From Scribal Error to Rewriting

How Ancient Texts Could and Could Not Be Changed





De Septuaginta Investigationes (DSI)

Edited by Anneli Aejmelaeus, Kristin De Troyer, Wolfgang Kraus, Emanuel Tov

In Co-operation with

Kai Brodersen (Erfurt, Germany), Cécile Dogniez (Paris, France),
Peter J. Gentry (Louisville, USA), Anna Kharanauli (Tbilisi, Georgia),
Armin Lange (Wien, Austria), Alison Salvesen (Oxford, UK),
David Andrew Teeter (Cambridge, USA), Julio Trebolle (Madrid, Spain),
Florian Wilk (Göttingen, Germany)

Volume 12

Anneli Aejmelaeus / Drew Longacre / Natia Mirotadze (eds.)

From Scribal Error to Rewriting

How Ancient Texts Could and Could Not Be Changed

Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek: The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data available online: https://dnb.de.

© 2020, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht GmbH & Co. KG, Theaterstraße 13, D-37073 Göttingen All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without prior written permission from the publisher.

Typesetting: NEUNPLUS1, Berlin

Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht Verlage | www.vandenhoeck-ruprecht-verlage.com

Online ISSN 2197-0912 ISBN 978-3-647-52209-8

© 2020, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht GmbH & Co. KG, Göttingen
ISBN Print: 9783525522097 — ISBN E-Book: 9783647522098

Table of Contents

Introduction
Part I Ancient Scribal and Editorial Practices
Anna Kharanauli Origen and Lucian in the Light of Ancient Editorial Techniques15
Amneris Roselli Galen's Practice of Textual Criticism53
Julio Trebolle Pre-Lucianic Readings of 3–4 Reigns in Marginal Notes of the Syrohexapla and in the Syriac Text of Jacob of Edessa
Kristin De Troyer The Scribe of the Marginal Notes of Manuscript 344 (Ra 344; BM v)99
Part II Textual History of the Hebrew Bible
Peter J. Gentry and John D. Meade MasPsa and the Early History of the Hebrew Psalter113
Emanuel Tov The Possible Revision of Hebrew Texts According to MT147
Anneli Aejmelaeus Rewriting David and Goliath?165
Drew Longacre Multilinear Genealogical Networks: Expanding the Scope of Textual History
Part III Writing and Rewriting in Translation
Katja Kujanpää Adjusted to the Argument: Tracing Paul's Motives for Modifying the Wording of Scriptural Quotations201

Andrés Piquer Otero
Creative Philology and Glosses: Secondary Versions of
Kingdoms and Lexical Accumulation or Mutation221
S. Peter Cowe
Scribe, Translator, Redactor: Writing and Rewriting Scripture
in the Armenian Versions of Esther, Judith, and Tobit237
Jean-Marie Auwers
The Intermediate Version of the Book of Tobit in its
Greek Dress271
Natia Dundua
What Can the Georgian Translation of the Book of Tobit
Tell about G ^{III} ?
Natia Mirotadze
The Old Georgian Version of the Book of Esther—All in One321
Magda Mtchedlidze
A Translation, Paraphrase, or Metaphrasis? Regarding
Euthymius the Hagiorite's Versions of the Orations by
Gregory the Theologian
Anna Kharanauli
Septuagint Text Types in the Georgian Translations391

Peter J. Gentry and John D. Meade¹

MasPs^a and the Early History of the Hebrew Psalter

Introduction

The constant and ceaseless quest of the human mind to impose meaningful organisation on the input provided is known in cognitive psychology as the *Gestalt* effect and is a fundamental feature of human life. No observer is objective. Let us remember that the raw data are *not* self-interpreting. Each human brings to the data a metanarrative as the mind seeks to assemble all the data and order them into a cohesive and meaningful whole.² Naturally there will be competing explanations to explore and we have a marvellous opportunity at the Symposium in Tbilisi to consider which larger picture is able to encompass the most data in the simplest way and offer explanatory power in other areas of knowledge that are related.

This expression of epistemological foundations is never more important than in dialogue over the early history of the Hebrew Text and in particular, the focus of our research—an early text known as MasPs^a and the role it may or may not play in the history of the Hebrew Psalter.

MasPsa

The text known as Masada Psalms fragment "a" is part of a scroll of the Book of Psalms 25.5 cm in height. It was discovered November 20, 1963 in a room designated Casemate 1039. There is evidence that the room was inhabited by one of the families of the Zealots, but "the circumstances of the discovery and the objects found there gave the impression that articles from various rooms were thrown into disorder into this one, and heaped up there." MasPsa consists of two

¹ We would like to express our gratitude and thanks to Paul Sanders and especially Raymond de Hoop for constructive and detailed criticism of an earlier draft of this work. Nonetheless, the opinions expressed as well as the errors must remain ours.

² Langer calls this "premature cognitive commitments". See E.J. Langer, *Mindfulness* (Boston: Da Capo, 1989, 2014).

³ Shemaryahu Talmon with Carol Newsom and Yigael Yadin, Masada VI: The Yigael Yadin Excavations 1963–1965 Final Reports (Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 1999), 76. Nahman Avigad believes the Romans threw the spoils of coins and documents on the heap of stones in this room after the Zealots removed the wood they needed from the roof and the ballista stones fell in. See E. Netzer, "The Last Days and Hours at Masada by Nahman Avigad", in

fragments that can be conjoined on the basis of matching up the contours of the edges and also of the text in its three columns.

Yadin designated the script or writing "late Herodian formal style" and proposed a date of the first half of the first century c.e., 20-30 years before the fall of Masada. 4 Close attention to palaeography in the editio princeps by Talmon led him to classify the script simply as "formal Herodian" and date the fragment to the end of the last century B.C.E. (30-1 B.C.E.). In the 2007 Festschrift for Florentino García Martínez, Armin Lange concurred with a date of 30-1 B.C.E.⁵ Emanuel Tov in his Third Edition of Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible also altered his dates for the Masada Psalms fragments to 50-30 B.C.E., 6 but as of a 2013 publication, Peter Flint nowhere discusses the proposed re-dating by Talmon.⁷ Eibert Tigchelaar challenged the dating of MasEzek by Talmon as "formal Herodian" and evaluated the script as "late Herodian formal style".8 Nonetheless, Talmon compares the script of MasEzek to MasLeva,b and MasDeut and does not compare it to the script of MasPs^{a,9} Tigchelaar also acknowledges that paleographical experts vary widely in dating some manuscripts and calls for a re-evaluation of the later Herodian and post-Herodian hands. 10 An earlier date would have some significance for Flint's approach to the Qumran Psalters (discussed infra).

The consonantal text is almost identical to that of the later MT, but the manuscript has a special layout and in Talmon's view is almost certain to have been brought to Masada from Jerusalem by the Zealots. ¹¹ E. Tov argues that the non-biblical texts discovered at Masada were brought there by fugitives from Qumran. ¹² This is based largely on similarities between non-biblical texts found at both sites. While the biblical corpora at Masada and Qumran are similar in fa-

R. Ngo (ed.), Masada: The Dead Sea Desert's Fortress (Washington, DC: Biblical Archaeology Society, 2014), 11.

⁴ Y. Yadin, "The Excavations of Masada 1963/64. Preliminary Report", *Israel Exploration Journal* 15 (1965) 103–4.

⁵ A. Lange, "'Nobody Dared to Add to Them, to Take from Them, or to Make Changes' (Josephus, Ag. Ap. 1.42): The Textual Standarization of Jewish Scriptures in Light of the Dead Sea Scrolls", in A. Hilhorst/É. Puech/E. Tigchelaar (ed.), Flores Florentino: Dead Sea Scrolls and Other Early Jewish Studies in Honour of Florentino García Martínez (Supplements for the Journal for the Study of Judaism 122; Leiden: Brill, 2007), 110, n. 14.

⁶ E. Tov, *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible* (3rd revised and expanded edn; Minneapolis: Fortress, 2012), 29.

⁷ P.W. Flint, "The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls: Psalms Manuscripts, Editions, and the Oxford Hebrew Bible", in S. Gillingham (ed.), *Jewish and Christian Approaches: Conflict and Convergence* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013) 11–34.

⁸ E. Tigchelaar, "Notes on the Ezekiel Scroll from Masada (MasEzek)", Revue de Qumran 22/86 (2005) 273–5.

⁹ Talmon, Masada VI, 60.

¹⁰ Tigchelaar, "Notes on the Ezekiel Scroll from Masada (MasEzek)", 274.

¹¹ Talmon, Masada VI, 24.

¹² E. Tov, "A Qumran Origin for the Masada Non-Biblical Texts?" DSD (2000) 57-73.

vouring Torah, Prophets, and Psalms, this argument is not significant for determining the source of the biblical texts. What has not been adequately explored are the differences between MasPs^a and biblical texts found at Qumran. Tov argues that though the biblical texts from Masada may have come from Qumran, the character of the manuscripts and the text make it probable that they were from Jerusalem as the home of the later mediaeval MT.¹³ Possibly MasPs^a came from the Jerusalem Temple, while the non-biblical texts were brought to Masada by fugitives from other sites. We cannot be sure based on evidence available at the present time.

We shall analyse in turn (1) the character of the text, (2) the layout of the manuscript, and (3) its putative provenance. Few variants obtain between MasPs^a and the MT as represented by the Aleppo Codex and the Leningrad Codex. Aside from a couple of instances of spelling words מָלֵא instead of חָמֵר and also a couple occurrences of the reverse situation, six variants are listed by Talmon in increasing measure of significance. The reading of MT is presented left of the bracket; the reading of MasPs^a is after it to the right:

83:14a	אלהים [אֱלֹהַי
81:9b	אם תשמע קולי [אָם־תִּשְׁמַע־לִי
83:8a	גבל עמון ועמלק [גְּבָל וְעַמוֹן וַעֲמְלֵק
83:7a	אלהי אדום [אָהֱלֵי אֱדוֹם
83:10a/b	init 10b] trahit ad fin 10a
83:12a	sup lin MasPsa

The reading in 81:9b is probably a harmonisation with v. 12. The variant in 83:8a entails a *waw* in a list. The difference between "gods" and "tents" in 83:7a is doubtless due to metathesis. The problem in 83:10 is a difference in stichometry, to be discussed later. The case of 83:12a is a *prima manus* correction for a parablepsis based on homoioteleuton. None of these are significant variants. Emanuel Tov has rightly classified the manuscript as an example of the proto-MT (Tov, 2008), which belongs to what he terms "the first circle" of texts, i.e. master scrolls preserved in the setting of the Temple. We can note that MasPs^a has 29–30 lines per column, which aligns with deluxe scroll standards, while 11QPs^a has only 16–17 lines and 4QPs^b also has only 16–17 lines. The number of lines per column sets MasPs^a apart from the Qumran manuscripts and indicates that it was a master scroll or copied from one and corrected. These are the most complete examples from Qumran Psalms, exhibiting both top and bottom margins. Analysis and attention will be focused on the layout of the text.

¹³ Tov, "A Qumran Origin", 61, 71.

Colometry in MasPsa and Pausal Forms/ Accepts in the Masoretic Text

One full column of text is extant as well as two half columns of text to the left and right side of the fully preserved column. The description by Talmon is as follows:

Lines are invariably divided into hemistichs, forming two half-columns separated by a margin of between 1–2.3 cm, depending on the amount of text which the scribe accommodated in the first half-line. Whereas the beginnings of lines, viz. of the first stichs, are justified, the beginnings of the second half-lines are irregular. A hemistich contains between 2–5 words, contingent on the length of words and the sense unit: e.g. ובהערה יתהלכו (col. II, l. 8b); בל ועמון ועמלק (l. 20b); בל יות עם ישבי צור (l. 21a); בל ועמון ועמלק (l. 22a). The shortest fully preserved hemistich, והגרים (col. II, 20a), contains ten letters and one inter-word space, viz. 11 spaces altogether; the longest, ובובח וכצלמנע [ב]ל [נ]סיכמו (col. II, l. 25a) 20 letters and three spaces, viz. 23 spaces in all. The shortest line, וכזבח וכאל תבער יער (col. II, l. 27), has 20 letters and four inter-word spaces, i.e. a total of 24 spaces; the longest, וכזבח וכצלמנע [ב]ל [נ]סיכמו אשר אמרו נירשה לנו (col. II, l.25), 35 letters and seven inter-word spaces, viz. 42 spaces in all. (83)14

Talmon immediately follows this description by the statement that "in the masoretic [sic] tradition this system of half-lines, termed אריח על גבי אריח, half-brick on top of half-brick, is essentially based on verses composed of sense units based upon *parallelismus membrorum*." His analysis is premature. While a number of aspects of the manuscript (e.g. *gewil* material prepared for writing) correspond to the later rabbinic regulations for the proper preparation of biblical texts, there is also *development* to be noted between MasPs^a and Masoretic manuscripts. ¹⁶

For the sake of clarity in analysis and description, let us define the terminology used in describing lineation both in the manuscript and in poetry. Hebrew poetry is generally based on couplets—occasionally triplets—containing two (or three, even four) cola. 'Hemistich' is the term used by Talmon for colon in his description of colometry or stichometry. Each column of MasPsa normally contains two cola of text that are divided by a space. The two cola of text correspond generally to the standard couplet of poems in Hebrew. For Psalms 81, 82, 84, and 85, the superscription occupies the right hand colon while the left hand colon is *vacat*.

¹⁴ As a minor point, we may note that the shortest fully preserved hemistich is in fact col. III, l. 22a (= 84:13a) containing יהוה צבאות, i.e. nine letters and one inter-word space.

¹⁵ Talmon, Masada VI, 83.

¹⁶ Cf. b. Meg. 16b and Soferim 12:10. Tov notes that the exact form in stichometry required by the Talmud is not found at Qumran. See E. Tov, *Scribal Practices and Approaches Reflected in the Texts Found in the Judean Desert* (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 174.

In every case the superscription is either longer or shorter than an average colon. For 83, 84, and 85, an entire empty line is also left between the end of the previous psalm and the beginning of the next. In 83, the text of the psalm begins in the left hand colon while the superscription is in the right hand of the same line. Here the superscription is the length of an average colon.

Psalms 81–85 contain a number of triplets (e.g. 81:6, 8, 11). Each member of the triplet that makes up the verse is treated as a colon in the manuscript. This better coincides with the definition of a line of Hebrew poetry based on syntax by M. O'Connor in *Hebrew Verse Structure* than the treatment in our later Masoretic manuscripts.¹⁷

Comparison between the layout of MasPsa with the layout of the text, the pausal forms and the accents in the Aleppo Codex as representative of the Masoretic Text (MT-A) is instructive. First, in only one instance in MasPsa the scribe placed an interval or space in the middle of a half-line or hemistich (in the terminology of Talmon): col. II l. 24 = 83:11b. In this hemistich, הדמה marks the end of a colon and שיתמו begins the next colon according to the accents and layout in MT-A. After a close look at the photograph, we would disagree with the reconstruction of Talmon. There is a normal inter-word space between with and שיתמו Therefore שיתמו belongs with 83:12a and not with 11b. Appropriate space between hemistichs exists between hamistichs exists between hemistichs are representative of the Masoretic Text (MT-A) is instructive.

Second, in terms of pausal forms, comparison reveals that the end of cola in MasPs^a correspond to 37 pausal forms (i.e. all of the pausal forms in MT for the delimited stretch of text), 138 neutral forms, and one contextual form (designated in the first column of the table as P, N, and C respectively).

Third, comparison in terms of accents in MT with intervals or spaces in Mas-Ps^a requires discussion. Paul Sanders has shown in his analysis of colometry in the Aleppo Codex that in the three Books of Poetry, only the following disjunctive accents mark the end of a colon (numbered according to disjunctive value):

```
silluq [1], <sup>c</sup>ole weyored [2], 'atnaḥ [3], revia<sup>c</sup> gadol [4]*, sinnor [7]*
```

According to Sanders, the accents indicated by an asterisk only mark the end of a colon if they are preceded by a weaker disjunctive accent.¹⁸

In MasPs^a the end of a half-line always corresponds to a disjunctive accent in MT ($63 \times = silluq$, $5 \times = 'ole\ weyored$, $54 \times = 'atnah$, $9 \times = rebia'$, $2 \times = sinnor$, $3 \times = dehi$, and $1 \times = pazer$). All cases of silluq [1], 'ole weyored [2], 'atnah [3] mark a

¹⁷ M.P. O'Connor, *Hebrew Verse Structure* (2nd edn; Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1980, 1997). See the discussion below of the layout in the Aleppo Codex.

¹⁸ P. Sanders, "The Colometric Layout of Psalms 1 to 14 in the Aleppo Codex", in M. Korpel/J. Oesch (ed.) *Studies in Scriptural Unit Division* (Pericope 3; Assen: Van Gorcum, 2002) 226–57.

colon end. Both cases of *sinnor* are preceded by a weaker disjunctive accent and so match MT in marking a colon end.

The instances of *rebia* and *deḥi* call for comment. In Ps 81:6a, 11a, and 82:5a the hemistich is ended by a *rebia* gadol. Thus accentuation in MT matches colometry in MasPs^a. In Ps 83:7a, 18a; 84:2a, the hemistich is ended by means of a so-called defective *rebia* mugraš, because the gereš is lacking. This is important since in general, when we find such a defective *rebia* mugraš, the 'atnaḥ is missing and the defective *rebia* mugraš has the function of 'atnaḥ. This implies that in these cases accentuation in MT matches the colometry in MasPs^a. In Ps 84:3c, the rebia is preceded by an 'atnaḥ and is a plene rebia mugraš, which as a rule does not mark the end of a colon.

In Ps 84:11a we have *rebiʿa qaṭan* preceding *ʻole weyored*. Normally *rebiʿa qaṭan* does not mark a colon end, but rather *ʻole weyored* in this situation. If the restored text and its stichometry is correct, it is not in line with accentuation in MT. In v. 11b we are dealing with a *rebiʿa gadol* which has in this particular position (no preceding disjunctive, like *mehuppak* and *ʿazla legarmeh*; cf. Ps 81:6a, 11a; 82:5a) the function of a precursor for the combination *deḥi—ʾatnaḥ*. The stichometry suggested by the restored text would be somewhat odd. A probable reconstruction, not completely in line with the accentuation, could be:

בֶּי טְוֹב־יִוֹם בַּחֲצֵבֶידּ מֵּאֶלֶף בְּחַרְתִּי הְסְתּוֹפֵּף בָּבִית אֱלֹהֵי מִאָלֹהֵי מִאָּלֹהֵי

For a short stich or colon, see e.g. Ps 84:2b, 13a.

In Ps 84:4a a colon end has *pazer* and in 84:4d, 7a, and 9a a colon end corresponds to *deḥi* in MT. In all cases the poetry entails a triplet. This explains why colon ends are marked by the disjunctive accents *sinnor* [7], *deḥi* [9], and *pazer* [10]. We can consider colometry in MasPs^a equal to accentuation in MT-A. A similar situation to Ps 84:3c and 11b is Ps 84:4e where 'atnaḥ is found with צבאות. This contradiction to MT-A also entails a triplet.

Finally we must mention 83:18a where the word יְיֹאבֶדוֹ with silluq is penultimate and the final word in the colon of MasPsa is יְיִדְעָּׁוּ with rebia gadol. This based entirely upon reconstruction of MasPsa. If the reconstruction of Talmon is correct, the colometry is contrary to MT-A, but preferred by the editors of BHS.

In sum, only five cola (Ps 83:18a; 84:3c, 11a, 11b; 84:4e) of 138 in MasPs^a (in case reconstruction is correct), i.e. 3.6%, contradict the tradition in MT. If Ps 83:18a is discounted, the percentage of difference is 2.9%. The physical layout of the text of the Masada Psalms scroll, then, uses spacing as terminal markers¹⁹ to

¹⁹ We are using the term "terminal markers" according to the definition and usage of E.J. Revell, *The Pausal System: Divisions in the Hebrew Biblical Text as Marked by Voweling and Stress Position* (R. de Hoop/P. Sanders (ed.); Sheffield: Phoenix Press, 2015).

divide the text into cola (grammatical and sense units) identical to the MT tradition over 1000 years later. This, in itself, is astonishing. At the same time, clearly the tradents of MasPs^a construed the text in a slightly different way, and there is change from the time of MasPs^a to that of MT.

H = Hemistich

81	MT-A	MasPs ^a	Accents at H End
	Column I		
1a N	:לַמְנַצֵּׁחַן עֵל־הַגָּתִֿית לְאָסֶף	[למנצח על הגתית לאסף]	H1: silluq H2: vacat
2a N	הַרְנִינוּ לֵאלֹהֵים עוּזָנוּ	[הרנינו לאלהים עוזנו]	H1: 'atnaḥ
2b N	ַרְיִיעוּ לֵאלהֵי יַעֲקְב: הָרִיעוּ לֵאלהֵי יַעֲקְב:	[יוו נבו לאלחים עוזבן [הריעו לאלהי י]עקב	H2: silluq
3a N	יָיִי קי גַיּאָינוּ, בַּבְּאָ <u>ב.</u> שֵׂאוּ־זָמָרָה וֹתְנוּ־תִּף	[ייי קי זיייי]קקב [שאו־זמרה ותנו תף]	H1: 'atnah
3b P	בְּנִוֹר נַעֵים עִם־נַבֵּלֹ: כִּנְּוֹר נַעֵים עִם־נַבֵּלֹ:	[פאנו אבוו אי היבו אין] [כנור נעים] עם [נ]בל	H2: silluq
4a N	תקְעָוּ בַּחְדֶשׁ שׁוּפֶּר	[בביי בקבן קב ניןבי [תקעו בחדש שופר]	H1: 'atnaḥ
4b N	בַּבַּכֵּה לִיִּוֹם תַגֵּנוּ: בַּבַּכֵּה לִיִּוֹם תַגֵּנוּ:	[הנקקה בווו כי סובו] [בכסה ליום] חגנו	H2: silluq
5a N	בֵּי חָק לִיִשְׂרָאֵל הָוּא	[כי חק לישראל הוא]	H1: 'atnaḥ
5b N	ַבָּי מְשִׂפְּט לֵאלֹהֵי יַעֵקֹב:	משפט לא]להי יעקב	H2: silluq
6a N	עֵדוּתוּ בֵּיהוֹסֶף שָׁמוֹ	[עדות ביהוסף שמו]	H1: rebi'a
6b P	ב בַּצֵאתו עַל־אֱרֵץ מִצְרֵים	בצאתו על א]רץ מצרים	H2: 'atnaḥ
6c P	ַ שְׁפַת לֹא־יָדַעְתִּי אֶשְׁמֶּע: שְׂפַת לֹא־יָדַעְתִּי אֶשְׁמֶּע:	[שפת לא ידעתי אשמע]	H1: silluq
7a N	ָהַסִירְוֹתִי מִפֵּבֶל שָׁכְמֶוֹ הַסִירְוֹתִי מִפֵּבֶל שָׁכְמֶוֹ	[הסירותי] מסבל שכמו	H2: 'atnah
7b N	בָּפָּיו [ٔ] מִדְּוֹד תַּעֵבְרָנָה:	ַ [כפיו מדוד תעברנה]	H1: silluq
8a N	בַּצָּרֵה קָׁרָאת וָאֵחַלְּצֵדָ	בצ]רה ק[ר]את וא[ח]לצכה	H2: 'ole
			weyored
8b N	אָעֵנְדָּ בָּסֶתֵר רֻעַם	[אענך בסתר רעם]	H1: 'atnaḥ
8c P	:אֶבְחֵנְךָּ עַלֹּ־מֵי מְרִיבֵה מֱלָה:	[אבח]נך על מי מריבה סלה	H2: silluq
9a P	שָׁמֵע עַמִּי וָאָעֵידָה בֶּדְּ	[שמע עמי ואעידה בך]	H1: 'atnaḥ
9b N	יִשְׂרָצֵּלֹ אָם־תִּשְׁמֵע־לְי:	[י]שראל אם תשמע קולי	H2: silluq
10a N	לא־יִהְיֶה בֶּדְּ אֵל זֶר	[לא יהיה בך אל זר]	H1: 'atnaḥ
10b N	וְלָא תִׁשְׁתַּחֲוֶה לְאֵל נֵבֶר:	[ולא] תשתחוה לאל נכר	H2: silluq
11a N	ָּ אְנֹבִין יְהֹוֶה אֱלֹהֶׁידְּ	[אנכי יהוה אלהיך]	H1: rebi'a
11b P	הַמַעַלְדְּ מֵאֶנֶרֶץ מִצְרָיִם	[המע]לך מארץ מצרים	H2: ʾatnaḥ
11c N	ָּהַרְתֶבֹ־פִּֿידְ וַאֲמַלְאֵהוּ:	[הרחב פיך ואמלאהו	H1: silluq
12a N	וְלֹאִ־שָׁמַע עַמֵּי לְקוֹלֶי	[ולא] שמע עמי לקולי	H2: 'atnaḥ
12b N	וְישְרָאֵל לֹא־אָבְרֹה לְיֹ:	[וישראל לא אבה לי	H1: silluq
13a N	וָאֲשׁלְּחֵהוּ בִּשְׂרִירָוּת לְבֶּם	[ואש]לחהו בשרירות לבם	H2: 'atnaḥ

13b N 14a N 14b P 15a N 15b N 16a N	'וַלְכֹּוּ בְּמוֹעֲצוֹתֵיהֶם: לוּ עֲמִי שׁמֵעַ לֵי 'יִשְּׂרְאֵׁל בִּדְרְכִי יְהַלֵּכוּ: בָּמְעַט אוֹיְבִיהֶם אַכְנֵיע וְעַל צְבִיהֶם אָשִׁיב יִדִי: מְשַׂנְאַי יֻהוָה יְכַחֲשׁוּ־לֵוֹּ Column II	[ילכו במועצותיהם] [לו] עמי שמע לי [ישראל בדרכי יהלכו] [כמעט] אויביהם אכניע [ועל צריהם אשיב ידי] [משנא]י יהוה יכחשו לו	H1: silluq H2: 'atnaḥ H1: silluq H2: 'atnaḥ H1: silluq H2: 'atnaḥ
16b N	וִיהֶי עָתֶם לְעוֹלֱם:	[ויהי עתם] לעולם	H1: silluq
17a N	וַיָּאֲכִילֵהוּ מֵחֵלֶב חְטֶה	ויאכילהו מחלב חטה	H2: 'atnaḥ
17b P	וֹמְצּוּר דְּבֵשׁ אַשְׂבִּיעֶךְ:	ומצור דב]ש אשביעך[H1: silluq H2: vacat
	MT-A	MasPs ^a	Accents at H End
82	Column II Continued		
la N	מְזְמֹוֹר לְאָׁמֶף	מזמו[ר] לאסף	H1: 'ole weyored H2: vacat
1b N	אֵלהִים נִצֵב בַּעַדַת־אֵל	אלהים נצב בעדת אל	H1: 'atnah
1c N	בּקָרֵב אֱלֹהֶים יִשְׁפְּט: בָּקָרֵב אֱלֹהֶים יִשְׁפְּט:	בקרב אלהים ישפט	H2: silluq
2a P	עֵר־בֶּמְתַי תִּשְׁפְּטוּ־עֶׁוֶל	עד מתי תשפטו עול	H1: 'atnaḥ
2b P	וּפָנֵי רְשַׁעִים תִּשָּאוֹ־סֵלָה:	ופני רשעים תשאו סלה	H2: silluq
3a N	שָׁפִּטוּ־דֵל וְיַתֲוֹם	שפטו דל ויתום	H1: 'atnaḥ
3b N	עָנִי וָרֶשׁ הַצְּדִּיקוּ:	עני ורשׁ הצדי[קו]	H2: silluq
4a N	פַּלְטוּ־דַל וְאֶבְיִוֹן	פלטו דל ואביון	H1: 'atnaḥ
4b N	מַיַּד רְשָּׁעִים הַצִּילוּ:	מיד רשעים הצילו	H2: silluq
5a N	לָאֹ יֵדְעוֹרוֹ וְלָאׁ יָבִינוּ	לא ידעו ולא יבינו	H1: rebi'a
5b P	בַּחֲשֶׁבֶה יִתְהַלֶּכוּ	בחשכה יתהלכו	H2: 'atnaḥ
5c P	יִמֹוטוּ כָּל־מְוֹסְדֵי אֶרֶץ:	ימוטו כל מוסדי ארץ	H1: silluq
6a N	אֲנִי־אָמַרְתִּי אֱלֹהֵים אַתֶּם	אני אמרתי אלהים אתם	H2: 'atnaḥ
6b N	וּבְגֵי עֶלְיַוֹן כָּלְכֶם:	ובני עליון כלכם	H1: silluq
7a N	אָבֵן כְּאָדֶם הְמוּתִוּן	אכן כאדם תמותון	H2: ʾatnaḥ
7b P	וּכְאַחָד הַשָּׂרִים תִּפְּלוּ:	וכאחד השרים תפלו	H1: silluq
8a P	קוּמָה אֱלֹהִים שָׁפְטָה הָאֶרֶץ	קומה אלהים שפטה הארץ	H2: ʾatnaḥ
8b N	בֶּי־אַתָּה תִׁנְחֵל בְּכָל־הַגּוֹיִם:	כי אתה תנחל בכל הגוים	H1: silluq

	MT-A	MasPs ^a	Accents at H End
83	Column II Continued		
			linea vacat
1a N	שָׁיר מִזְמַוֹר לְאָסֶף:	שיר מזמור לאסף	H1: silluq
2a P	אֱלֹהָים אַל־דֶּמִי־לֶדְ	אלהים אל דמי לך	H2: 'atnaḥ
2b N	אַל־תָּחֶרַשׁ וְאַל־תִּשְׁקֹּט אֵל:	אל תחרש ואל תשקט אל	H1: silluq
3a N	כְּי־הַנָּה אַוֹיְבֶידְ יֶהֱמְיָוּן	כי הנה אויביך יהמיון	H2: 'atnaḥ
3b N	וֹמְשַׂנְאֶידְ נָשְׁאוּ רְאשׁ:	ומשנאיך נשאו ראש	H1: silluq
4a N	עַל־עַמְדּ יַעֲרֵימוּ סָוֹד	על עמך [יע]ר[ימו ס]וד	H2: 'atnaḥ
4b N	יְתִיְעֵצוּ עַל־צְפוּנֶיך:	ויתיעצו על צפוניך	H1: silluq
5a N	אָמְרֹוּ לֱכוּ וְנַכְחִיבֵם מִגְּוֹי	אמרו לכו ונכח[יד]ם מגוי	H2: 'atnaḥ
5b N	וְלְא־יִזְבֶּר שֵׁם־יִשְׂרָאֵל עוד:	ולא יזכר שם ישראל עוד	H1: silluq
6a N	בֵּי נוֹעֲצִוּ לֵב יַחְדֵּו	כי נועצו לב יח[דו]	H2: 'atnaḥ
6b P	עָׁלֶידְּ בְּרִית יִכְרְתוּ: בָּרִית יִכְרְתוּ:	עליך ברית יכרתו	H1: silluq
7a N	אָהֶלֵי אֲדוֹם וְיִשְׁמְעֵאלִים	אלהי אדום וישמעא[לים]	H2: rebi'a
7b N	מוֹאָב וְהַגְרֵים:	מואב והגרים	H1: silluq
8a N	גָבֶל וְעַמוֹן וַעֲמָלֵק	גבל עמון ועמלק	H2: 'atnaḥ
8b N	ָּבְּלֶּשֶׁת עִם־יְשָׁבֵי צְוֹר:	פלשת עם ישבי צור	H1: silluq
9a N	גַם־אַשור נִלְוָה עָמֵם	גם אשור נלוה עמם	H2: 'atnaḥ
9b P	ָהָיְוּ ֹזְרְוֹעַ לִבְנֵי־לְוֹט מֻלָה: הַיְוּ וֹרְוֹעַ לִבְנֵי־לְוֹט מֻלָה:	היו זרוע לבני לוט סלה	H1: silluq
10a N	עַשׁה־לָהֶם בִּמְרְיֵן	עשה להם כמדין כסיסרא	H2: 'atnaḥ
10b N	בְּסִיסְרָא בְיָבִין בְּנֵחַל קִישְׁוֹן:	כיבין בנחל קישון	H1: silluq
11a N	ָּנִשְׁמְדִוֹ בְעֵין־דָּאר	נשמדו בעין דאר	H2: 'atnaḥ
11b N	הֵיוּ דֹּמֶן לָאֲדָמֶה:	היו דמן לאדמה	H1: silluq
12a N	שִׁיתַמוֹ נְדִיבֵמוֹ כָּעֹרֵב וְכִוְאֵב	שיתמו נדיבימו כערב וכזאב	H2: 'atnaḥ
12b N	וְּכָזֵבַח וֹּכְצַלְמֻנָּע כָּלֹ־נְסִיבֵמוֹ:	וכזבח וכצלמנע [כ]ל [נ]סיכמו	H1: silluq
13a N	אַשֵּׁר אָמִרוּ נִירֵשָׁה לֵנוּ	אשר אמרו נירשה לנו	H2: 'atnaḥ
13b N	אָת נָאָוֹת אֵלֹהֵים: בּאַת נָאָוֹת אֵלֹהִים:	את נאות אלהים	H1: silluq
14a N	אַלהִי שִׁיתֵמוֹ כַגַּלְגַּל	אלהים שיתמו כגלגל	H2: 'atnaḥ
14b N	֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	כקשׁ לפני רוח	H1: silluq
15a P	בְּצֵשׁ תִּבְעַר־יָּעֵר	כאש תבער יער	H2: 'atnaḥ
15b N	֖֖֖֖֖֖֖֓֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	וכלהבה תלהט הרים	H1: silluq
16a P	בֵּן תִּרְדָפֵם בְּסַעֲרֶךְּ	כן תרדפם בסערך	H2: 'atnaḥ
16b N	וֹבִסוּפָּתְדְּ תִבַּהֵלֵם:	ובסופתך תבהלם	H1: silluq
17a N	מַלֵּא פְנֵיהֵם קַלְוֹן	מלא פניהם קלון	H2: 'atnaḥ

	MT-A	MasPs ^a	Accents at H End
83	Column III		
17b N	וִיבַקְשְׁוּ שִׁמְדָּ יְהוֶה:	[ויבקשו שמך יהוה]	H1: silluq
18a N	יֵבְשׁוּ וְיִבְּהֲלְוּ עֲדִי־עַׁד	[יבשו ויבהלו עדי עד	H2: rebi'a
18b P	:וְיַחְפְּרָוּ וְיֹאבֶדוּ	ויח[פרו ויאבדו וידעו]	H1: silluq + וידעו
19a P	וְיֵדְעוּ כֵּי־אַתָּה שִׁמְדְּ יְהוֶה לְבַדֶּדְּ	[כי אתה שמך יהוה לבדך]	H2: 'atnaḥ
19b P	ָּעֶׁלְיוֹן עַל-בָּל-הָאֶרֶץ: עָלְיוֹן עַל-בָּל-הָאֶרֶץ:	עלי[ון על כל הארץ]	H1: silluq
84			linea vacat
1 N	לַמְנַצֵּחַ עַל־הַגָּתֵּית לָבְנֵי־קֹרַח מִזְמִוֹר:	למנצח [על הגתית לבני קרח מזמור]	H1: silluq
			H2: vacat
2a N	מַה־יְדִידְוֹת מִשְׁכְּנוֹעֶׂידְּ	מה ידידות מ[שכנותיך]	H1: rebi'a
2b N	יְהָוֶה צְבָאְוֹת:	[יהוה צבאות]	H2: silluq
3a N	נְכְסְפָׁה וְגַם־כָּלְּתָּהוּ נַפְשִׁי	נכספה וגם כ[לתה נפשי]	H1: șinnor
3b N	לְחַצְרֶוֹת יְהֹוֶה	[לחצרות יהוה]	H2: ʻole weyored
3c N	לָבֶי וּבְשָּׂרֵי ׳ְרַנְּנוּ	לבי ובשרי יר[ננו]	H1: rebi'a
3d P	אֶל אֵל־חֶי:	[אל אל חי]	H2: silluq
4a N	גַּם־צִפּּוֹרן כֶּנְצְאָה בַֿיִת	גם צפור מצא[ה בית]	H1: pazer
4b N	וּדְרָוֹרוּ מֵן לָהֹ	[ודרור קן לה	H2: șinnor
4c N	אֲשֶׁר־שֶׁתָה אֶפְרֹתֶיהָ	אשר שתה א[פרחיה]	H1: ʻole weyored
4d N	אֶת־מֻזְבְּחוֹתֶידְ	[את מזבחותיך]	H2: deḥi
4e P	יְהֹוֶה צְבָאֶוֹת מַלְכִּי וֵאלהֵי:	יהוה צבאות [מלכי ואלהי]	H1: silluq
5a P	אֲשְׁרֵי יוֹשְׁבֵי בֵיתֶדְּ	[אשרי יושבי ביתך]	H2: 'atnaḥ
5b P	עוֹד יְהַלְלְּוּדְּ פֶּלָה:	עוד יהללוך ס[לה]	H1: silluq
6a P	אַשְׁרֵי אֲדָם עְוֹז־לְוֹ בֶּדְ	[אשרי אדם עוז לו בך	H2: 'atnaḥ
6b N	מְׁסִלּוֹת בִּלְבָבֶם:	מסלות בלבבם	H1: silluq
7a N	עֹבְרֵיו בְּעֵמֶק הֲבְּכָא	[עברי בעמק הבכא]	H2: deḥi
7b N	מַעְיָן יְשִׁיתְוּהוּ	מעין ישיתוהו	H1: 'atnaḥ
7c N	גַּם־בְּרְכֹּוֹת ִיַעְטֶה מוֹרֶה:	[גם ברכות יעטה מורה]	H2: silluq
8a P	ָיֵלְכוּ מַתַיִל אֶלֹ-תֵיִל	ילכו מחיל אל ח[יל]	H1: 'atnaḥ
8b N	ֹיַרְאֶה אֶל־אֱלֹהַים בְּצִיּוֹן:	[יראה אל אלהים בציון	H2: silluq
9a N	יְהֹנֶה אֱלֹהִים צְבָאוֹת	יהוה אלהים צב[אות]	H1: deḥi
9b N	שִׁמְעֵה תְפִּלָּתֶי	[שמעה תפלתי]	H2: 'atnaḥ
9c P	הַאֲּוֹינָה אֱלֹהֵי יַעֲקֹב סֶלָה:	ה[אזינה] אלהי יע[קב סלה]	H1: silluq
10a N	מֶגנֵנוּ רְאֵה אֱלֹהֵים	[מגננו ראה אלהים]	H2: 'atnaḥ

	. ,		
10b P	וְהַבֵּט פְּגִי מְשִׁיחֶדּ:	וה[בט פני משיחך]	H1: silluq
11a N	בָּי טְוֹב־יְוֹם בַּחֲצֵרֶידְּ	[כי טוב יום בחצריך]	H2: rebi'a
11b N	בַּֿאָלֶף בָּחַרְתִּי	[מאלף בחרתי]	H1: rebi'a
11c C	הָסְתוֹפֵף בְּבֵית אֱלֹהֵי	[הסתופף בבית אלהי]	H2: 'atnaḥ
11d N	ָ מִד ּ וֹר בְּאָהֶלֵי-רֶשַּׁע:	מד[ו]ר באה[לי רשע]	H1: silluq
12a N	בִּי שַּׁמֵשׁׁו וֹמְגוֹ יְהוָה אֱלֹהֵים	[כי שמש ומגן יהוה אלהים]	H2: 'ole
			weyored
12b N	תֵן וֲכָבוֹד יִתַּן יְהוֶה	חן וכבוד יתן יה[וה]	H1: 'atnaḥ
12c N	לְא יִמְנַע־טוֹב לַהֹלְכֵים בְּתָמֵים:	[לא ימנע טוב להלכים בתמים]	H2: silluq
13a N	יָהוֶה צָבָאֶוֹת	יהוה צבאות	H1: 'atnaḥ
13b P	ָאַשְׂרֵי אָדָ ֹ ם בֹּטֵחַ בֶּדְ:	[אשרי אדם בטח בך]	H2: silluq
85			linea vacat
1 N	ַלַמִנַצֵּׁחַן לִבְנֵי־קֹֹרַח מִזְמְוֹר:	למנצח לבני קרח מזמ[ור]	H1: silluq
	1.7		H2: vacat
2a P	רָצִיתָ יְהָוָה אַרְצֶדְּ	רצית יהוה ארצך	H1: 'atnaḥ
2b N	<i>שַ</i> בְּתָּ שְבְּות יַעֲקְב:	[שבת שבות יעקב]	H2: silluq
3a P	ָנְשָׂאַתָּ עֲנָוֹן עַמֶּוֶדְּ בָשָׂאַתָּ עֲנָוֹן עַמֶּוֶדְּ	נשאת עון עמך	H1: 'atnaḥ
3b P	<u>֟</u> בָּפֶּיתִ בָל ⁻ חַטְּאֹתֲם סֶלָה:	[כסית כל חטאתם סלה]	H2: silluq
4a P	ָאָסַׂפְּתָּ בָל־עֶבְרָתֶ _ׁ דְ	אספת כל עברתך	H1: 'atnaḥ
4b P	הַשִּׁיבוֹתָ מֶחֲרָוֹן אֵפֵּדְּ:	[השיבות מחרון אפך]	H2: silluq
		,	TT1 2 / 1
5a N	שובנו אַלהֵי ישענו	שובנו אלהי ישענו	H1: 'atnaḥ
5a N 5b N	שׁוּבֵנוּ אֱלֹהֵי יִשְׁעֵנוּ וָהַפֵּר בַּעַסִּךְּ עִמֵּנוּ:	שובנו אלהי ישענו [והפר כעסך עמנו]	-
	שׁוּבֵנוּ אֱלֹהֵי יִשְׁעֵנוּ וְהָפֵר בְּעַסְדָּ עִמְנוּ: הַלְעוֹלֵם תָּאֵנִף־בָּנוּ		H1: atnaṇ H2: silluq H1: 'atnaḥ

Fourth, comparison between MasPs^a and the Aleppo Codex (A) in terms of the layout of the text requires evaluation. Here we are comparing the layout in a *codex* transmitting the same textual tradition in a *scroll* approximately 1000 years later in time. A first look indicates an almost identical layout. The Masada Psalms scroll has one column of text divided into bicola by intervals or spaces. The layout of the Aleppo Codex entails two columns of text per page (at least in Psalms). Each column of a page of the Aleppo Codex corresponds to a single column in MasPs^a, and usually lines of text are divided into two parts by an interval or space.

Paul Sanders produced a significant study of the colometry of Psalms 1–14 in the Aleppo Codex.²⁰ He classifies the colometry evinced there in 13 categories. At least 50% of the time, each line contains a couplet (two cola) separated by a space. For the remaining 50%, two, three, or even four cola per line are written in different patterns, occasionally with no interval or space at all. He concludes that

²⁰ Ibid.

this is due mainly to the fact that the page size demanded by the codex format required narrower columns of text.

We shall carefully compare the approach to stichometry in A against that in MasPs^a. A chart displays the differences between the layout in A and MasPs^a. Each line in the chart shows only one colon or half-line in the column of MasPs^a. The column to the right shows how the two cola of the Masada Psalms manuscript are arranged as one line in the Aleppo Codex. Verse numbers follow MT. The number in the most right column is the category from Sanders for this pattern of colometry in the Aleppo Codex, elaborated infra.

Stichometry of MasPsa versus the Aleppo Codex

81	MasPs³	Aleppo Codex (A)	
	Column I		
1a	[למנצח על הגתית לאסף]	לַמְנַצֵּחו עַל־הַגָּהִית לְאֶסֶף	13
2a	[הרנינו לאלהים עוזנו]	בְרְנִינוּ לֵאלֹהֵים עוֹזֵנוּ הָרִיעוּ לֵאלֹהֵי יַעֲקְב:	1
2b	[הריעו לאלהי י]עקב		
3a	[שאו־זמרה ותנו תף]	שְׂאוּ־זֻמְרָה וּתְנוּ־תֵּף בִּנְּוֹר נְעֵים עִם־נֶבֶל:	1
3b	[כנור נעים] עם [ג]בל		
4a	[תקעו בחדש שופר]	תִקְעַוּ בַחְדֶשׁ שּוֹפֵֶּר בַּבַּכֶּסֶה לְיָוֹם חַגֵּנוּ:	1
4b	[בכסה ליום] חגנו		
5a	[כי חק לישראל הוא]	בֵּי חָלק לְיִשְׂרָאֵל הָוּא בְּשְׁפָּט לֵאלֹהֵי יַעֲלְב:	1
5b	משפט לא]להי יעקב[
6a	[עדות ביהוסף שמו]	עַדוּתוּ בְּיהֿוֹסֵף שָּׁמֹוֹ בְּצֵאתוֹ עַל־אֶּרֶץ	4
6b	בצאתו על א]רץ מצרים[
6c	[שפת לא ידעתי אשמע]	מִצְרֵיִם שְׂפַת לֹא־יָדַעְתִּי אֶשְׁמֵע: הֲסִירַוֹתִי	9
7a	[הסירותי] מסבל שכמו	מָפֶבֶל שִׁכְמֻוֹ בַּפָּיו מִדְּוּד תַּעֲבְרְנָה:	1
7b	[כפיו מדוד תעברנה]		
8a	[בצ]רה ק[ר]את וא[ח]לצכה	בַּצָּרֶה קָרָאתָ וָאֲחַׁלְּצֶדָ אֲעֶנְדּ בְּסֵתֶר	?
8b	[אענך בסתר רעם]		
8c	[אבח]נך על מי מריבה סלה	רֵעַם אֶבְחֲנְךְּ עַל־מֵי מְרִיבָּה סֶלְה:	?
9a	[שמע עמי ואעידה בך]	שְׁמַע עֲמִי וְאָעֵידָה בֶּךְ יִשְׂרָאֵל	4
9b	[י]שראל אם תשמע קולי	אָם־תְּשְׁמֵע־לֵי: לְאֹ־יִהְיֶה בֻּדְּ אֵל זֶר	1
10a	[לא יהיה בך אל זר]		
10b	[ולא] תשתחוה לאל נכר	וְלָא תִשְׁתַּחֲוֶּה לְאֵל גַבֶר: אָנֹבִין יְהֹּוֶה	4
11a	[אנכי יהוה אלהיך]	אֱלֹהֶׁידְּ הֲמַעַלְדִּ מֵאֱרֶץ מִצְרֻיִם הַרְחֶב	4
11b	[המע]לך מארץ מצרים		
11c	[הרחב פיך ואמלאהו]	יָדְ וַאֲמַלְאֵהוּ: וְלֹא־שָׁמַע עַמִּי לְקוֹלֵי [יָלֹא־שָׁמַע בַּמִּי לְקוֹלֵי	1

12a	ולא] שמע עמי לקולי	
12b	[וישראל לא אבה לי	וְאָשׁלְחֵהוּ 4 'וְיִשְׂרָאֵל לֹא־אָבְה לְי: וְאֲשׁלְחֵהוּ
13a	[ואש]לחהו בשרירות לבם	:בְּשְׁרִירָוּת לָבֶֶּם יֵילְכוּ בְּמוֹעֲצוֹתִיהֶם
13b	[ילכו במועצותיהם	
14a	[לו] עמי שמע לי	ו לוּ עֲמִי שֹׁמֵעַ לֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל בִּדְרָכֵי יְהַלֵּכוּ:
14b	[ישראל בדרכי יהלכו]	
15a	[כמעט] אויביהם אכניע	אַריהָם אַרְגָיַע וְעָל צָׁרֵיהָם 4 בְּלְעֵט אוֹיְבֵיהָם
15b	[ועל צריהם אשיב ידי]	
16a	[משנא]י יהוה יכחשו לו	ו אָשִׁיב יָדִי: מְשַׂנְאֵי יֻהוָה יְכַחֲשׁוּ־
	Column II	
16b	[ויהי עתם] לעולם	11 לֵז וִיהָי עִתָּם לְעוֹלֶם: וַיַּאֲכִילֵהוּ
17a	ויאכילהו מחלב חטה	
17b	ומצור דב]ש אשביעך[ו מֵחֵלֶב חִמֶּה וֹמִצֹּוּר דְבַשׁ אַשְׂבִּיעֶדְ:
	linea vacat	linea vacat

	MasPs ^a	Aleppo Codex	
82	Column II Continued		
1a	מזמו[ר] לאסף linea vacat	מָזְמוֹר לְאָּמֶף	13
1b	אלהים נצב בעדת אל	אֱלֹהִים נִצְּב בַּעֲדַת־אֱלֹ בְּקֶרֶב	4
1c	בקרב אלהים ישפט	אֱלֹהַים יִשְׁפְּט: עַד־מְתַי תִּשְׂפְּטוּ־עָוֵל	1
2a	עד מתי תשפטו עול		
2b	ופני רשׁעים תשאו סלה	וּפְגֵי רְשָׁעִים תִּשְׂאוּ־סֶלָה: שִׁפְטוּ־דֵל וְיָתֵוֹם	1
3a	שפטו דל ויתום		
3b	עני ורשׁ הצדי[קו]	עָנֶי וָרֶשׁ הַצְדְּיקוּ: פַּלְטוּ־דֵל וְאֶבְיֶוֹן	1
4a	פלטו דל ואביון		
4b	מיד רשעים הצילו	ּמִיָּד רְשָׁעֵים הַצְּילוּ: לָא יֶדְעוּוּ וְלָא יָבִינוּ	1
5a	לא ידעו ולא יבינו		
5b	בחשכה יתהלכו	בַּחֲשֵׁכֶה יִתְהַלֶּכוּ יִׁמִּוֹטוּ כָּל־מְוֹסְדֵי אֱרֶץ:	1
5c	ימוטו כל מוסדי ארץ		
6a	אני אמרתי אלהים אתם	אַני־אָמַרְתִּי אֱלֹהָים אַתֵּם וּבְנֵי עֶלְיוֹן כַּלְּכֵם:	1
6b	ובני עליון כלכם		
7a	אכן כאדם תמותון	אָבֵן כְּאָדֶם תְּמוּתֶוּן וּכְאַחֵד	4
7b	וכאחד השרים תפלו		
8a	קומה אלהים שפטה הארץ	הַשְּׂרֵים תִּפְּלוּ: קוּמָה אֱלֹהִים	1
8b	כי אתה תנחל בכל הגוים	שָׁפְעֵׁה הָאֱרֶץ בִּי־אַתָּהֹ תִׁנְחַׁל בְּכָל־הַגּוֹיִם:	1

	MasPs ^a	Aleppo Codex	
	Column II Continued		
83	linea vacat	linea vacat	
1a	שיר מזמור לאסף	שָׁיר מִזְמָוֹר לְאָםֶף:	13
1b	linea vacat		
2a	אלהים אל דמי לך	אֱלֹהָים אַל־דֵּמִי־לֶדְ אַל־תֶּחֶרֵשׁ וְאַל־	4
2b	אל תחרש ואל תשקט אל	. (
3a	כי הנה אויביך יהמיון	תּשְׁקַט אֱל: בִּי־הגַה אַוֹיְבֶידְ יֶהֶמְיָוּן	1
3b	ומשנאיך נשאו ראש	וֹמְשַׂנְאֶּיךְ נָשְׁאוּ רְאשׁ: עַל־עַמְדְּ	4
4a	על עמך [יע]ר[ימו ס]וד	יַעֲרִימוּ סָוֹד וְיִתְיָעֲצוּ עַל־צְפֹוּנֶידְ:	1
4b	ויתיעצו על צפוניך		
5a	אמרו לכו ונכח[יד]ם מגוי	אָמְרֹוּ לֻּכוּ וְנַכְחִידֵם מִגְּוֹי וְלְאֹ־יִזְבֵר	4
5b	ולא יזכר שם ישראל עוד	שֵׁם־יִשְׂרָאֵל עוֹד: בִּי נוֹעֲצָוֹ לֵב יַחְדֵּו	1
6a	כי נועצו לב יח[דו]		
6b	עליך ברית יכרתו	עָׁלֶידְּ בְּרֵית יִכְרְתוּ: אָהֵלֵי אֱדוֹם	4
7a	[אלהי אדום וישמעא		
7b	מואב והגרים	יָישָׁמְעֵאלִים מוֹאָב וְהַגְרִים:	1
8a	גבל עמון ועמלק	:גְּבֶל וְעַמּוֹן וַעֲמְלֻקְ בְּלֹשֶׁת עִם־יִשְׁבֵי צְוֹר	?
8b	פלשת עם ישבי צור		
9a	גם אשור נלוה עמם	גַם־אֲשׁוּר נִלְוָה עִמֶּם הֵיִוּ זְרְוֹעַ	4
9b	היו זרוע לבני לוט סלה	לִבְנֵי־לָוֹט סֶלָה: עֲשֵׂה־לְהֵם כְּמִדְיֵן	1
10a	עשה להם כמדין כסיסרא		
10b	כיבין בנחל קישון	בֶּסֶיסְרֵא בְׁיָבִין בְּנַחַל קִישְׁוֹן: נִשְׁמְדִוּ בְעֵין־	4
11a	נשמדו בעין דאר	. ,	
11b	היו דמן לאדמה	דָּאר הֶיוּ דְּמֶן לָאֲדְמֶה: שִׁיתֵמוֹ נְדִיבֵמוֹ	4
12a	שיתמו נדיבמו כערב וכזאב		
12b	וכזבח וכצלמנע [כ]ל [נ]סיכמו	בְּעֹרֵב וְכִזְאֵב וְכְזֶבַח וֹּכְצַלְמֻנָּע כָּל־נְסִיכֵמוֹ:	1
13a	אשר אמרו נירשה לנו	אֲשֶׁר אֲמְרוֹּ נִיְרֲשָׁה לֻנוּ אֵׁת נְאַוֹת אֱלֹהִים:	1
13b	את נאות אלהים		
14a	אלהים שיתמו כגלגל	אֱלֹהֵי שִׁיתַמוֹ כַגַּלְגֵּל בְּלֵשׁ לִפְנֵי־רְוּחַ:	1
14b	כקשׁ לפני רוח		
15a	כאש תבער יער	:בְּאֵשׁ תִּבְעַר־יָעַר וּׁכְלֶהְבָּה תְּלַהֵט הָרֵים	1
15b	וכלהבה תלהט הרים	,	
16a	כן תרדפם בסערך	בֵן תִּרְדְּפֵּם בְּסַעֲרֶדּ וּבְסוּפָּתְדְּ תְבַהַלֵּם:	1
16b	ובסופתך תבהלם		
17a	מלא פניהם קלון	מַלֵּא פְנֵיהֶם קָלְוֹן וִיבַקְשָׁוּ שִׁמְדָּ יְהוֶה:	1

	MasPs ^a	Aleppo Codex	
83	Column III		
17b	[ויבקשו שמך יהוה]		
18a	[יבשו ויבהלו עדי עד	:בָלשוּ וְיִבָּהֲלָוּ עֲדִי־עַׁד וְיַחְפְּרָוּ וְיֹאבֶדוּ	1
18b	ויח[פרו ויאבדו וידעו]		
19a	[כי אתה שמך יהוה לבדך]	ןְיֵדְעוּ כְּי־אַתָּׁה שִׁמְדָּ יְהוָה לְבַדֶּדֶּ	3
19b	עלי[ון על כל הארץ]	:עֶלְיוֹן עַל־כָּל־הָאֶרֶץ	13
84			
1	למנצח [על הגתית לבני קרח מזמור]	לַמְנַצֵּחַ עֵל־הַגָּתֶּית לִבְנֵי־קֹרַח מִזְמְוֹר:	13
2a	מה ידידות מ[שכנותיך]	מַה־יְדִידְוֹת מִשְּׁכְּנוֹתֶּידְ יְהוֶה צְבָאְוֹת:	3
2b	[יהוה צבאות]		
3a	נכספה וגם כ[לתה נפשי]	נִבְסְבָּה וְגַם־כָּלְּלָה וּ נַפְּשִׁי ֹלְחַצְרָוֹת יְהֹוָה	3
3b	[לחצרות יהוה]		
3c	לבי ובשרי יר[ננו]	לָבֵּי וּבְשָׂרֵי יְרַנְּנוּ אֱל אֵל־חֵי: נַם־צִפּׁוֹר	4
3d	[אל אל חי]:		
4a	גם צפור מצא[ה בית]	ן מֶץאָה בַֿיִת וּדְרָוֹרן מָן לָהֹ ۚ אֲשֶׁר־שֶּׁתָה	4
4b	[ודרור קן לה]	,	
4c	אשר שתה א[פרחיה]	אֶפְרֹתֶיהָ אֶת־מֻזְבְּחוֹתֶיךּ יְהָוָה צְבָאֵוֹת	4
4d	[את מזבחותיך]		
4e	יהוה צבאות [מלכי ואלהי]	ּמַלְכִּי וַאלֹהֵי: אֲשְׁרֵי יוֹשְׁבֵי בֵיתֶדְּ	1
5a	[אשרי יושבי ביתך]		
5b	עוד יהללוך ס[לה]	עוֹד יְהַלְלוּדְ פֶּלָה: אַשְׁרֵי אֲדָם עְוֹז־	4
6a	[אשרי אדם עוז לו בך]		
6b	מסלות בלבבם	לְוֹ בֶדְ מְׁסִלּוֹת בִּלְבָבֶם: עֹבְרֵין בְּעֵמֶק הַבָּכָא	4
7a	[עברי בעמק הבכא]		,
7b	מעין ישיתוהו	מַעְיָן יְשִׁיתֻוּהוּ נַּם־בְּרָכֹוֹת יַעְטֶה מוֹרֶה:	4
7c	[גם ברכות יעטה מורה]		
8a	ילכו מחיל אל ח[יל]	ַיַלְכוּ מֵתַיִל אֶל־תֵיִל יַנְאֶה אֶל־אֱלֹהַים בְּצִיּוֹן:	1
8b	[יראה אל אלהים בציון] יהוה אלהים צב[אות]	יביר טלכית נורטות המתוך תחלתו	3
9a 9b	יהוה אלהים צבן אותן [שמעה תפלתי]	יָהֿוָה אֱלֹהֵים צֻבָּאוֹת שִׁמְעָה תְפִּלְתֵי	3
	[שמעה תפלתי] ה[אזינה] אלהי יע[קב סלה]	בייניים מלכי יוולה בלך. מונון רעך	4
9c 10a	הן אזינהן אלהי יענקב טלהן [מגננו ראה אלהים]	הַאֲזִינָה אֱלֹהֵי יַעֲלָב סֶלָה: מֻגנַנוּ רְאֵה אֱלֹהֵים וְהַבָּט פְּנֵי מְשִׁיתֵדְּ: כִּי טְוֹב־יִוֹם	4
10a 10b	[מגננו דאה אלהים] וה[בט פני משיחך]	פון בול בין יוון, בי אוב ווין, בי אוב ווין, בי	7
100 11a	וה[בט פני נושיחון] [כי טוב יום בחצריך]	קַבְעַיִּדִּ מֻאֶּלֶּף בָּחַרְתִּי הֻסְתּוֹפֵּף	4
11a 11b	[כי טוב יום בוזצו ין] [מאלף בחרתי]	אָרָיוּ אָרָ אָרָי וּיִרָּלָּי וּ וּרָילָי אָרָיוּיִבּין.	т
11c	[הסתופף בבית אלהי]	:בְּבֵית אֱלֹהֵי מִׁדּוּר בְּאָהֱלֵי־רֶשַׁע	1

11d 12a	מד[ו]ר באה[לי רשע] [כי שמש ומגן יהוה אלהים]	בֵּי שָּׁמָשׁוּ וּמָגַן יְהנֵה אֱלֹהִים חַן וֲכָבוֹד יִתַּן יְהנֵה	2
12b 12c	חן וכבוד יתן יה[וה] [לא ימנע טוב להלכים בתמים]	:לָא יִמְנַע־טוֹב לַהֹלְכֵים בְּתָמֵים	3
12c	ולא הנע טוב לחלכים בוגנים] יהוה צבאות	יְהְוָה צְּבָאֵוֹת אַשְׁרֵי אָדְם בַּטֵח בְּד: יְהוָה צְבָאֵוֹת אַשְׁרֵי אָדְם בַּטֵח בְּדִּ:	1
13b	רוור בבאורג [אשרי אדם בטח בך]	יוליו ללי הלה הלא יוליל ליוה בילון ביוי	1
85			
1	למנצח לבני קרח מזמ[ור]	לַמְנַצֵּׁחַן לִבְנֵי־קְּרַח מִזְמְוֹר:	13
		linea vacat	
2a	רצית יהוה ארצך	ָרְצִיתְ יְהָוָה אַרְצֶדְ שַׁבְּתָּ שְׁבְּוֹת יַעֲקְב:	1
2b	[שבת שבות יעקב]		
3a	נשאת עון עמך	ָגְשָׂאתָ עֲוֹן עַמֶּגֶדְ בִּפֶּיתָ כָל־חַטָּאתָם סֶלָה:	1
3b	[כסית כל חטאתם סלה]		
4a	אספת כל עברתך	אָסַפְתָּ כָל־עֶבְרָתֶדִּ הֲשִׁיבוֹתָ מֵחֲרָוֹן אַפֶּדִּ:	1
4b	[השיבות מחרון אפך]		
5a	שובנו אלהי ישענו	יָשוּבֵנוּ אֱלֹהֵי יִשְׁעֵנוּ וְהָפֵּר בַּעַסְדְּ עִמְּנוּ:	1
5b	[והפר כעסך עמנו		
6a	הלעולם תאנף בנו	ַבּלְעוֹלֶם תָּאֱנַף־בֶּנוּ תִּמְשְׁדְ אַׁפְּדְּ לְדָר וָדְר:	1
6b	[תמשך אפך לדר ודר]		

Most lines are classified as either category 1 or 4 defined by Sanders as follows:

Category 1

The lines of Category 1 show one blank space. The last word before the blank space (on the right) is the last word of a colon. The first word after the blank space (on the left) is the first word of the following colon. The last word on the line is the last word of that colon and touches the left margin. The first word on the line (on the right) is commonly the first word of the first colon, but in some cases one or more words of the first colon have been written on the preceding line.²¹

	ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	ууууууууууууу
or		
	(ууууу)	
	ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	ууууууууууууу

²¹ P. Sanders, "The Colometric Layout", 231-2.

Category 4

The lines of Category 4 show one blank space. The word immediately preceding the blank space (on the right) is the last word of a colon as delimited by the accents. The word immediately following the blank space (on the left) is the first word of the following colon. However, the last word on the line bears a conjunctive or a minor disjunctive accent that does not suggest the end of a colon. The first word on the line (on the right) is commonly the first word of the first colon, but one or more words of this colon may have been written on the preceding line.²²

	4. zzzzzzzz	yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy (zzzzzzz)
or		
	(ууууу)	
	ZZZZZZZZ	ууууууууууууууууууууу
		(zzzzzzzz)

In Category 13, lines are left blank on the left. Two situations are outside the categories given by Sanders for his analysis of Psalms 1–14. In Ps 81:8a, 8b, 8c, the scribe appears to have arranged bits of three cola across a couple of lines as follows:

уууууууууу	xxxxxxxxx
ZZZZZZZZZZZZ	zzzzzyyyyy

To illustrate the approach of the scribe of the Aleppo Codex, the last word of 81:6b in MasPs^a is at the beginning of the next line in A. The stichometry in A and MasPs^a is not synchronised until 7b. The last word of 8b is at the beginning of the next line in A. The bound phrase in 8c is broken by a major space and split in two in A to re-synchronise (read across line 8c for מְּיִבְּהָּ in A). Similar examples exist, e.g. in Ps 81:11a and 13a. Finally, note that the scribe of the Aleppo Codex has parts of 6b–6c–7a all on one line with no spaces. It is not possible to compare the two texts in every line since, e.g. 83:18b involves reconstruction in MasPs^a and we do not know if the word "דדעו" belonged to 18b or 19a as in A.

The differences between MasPs^a and A are due not only to the narrow page of the codex format as Sanders showed, *but also* to different approaches to arranging tricola and places where there is simply a real difference in stichometry.

These data show that in the intervening centuries between MasPs^a and A, with the move from scroll to codex and the vowels and accents now represented in writing, the presentation as two hemistichs divided by a space on one line is only a

²² Ibid., 234-6.

memory. Note particularly 81:6a where the scribe of A puts a space between the bound and free members of a bound phrase. Unlike MasPs^a, where spacing is the key to dividing the text into sense units, the pausal forms and accents are the key terminal markers in A and the spacing is only a memory of a past scribal technique.

Unfortunately only a handful of Hebrew biblical manuscripts are known between the III–VII centuries C.E. Two separated fragments of Exodus belong to the same manuscript, MS London-Ashkar. These manuscripts are not listed by Tov in the most recent revision of *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible* in 2012. Paul Sanders in a recent article has shown that the Ashkar-Gilson Hebrew Manuscript at Duke University was part of a model scroll that had an important influence on later manuscripts like the Aleppo and Leningrad Codices.²³ Although these are not manuscripts of the Psalms, they may provide a plausible bridge between MasPs^a and the early Masoretic manuscripts. More research is needed to cast light on the evolution from the first century B.C.E. to the tenth century C.E. in light of these texts.

Hebrew Manuscripts from III-VII Centuries C.E.

Genesis Cambridge T-S NS 3.21 and 4.3

Exodus Duke University, Ashkar-Gilson Hebrew Manuscript #2 + MS London,

formerly of Jew's College

Exodus Oxford Bodleian Lib. Ms. Heb. D.89 (P) i

Leviticus EGLev

Numbers Berlin, Staatliche Museum, P 10598 Kings Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, Pap. 47–48 Job Oxford, Ashmolean Museum, Ant. Pap. 49–50

We can now focus attention on the question of other texts that show the special layout of MasPs^a and also ask the question: how far back can this tradition be traced? An essay by Emanuel Tov published in 1996 was the first attention given to texts from the Judean Desert with a special layout for poetical units.²⁴ Tov

²³ P. Sanders, "The Ashkar-Gilson Manuscript: Remnant of a Proto-Masoretic Model Scroll of the Torah", *Journal of Hebrew Scriptures* 14 Article 7 (2014) 1–25. http://www.jhsonline.org/Articles/article_201.pdf accessed on December 14, 2014.

²⁴ E. Tov, "Special Layout of Poetical Units in the Texts from the Judean Desert", in J. Dyk (ed.), *Give Ear to My Words: Psalms and other Poetry in and around the Hebrew Bible: Essays in Honour of Professor N. A. van Uchelen* (Amsterdam: Societas Hebraica Amstelodamensis, 1996) 115–28.

improved upon his own research in his magisterial volume on scribal practices appearing in 2004.²⁵

MSS With 2 Hemistichs Per Line and a Space Between Hemistichs:

```
4QpaleoDeut<sup>r</sup> (not dated; Deut 32, reconstructed)
1QPs<sup>a</sup> (not dated; Ps 119 only, other Psalms in prose, reconstructed)
4QPs<sup>c</sup> (50–68 c.e.; Pss 16–53)
8QPs (1–100 c.e.; only Pss 17–18 preserved)
11QPs<sup>b</sup> (30–1 B.C.e.; Ps 119 only, other Psalms in prose)
5/6HevPs (50–68 c.e.; Pss 7–16, 18, 22–25, 29–31)
MasPs<sup>a</sup> (30–1 B.C.e.; fragments of Pss 81–85)
4QProv<sup>a</sup> (50 B.C.e.–30 c.e.; Prov 1–2)
2QSir (50–1 B.C.e.; Sir 6; Reconstructed)
MasSir (10 B.C.e.–50 c.e.; Sir 39–44)
```

Three of the ten texts are doubtful as they are fragmentary and involve reconstruction. Tov lists twenty other texts laid out in colometrically, but either they have no spaces between hemistichs or display a different approach in layout. He also lists thirty-one texts that could display a stichometric layout for poetry but do not. We have checked all of the manuscripts in the photographs. Tov rightly notes that MasPs^a is a deluxe manuscript among all of those exhibiting stichometry. The one manuscript at Qumran which most closely resembles MasPs^a is 4QPs^b although it has only one hemistich per line in the column of text and it only has 16–17 lines per column compared to 29–30 lines in MasPs^a. The stichometry and text of 4QPs^b are not as close to MT as MasPs^a and so belongs to the "second circle of texts", i.e. biblical texts circulating apart from the setting of the Temple.

How far back can the tradition exhibited by MasPs^a be traced? Perhaps related to this question is the provenance of MasPs^a. Division of poetic lines dates to at least the first millennium B.C.E. As a number of scholars have noted, there is a funerary inscription in Aramaic from the fifth century B.C.E. where the genre is poetry (KAI 269). The inscription has four lines corresponding to the four couplets of poetry, but there are no spaces to mark the hemistichs.²⁶

²⁵ E. Tov, Scribal Practices and Approaches Reflected in the Texts Found in the Judean Desert (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 166–78.

²⁶ J.C.L. Gibson, *Textbook of Syrian Semitic Inscriptions. Volume II. Aramaic Inscriptions* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1975), 120–1 and Figure 13. For an actual photograph, see H. Donner/W. Röllig, *Kanaanäische und Aramäische Inschriften* (3 volumes; Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1962–1964), pl. 34.

There is also interesting evidence from the Septuagint. The John Rylands Papyrus 458 from the second century B.C.E. preserves fragments of Deut 22–28. Spaces between words function as terminal markers equivalent to pausal forms and accents in MT, although there are a few differences from MT.²⁷ Similar evidence is provided by the spacing in the Fouad Papyrus from approximately 50 C.E., which presents Deut 32 in one hemistich per line.²⁸ 1QDeut^b is an example in Hebrew from Qumran where the spacing corresponds to the vowels and accents added later in MT.²⁹

The great uncials of the Septuagint of the fourth century offer surprising data. Before the development of the codex, the books of the Bible were copied first one book per scroll and later in blocks where several books of the same genre were transmitted in a single scroll or smaller codex. The evidence for this is supplied in Rahlfs' *Verzeichnis* where he lists all the manuscripts in the blocks in which they were transmitted. (This section is entitled "Übersicht über das handschriftliche Material für die einzelnen Teile des A.T.").³⁰ There are seven blocks as follows:

- 1) Octateuchus
- 2) Reg., Par., Esdr.
- 3) Est., Idt., Tob.
- 4) Mac. I-IV
- 5) Ps.Od.
- 6) Libri sapientiales (Prov. Eccl. Cant. Iob. Sap. Sir. Ps. Sal.)
- 7) XVI prophetae

In the fourth century C.E. when scribes attempted to produce a pandect Bible, they had to assemble a group of seven codices or rolls, roughly speaking. The colophons present early evidence for this. The colophon to Esther in Codex Sinaiticus speaks of a book that contained Kingdoms through Esther, i.e. the historical literature. Here Esther was part of the second block and not part of the third with Judith and Tobit. The columns and layout in Codex Sinaiticus further support this. The historical books and prophets before the poetical books are written in

²⁷ E.J. Revell, "The Oldest Evidence for the Hebrew Accent System", *Bulletin of the John Rylands Library* 54 (1971) 214–22. See also E.J. Revell, "The Occurrence of Pausal Forms", *Journal of Semitic Studies* 57/2 (2012) 213–30; idem, "Minor Pausal Forms and their Function", *Vetus Testamentum* 65/3 (2015) 457–65; idem, "Pausal Forms Marked with Conjunctive Accents", *Journal of Semitic Studies* 61/1 (2016) 67–84.

²⁸ See P. Sanders, *The Provenance of Deuteronomy 32* (Oudtestamentische Studiën 37; Leiden: Brill, 1996), 121–2 and passim.

²⁹ Ibid, 214.

³⁰ A. Rahlfs, *Verzeichnis der griechischen Handschriften des Alten Testament* (Mitteilungen des Septuaginta-Unternehmens der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften 2; Berlin: Weidmannsche Buchhandlung, 1914), 373–439.

four columns as are the gospels which follow. Nonetheless the poetical books are written in two columns. This is due to the fact that it was normal practice for scribes to follow the physical layout of the source text in spite of the fact that it was common practice to reproduce poetic text in longer lines than the short columns of prose text.

We compared the stichometry of MasPs^a with that of Codex Vaticanus and Codex Sinaiticus. A chart details the comparison hemistich for hemistich. The first chart gives an illustration using Psalm 81.

Illustration

81	$MasPs^a$	Codex Sinaiticus
	Column I	
1a	[למנצח על הגתית לאסף	εις το τελος ϋπερ των ληνων ψαλμος τω αςαφ'
2a	[הרנינו לאלהים עוזנו]	αγαλλιαςθε τω θω τω βοηθω ημων
2b		αλαλαξατε τω θω ϊακωβ
3a		λαβετε ψαλμον και δοτε τυμπανον
3b	[כנור נעים] עם [נ]בל	ψαλτηριον τερπνον μετα κιθαρας
4a		cαλπιcατε εν νεομηνια cαλπιγγι
4b	[בכסה ליום] חגנו	εν ευτημω ημερα εορτης ημων
5a	[כי חק לישראל הוא]	οτι προςταγμα τω ϊςραηλ εςτιν
5b	[משפט לא]להי יעקב	και κριμα τω θω ϊακωβ
6a	[עדות ביהוסף שמו]	μαρτυριον εν τω ϊωςηφ εθετο αυτον
6b	[בצאתו על א]רץ מצרים	εν τω εξελθεν αυτον εκ γης αιγυπτου
6c	[שפת לא ידעתי אשמע]	γλως ταν ην ουκ εγνω ηκους εν
7a	[הסירותי] מסבל שכמו	απεςτησεν απο αρσεων τον νωτον αυτου
7b	[כפיו מדוד תעברנה]	αι χειρες αυτου εν τω κοφινω εδουλευςαν
8a	[בצ]רה ק[ר]את וא[ח]לצכה	εν θλιψει επεκαλεςω μαι και ερυςαμην ςε
8b		επηκουςα ςου εν αποκρυφω καταιγιδος
8c	[אבח]נך על מי מריבה סלה	εδοκιμασα σε επι ϋδατος αντιλογιας
		διαψαλμα
9a		ακουςον λαος μου και λαληςω ςοι
9b		ϊεραηλ και διαμαρτυρομαι εοι
10a	[לא יהיה בך אל זר]	εαν ακουςης μου ουκ εςται εν ςοι θς
		προσφατος
10b		, ,
11a	[אנכי יהוה אלהיך]	εγω γαρ ειμι κς ο θς ςου ο αναγαγων

11b	[המע]לך מארץ מצרים	cε εκ γης αιγυπτου	2H » 1
11c	[הרחב פיך ואמלאהו]	πλατυνον το ετομα εου και πληρωεω αυτο	
12a	ולא] שמע עמי לקולי	και ουκ ηκουςεν ο λαος μου της φωνης μου	
12b	[וישראל לא אבה לי]	και ιςραηλ ου προςεςχεν μοι	
13a	[ואש]לחהו בשרירות לבם	και εξαπεςτεςτειλα αυτους κατα τα	
		επιτηδευματα των	
		καρδιων αυτων	
13b	[ילכו במועצותיהם	πορευςονται εν τοις επιτηδευμαςιν αυτων	
14a	[לו] עמי שמע לי	ει ο λαος Τ ηκουςεν μου	
14b	[ישראל בדרכי יהלכו	ϊτραηλ ταις οδοις μου ει επορευθη	

Detailed Comparison of Stichometry in $MasPs^a$ with Codices Vaticanus and Sinaiticus

81	MasPsa		Cod. Sinaiticus	Cod. Vaticanus
	Column I			
la	[למנצח על הגתית לאסף]	H1:	= S	= B
14	linea vacat	H2:	= S	= B
2a	הרנינו לאלהים עוזנו]	H1:	= S	= B
2b	הריעו לאלהי י]עקב[H2:	= S	= B
3a	[שאו־זמרה ותנו תף]	H1:	= S	= B
3b	בנור נעים] עם [נ]בל	H2:	= S	= B
4a	[תקעו בחדש שופר]	H1:	= S	= B
4b	[בכסה ליום] חגנו	H2:	= S	= B
5a	[כי חק לישראל הוא]	H1:	= S	= B
5b	משפט לא]להי יעקב[H2:	= S	= B
6a	[עדות ביהוסף שמו]	H1:	= S	= B
6b	[בצאתו על א]רץ מצרים	H2:	= S	= B
6c	[שפת לא ידעתי אשמע]	H1:	= S	= B
7a	[הסירותי] מסבל שכמו	H2:	= S	= B
7b	[כפיו מדוד תעברנה]	H1:	= S	= B
8a	[בצ]רה ק[ר]את וא[ח]לצכה	H2:	= S	= B
8b	[אענך בסתר רעם]	H1:	= S	= B
8c	[אבח]נך על מי מריבה סלה	H2:	= S (סלה l. separatum)	B = S
9a	[שמע עמי ואעידה בך]	H1:	= S	9a + 9b l.
				unum

```
9b
          [י]שראל אם תשמע קולי
                                    H2:
                                         9b + 10a linea unum
              [לא יהיה בך אל זר]
10a
                                    H1:
                                                                  = B
10b
           [ולא] תשתחוה לאל נכר
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
                [אנכי יהוה אלהיד]
                                    H1:
11a
                                         11a + 11b linea unum
                                                                  = B
            [המע]לך מארץ מצרים
11b
                                    H2:
                                                                  = B
             [הרחב פיך ואמלאהו]
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
11c
             [ולא] שמע עמי לקולי
12a
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
              [וישראל לא אבה לי]
12b
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
         [ואש]לחהו בשרירות לבם
13a
                                    H2:
                                                                  = B
13b
               [ילכו במועצותיהם]
                                    H1:
                                        = S
                                                                  = B
                  לו] עמי שמע לי
14a
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B^{31}
             [ישראל בדרכי יהלכו]
14b
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
           [כמעט] אויביהם אכניע
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
15a
             [ועל צריהם אשיב ידי]
15b
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
           [משנא]י יהוה יכחשו לו
16a
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
           Column II
                 [ויהי עתם] לעולם
16b
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
              ויאכילהו מחלב חטה
17a
                                    H2:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
             ומצור דב]ש אשביעד
17b
                                    H1:
                                         = S
                                                                  = B
           linea vacat
                                    H2:
                                          non vacat
                                                                  non vacat
```

82	Column II Continued			
1a	מזמו[ר] לאסף	H1:	= S	= B
	linea vacat	H2:	non vacat	
1b	אלהים נצב בעדת אל	H1:	= S	= B
1c	בקרב אלהים ישפט	H2:	= S	= B
2a	עד מתי תשפטו עול	H1:	= S	= B
2b	ופני רשעים תשאו סלה	H2:	= S (סלה l. separatum)	= B
3a	שפטו דל ויתום	H1:	= S	= B
3b	עני ורש הצדי[קו]	H2:	= S	= B
4a	פלטו דל ואביון	H1:	= S	= B
4b	מיד רשעים הצילו	H2:	= S	= B
5a	לא ידעו ולא יבינו	H1:	= S	= B
5b	בחשכה יתהלכו	H2:	= S	= B
5c	ימוטו כל מוסדי ארץ	H1:	= S	= B
6a	אני אמרתי אלהים אתם	H2:	6a + 6b linea unum	B = S
6b	ובני עליון כלכם	H1:		
7a	אכן כאדם תמותון	H2:	= S	= B

³¹ The word ישראל at the beginning of 14b is at the end of 14a; nonetheless there is a colon in B before it and a colon at the end of 14b to mark the correct stichometry.

7b	וכאחד השׂרים תפלו	H1:	= S	= B
8a	קומה אלהים שפטה הארץ	H2:	= S	= B
8b	כי אתה תנחל בכל הגוים	H1:	= S	= B

83	Column II Continued			
	linea vacat	H2:	non vacat	non vacat
1a	שיר מזמור לאסף	H1:	= S	= B
2a	אלהים אל דמי לך	H2:	= S	= B
2b	אל תחרש ואל תשקט אל	H1:	= S	= B
3a	כי הנה אויביך יהמיון	H2:	= S	= B
3b	ומשנאיך נשאו ראש	H1:	= S	= B
4a	על עמך [יע]ר[ימו ס]וד	H2:	= S	= B
4b	ויתיעצו על צפוניך	H1:	= S	= B
5a	אמרו לכו ונכח[יד]ם מגוי	H2:	= S	= B
5b	ולא יזכר שם ישראל עוד	H1:	= S	= B
6a	כי נועצו לב יח[דו]	H2:	= S	= B
6b	עליך ברית יכרתו	H1:	= S	= B
7a	[לים אלהי אדום וישמעא	H2:	= S	= B
7b	מואב והגרים	H1:	= S	= B
8a	גבל עמון ועמלק	H2:	= S	= B
8b	פלשת עם ישבי צור	H1:	= S	= B
9a	גם אשור נלוה עמם	H2:	= S	= B
9b	היו זרוע לבני לוט סלה	H1:	= S	= B
10a	עשה להם כמדין כסיסרא	H2:	= S	= B
10b	כיבין בנחל קישון	H1:	= S	= B
11a	נשמדו בעין דאר	H2:	= S	= B
11b	היו דמן לאדמה שיתמו	H1:	= S	= B
12a	נדיבמו כערב וכזאב	H2:	12a + 12b linea unum	B = S
12b	וכזבח וכצלמנע [כ]ל	H1:		
	[נ]סיכמו			
13a	אשר אמרו נירשה לנו	H2:	13a + 13b linea unum	B = S
13b	את נאות אלהים	H1:		
14a	אלהים שיתמו כגלגל	H2:	= S	= B
14b	כקשׁ לפני רוח	H1:	= S	= B
15a	כאשׁ תבער יער	H2:	= S	= B
15b	וכלהבה תלהט הרים	H1:	= S	= B
16a	כן תרדפם בסערך	H2:	= S	= B
16b	ובסופתך תבהלם	H1:	= S	= B
17a	מלא פניהם קלון	H2:	= S	= B

83	Column III			
17b	[ויבקשו שמך יהוה]	H1:	= S	= B
18a	[יבשו ויבהלו עדי עד	H2:	= S	= B
18b	[פרו ויאבדו וידעו	H1:	וידעו trahit ad 19a	B = S
19a	[כי אתה שמך יהוה לבדך]	H2:	trahit ad 19b לבדך	B = S
19b	עלי[וז על כל הארץ]	H1:		B = S
84	linea vacat	H2:	non vacat	non vacat
1a	למנצח [על הגתית לבני קרח מזמור]	H1:		= B
	linea vacat	H2:	non vacat	non vacat
2a	מה ידידות מ[שכנותיך]	H1:	2a + 2b linea unum	B = S
2b	[יהוה צבאות]	H2:		
3a	נכספה וגם כ[לתה נפשי]	H1:	3a + 3b linea unum	B = S
3b	[לחצרות יהוה]	H2:		
3c	לבי ובשרי יר[ננו]	H1:	3c + 3d linea unum	B = S
3d	[אל אל חי]	H2:		
4a	גם צפור מצא[ה בית]	H1:	= S	
4b	[ודרור קן לה]	H2:	4b + 4c linea unum	B = S
4c	אשר שתה א[פרחיה]	H1:		
4d	[את מזבחותיך]	H2:	4d + 4e linea unum	B = S
4e	יהוה צבאות [מלכי ואלהי]	H1:		
5a	[אשרי יושבי ביתך]	H2:	= S	= B
5b	עוד יהללוך ס[לה]	H1:	= S (סלה l. separatum)	B = S
6a	[אשרי אדם עוז לו בך]	H2:	= S	= B
6b	מסלות בלבבם	H1:	= S	= B
7a	[עברי בעמק הבכא]	H2:	7a + 7b linea unum	B = S
7b	מעין ישיתוהו	H1:		
7c	[גם ברכות יעטה מורה]	H2:	= S	= B
8a	ילכו מחיל אל ח[יל]	H1:	= S	= B
8b	[יראה אל אלהים בציון	H2:	= S	= B
9a	יהוה אלהים צב[אות]	H1:	9a + 9b linea unum	B = S
9b	[שמעה תפלתי]	H2:		
9c	ה[אזינה] אלהי יע[קב סלה]	H1:	= S (סלה l. separatum)	B = S
10a	[מגננו ראה אלהים]	H2:	= S	= B
10b	וה[בט פני משיחך]	H1:	= S	= B
11a	[כי טוב יום בחצריך]	H2:	trahit ad 11a מאלף	B = S
11b	[מאלף בחרתי]	H1:	≠ S	B = S
11c	[הסתופף בבית אלהי]	H2:	trahit ad 11c בחרתי	B = S
11d	מד[ו]ר באה[לי רשע]	H1:	= S	= B
12a	[כי שמש ומגן יהוה אלהים]	H2:	trahit ad 12b	= B
12b	חן וכבוד יתן יה[וה]	H1:	trahit ad 12c יה[וה	B = S

12c	[לא ימנע טוב להלכים]			
12c	[בתמים]	H2:	= S	= B
13a	יהוה צבאות	H1:	13a + 13b linea unum	B = S
13b	[אשרי אדם בטח בך]	H2:		
85	linea vacat: H1 + H2		non vacat	non vacat
1	למנצח לבני קרח מזמ[ור]	H1:	= S	= B
		H2:	non vacat	non vacat
2a	רצית יהוה ארצך	H1:	= S	= B
2b	[שבת שבות יעקב]	H2:	= S	= B
3a	נשאת עון עמך	H1:	= S	= B
3b	[בסית כל חטאתם סלה]	H2:	= S (סלה l. separatum)	B = S
4a	אספת כל עברתך	H1:	= S	= B
4b	[השיבות מחרון אפך	H2:	= S	= B
5a	שובנו אלהי ישענו	H1:	= S	= B
5b	[והפר כעסך עמנו	H2:	= S	= B
6a	הלעולם תאנף בנו	H1:	= S	= B
6b	[תמשך אפך לדר ודר]	H2:	= S	= B

The result is interesting. Of approximately 145 hemistichs, the stichometry of B differs in 8 and combines 26 lines into 13 while that of S differs in 9 and combines 26 lines into 13. B has διάψαλμα on the same line in one instance as in the case of MasPs^a. Everywhere else in both B and S διάψαλμα is given a line by itself due to the fact that there is not sufficient room to have it on the line to which it belongs. In sum, both B and S agree with the stichometry of MasPsa in over 75% of the lines, and B is a bit closer than S. Even the combined lines match the ends of lines in MasPsa so that the agreement could be claimed to be 99%. And although Talmon argues strongly for the stichometry of MT which places Sisera with 83:10b, he does not note that both B and S place it with 10a as in MasPsa. 32 Thus five hundred years after the original translation, B and S have largely preserved the terminal markers of a Hebrew parent text like MasPsa. The evidence suggests that the original translation into Greek followed the stichometric layout in poetic texts and even in the earliest texts had spaces corresponding to the reading tradition, and that this tradition was preserved for some time. The correspondence with Codex Alexandrinus, a century later, is not as strong.

³² Talmon, Masada VI, 88-9.

Conclusions

The connection between MasPs^a and MT-A is strong. (1) The wording of the text between the two manuscripts was nearly identical with few significant variants. (2) The breaks between couplets (i.e. the space between the A and B cola) and the ends of the couplets were shown to agree closely with the Masoretic terminal markers (pausal forms and accents). Although the Masoretes employed a system of spaces within each column of text, development had occurred between the time of MasPs^a and the Masoretes to the extent that the spaces in the Aleppo codex appear only to be a memory of the earlier stichometry. Unfortunately there are no known Hebrew Psalms manuscripts from the III–VII centuries which might illuminate the exact points of development. (3) The stichometries of MasPs^a and the codices of Sinaiticus and Vaticanus agreed in the couplet and line breaks to an even higher degree than MT showing significant continuity from MasPs^a to at least the fourth century c.e. This demonstrates that MasPs^a represents a much older tradition than just the end of the first century B.C.E. or beginning of the first century C.E.

Emanuel Tov has already identified MasPs^a as a witness to the proto-MT on the basis of its text and physical layout and has concluded that it was part of the inner circle of texts, that is, those texts which are believed to be connected to the Temple.³³ We have shown that MasPs^a not only has a near identical text to the Aleppo codex but also has divisions according to terminal markers in the later manuscript, further demonstrating that MasPs^a and Aleppo can be traced either to a common source or that Aleppo came from an intermediate manuscript which was both derived and developed from MasPs^a.

In 2010 Eugene Ulrich stated, "despite suggestions to the contrary, the future still awaits demonstration that the texts preserved in the medieval MT transmit the texts guarded by the priests in the Jerusalem temple as opposed to other popular or 'vulgar' texts that were less well preserved by less well qualified people. Nor has a line of succession—from temple priests to Pharisees to rabbis—been

³³ E. Tov, "The Text of the Hebrew/Aramaic and Greek Bible Used in the Ancient Synagoues", in *Hebrew Bible, Greek Bible, and Qumran* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2008) 171–88, on p. 177. For ancient testimonia to texts in the temple see (1) passages from the OT (Exod 25:16; 40:20; Deut 10:12; 31:9; 31:24–26; Josh 24:25–26 et al.). The OT attests the same practice of storing up documents in the shrine as other ancient peoples. For the Hittites, cf. G. Beckman, *Hittite Diplomatic Texts* (2nd edn; Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1999). For the general practice in the ancient Near East, cf. K. Van Der Toorn, *Scribal Culture and the Making of the Hebrew Bible* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007), 6. (2) The following passages from the Second Temple Period: CD A 7:14–18; *Letter of Aristeas* 30, 32, 39, 41, 46; 2 Maccabees 2:13–15; *Jewish War* 7:148–150 and thirteen other places in Josephus.

convincingly shown."³⁴ Further work should be undertaken to determine the exact linkages between priests and Pharisees at Masada and how the Pharisaic texts come into the possession of the rabbis. We cannot provide full demonstration for this succession here, but our study has increased the probability of such a linkage between the text of Psalms of the Second Temple and the text of Psalms of the Masoretic text.³⁵

Implications for the Work of Peter Flint

Peter Flint has devoted enormous research on all the fragments of the Psalms at Qumran. His work is well known and need not be rehearsed here in detail. He seeks to develop a trajectory from 4QPs^a—an extremely fragmentary text—to 11QPs^a and from there to our MT Psalter of 150 psalms. Although the text of the Psalms at Qumran does not differ greatly from MT, the arrangement and number does; additional Psalms are included. Is Flint's reconstruction persuasive?

First, he does not engage the convincing research of Roger Beckwith in 1996 and Jonathan Ben-Dov in 2008 whose extensive studies on astronomy and calendrical issues demonstrate that the Qumran Community added psalms and arranged them differently due to their solar calendar and the liturgical system based thereon.³⁶

Second, nowhere in his many contributions can we see any treatment of the superscription to LXX Psalm 151:

Οὖτος ὁ ψαλμὸς ἰδιόγραφος εἰς Δαυιδ καὶ ἔξωθεν τοῦ ἀριθμοῦ· ὅτε ἐμονομάχησεν τῷ Γολιαδ.

This psalm is autobiographical regarding David and outside the number. When he fought Goliath (Translation ours).

³⁴ E. Ulrich, "Methodological Reflections, on Determining Scriptural Status in First Century Judaism", in M.L. Grossman (ed.), *Rediscovering the Dead Sea Scrolls: An Assessment of Old and New Approaches and Methods* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2010) 145–61, on p. 155.

³⁵ We did not have space to analyze MasPs^b, but most scholars, including Peter Flint, have analysed it and have concluded that it represents the end of the book of Psalms and there was no Psalm 151. If this conclusion is granted, then Masada also possessed a text which would indicate that the final shape of the Psalter agreed with the later Masoretic Psalter. Cf. P.W. Flint, *The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls and the Book Of Psalms*, 140–1.

³⁶ R.T. Beckwith, Calendar and Chronology, Jewish and Christian, 141-66; J. Ben-Dov, Head of All Years, 49-52.

The superscription specifically states that Psalm 151 is "outside the number". Therefore the translator of the Greek Psalter was aware of 150 as a fixed number at the time of translation. The number 150 is also considered proven from MasPsb (a text which we have not had time to analyse) by most scholars, including Flint.³⁷

We do not, of course, have an exact date for the translation of the Hebrew Psalms into Greek. Surely it was translated after the Torah, but almost certainly before the Prologue to Greek Ben Sira. As Jannes Smith notes in an excellent contribution to the forthcoming Brill publication *Textual History of the Bible*, Munnich, Olofsson, and Schaper argue for a date in the second century B.C.E.³⁸

The problem is compounded by the proposal of Ulrich and Rüsen-Weinhold that our current Greek Psalter is a revision of the original. While intriguing, this theory about a phantom translation of a phantom parent text remains unverified.³⁹ Comparison with the book of Daniel is invalid since of approximately 90 extant manuscripts of Daniel only 3 attest the OG (88, 967, Syh) as opposed to that of Theodotion, whereas of approximately 1,300 known manuscripts of the Psalter all attest the same text.⁴⁰ There is no manuscript evidence for Ulrich's proposed OG.

Bibliography

Barthélemy, D., Critique Textuelle de L'Ancien Testament (1. Josué, Juges, Ruth, Samuel, Rois, Chroniques, Esdras, Néhémie, Esther. Rapport final du Comité pour l'analyse textuelle de l'Ancien Testament hébreu institué par l'Alliance Biblique Universelle, établi en coopération avec A.R. Hulst, N. Lohfink, W.D. McHardy, H.P. Rüger, coéditeur, J.A. Sanders, coéditeur; Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis 50/1; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1982).

Beckman, G., *Hittite Diplomatic Texts* (2nd edn; SBL Writings from the Ancient World Series 7; Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1999).

Beckwith, R.T., Calendar and Chronology, Jewish and Christian: Biblical, Intertestamental and Patristic Studies (Leiden: Brill, 1996).

Ben-Dov, J., *Head of All Years: Astronomy and Calendars at Qumran in their Ancient Context* (Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah 78; Leiden: Brill, 2008).

Bouzard, Jr., W.C., "The Date of the Psalms Scroll from the Cave of Letters (5/6HEVPS) Reconsidered", *Dead Sea Discoveries* 10/3 (2003) 319–37.

³⁷ P.W. Flint, The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls and the Book Of Psalms, 140-141.

³⁸ J. Smith, "10.3.1. Septuagint [Psalms > Primary Translations]", in A. Lange/E. Tov (ed.), Textual History of the Bible: The Hebrew Bible Volume 1C, Writings (Leiden: Brill, 2017), 82–88.

³⁹ There is not space here to rehearse the convincing evidence provided by Smith that it is unlikely.

⁴⁰ This number is based on current research of the Septuaginta-Unternehmen der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 2015.

- Dávid, N. & Lange, A. & de Troyer, K. & Tzoref, S., *The Hebrew Bible in Light of the Dead Sea Scrolls* (Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments 239; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2012).
- de Hoop, R. & Sanders, P. (ed.), Have a Break (Forthcoming 2015).
- Donner H. & Röllig. W., *Kanaanäische und Aramäische Inschriften* (3 volumes; Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1962–1964 [Rev edn, 2005]).
- Dunand, F., *Papyrus Grecs Bibliques (Papyrus F. INV. 266) Volumina de la Genèse et du Deutéronome: Texte et Planches* (Extrait des Études de Papyrologie 9; Cairo: Imprimerie de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, 1966).
- Dunand, F., *Papyrus Grecs Bibliques (Papyrus F. INV. 266) Volumina de la Genèse et du Deuté-ronome: Introduction* (Recherches d'Archéologie, de Philologie et d'Histoire 27; Cairo: Imprimerie de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, 1966).
- Flint, P.W., *The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls and the Book of Psalms* (Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah 17; Leiden: Brill, 1997).
- Flint, P.W., "The Contribution of the Cave 4 Psalms Scrolls To the Psalms Debate", *Dead Sea Discoveries* 5/3 (1998) 320–33.
- Flint, P.W., "The Book of Psalms in the Light of the Dead Sea Scrolls", *Vetus Testamentum* 48/4 (1998) 453–72.
- Flint, P.W., "Five Surprises in the Qumran Psalms Scrolls", in A. Hilhorst/É. Puech/E. Tigchelaar (ed.), Flores Florentino: Dead Sea Scrolls and Other Early Jewish Studies in Honour of Florentino García Martínez (Supplements to the Journal for the Study of Judaism 122; Leiden: Brill, 2007) 183–96.
- Flint, P.W., "The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls: Psalms Manuscripts, Editions, and the Oxford Hebrew Bible", in S. Gillingham (ed.), *Jewish and Christian Approaches: Conflict and Convergence* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013) 11–34.
- Gentry, P.J., "The Text of the Old Testament", Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society 52/1 (2009) 19–45.
- Gibson, J.C.L., Textbook of Syrian Semitic Inscriptions. Volume II. Aramaic Inscriptions (Oxford: Clarendon, 1975).
- Grossman, M.L. (ed.), Rediscovering the Dead Sea Scrolls: An Assessment of Old and New Approaches and Methods (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2010).
- Hallo, W.W., "The Concept of Canonicity in Cuneiform and Biblical Literature: A Comparative Reappraisal", in *The World's Oldest Literature: Studies in Sumerian Belles-Lettres* (Leiden: Brill, 2010) 699–716.
- Hallo, W.W., "Assyriology and the Canon", in *The World's Oldest Literature: Studies in Sumerian Belles-Lettres* (Leiden: Brill, 2010) 85–91.
- Kitchen, K.A. & Lawrence, P.J.N., Treaty, Law, and Covenant in the Ancient Near East (3 Vols; Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2012).
- Law, T.M., When God Spoke Greek: The Septuagint and the Making of the Christian Bible (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013).
- Lim, T.H., The Formation of the Jewish Canon (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2013).

- Millard, A., "Text and Comment", in G.A. Tuttle (ed.), Biblical and Near Eastern Studies: Essays in Honor of William Sanford LaSor (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1978) 245–52.
- O'Connor, M.P., Hebrew Verse Structure (2nd edn; Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1980, 1997).
- Pelletier, A., La Lettre d'Aristée a Philocrate (Sources Chrétiennes 89; Paris: Les Éditions du Cerf, 1962).
- Rahlfs, A. (ed.), *Psalmi Cum Odis* (Septuaginta Vetus Testamentum Graecum Vol. 10; 3rd edn; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1931, 1967, 1979).
- Revell, E.J., "The Oldest Evidence for the Hebrew Accent System", *Bulletin of the John Rylands Library* 54 (1971) 214–22.
- Revell, E.J., "The Occurrence of Pausal Forms", Journal of Semitic Studies 57/2 (2012) 213-30.
- Revell, E.J., "Minor Pausal Forms and their Function", Vetus Testamentum 65/3 (2015) 457-65.
- Revell, E.J., *The Pausal System: Divisions in the Hebrew Biblical Text as Marked by Voweling and Stress Position* (R. de Hoop/P. Sanders (ed.); Sheffield: Phoenix Press, 2015).
- Revell, E.J., "Pausal Forms Marked with Conjunctive Accents", *Journal of Semitic Studies* 61/1 (2016) 67–84.
- Sanders, P., "The Ashkar-Gilson Manuscript: Remnant of a Proto-Masoretic Model Scroll of the Torah", *Journal of Hebrew Scriptures* 14 (2014) 1–25 (jhs.2014.v14.a7).
- Sanders, P., "The Colometric Layout of Psalms 1 to 14 in the Aleppo Codex", in M. Korpel/J. Oesch (ed.), *Studies in Scriptural Unit Division* (Pericope 3; Assen: Van Gorcum, 2002) 226–57.
- Schuller, E., "Review of *The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls and the Book of Psalms*, by Peter W. Flint", *Hebrew Studies*, 41 (2000) 297–303.
- Smith, J., "10.3.1. Septuagint [Psalms > Primary Translations]", in A. Lange/E. Tov (ed.), *Textual History of the Bible* (Leiden: Brill, forthcoming).
- Smith, J., "The Meaning and Function of Άλληλουϊα in the Old Greek Psalter", in M.K.H. Peeters (ed.), XII Congress of the International Organization for Septuagint and Cognate Studies, Leiden, 2004 (SCS 54; Atlanta: SBL, 2006) 141–51.
- Smith, J., "The Text-Critical Significance of Oxyrhynchus Papyrus 5101 (Ra 2227) for the Old Greek Psalter", *Journal of Septuagint and Cognate Studies* 45 (2012) 5–22.
- Smith, J., Translated Hallelujahs: A Linguistic and Exegetical Commentary on Select Septuagint Psalms (Leuven: Peeters, 2011).
- Talmon, S., "Review of *The Dead Sea Psalms Scrolls and the Book of Psalms*, by Peter W. Flint", IBL 118/3 (1999) 545–7.
- Talmon, S. & Yadin, Y., *Masada VI: The Yigael Yadin Excavations 1963–1965* (Jerusalem: Israel Exploration Society, 1999).
- Tigchelaar, E., "Notes on the Ezekiel Scroll from Masada (MasEzek)", *Revue de Qumran* 22/86 (2005) 269–75.
- Toy, E., "A Qumran Origin for the Masada Non-Biblical Texts?" DSD (2000) 57-73.
- Tov, E., "The Background of the Stichometric Arrangements of Poetry in the Judean Desert Scrolls", in *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible, Qumran, Septuagint, Collected Essays, Volume 3* (Supplements to Vetus Testamentum 167; Leiden: Brill, 2015) 325–36.

- Tov, E., "The Biblical Texts from the Judean Desert—An Overview and Analysis", in *Hebrew Bible, Greek Bible and Qumran: Collected Essays by Emanuel Tov* (Tübingen: Mohr-Siebeck, 2008).
- Tov, E., Scribal Practices and Approaches Reflected in the Texts Found in the Judean Desert (Leiden: Brill, 2004).
- Tov, E., *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible* (3rd revised and expanded edn; Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2012).
- Ulrich, E., "Methodological Reflections on Determining Scriptural Status in First Century Judaism", in M.L. Grossman (ed.), Rediscovering the Dead Sea Scrolls: An Assessment of Old and New Approaches and Methods (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2010) 145–61.
- VanderKam, J.C., The Dead Sea Scrolls and the Bible (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2012).
- Van der Toorn, K., *Scribal Culture and the Making of the Hebrew Bible* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007).
- van Liere, F., An Introduction to the Medieval Bible (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- von Weissenberg, H. & Pakkala, J. & Marttila, M. (ed.), Changes in Scripture: Rewriting and Interpreting Authoritative Traditions in the Second Temple Period (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2011).
- Williams, P.J. "The Bible, the Septuagint, and the Apocrypha: A Consideration of Their Singularity", in G. Khan & D. Lipton (ed.), *Studies on the Text and Versions of the Hebrew Bible in Honour of Robert Gordon* (Leiden: Brill, 2012).
- Yeivin, I. *Introduction to the Tiberian Masorah* (Transl. and edited by E.J. Revell; Masoretic Studies 5; Missoula: Scholars Press, 1980).

DJD Volumes

- Tov, E., Revised Lists of the Texts from the Judean Desert (Leiden: Brill, 2010).
- Barthélemy, D./J.T. Milik (ed.), *Qumran Cave 1* (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert I; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1955).
- Baillet, M. & Milik, J.T. & de Vaux, R. (ed.), *Les 'Petites Grottes' de Qumran (Texte)* (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert III; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1962).
- Baillet, M. & Milik, J.T. & de Vaux, R. (ed.), Les 'Petites Grottes' de Qumran (Planches) (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert III; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1962).
- Skehan, P.W. & Ulrich, E. & Sanderson, J.E. (ed.), Qumrân Cave 4: IV. Palaeo-Hebrew and Greek Biblical Manuscripts (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert IX; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1992).
- Sanders, J.A. (ed.), *The Psalms Scroll of Qumrân Cave 11 (11QPs*^a) (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert IV; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1965).
- Ulrich, E. & Cross, F.M. & White Crawford, S. & Duncan, J.A. & Skehan, P.W. & Tov, E. & Trebolle Barrera, J. (ed.), *Qumran Cave 4: IX. Deuteronomy, Joshua, Judges, Kings* (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert XIV; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1995).

- Ulrich, E. (ed.), Qumran Cave 4: XVI. Psalms to Chronicles (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert XVI; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2000).
- Puech, É. (ed.), *Qumrân Grotte 4: XVIII. Textes Hébreux* (4Q521–4Q528, 4Q576–4Q579) (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert XXV; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998).
- Charlesworth, J. et al. (ed.), *Miscellaneous Texts from the Judaean Desert* (Discoveries in the Judaean Desert XXXVIII; Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2001).