

Probability Comprehensive Exam

Fall 2018

Student Number:

Instructions: Complete 5 of the 9 problems, and **circle** their numbers below – the uncircled problems will **not** be graded.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Write **only on the front side** of the solution pages. A **complete solution** of a problem is preferable to partial progress on several problems.

1. Use the SLLN to find the following limit:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}{x_1 + \cdots + x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

Solution: Let U_1, \dots, U_n be i.i.d. random variables with uniform distribution in $[0, 1]$. Then

$$\mathbb{E} \frac{U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2}{U_1 + \cdots + U_n} = \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}{x_1 + \cdots + x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

By the SLLN,

$$\frac{U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2}{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}U_1^2 = \int_0^1 x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3} \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$\frac{U_1 + \cdots + U_n}{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}U_1 = \int_0^1 x dx = \frac{1}{2} \text{ a.s.}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2}{U_1 + \cdots + U_n} = \frac{(U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2)/n}{(U_1 + \cdots + U_n)/n} \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} \text{ a.s.}$$

Since also

$$0 \leq \frac{U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2}{U_1 + \cdots + U_n} \leq 1,$$

we have, by Lebesgue dominated convergence, that

$$\int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}{x_1 + \cdots + x_n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \mathbb{E} \frac{U_1^2 + \cdots + U_n^2}{U_1 + \cdots + U_n} \rightarrow \frac{2}{3}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d. random variables such that $\mathbb{P}\{X_j = +1\} = \mathbb{P}\{X_j = -1\} = 1/2$. Let $S_k := X_1 + \cdots + X_k, k = 1, \dots, n$. Prove that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq l\} = 2\mathbb{P}\{S_n > l\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n = l\}.$$

Solution: Note that, by additivity and independence,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{S_n > l\} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l, S_n - S_k > 0\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l\} \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k > 0\}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{S_n = l\} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l, S_n - S_k = 0\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l\} \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathbb{P}\{S_n > l\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n = l\} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l\} (2\mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k > 0\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k = 0\}).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that by symmetry of r.v. $S_n - S_k = \sum_{j=k+1}^n X_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}2\mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k > 0\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k = 0\} \\ = \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k > 0\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k < 0\} + \mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_k = 0\} = 1\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}\{S_1 < l, \dots, S_{k-1} < l, S_k = l\} = \mathbb{P}\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k \geq l\},$$

implying the claim.

3. Let $\{Z_n\}$ be i.i.d. standard normal r.v. and let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n Z_n^2 < +\infty$ a.s. if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$.

Solution: If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n Z_n^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mathbb{E} Z_n^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty,$$

implying that the nonnegative r.v. $\xi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n Z_n^2$ is finite a.s. On the other hand, if $\xi < +\infty$ a.s., then $e^{-\xi} > 0$ a.s., implying that $\mathbb{E}e^{-\xi} > 0$. By a straightforward computation,

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\xi} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-a_n Z_n^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}e^{-a_n Z_1^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2a_n}}$$

The last product is strictly positive if and only if the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1+2a_n)$ converges, which implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < +\infty$.

4. Let φ be the characteristic function of r.v. X . Show that

$$\psi_1(t) = |\varphi(t)|^2 \text{ and } \psi_2(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds$$

are also characteristic functions.

Solution: Note that

$$\psi_1(t) = \varphi(t) \overline{\varphi(t)} = \mathbb{E}e^{itX} \mathbb{E}e^{-itX} = \mathbb{E}e^{itX} \mathbb{E}e^{-itY} = \mathbb{E}e^{it(X-Y)},$$

where Y is an independent copy of X . Thus, ψ_1 is the characteristic function of $X - Y$.

By change of variable and the properties of conditional expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds = \int_0^1 \varphi(tu) du = \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}e^{itXu} du \\ &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}(e^{itXu} | U = u) du = \mathbb{E}\mathbb{E}(e^{itXu} | U) = \mathbb{E}e^{itXU}, \end{aligned}$$

where U is a random variable with uniform distribution in $[0, 1]$ independent of X . Thus, ψ_2 is the characteristic function of XU .

5. For distribution functions F, G on the real line, define

$$L(F, G) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \ F(t) \leq G(t + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon, G(t) \leq F(t + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon \right\}.$$

It is known that L is a metric. Prove that $L(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if F_n converges weakly to F .

Solution: If $L(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and all large enough n , $L(F_n, F) < \varepsilon$. This implies that, for all large enough n ,

$$\forall t \quad F(t - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq F_n(t) \leq F(t + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$F(t - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq F(t + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

Passing to the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$F(t-) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq F(t). \quad (2)$$

If t is a continuity point of F , we have $F(t) = F(t-)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) = F(t),$$

which implies the weak convergence of F_n to F .

On the other hand, the weak convergence of F_n to F easily implies (2), which implies (1). It follows from (1) and the definition of L that $L(F_n, F) < 2\varepsilon$ for all n large enough. Therefore, $L(F_n, F) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

6. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be identically distributed (not necessarily independent!) random variables with finite first moment. Is the following,

$$n^{-1} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \longrightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, true or false?

Solution: True! Indeed, for any $A > 0$, and using the identical distribution assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| &= \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| > t) dt \\
&= \int_0^A \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| > t) dt + \int_A^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| > t) dt \\
&\leq A + \int_A^{+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_k| > t) dt \\
&= A + n \int_A^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $A > 0$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \leq \int_A^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > t) dt.$$

But, $\mathbb{E}|X_1| = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > t) dt < +\infty$, and so by dominated convergence,

$$\limsup_{A \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \leq 0,$$

which gives the result.

7. Let $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ be iid random variables with common characteristic function φ and let $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Show that if φ is differentiable at 0 with $\varphi'(0) = i\mu$, then, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$, in probability.

Solution: In case the limit is degenerate then convergence in probability is equivalent to weak convergence. In other words, $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$, in probability if and only if $S_n/n \Rightarrow \mu$. In turn by the Lévy continuity theorem, this last condition is equivalent to the requirement that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{E}(e^{itS_n/n}) \rightarrow e^{it\mu}$. Now by the iid assumption, $\mathbb{E}(e^{itS_n/n}) = (\varphi(t/n))^n$. Since φ is differentiable at 0,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varphi(t/n) - 1}{t/n} = \varphi'(0) = i\mu,$$

i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n(\varphi(t/n) - 1) = it\mu$. Finally, since

$$(\varphi(t/n))^n = \left(1 + \frac{n(\varphi(t/n) - 1)}{n}\right)^n,$$

using complex logarithms or the fact that if $z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $z_n \rightarrow z \in \mathbb{C}$, then $(1 + z_n/n)^n \rightarrow e^z$, the result follows.

8. Let X and Y be two independent and positive random variables with respective density f_X and f_Y and let $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, be a bounded Borel function. Find

$$\mathbb{E} \left(g \left(\frac{X}{Y} \right) | Y \right),$$

the conditional expectation of $g(X/Y)$ given Y and then infer that $V = X/Y$ has a density that you will identify.

Solution: Since X and Y are independent, $\mathbb{E} \left(g \left(\frac{X}{Y} \right) | Y \right) = h(Y)$, with $h(y) = \mathbb{E} (g(X/y))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) &= \int_0^{+\infty} g \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) f_X(x) dx \\ &= y \int_0^{+\infty} g(v) f_X(yv) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for any g as above,

$$\mathbb{E}g(V) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(g(V)|Y)) = \mathbb{E}h(Y).$$

But, using the Fubini-Tonelli Theorem which is valid since all our functions are non-negative as well as Lebesgue measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}g(V) &= \mathbb{E}h(Y) = \int_0^{+\infty} h(y) f_Y(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} f_Y(y) \left(\int_0^{+\infty} yg(v) f_X(yv) dv \right) dy \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} g(v) \left(\int_0^{+\infty} y f_Y(y) f_X(yv) dy \right) dv \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} g(v) f(v) dv, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(v) := \int_0^{+\infty} y f_Y(y) f_X(yv) dy$ is therefore the density of V .

9. Let X, Y, Z be random variables such that (X, Z) and (Y, Z) are identically distributed. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel function such that $f(X)$ is integrable.
- (i) Show that $\mathbb{E}(f(X)|Z) = \mathbb{E}(f(Y)|Z)$, *a.s.*
 - (ii) Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n be iid random variables with finite first moment and let $T = T_1 + \dots + T_n$. Using (i) show that

$$\mathbb{E}(T_1|T) = \frac{T}{n}.$$

Solution: (i) For any non-negative (or bounded) Borel function g , since $g(Z)$ is Z -measurable, since the “expectation of the conditional expectation is the expectation”, and using the identical distribution assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(g(Z)\mathbb{E}(f(X)|Z)) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(g(Z)f(X)|Z)) = \mathbb{E}(g(Z)f(X)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(g(Z)f(Y)) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(g(Z)f(Y)|Z)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(g(Z)\mathbb{E}(f(Y)|Z)),\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows (by the very definition and uniqueness of the conditional expectation) that $\mathbb{E}(f(X)|Z) = \mathbb{E}(f(Y)|Z)$, *a.s.*, since both quantities above are Z -measurable.

(ii) Clearly, $(T_1, T), (T_2, T), \dots, (T_n, T)$ are identically distributed and so, by (i),

$$\mathbb{E}(T_1|T) = \mathbb{E}(T_2|T) = \dots = \mathbb{E}(T_n|T).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}n\mathbb{E}(T_1|T) &= \mathbb{E}(T_1|T) + \mathbb{E}(T_2|T) + \dots + \mathbb{E}(T_n|T) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_n|T) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(T|T) = T,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\mathbb{E}(T_1|T) = T/n$.