Gmail **M. D. Schmidt <maxieds@gmail.com>**

DM 25743

1 message

Discrete Mathematics <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> Tue, Apr 17, 2018 at 6:20 AM
Reply-To: Discrete Mathematics <disc@elsevier.com>
To: maxieds@gmail.com

Ms. Ref. No.: DM 25743
Title: Factorization Theorems for Hadamard Products and Higher-Order Derivatives of Lambert Series Generating Functions
Discrete Mathematics

Dear Professor Schmidt,

We have received a report on your manuscript from our referee. The report indicates that your paper does not meet the high standards required for publication in Discrete Mathematics.

Rather than continue to seek a second report, I have decided not to further delay our response. It would be the same unless the second report turned out to be unexpectedly enthusiastic, and then we would need a third report. The chances of success are very small, so I think it is in your best interest to move on without further delay to the next stage of seeking to publish your manuscript elsewhere.

That is, for the reasons given in the report, we will not be able to publish your manuscript. The comments of the referee appear below if they were entered as text. Comments uploaded as attachments can only be viewed by logging in to the EES website. Please go to https://ees.elsevier.com/disc/ and login as an Author:
Your username is: maxieds@gmail.com
If you need to retrieve password details, please go to: http://ees.elsevier.com/DISC/automail_query.asp.

Thank you for your interest in our journal. I hope for a more positive result next time.

Yours sincerely,
Ae Ja Yee
Associate Editor
Discrete Mathematics

Reviewers' comments:

Reviewer #1: I think that the results are correct and new. However, they seem esoteric without examples that tie them to something that is either appealing, interesting, useful of exciting. Thus I cannot recommend the paper for acceptance in Discrete Math.

Also, it seems that the paper is written a bit carelessly. For instance, page 2, line 8, \hat{a}_n should be a_n or \tilde{a}_n? In Reference [6], page numbers are wrong.