb{R}^{k+1}| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Since  $|\mathbb{R}^k| = |\mathbb{R}|$  we have a bijection  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1} = \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$  and we have a bijection  $g : \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  given by g(x,y) = (f(x),y), so  $|\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}|$ . Hence,  $|\mathbb{R}^{k+1}| = |\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R}^2| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . To show that g is a bijection, we show that it is both surjective and injective. It is injective since if  $g(x_1,y_1) = g(x_2,y_2)$  then  $(f(x_1),y_1) = (f(x_2),y_2)$  so  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  and  $y_1 = y_2$ . Since f is injective, we have  $x_1 = x_2$ , so  $(x_1,y_1) = (x_2,y_2)$ . Now, g is also surjective since if  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  then, since f is surjective, there exists  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  such that  $f(x_1) = x$  so  $g(x_1,y) = (f(x_1),y) = (x,y)$ .

- **5.** Find the cardinality of each of those sets.
  - (a) The set of lines in the plane.
  - (b) The set of circles in the plane whose centre has rational coordinates and whose radius is the square root of a prime number.

#### Solutions.

(a) We claim that the cardinality is c, the cardinality of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

First, a vertical line is uniquely determined by its intersection with the x-axis, and hence the set of vertical lines is in bijection with  $\mathbb{R}$ . A line that is not vertical is of the form y = ax + b for unique  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence the set of non-vertical lines is in bijection with  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Hence, the set of all lines in the plane is in bijection with  $\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}^2$ . Now, since  $|\mathbb{R}| = |[0, 1]|$  (Theorem 10.3.8) we have a bijection  $f : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$  and since  $|\mathbb{R}^2| = |\mathbb{R}|$  (Q5) and  $|\mathbb{R}| = |(1, 2]|$  (Theorem 10.3.7 and Theorem 10.3.8) we have another bijection  $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to (1, 2]$ . Hence, we can construct a function  $h : \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}^2 \to [0, 2]$  by defining h(x) = f(x) for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and h(y, z) = g(y, z) for  $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, h is a bijection since it has an inverse  $k : [0, 2] \to \mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}^2$  defined by  $k(x) = f^{-1}(x)$  if  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $k(x) = g^{-1}(x)$  if  $x \in (1, 2]$ , where  $f^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}$  are the inverses of f and g respectively. Hence,  $|\mathbb{R} \cup \mathbb{R}^2| = |[0, 2]| = |[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

(b) Let C be the set of those circles. We claim that the cardinality of C is  $\aleph_0$ . Since C is infinite and  $\aleph_0$  is the smallest infinite cardinality, we have  $\aleph_0 \leq |C|$ . Hence, by the Cantor-Bernstein Theorem, it suffices to show that  $|C| \leq \aleph_0$ . In other words, it suffices to show that C is countable.

A circle in C is uniquely specified by a pair of rational numbers  $x,y\in\mathbb{Q}$  and a prime number p, where (x,y) are the coordinates of the centre and  $\sqrt{p}$  is the radius. Hence, C is in bijection with  $\mathbb{Q}^2\cup\mathbb{P}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^2=\mathbb{Q}\times\mathbb{Q}=\{(x,y):x,y\in\mathbb{Q}\}$  and  $\mathbb{P}\subseteq\mathbb{N}$  is the set of prime numbers. We begin by proving the following lemma.

**Lemma.** If S and T are countable sets, the so is  $S \times T$ .

*Proof.* The set  $S \times T$  is the union of the sets  $\{s\} \times T$  for  $s \in S$ . Now, for all  $s \in S$ , the set  $\{s\} \times T$  is in bijection with T, which is countable, so  $\{s\} \times T$  is also countable. Since S is countable and the union of a countable number of countable sets is countable (Theorem 10.2.10), we have that  $S \times T$  is countable.

By this lemma,  $\mathbb{Q}^2$  is countable. Also  $\mathbb{P}$  is countable since  $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and a subset of a countable set is countable. Hence,  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \cup \mathbb{P}$  is countable since a union of two countable sets is countable (Theorem 10.2.10). So C is countable and infinite, and hence  $|C| = \aleph_0$ .

**6.** Show that a set S has infinitely many elements if and only if it has a subset  $S_0 \subseteq S$  such that  $S_0 \neq S$  and  $|S_0| = |S|$ .

**Solutions.** Since S has infinitely many elements and  $\aleph_0$  is the smallest infinite cardinality, we have  $\aleph_0 \leq |S|$ , so there is an injection  $f: \mathbb{N} \to S$ . Let  $s_i = f(i)$ , so that  $s_1, s_2, s_3, \ldots$  is an infinite sequence of distinct elements of S. Let  $S_0 = S \setminus \{s_1\}$ . Then,  $S_0 \neq S$  since  $s_1 \notin S_0$ . We claim that  $|S_0| = |S|$ . Define  $g: S \to S_0$  by  $g(s_i) = s_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and g(x) = x if  $x \neq s_i$  for all i. Then, g is bijective since it has an inverse  $h: S_0 \to S$  defined by  $h(s_i) = s_{i-1}$  for all  $i \geq 2$  and h(x) = x if  $x \neq s_i$  for all i. Indeed, if  $h(g(s_i)) = h(s_{i+1}) = s_i$  and if  $x \neq s_i$  then h(g(x)) = h(x) = x. Similarly, g(h(x)) = x for all  $x \in S$ . Hence, g is a bijection between S and  $S_0$ , so  $|S| = |S_0|$ .