# New Perspectives in Biblical and Rabbinic Hebrew

EDITED BY AARON D. HORNKOHL AND GEOFFREY KHAN







#### https://www.openbookpublishers.com

© 2021 Aaron D. Hornkohl and Geoffrey Khan (eds). Copyright of individual chapters is maintained by the chapters' authors.





This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0). This license allows you to share, copy, distribute and transmit the text; to adapt the text and to make commercial use of the text providing attribution is made to the authors (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Attribution should include the following information:

Hornkohl, Aaron D., and Khan Geoffrey, eds. New Perspectives in Biblical and Rabbinic Hebrew. Cambridge Semitic Languages and Cultures 7. Cambridge: University of Cambridge & Open Book Publishers, 2021, https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0250

In order to access detailed and updated information on the license, please visit, https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0250#copyright

Further details about CC BY licenses are available at, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

All external links were active at the time of publication unless otherwise stated and have been archived via the Internet Archive Wayback Machine at https://archive.org/web

Updated digital material and resources associated with this volume are available at https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0250#resources

Every effort has been made to identify and contact copyright holders and any omission or error will be corrected if notification is made to the publisher.

Semitic Languages and Cultures 7.

ISSN (print): 2632-6906

ISSN (digital): 2632-6914

ISBN Paperback: 978-1-80064-164-8 ISBN Hardback: 978-1-80064-165-5 ISBN Digital (PDF): 978-1-80064-166-2

DOI: 10.11647/OBP.0250

Cover image: Genizah fragment of the Hebrew Bible with Babylonian vocalisation (Num. 18.27-28, Cambridge University Library T-S A38.12; courtesy of the Syndics of Cambridge University Library). Genizah fragment of the Mishnah (Hallah 1, Cambridge University Library MS Add.470.1; courtesy of the Syndics of Cambridge University Library). Linguistic analysis of Ps. 1.1 (Elizabeth Robar). Images selected by Estara Arrant.

Cover design: Anna Gatti

# ISRAELIAN HEBREW IN THE BOOK OF AMOS

# Gary A. Rendsburg

### 1.0. The Location of Tekoa

The vast majority of scholars continue to identify the home village of the prophet Amos with Tekoa¹ on the edge of the Judean wilderness—even though there is little or no evidence to support this assertion. A minority of scholars, the present writer included, identifies the home village of Amos with Tekoa in the Galilee—an assertion for which, as we shall see, there is considerable solid evidence.

### 1.1. Southern Tekoa

The former village is known from several references in Chronicles, especially 2 Chron. 11.6, where it is mentioned, alongside Bethlehem, in a list of cities fortified by Rehoboam in Judah. See also 2 Chron. 20.20, with reference to the journey by Jehoshaphat and his entourage לְמִדְבֵּר תְּקֵוֹעַ 'to the wilderness of Tekoa'.² The genealogical records in 1 Chron. 2.24 and 4.5, referencing a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> More properly  $Teqoa^c$  (or even  $Təq\bar{o}a^c$ ), but I will continue to use the time-honoured English spelling of Tekoa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also the reference to the 'wilderness of Tekoa' in 1 Macc. 9.33.

Judahite named Tekoa, may also encode the name of this village. The name of the site lives on in the name of the Arab village of Tuqu<sup>c</sup> and the adjoining ruin of Khirbet Tequ<sup>c</sup>a, about 8 km south of Bethlehem.<sup>3</sup>

### 1.2. Northern Tekoa

Northern Tekoa, by contrast, is not mentioned explicitly in the Bible, but its existence is well known from rabbinic sources. See especially T. Shevi'it 7.15, where the village is mentioned in connection with Gush Ḥalav. In addition, northern Tekoa is most likely the reference in the saying recorded in הַהְּלּוּ לְשָׁהֶ אַלְפָּה לַשֶּׁמֶן (M. Menaḥot 8.3 MS Kaufmann), הַּחְלֵּעה 'Tekoa is the best for oil' (T. Menaḥot 9.5 MS Vienna). While both of the following passages require elucidation, note the (probable) connection between first-rate olive-oil and the tribe of Asher recorded in מֵּאְשֵׁר שְׁמֵנְה לַחְמֵוֹ וְהָוֹא יִתֵּן מְעַדְנֵּי־מֵלֶּהְ: 'from} Asher, his bread is rich-with-oil, and he gives-forth royal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As so often in historical-geographical research, the first modern scholar to make the identification was Edward Robinson (1841, II:182–84). For the evidence of the Madaba map, see Donner (1992, 60).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See the convenient survey in Klein (1938, I:157).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For discussion, see Graetz (1865, 476).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> I here follow the majority of scholars by removing the initial letter *mem* of this verse and attaching it to the last word of the previous verse, with the sense of 'their heel' (see already the Septuagint).

dainties' (Gen. 49.20) and טבֵל בַּשֶּׁמֶן רַגְלְוֹ: 'he dips his foot in oil' (Deut. 33.24).

Above I stated that northern Tekoa is not mentioned explicitly in the Bible (for example, in the lists of toponyms in the book of Joshua), but closer inspection reveals several references thereto. Jer. 6.1 connects Tekoa and Bet ha-Kerem, with the implication that both are located in the north. As evil (that is, the Babylonians) approaches from the north (see also Jer. 1.13-14; 4.6; 6.22; etc.), the prophet calls for shofar-blasts and fire-signals to warn of the impending danger. Bet ha-Kerem refers to the valley in the northern part of Israel, running on an east-west axis, separating the Upper Galilee to the north and the Lower Galilee to the south, for which see בָּקְעָת בֶּית כֶּבֶם 'the valley of Bet-Kerem' (M. Middot 3.4; M. Niddah 2.7 MS Kaufmann), with reference to red soil. See also T. Niddah 3.11, with mention of בַּקעַת בֵּית בֶּרֶם 'the valley of Bet-Kerem' alongside three other Galilean valleys (Sakhne, Yotvat, and Ginnosar). The former (that is, Tekoa), accordingly, must be identified with northern (and not southern) Tekoa.10

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Here and throughout this article, my translation technique tends to be hyperliteral; plus, I employ certain devices, for example, hyphenation when two or more English words are required to render a single Hebrew word.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As we shall see below, one of the possible locations for northern Tekoa is within the territory of Asher.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> In general, see Klein (1939, I:15). In a different context see also Wayyiqra<sup>9</sup> Rabba 30.1 (689:2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> I realise that the vast majority of commentators on Jeremiah, if not all of them, associate Tekoa in Jer. 6.1 with Tekoa in the wilderness of

The second biblical passage is the most famous of all, namely, the story in 2 Samuel 14: the wise woman of Tekoa, fetched by Joab to speak to David to present her parable before the king, hails from northern Tekoa, as may be observed via the Israelian dialectal features in her speech.<sup>11</sup> See also B. Menaḥot 85b, where the sages identify the home of the wise woman of Tekoa with northern Tekoa, located specifically in the tribe of Asher (and see further below).

As to the specific location, based mainly on literary references, historical geographers such as Gustaf Dalman, Shmuel Klein, and Michael Avi-Yonah have identified northern Tekoa with Khirbet Shema<sup>c</sup>, located on an eastern spur of Mt. Meron (Jebel Jarmaq).<sup>12</sup> The excavators of the site, led by Eric Meyers, accept the identification.<sup>13</sup>

### 2.0. The Tekoa of Amos

The foregoing brings us to Amos 1.1, the only mention of Tekoa in the Bible not yet discussed. The book opens as follows, with the first part of the superscription: דָּבְרֵי עַמֹּוֹס אֲשֶׁר־הַיֵּה בַּנֹּקְדֵים מִתְּקוֹעֵ

Judah and Bet ha-Kerem with a place near Jerusalem; see, e.g., Holladay (1986, 205); McKane (1986, 139–40); Lundbom (1999, 416); Fretheim (2002, 119–20). These identifications thereby require considerable geographical gymnastics, for example, by assuming that the prophet already is warning sites to the south of Jerusalem, or by assuming a Babylonian approach from the south (starting in Lachish, presumably), even though Jer. 6.1 explicitly states מֵשְׁבּוֹן 'from the north'.

<sup>12</sup> For references, see Meyers, et al. (1976, 11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Rendsburg (2014, 166).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See the discussion in Meyers, et al. (1976, 11–16).

'the words of Amos, who was among the stockmen from Tekoa'. In Amos 7.14, the prophet refers to himself as a בּוֹלֵס יִשְׁקְמִים 'herder' and a בּוֹלֵס שִׁקְמִים 'tender of sycomores'. Notwithstanding the apparent connection between the former term and בְּקָר 'cattle', in the next verse, 7.15, Amos states that God took him מֵאַחֲבִי הַצִּאוֹן 'from behind the flock', implying his work with sheep and goats. The more crucial term, of course, is his latter occupation, בּוֹלֵס שִׁקְמִים 'tender of sycomores' (with reference to one who notches the sycomore figs to enhance the ripening process).¹¹⁴

The problem, recognised by all, including those who would place Amos in southern Tekoa, is that sycomore trees do not and cannot grow in the Judaean wilderness. Note the following two passages especially:

- וַיָּהֵּן הַמֶּלֶדְ אֶת־הַכֶּסֶף בִּירוּשָׁלָם כְּאֲבָגִים וְאֵת הָאֲרָזִּים נְתָן כַּשָּׁקְמִים אֲשֶׁר־ (1) בשפלה לרב:
  - 'and the king made the silver in Jerusalem (plentiful) as the stones, and the cedars he made plentiful like the sycomores in the Shephelah.' (1 Kgs 10.27)—with sycomore trees associated with the Shephelah<sup>15</sup>
- (2) מְּכְּפַר חֲנַנְיִיה וּלְמַעְלָן כָּל שֶׁאֵינוּ מְגַדֵּל שִׁיקְמִים גָּלִיל הָעֶלְיוֹן מִכְּפַר חֲנַנְיִיה וּלְמַטְן כָּל שֶׁהוּא מְגַדֵּל שִׁיקְמִים גָּלִיל הַתַּחְתוֹן 'from Kefar Ḥananiah upward, wherever one does not raise sycomores, it is the Upper Galilee; from Kefar Ḥananiah downward, wherever one raises sycomores, it is the Lower Galilee.' (M. Shevi'it 9.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> For a thorough survey of the terms, see Steiner (2003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See also 1 Chron. 27.28; 2 Chron. 1.15; 9.27.

The presence or absence of sycomore trees is cited as a natural discriminant between Upper Galilee (which lacks them) and Lower Galilee (where the trees grow).

True, there is mention of a sycomore tree in Jericho in Luke 19.4 (the story of Zacchaeus, the chief tax collector), but we can ascribe the presence of the tree there to the lush oasis which makes Jericho possible.

Those who would place Amos in southern Tekoa, on the edge of the Judean wilderness, explain the difficulty by assuming that he tended to sycomore trees in the Shephelah during the 'offseason' (as if those engaged in animal husbandry ever have an 'off-season') or that he took his flocks with him over a longer-than-average distance.<sup>16</sup>

All difficulties are removed, though, if we identify the Tekoa of Amos with the village of that name in the Galilee.<sup>17</sup> Such was stated long ago in Pseudo-Epiphanius, *De vitis prophetarum* (*Lives of the Prophets*), with specific mention of the territory of Zebulun,<sup>18</sup> and then in the Middle Ages by David Qimḥi, with specific mention of the territory of Asher (no doubt derived from B. Menaḥot 85b, mentioned above).<sup>19</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See the discussion in Rosenbaum (1990, 49–50); for a more recent opinion promoting this idea, see Steiner (2003, 101–2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> True, if the equation of northern Tekoa with Khirbet Shema<sup>c</sup> is accepted (see above), this places us in the Upper Galilee, but only ca. 5 km north of Kefar Ḥananiah.

 $<sup>^{18}</sup>$  For the original, see Migne (1864, 405–6).

 $<sup>^{19}</sup>$  For these two sources, see Graetz (1865, 476) and Speier (1953, 305–6).

# 3.0. Amos as a Northern Prophet

In addition to the two sources just cited (with the latter one, David Qimḥi, better known), one occasionally finds a modern scholar who argues for a northern homeland of the prophet Amos. The most noteworthy effort in this direction is that of S. N. Rosenbaum (1990). Two significant findings are repeated here.

### 3.1. Amos Accused of Treason

- 2 Sam. 15.12 Absalom against David
- 2 Sam. 15.31 Ahitophel with Absalom
- 1 Kgs 15.27 Baasha against Nadab
- 1 Kgs 16.9 Zimri against Elah
- 2 Kgs 9.14 Jehu against Joram
- 2 Kgs 10.9 Jehu against the House of Ahab
- 2 Kgs 11.14 Athaliah accuses Joash הָשֶׁר הֶשֶׁר (treason, treason)
- 2 Kgs 12.21 servants against Joash
- 2 Kgs 14.19 unnamed Judahites against Amaziah
- 2 Kgs 15 (4x) in northern kingdom
- 2 Kgs 21.23 servants against Amon

As such, Amos must be a 'citizen' of the northern kingdom of Israel, implying that he hails from Tekoa in the Galilee.

### 3.2. Amos Instructed to Flee to Judah

In another famous passage, Amos 7.12, Amaziah orders Amos to ב-ר-h to Judah: מַּרָי יְהוּדֶה 'seer, go, flee to the land of Judah'. In a second lexical study, Rosenbaum (1990, 35–37) demonstrated that the verb b-r-h always refers to fleeing from one's own country to a foreign land. Examples include:

Gen. 27.43 Jacob to Harran

Exod. 2.15 Moses to Midian

Judg. 9.21 Jotham from Shechem to Be'er

Judg. 11.3 Jephthah to the land of Tov

2 Sam. 4.3 people of Be<sup>3</sup>erot to Gath

2 Sam. 13.37 Absalom to Geshur

1 Kgs 11.17 Hadad to Egypt

1 Kgs 11.23-24 Rezon from Zobah to Damascus

1 Kgs 11.40 Jeroboam to Egypt

Jer. 26.21 Uriah to Egypt

Jon. 1.3 Jonah to Tarshish

As such, for Amos to b-r-h 'flee' to Judah, per Amaziah's instructions, his home must have been located in the northern kingdom of Israel.

### 4.0. Israelian Hebrew in the Book of Amos

The first three sections of this article serve as necessary background material, in order to establish the fact (that is, from my perspective) that Amos hails from northern Tekoa, a village in the Lower Galilee somewhere in the general Asher-Zebulun region. This finding explains why so many Israelian Hebrew (IH) lexical features appear in the prophet's diction.

The single scholar who laid the foundation for this analysis is Chaim Rabin, in his classic article יהושע (1981). Notwithstanding the title, almost the entire article deals with Hosea, in which, truth be told, there are many more northern features than are present in Amos. The present article, accordingly, picks up the mantle of identifying northern features in the book of Amos, adumbrated by Rabin forty years ago, even if he treated them only marginally.

In what follows, I present the IH features in outline form,<sup>20</sup> which should suffice to permit the reader to follow the analysis. For the shorthand references to the dictionaries, translations, etc., see the abbreviations incorporated into the References section at the end of this article.<sup>21</sup>

#### 4.1. IH Features in Amos 6

For reasons that are unclear, Amos 6 contains the greatest concentration of IH features. On the one hand, this may simply be a coincidence. On the other hand, the doom and downfall of the kingdom of Israel is expressed more strongly in this chapter than

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> In both §§4.1 and 4.2, the individual items are presented in order of their appearance in the book of Amos. Some may bear greater weight and have greater significance than others, though I leave that possibility aside for the nonce.

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  Note also MH = Mishnaic Hebrew, which to my mind constitutes a northern spoken dialect of the post-biblical period (Rendsburg 2003), and QH = Qumran Hebrew.

elsewhere in the book. Possibly the prophet sought to drive home the point more strongly with the rhetorical flourish created by his employment of lexemes associated especially closely with the northern dialect. While this is a subjective judgment, it may, nonetheless, explain the high concentration of IH traits in the chapter. Note further that all six of the following items are elucidated with recourse specifically to Samaritan Aramaic (with only an occasional nod to other dialects). Did these usages remain in the land for hundreds of years, even after the destruction of the northern kingdom, only to resurface in Samaritan Aramaic centuries later?

### 4.1.1. נ-ד-י 'bring'

(3) בְּמְנַדָּים לְיֵוֹם בֵע וַתַּגִּישִׁוּן שֶׁבֶת חָמְס:
 (you) who bring the day of evil, and you bring-near the seat of violence.' (Amos 6.3)<sup>22</sup>

Note the parallelism with נ-ג-שׁ (*hifʻil*) 'bring near', hence in Sam. Aram. 'ב-די 'bring' (Tal 2000, II:503–4), for example:

- (4) וְהָבְיאָה לֶּי וְאֹכֵלְה
   'and bring me that I may eat' (Gen. 27.4) || יחנדי לי ואיכל
   (Sam. Tg.)
- (5) נֵילֶדְ עַשָּׁוֹ הַשְּׁדֶּה לְצְּוּד צֵּיִד לְהָבְיא:
  'and Esau went to the field to hunt game to bring.' (Gen. 27.5) || אזל עשו לברה למצוד ציד למנדאה (Sam. Tg.)

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  Elsewhere only אָמְרוּ שְּׂנְאֵיכֶּׁם שֹּׂנְאֵיכֶּׁם שִּׁנְאֵיכֶּם אָמָרוּ 'your brothers hate you, cast you out' (Isa. 66.5), where the verb derived from נ-ד-י means 'cast away', for which see Ugaritic n-d-y 'emit, throw, take off (clothing)', MH נידוי 'excommunication'.

# 4.1.2. סְרוַּח 'sinful, transgressing' (of humans only in Amos)

- (6) וְּסְרָחְים עַל־עַרְשׂוֹתֶם'and transgressing on their couches' (Amos 6.4)
- (7) יְּסֶר מִרְזְח סְרוּחִים: 'and the *mirzeaḥ* of the transgressing-ones shall fall-aside' (Amos 6.7)

Sample renderings: 'stretch' (RSV) / 'loll' (NJV) / 'lounge' (Alter 2019). Sam. Aram. ס-ר-ח 'sin, be guilty, transgress' (Tal 2000, II:610–11):

(8) הַאַף תִּסְפֶּׁה צַדְּיק עִם־רְשֵׁע:
 'will you indeed sweep-away the innocent with the guilty?'
 (Gen. 18.23) | הקשט תסתפה זכאה עם סרוחה (Sam. Tg.)

Vulgate rendering of סְרוּחַ: lascivitis (v. 4) / lascivientium (v. 7).

# 4.1.3. פ-ר-ט 'sing, recite, pronounce'

הַפּּרְטֶים עַל־פֵּי הַגָּבֶל פְּדָוֹּיד חְשְׁבְּוּ לְהֶם כְּלֵי־שִׁיר:
 'those who recite to the tune of the harp, (and) like David devise for themselves vessels of song.' (Amos 6.5)

Sam. Aram. (Tal 2000, II:699 s.v. ב-ר-ד, with cross-reference at II:702 s.v. פ-ר-ט), with several instances in *Tibat Marqe*. Montgomery (1906, 51–52) cited a Samaritan Hebrew hymn:<sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> According to *Ma*<sup>2</sup> agarim database of the Academy of the Hebrew Language, the root with this meaning was revived in Paytanic Hebrew.

(10) אל נתן לו תשבחן, אל נפרט לו כל שיראן 'God – let us give to him praise, God – let us recite to him all songs'

### 4.1.4. ת-א-ב 'despise'

(11) מְתָאֵב אֲנֹכִי אֶת־גְּאֲוֹן יְעֲלֶּב וְאַרְמְנֹתֵיו שְׂנֵאִתִי 'I despise the pride of Jacob, and his citadels I hate' (Amos 6.8)

ת-א-ב (II) 'despise' (hapax), weakening of guttural from ב-y- $\pi$ . Cf. Sam. Heb., with weakening of the gutturals, including  $y > \kappa$ . Ben-Hayyim (2000, 38): "Fluctuations of אהח"ע in SP provide clear evidence that no later than the end of the Second Temple period the guttural consonants began to weaken."

# 4.1.5. ס-ר-ף 'smear with resin'

וּנְשָׂאוֹ דּוֹדָוֹ וּמְסְרְפֹּוֹ לְהוֹצְיא עֲצְמִים ֹ מִן־הַבּיִת ֹּ 'and his kinsman shall carry him and smear-him-with-resin, to remove (his) bones from the house' (Amos 6.10)

G. R. Driver and E. Y. Kutscher independently; see Paul (1991, 215, n. 28). MH noun: מֶּבֶּף / שֶּׁבֶּף 'resin' – 7x Mishna / 11x Tosefta; MH verb: מִּ-ר-ף 'smear with resin' (T. Miqwa¹ot 6.21). Sam. Aram. אַ-ר-ף 'press, squeeze' (Tal 2000, II:612–13):<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The connotation of the homonymous root ת-א-ב (I) 'long for' (2x in Psalm 119) does not fit here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Both the MH verb (1x: T. Miqwa'ot 6.21) and the Sam. Aram. verb occur in the G-stem ( $qal/pe^cal$ ), while the form in Amos 6.10 appears as a D-stem participle. The solution to his problem is to re-analyse וֹמְסֵרְפוֹ

- (13) זֵיתֶים יִהְיָוּ לְּדֶּ בְּכְל־גְּבוּלֶדֶּ וְשֶּׁמֶן לְאׁ תְּטֹּוּדְ 'you will have olive trees throughout your territory, but you will not anoint yourselves with oil' (Deut. 28.40) || זיתים יהון לך בכל תחומך ומשח לא תסרף זיתים יהון לך בכל תחומך ומשח לא תסרף
- (14) צָרִי 'balsam' (Gen. 37.25) || שרף קטף (Tg. Ps.-J.)
- 4.1.6. רָסִיסִים 'splinters'<sup>26</sup>
- (15) וְהִבֶּה הַבְּיִת הַגָּדְוֹל רְסִיסֵים 'and He shall smite the great house (into) splinters' (Amos 6.11)

Aramaic ר-ס-ס 'crush' (most dialects), e.g.,

- וּמְעָוּדְּ וְכָתוּתֹ וְנְתִוּק וְכְרֹּוּת לְא תַקְרֶיבוּ לֵיהוֶה (16)

  'And anything with its testes bruised or crushed or torn or cut you will not offer to YHWH.' (Lev. 22.24) || וְדִמּרִיס וְדִרסִיס (Tg. Onq.)
- (17) וּבְרָב גְּאוֹנְךֶּ תַּהֲרָס קְמֵיךּ 'In your great triumph you break your opponents' (Exod. 15.7) || ובסגי יכלותך רסרסתה מרגזיך (Sam. Tg.)
- (18) כֵּי הָרֵל אְהָרְלֵּם וְשַׁבֵּּר אְשַׁבֵּר מַצְבֹתֵיהֶם: 'but shall tear them down and smash their pillars to bits.' (Exod. 23.24) || הלא רסרוס תרסרסנון ותבור תתבר קעמתון (Sam. Tg.)

so that the form begins with conjunction *waw* + enclitic *mem*, per Rendsburg (1987, 34).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Only here with this meaning; see elsewhere Song 5.2 with the meaning 'droplets'.

(19) יְעַצְמֹתֵיהֶם יְגָרֶם וְחָצֵיו יִמְחָץ: 'and crush their bones, and smash their arrows' (Num. 24.8) || וגרמיון ירסרס וגריו ימעי (Sam. Tg.)

See Drower and Macuch (1963, 436); Tal (2000, 841); Cook (2008, 268). MH: מְשֶׁיְרֶסֵס 'broken' (M. Shabbat 8.5); מְשֶׁיְרֶסֵס 'after one crushes' and מֵד שִׁיַרְסֵס 'until one crushes' (M. 'Uqṣin 2.5–6).

### 4.2. IH Features Elsewhere in the Book of Amos

Additional IH features appear scattered throughout the book of Amos. In the preceding section, all six features were lexical items (though the case in §4.1.4 includes a phonological aspect). In what follows, the majority once again are lexical features, though a few items constitute grammatical traits.

### 4.2.1. נוֹקָד 'herder, rancher, stockman'

(20) דְּבְרֵי עָמְׂוֹס אֲשֶׁר־הְיָה בַּנֹקְדֶים מִתְּקְוֹעַ 'the words of Amos, who was among the stockmen in Tekoa' (Amos 1.1)

Elsewhere only 2 Kgs 3.4 (Mesha) and Ugaritic nqd (cf. also Akk.  $n\bar{a}qidu$ ).<sup>27</sup>

<sup>27</sup> Most scholars (see, e.g., Eidevall 2017, 91–96) consider the superscription in Amos 1.1 to be the result of (presumably Judahite) editorial activity, and thus the presence of the word מָקְדִים may not carry any linguistic significance. The point remains, however, that the word appears only in Amos 1.1 and 2 Kgs 3.4, and never in a demonstrably Judahite text.

### 4.2.2. רַחָם 'lass' (with reference to Edom)

(21) וְשִׁתְת רַחֲלְּיו וַיִּטְרְף לְעֵד אַפּׁוּ 'and he destroyed their lasses, and his anger tore-on forever' (Amos 1.11)

Elsewhere only Judg. 5.30, Ugaritic, Moabite; see Rendsburg (2014, 174–75).<sup>28</sup>

# 4.2.3. עֵרֵשׁ 'couch'

- (22) בֵּן יִנְּצְלוֹּ בְּנֵי יִשְׂרָאֵׁל הַּיְּשְׁבִים בְּשְׁמְרֹוֹן בִּפְּאָת מְטֶה וּבִדְמֵשֶׁק עֵרֶשׁ: 'so shall the children of Israel be saved, those who dwell in Samaria, with the corner of a bed, and with the damask of a couch.' (Amos 3.12)
- (23) הַשְּׂבְבִים עַל־מִטְּוֹת שֵׁׁן וּסְרָחִים עַל־עַרְשׁוֹתֶם '(you) who lie on beds of ivory, and transgressing on their couches' (Amos 6.4)

עֶּרֶשׁ 'couch': Deut. 3.11 (Bashan); Prov. 7.16; Job 7.13; Song 1.16;<sup>29</sup> Ugaritic (*DULAT*, 185); Aramaic (all dialects); MH עֲרִיסְה 'couch' (2x Mishna / 17x Tosefta).

4.2.4. דְּרְשׁוּ־טְוֹב וְאַל־רֶע 'seek good, and not evil' (Amos 5.14)

Negative particle ¾ followed by a noun, as in Deir 'Alla. For examples, see Rendsburg (2003a, 24, no. 15).

 $<sup>^{28}</sup>$  For the argument that רַחֲמְיו means 'his lasses' here (and not 'his compassion'), see Paul (1971, 402–3; 1991, 64–65).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Admittedly, this lexeme occurs occasionally in Judahite sources as well: Ps. 6.7; 41.4; 132.3 (though the latter also may be an IH poem).

# 4.2.5. לֵקשׁ 'latter growth, late-sown crops'

בָּה הִרְאַנִי אֲדֹנֵי יְהוֹה וְהִנֵּה יוֹצֵר גֹּבַי בִּתְחַלַּת עֲלְוֹת הַלֶּקֶשׁ וְהֹנֵּה־לֶּקֶשׁ אַחַר (24) גַּזִי הַמֵּלִדִּ:

Thus Adonay YHWH showed me: and behold, (he was) creating locusts at the start of the sprouting of the lattergrowth, and behold, the latter-growth after the hay-mows of the king.' (Amos 7.1)

Cf. Gezer Calendar, ln. 2 ירחו לקש 'two months of latter-growth' (= Shevat-Adar). Attested as *laqsi* or *laqši* in Galilean villages (see Sonnen 1927, 81; Bassal 2005–2007, 99–100).

### 4.2.6. חלק 'field'

(25) וְהִגָּה קֹרֶא לְרֶב בְּאֵשׁ אֲדֹגְי יְהוֶה וַתֹּאכַל אֶת־תְּהוֹם רַבְּה וְאָכְלֶה אֶת־הַחֵלֶק: 
and behold, Adonay YHWH was calling to contend with fire; and it consumed the great deep, and it consumed the field.'

(Amos 7.4)

Elsewhere בְּחֵלֶק יִוְרְעָאל 'in the field of Jezreel' (2 Kgs 9.10, 36, 37)—with reference to the death of Jezebel; perhaps also Hos. 5.7.<sup>30</sup> Cf. Aramaic אַקלא, Akkadian *eqlu*, both with metathesis.

 $<sup>^{30}</sup>$  The feminine form הֶלְקָה 'portion' occurs throughout the Bible, usually in the phrase הֶלְקַת הַשְּׁדֶה 'portion of the field' (7x), but the masculine form is an IH feature.

4.2.7. אֲנְדְּ 'tin' (Amos 7.7–8) (cf. Akk. *annaku*) ('lead' [?], plumb line' [?])

Regardless of meaning, note the  $q \partial t \bar{d} l$  nominal form. Alternatively, or concurrently, note the possible pun with the 1cs pronoun 'I' in

(26) הְנְגִּי שֵׂם אֲנֶךְ בְּקֶּרֶב עַמְּי יִשְּׂרְאֵׁל 'behold I am placing a plumb-line / myself in the midst of my people Israel' (Amos 7.8)

See Novick (2008) and Notarius (2017, esp. 61-63, 70-73), with an eye to the Phoenician form אנך '1'.  $^{31}$ 

4.2.8. יִשְׂחָק 'Isaac' (Amos 7.9, 16) (instead of the standard form יַצְחָק)

Elsewhere only Jer. 33.26; Ps. 105.9. Qumran Aramaic (4x), though see also QH: ישחק (20x) / ישחק (1x) / ישחק (4x). Cf. Syriac אסחק > Arabic 'ishaq.

4.2.9. קיץ 'end' / קיץ (in pause) 'summer-fruit' (Amos 8.2)

The wordplay works best if the latter form reflects monophthongisation of  $ay > \bar{e}$ , as in Ugaritic and Phoenician (Notarius 2017, esp. 63–64, 74–80).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> For the most recent study on the Phoenician 1st common singular pronoun, albeit with a different focus, see Loder (2019).

# 4.2.10. הֵיכֵל 'palace'

(27) וְהֵילִּילוּ שִׁירְוֹת הֵיכְל בַּיַּוֹם הַהֹּוּא נְאֻם אֲדֹנְי יְהוֶה 'and the songs of the palace shall wail on that day, declaims Adonay YHWH' (Amos 8.3)

The end of Israel (v. 2) will result in the howling female singers in the palace (not the temple).<sup>32</sup> IH feature: 1 Kgs 21.1 (Ahab); Hos. 8.14; Joel 4.5 (Phoenicia); Amos 8.3; Ps. 45.9, 16; Prov. 30.28. See also: Ps. 144.12 (? – late); 2 Kgs 20.18 || Isa. 39.7 (king of Babylon); Isa. 13.22 (Babylon); Nah 2.7 (Assyria). Cf. Ugaritic (Aqhat; Kirta) and Aramaic (Aḥiqar; Dan. 5.5; etc.).

### 5.0. Conclusion

The sixteen features (mainly lexical, several grammatical) analysed above, especially when viewed collectively, reveal the extent to which IH permeates the book of Amos. This crucial point, especially when viewed in conjunction with the geographical considerations outlined above, demonstrates (to my mind at least) that Amos was a northern prophet.<sup>33</sup>

Two of the sixteen features (along with a third item) have been discussed in depth by Tania Notarius, though she reached a different conclusion. In her estimation, Amos was "a Judean man,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Andersen and Freedman (1989, 798): "The female singers point to the palace rather than the temple." The form שִׁירוֹת implies 'songs', though via metonymy one may assume 'those (females) who sing the songs'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Note further that the element yar is relatively common in Phoenician and Punic personal names (Benz 1972, 378–79; Stamm 1980, 137).

a native speaker of Southern Hebrew who is sensitive to the phonetic peculiarities of different dialectal speech" (Notarius 2017, 81). Clearly this remains possible—I myself have written extensively on the subject of style-switching and addressee-switching (Rendsburg 2013a, 2013b, 2015). But in light of (a) the collective weight of the sixteen features discussed herein and (b) the geographical and political (if you will) considerations presented above in §§1.0–3.0, to my mind one should conclude that Amos was a resident of northern Israel who used his native patois to challenge the *status quo* (on many levels) of king, cult, and society in the kingdom of Israel.<sup>34</sup>

This conclusion has a far-reaching impact beyond the realm of Hebrew linguistics. If correct, then we must rethink the early history of classical prophecy in ancient Israel, as the first *two* prophets, Amos and Hosea, would then *both* be considered northerners. The unique genre of classical prophecy (unique in the ancient Near East, that is) is a product of northern Israel. Soon thereafter the genre spread to Judah, in the persons of Isaiah and Micah—but this singular enterprise commenced in the northern kingdom of Israel.

### References

Alter, Robert. 2019. *The Hebrew Bible*. 3 vols. New York: W. W. Norton.

<sup>34</sup> This finding also explains why in the opening two chapters of the book of Amos, Judah appears as a foreign land, no different than Moab, Edom, Ammon, etc.

- Andersen, Francis I., and David Noel Freedman. 1989. *Amos*. Anchor Bible Commentary 24A. New York: Doubleday.
- Bassal, Ibrahim. 2005–2007. מלים עבריות וארמיות קדומות מן החקלאות ארמיות וארמיות וארמיות קדומות מן החקלאות. Ha-ʿIvrit ve-ʾAḥyoteha 6–7, edited by Michal Efrat, 89–114. Haifa: Department of Hebrew Language, University of Haifa.
- Ben-Ḥayyim, Ze<sup>3</sup>ev. 2000. *A Grammar of Samaritan Hebrew*. Jerusalem: Magnes Press and Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Benz, Frank L. 1972. *Personal Names in the Phoenician and Punic Inscriptions*. Studia Pohl 8. Rome: Biblical Institute Press.
- Cook, Edward M. 2008. *A Glossary of Targum Onkelos*. Studies in the Aramaic Interpretation of Scripture 6. Leiden: Brill.
- Donner, Herbert. 1992. *The Mosaic Map of Madaba: An Introductory Guide*. Kampen: Kok Pharos.
- Drower, Ethel S., and Rudolf Macuch. 1963. *A Mandaic Dictionary*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- DULAT = del Olmo Lete, Gregorio, and Joaquín Sanmartín. 2003. A Dictionary of the Ugaritic Language in the Alphabetic Tradition. 2 vols. Translated by Wilfred G. E. Watson. Leiden: Brill.
- Eidevall, Göran. 2017. *Amos: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. Anchor Yale Bible Commentary 24G. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Fretheim, Terence E. 2002. *Jeremiah*. Macon, GA: Smyth and Helwys.
- Graetz, Heinrich. 1865. *Geschichte der Juden*, vol. 4. Leipzig: Oskar Leiner.

- Holladay, William L. 1986. *Jeremiah 1*. Hermeneia. Philadelphia: Fortress.
- Klein, Shmuel. 1939. ספר הישוב 2 vols. Tel-Aviv: Dvir.
- Loder, Charles W. 2019. 'The Short-Form of the Phoenician First-Person, Independent Pronoun Reassessed'. *Journal of Semitic Studies* 44 (2): 317–28.
- Lundbom, Jack R. 1999. *Jeremiah 1–20*. Anchor Bible Commentary 21A. New York: Doubleday.
- McKane, William. 1986. *A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on Jeremiah*, vol. 1. International Critical Commentary. Edinburgh: T & T Clark.
- Meyers, Eric M., A. Thomas Kraabel, and James F. Strange. 1976. Ancient Synagogue Excavations at Khirbet Shema<sup>c</sup>, Upper Galilee, Israel, 1970–1972. Annual of the American Schools of Oriental Research 42. Durham, NC: Duke University Press (for the American Schools of Oriental Research).
- Migne, Jacques-Paul. 1864. Patrologiae Cursus Completus, Series Graeca (= Patrologia Graeca), vol. 43. Paris: J.-P. Migne.
- Montgomery, James A. 1906. 'Notes from the Samaritan'. *Journal of Biblical Literature* 25 (1): 49–54.
- NJV = New Jewish Publication Society Version
- Notarius, Tania. 2017. 'Playing with Words and Identity: Reconsidering אָנְדְּ , לְרִב בְּאֵשׁ, and קֵקי/קִייִ in Amos' Visions'. *Vetus Testamentum* 67 (1): 59–86.
- Novick, Tzvi. 2008. 'Duping the Prophet: On אגך (Amos 7.8b) and Amos's Visions'. *Journal for the Study of the Old Testament* 33 (1): 115–28.

Paul, Shalom. 1971. 'Amos 1:3-2:3: A Concatenous Literary Pattern'. Journal of Biblical Literature 90 (4): 397–403. ——. 1991. Amos: A Commentary on the Book of Amos. Hermenia. Minneapolis: Fortress Press. Rabin, Chaim. 1981. והושע לשונם של לשונם לשונם In Tyyunim be-Sefer Tre-'Asar, edited by Ben-Zion Luria, 117–36. Jerusalem: Kiryath Sepher. Rendsburg, Gary A. 1987. 'Eblaite ù-ma and Hebrew wm-'. In Eblaitica: Essays on the Ebla Archives and Eblaite Language, vol. 1, edited by Cyrus H. Gordon and Gary A. Rendsburg, 33-41. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns. —. 2003a. 'A Comprehensive Guide to Israelian Hebrew: Grammar and Lexicon'. Orient 38: 5–35. 2003b. 'The Geographical and Historical Background of the Mishnaic Hebrew Lexicon'. Orient 38: 105-15. 2013a. 'Addressee-switching'. In Encyclopaedia of the Hebrew Language and Linguistics. 4 vols. Edited by Geoffrey Khan et al., I:34–35. Leiden: Brill. -. 2013b. 'Style-switching'. In Encyclopaedia of the Hebrew Language and Linguistics. 4 vols. Edited by Geoffrey Khan, et al., III:633-36. Leiden: Brill. 2014. 'What We Can Learn about Other Northwest Semitic Dialects from Reading the Bible'. In Discourse, Dialogue, and Debate in the Bible: Essays in Honour of Frank H.

*Polak*, edited by Athalya Brenner-Idan, 160–78. Hebrew Bible Monographs 63; Amsterdam Studies in Bible and Reli-

gion 7. Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix Press.

- ———. 2015. 'Style-Switching in Biblical Hebrew'. In *Epigraphy, Philology, and the Hebrew Bible: Methodological Perspectives on Philological and Comparative Study of the Hebrew Bible in Honor of Jo Ann Hackett*, edited by Jeremy M. Hutton and Aaron D. Rubin, 65–85. Ancient Near Eastern Monographs 12. Atlanta: SBL Press.
- Robinson, Edward. 1841. Biblical Researches in Palestine, Mount Sinai and Arabia Petræa. 3 vols. London: John Murray.
- Rosenbaum, Stanley N. 1990. *Amos of Israel*. Macon, GA: Mercer University Press.
- RSV = Revised Standard Version
- Sonnen, J. 1927. 'Landwirtschaftliches vom See Genesareth'. *Biblica* 8 (1): 65–87.
- Speier, Salomon. 1953. 'Bemerkungen zu Amos'. Vetus Testamentum 3 (3): 305–10.
- Stamm Johann Jakob. 1980. 'Der Name des Propheten Amos und sein sprachlicher Hintergrund'. In *Prophecy: Essays Presented to Georg Fohrer on His 65th Birthday*, edited by John A. Emerton, 137–42. Beiheft zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft 150. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.
- Steiner, Richard C. 2003. *Stockmen from Tekoa, Sycomores from Sheba*. Catholic Biblical Quarterly Monograph Series 36. Washington, D.C.: Catholic Biblical Association.
- Tal, Abraham. 2000. *A Dictionary of Samaritan Aramaic*. 2 vols. Handbuch der Orientalistik. Section 1, The Near and Middle East 50. Leiden: Brill.