

3 Problem 1.3

Problem Statement 3.1. A source S has the digits $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ as its alphabet. It sends out a digit sequence equally likely under the rule that $d_i < d_{i+1}$ except for when $d_i = 7$ where we allow that $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Compute the entropy of this source.

3.1 Solution: Computing the entropy of the source

The number of partitions of n into at most k parts, denoted by $p_k(n)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, is defined by

$$p_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + kj_k = n \\ j_1, \dots, j_k \geq 0}} 1.$$

We observe a fact from number theory (or mathematical partition theory) that

$$p_k(n) \sim \frac{n^{k-1}}{k! \cdot (k-1)!} \iff p_k(n) = \frac{n^{k-1}}{k! \cdot (k-1)!} + o_k(n^{k-1}), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, let \mathcal{D} denote the set of all possible valid digit sequences (of length at least one) from the source. For $n \geq 1$, set $\mathcal{D}_n := \mathcal{D} \cap \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}^n$. That is, \mathcal{D}_n denotes the set of valid digit sequences from the source of length exactly n .

Idea: We will bound $|\mathcal{D}_n|$ from above by a function depending on $p_7(n)$ for sufficiently large n . Each of the length- n digit sequences in \mathcal{D}_n are equally likely as provided by the definition of the source. This then provides a lower bound on $\mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}]$ when $d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ is selected uniformly at random. Hence, we can use this bound in conjunction with the *asymptotic equi-partition principle* (AEP) to show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H(S) \sim - \max_{d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n} \frac{\log_2 \mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}]}{n}.$$

Then because the right-hand-side of the previous equation tends to zero when evaluated with a lower bound derived from the probability based on the probability estimate involving $p_7(n)$, we can conclude that $H(S)$ converges to the remaining constant term in our bounds.

Lemma 3.1. *If the source generates a length- n string $d^{(n)} = d_1 d_2 \dots d_n$ for $n \geq 1$, then for any $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$*

$$\mathbb{P}[d_1 = m] = \frac{1}{7},$$

and if $2 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\mathbb{P}[d_i = m] = \begin{cases} \frac{20}{363}, & m = 1; \\ \frac{70}{1089}, & m = 2; \\ \frac{28}{363}, & m = 3; \\ \frac{35}{363}, & m = 4; \\ \frac{140}{1089}, & m = 5; \\ \frac{70}{363}, & m = 6; \\ \frac{140}{363}, & m = 7; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case for d_1 is clear as we equally likely select the first digit in the string. For $i \geq 2$, we have a recursion given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[d_i = m < 7] &= \frac{1}{7} \mathbb{P}[d_{i-1} = 7] + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{7-k} \cdot \mathbb{P}[d_{i-1} = k] \\ \mathbb{P}[d_i = 7] &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^6 \mathbb{P}[d_i = k]. \end{aligned}$$

Subject to the condition that the sum over all of the probabilities must equal one at each i , the last two equations imply the numerical probabilities we have claimed above. Note also that for $2 \leq m < 7$ and $2 \leq i \leq n$

$$\mathbb{P}[d_i = m] = \left(1 + \frac{1}{8-m}\right) \mathbb{P}[d_i = m-1].$$

This last observation leads to the conjecture stated below in more generality. □

Proposition 3.1. *For all sufficiently large n , and any $d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we have that*

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}]} \leq 7e^{210} \cdot n^{21} \cdot p_7(n) \cdot 7^n \sim e^{210} \cdot \frac{n^{21}}{6!^2} \cdot 7 \cdot \left(\frac{363}{140}\right)^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Given any valid digit sequence $d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$, the strictly increasing substrings of the sequence can be broken down into individual sequences of bounded sizes in $\{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$. So for any length- n string generated by the source, it can be decomposed into an equivalent representation by a finite sequence of substrings within these bounded lengths. Hence, we can bound the size of \mathcal{D}_n for any sufficiently large n as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}_n| &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_1+2k_2+\dots+7k_7=n \\ k_1, \dots, k_7 \geq 0}} \#(\text{ways to arrange the } k_1 + \dots + k_7 \text{ substring lengths}) \times \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^7 \#(\text{ways to write a valid sequence of length } i)^{k_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k_1+2k_2+\dots+7k_7=n \\ k_1, \dots, k_7 \geq 0}} \frac{n^{1+2+\dots+7}}{k_1!k_2! \dots k_7!} \times \prod_{i=1}^7 \left(\binom{7}{i} \cdot i! \right)^{k_i} \\ &\leq n^{21} \times \sum_{\substack{k_1+2k_2+\dots+7k_7=n \\ k_1, \dots, k_7 \geq 0}} \frac{210^{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_6}}{k_1! \dots k_7!} \\ &\leq n^{21} \cdot p_7(n) \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{210^k}{k!} \right)^7 \leq e^{7 \times 210} \cdot n^{21} \cdot p_7(n) \sim \frac{e^{7 \times 210} \cdot n^{21}}{7! \cdot 6!}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

An immediate corollary of the proposition is that for large n and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, the probability of a digit sequence $d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$ satisfying the AEP satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}] \geq \frac{1}{7e^{7 \times 210} \cdot n^{21} \cdot p_7(n) \cdot \left(\frac{363}{140}\right)^{1-n}}.$$

Similarly, we can see that $|\mathcal{D}_n| \geq 1$ by taking the string consisting of n consecutive 7 digits. Hence, $d^{(n)} \in \mathcal{D}_n$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}]} \geq 7 \cdot \left(\frac{363}{140}\right)^{n-1}.$$

We find that for large n (as $n \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\log_2 \left(\frac{363}{140} \right) + o(1) \leq -\frac{\log_2 \mathbb{P}[d^{(n)}]}{n} \leq \log_2 \left(\frac{363}{140} \right) + \frac{\log_2 \left(\frac{7e^{7 \times 210}}{7! \cdot 6!} \right) - \log_2 \left(\frac{363}{140} \right) + 21 \log_2(n)}{n} + o(1).$$

So since the right and left-hand-sides above become zero-values except for the constant terms as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude by the AEP that $H(S) = \log_2 \left(\frac{363}{140} \right) \approx 1.37454$.

Conjecture 3.1 (Generalization). *In general, if we repeat the construction in the problem, except that the alphabet for the source \mathcal{S}_k has digits $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for $k > 1$, we expect that $H(\mathcal{S}_k) = \log_2(H_k)$ where $H_k = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} j^{-1}$ is a first-order harmonic number. For example, in the lecture 3 example where $k := 9$, we expect that $H(\mathcal{S}_9) = \log_2 \left(\frac{7129}{2520} \right) \approx 1.50028$. Moreover, for large k , as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we expect that $H(\mathcal{S}_k) = \frac{\log \log k}{\log 2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log k}\right)$.*