

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics Simulations for Asteroid Deflection

Maximilian Rutz

Abstract

SPH simulations for the DART Mission ...

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Theory	3
2.1	Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations	3
2.2	Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations	4
2.3	Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics	4
3	Numerics	4
3.1	Initial conditions	4
3.2	Right hand side quantities	4
3.3	Timestep Integrator	4
4	Results	5
4.1	Cratering	5
4.2	Beta factor	5
5	Discussion	5
6	Conclusions	5

1 Introduction

A number of research groups [1]

2 Theory

I will start with the fluid case for SPH and then show how it can be modified for solids.

2.1 Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations

- quite new: developed in 1992 - goal was to solve Navier-Stokes Equations -
 - Fluide die Navier-Stokes Equations gehorchen heissen newtonsche fluide - there
 are compressible and incompressible Navier Stokes Equations (for shockwaves)
 - equations describe velocity changes (in a defined region of space) over time -
 compressible N.S. Equations: $\rho \cdot (\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v) = \rho \cdot g - \nabla p + \mu \cdot \Delta v$ - convective
 acceleration (schneller bei Verengung) is a vector, not the result of a dot product:

$$v \cdot \nabla v \equiv \begin{pmatrix} v_x \cdot \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \\ v_y \cdot \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} \\ v_z \cdot \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} - \text{vector equation means three equations have to be solved}$$

independently - Rearranged long vector form of navier stokes: $\rho \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial t} \\ \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial t} \end{pmatrix} = -\rho \cdot$

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_x \cdot \frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x} \\ v_y \cdot \frac{\partial v_y}{\partial y} \\ v_z \cdot \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} + \rho \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_x \\ g_y \\ g_z \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} + \mu \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 v_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_x}{\partial z^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 v_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_y}{\partial z^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_z}{\partial z^2} \end{pmatrix} - \text{laplacian on a vector}$$

field returns a vector, not a scalar! - pressure (is this the same in miluphcuda?):
 $p = k \cdot (\rho - \rho_0)$ - Mass continuity (dividing by rho also gives velocity continuity!?)
 - yes, but only in incompressible N.S. equations - what about solids?: - $\rho \cdot (\nabla \cdot v) = 0$ - how to solve N.S. and continuity at same time? ... automatically
 solved in particle methods when particles are not created/destroyed - In SPH
 we want to know the local velocity change at each particle location - Show that:
 $\frac{dv_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v$

- $v \cdot \nabla v$ holds for any scalar field property y that a particle traverses with
 velocity u $u \cdot \nabla y$ since it is the projection $u \cdot \nabla y = |u| \cdot |\nabla y| \cdot \cos(\Theta)$ where Θ is
 the angle between u and ∇y . - Thus, the local change in the field property $\frac{dy_i}{dt}$
 at the point i in the Lagrangian view corresponds to the sum of the change of
 the field property at a point i over time $\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial t}$ and the change $u_i \cdot \nabla y_i$ of the field
 property due to the movement of the particle along the field with velocity u in
 the Eulerian view: $\frac{dy_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial t} + u_i \cdot \nabla y_i$

This expression is called the Material Derivative $\frac{Dy}{dt}$: $\frac{Dy}{dt} \equiv \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla y$

It gives us the change of the field property in the reference frame of the
 particle (denoted by the subscript i):

In the Navier-Stokes Equations the scalar fields of interest happen to be the individual velocity components so we get: $\rho \cdot \frac{dv_i}{dt} = \rho \cdot \frac{Dv}{Dt} = \rho \cdot (\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v) = \rho \cdot g - \nabla p + \mu \cdot \Delta v$
 $\frac{dv_i}{dt} = g - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \cdot \nabla p + \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \cdot \Delta v$ - This is where we change from Euler(grid methods) to Lagrangian view (particle information) ...

2.2 Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations

To apply the Navier-Stokes Equations to solids, a more general form is needed.

2.3 Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics

Now that we have our compressible Navier-Stokes Equations in Lagrangian form we want to solve them.

- quite new: developed in 1992 - goal was to solve Navier-Stokes Equations
- Uses Smoothing Kernels ... similar concept as basis functions in FEM - quantities are weighted average
- Terms in the Navier-Stokes Equation:

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = g - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \cdot \nabla p + \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \cdot \Delta v \quad (1)$$

- 1) Density: $\rho_i \approx \sum_j m_j \cdot W(r - r_j, h)$
 - 2) Pressure gradient: $\frac{\nabla p_i}{\rho_i} \approx \sum_j m_j \cdot (\frac{p_i}{\rho_i^2} + \frac{p_j}{\rho_j^2}) \cdot \nabla W(r - r_j, h)$ - why the gradient of W??
 - 3) Viscosity term: $\frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \cdot \Delta v_i \approx \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \cdot \sum_j m_j \cdot (\frac{v_j - v_i}{\rho_j}) \cdot \Delta W(r - r_j, h)$
- Smoothing kernels: - $w = 0 \text{ for } |r - r_0| > h \int_{||r'-r|| \leq h} W(r' - r, h) dr' = 1$ - concrete Kernel implementation shown in Numerics section

3 Numerics

3.1 Initial conditions

- SPH needs uniform macro structure but random micro structure

3.2 Right hand side quantities

- how are the equations from Theory section used to get the development over time - final form of Equation we used:

3.3 Timestep Integrator

- 1) Timestep algorithm for velocity update (RungeKutta 2)
- 2) Update particle positions from velocity and acceleration (accel from Navier Stokes)
- 3) Error criterium for timestep (what is used? RK45?)

4 Results

4.1 Cratering

4.2 Beta factor

5 Discussion

- beta factor on the lower end - upper limit beta ≤ 2 because of momentum conservation??

6 Conclusions

References

- [1] Sabina Raducan et al. “The role of asteroid strength, porosity and internal friction in impact momentum transfer”. In: *Icarus* 329 (Apr. 2019), pp. 282–295. DOI: 10.1016/j.icarus.2019.03.040.