

a wrap persists on spacetime

Maximilian Weinhold

November 2025

1 Introduction

$$\Psi$$

we assume as our prior.

$$o\Psi$$

we conceptualize as an action on space.

Let sway:

$$\Psi \equiv \Psi(*t)$$

* as a coordinate or a localization, made on time to evolve.

We give a smaller structure in ψ , as composing:

$$o(E * \psi \rightarrow \Psi)$$

Whereby

$$E$$

we index, as a guess estimate on space:

$$E(\eta) = mR^2 + k\omega + \Omega(\eta)$$

And say thus:

$$1. \sum \forall (composable) o * E_t = \psi \in \Psi$$

$$1. \Omega(\eta) \text{ may not } = 0$$

enumerate

For an arbitrary $[o] = t^2$, buffeted by t ,

And a dimensionless o .

Ω we create as a useful crutch, such that it enables a bridge to larger space, for example in said way:

$$\Omega(t) \equiv E$$

For a sometimes different E

That a conscienceable smooth space be bourne smoothly, in two separate actions with a same coordinate on t , for by

$$E_t \neq E_{/t}$$

or perhaps *Complement*(t), with a created *or'* τ , being a little different.

And so we look where τ is,

and easily find it! At least, in the perspective of this author, to be composed of the form:

$$[\tau] = e^{-s\phi}$$

For $t \neq [\phi]$

and

$$[\phi] = \textit{whoknows} \text{ and } t = [\frac{1}{c^5} \hbar G]$$

Or something thereabouts (Cite)

So by creating an interval, what we regard as a minima, and I hereby give someone else than old friend Planck. Decided by a clever conscienceable betting market. Or thereabouts.

And thereby decide this:

That

$$[o] \neq t$$

to create *Undecidable*[o]

And lay the Lemming myth of joint distress to rest, building land for bears that lose their ice.

So by constructing o , in what we call a frame of mathematical operators, typically defined on some manifold or flow field, smooth -or patched - curve, permuted for multiple instances, or, perhaps equivalently, by Langlands I hope,

'geometry'

That we say this:

Since Ψ and E exist under the same transformation, and we have let o fly free, do we note that

$$\textit{exists}(*): o * E \rightarrow \Psi$$

And we compose $*$ in a hung frame

$$o* \rightarrow \langle \psi | * | \psi \rangle$$

Where, suddenly, $*$ exists as E

Thereby, in aggregate, with I conjecture as a $\sum_i w + \Omega_i$

For an Omega not under the sum, though beholden to a coordinate, which connects, again twice smoothly.

An outside-map, so to speak.

Whereby consists o

that is such:

$$\nabla \times o = [t]$$

Though $[o] = [\tau]$

And, conceputally,

$$\nabla \times E - \Omega = -k\omega + mR^2$$

Or as Schrödinger composed

As such, we imagine E dazed and confused momentarily, with the strength of our perturbation.

And as a relaxed state, outside itself.

$$[o] \neq [t]$$

And so, make the beautiful formula, which makes Gödel blush,

$$o * t : E(-\sqrt{\pm}\sqrt{t^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \rightarrow t^2)$$

And get one-free element, that is the action of a negative outside a square root, or of an assembly change. Helpfully, our intermediate state may be found within a 'subtype' and 'supertype' form¹ $E(\mathbf{0}) \in (\mathbb{R} \vee \mathbb{C})$ may exist within

$$E_o = m_\nu R^\nu [t^{-1}]$$

Where we index m by the lightest instance, ν , though parametrize a radius by a join ν , which happens of the same particle. Neutrinos, as the first form representative in different water H_2O -

For $/$ not $= [A_{N_0}] = 1_1$ or 1_2 for one or two neutrons, most of the time, be likewise composed.

- 2

¹thomasjm: <https://github.com/bj-pieri/SICP/tree/master> ; 2.5 Systems with Generic Operators

²And I think $*$ sometimes be outside the parenthesis in postulate 4.

Do happen suddenly, and harmonically, in threes.

Though sometimes *not*.

And also say

that $o * t$ is likewise smoothly defined if we allow an indeterminacy of $*$, such that for every answer, we obtain *imprecision*, which is a constant, of Zeppelinic proportions, though we may create a minimum composition of o .

Now, if we remember mistakes before the heat of unconsciousable grew, that we may access a *read* sometimes before the *imprecision*.

And improve space.

And say that, from the third postulate down, that an indeterminacy exists at the heart of all space, which I'll note now as Ψ , that such we may never completely define. Snuck in by o , to allow a floor.