

§3: Euler integral and its deformation.

$$M^{\text{hyp}} = M_{\pi}^{\text{hyp}} = M(B_{X|Z \times T}) \xrightarrow{\quad} V(1-yf(x,z))$$

$T = (\mathbb{C}^+)^{n+1}$   
coords  $x, y$ .

Here  $B_{X|Z \times T}$  is the following  $D_{Z \times T}$ -module:

$$B_{X|Z \times T} = D_{Z \times T} / \mathcal{I}, \text{ where } \mathcal{I} \text{ is generated by}$$

①  $1 - y f(x, z)$

②  $x_j \partial_{x_j} - (y \partial_y) \cdot y \cdot (x_j \partial_{x_j}) f(x, z) \quad j = 1, \dots, n$

③  $\partial_{z_k} - (y \partial_y) \cdot y \cdot \partial_{z_k} f(x, z) \quad k = 1, \dots, m$

(partial)

Mellin:  $M: \mathbb{C}[z, x^{\pm 1}] \langle \partial_z, \partial_x \rangle \rightarrow K \langle \sigma_v, \partial_z \rangle = \text{DD}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -x_i \partial_{x_i} & \longrightarrow & \nu_i \\ x_i^{\pm 1} & \longrightarrow & \sigma_{\nu_i}^{\pm 1} \end{array}$$

(A)  $1 - \sigma_{\nu_0} f(\sigma_{\nu}, z) \quad (x_0 = y)$

(B)  $-\nu_j + \nu_0 \cdot \sigma_{\nu_0} \nu_j f(\sigma_{\nu}, z) \quad j = 1, \dots, n$

(C)  $\partial_{z_k} - \nu_0 \cdot \sigma_{\nu_0} \cdot \partial_{z_k} f(\sigma_{\nu}, z) \quad k = 1, \dots, m$

These generate a left DD-ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Observation: these operators annihilate the Euler integral

$$I(z, \nu) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_n^{\nu_n}}{f(x, z)^{\nu_0}} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{A} \quad \sigma_v \cdot f(\sigma_v, z) \circ I(z, \nu) &= \sigma_v \cdot \int_{\Gamma} f(x, z) \frac{x^\nu}{f(x, z)^{\nu_0}} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= I(z, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

ⓑ "Four lectures on Euler integrals" Proposition 4.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{C} \quad \nu_0 \sigma_{\nu_0} (\partial_{z_k} f(\sigma_{\nu}, z) \circ I(z, \nu)) &= \nu_0 \sigma_{\nu_0} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_{z_k} f \cdot \frac{x^\nu}{f^{\nu_0}} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \nu_0 \cdot \frac{x^\nu}{f^{\nu_0+1}} \cdot \partial_{z_k} f \frac{dx}{x} = \partial_{z_k} \circ I(z, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

↗  $d \log \frac{x^\nu}{f^{\nu_0}}$

Consider the de Rham complex with  $\nabla_w = d_x + w \wedge$ .

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0_{X/Z} \xrightarrow{\nabla_w} \Omega^1_{X/Z} \xrightarrow{\nabla_w} \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^n_{X/Z} \rightarrow 0$$

$$H^n(\Omega^\bullet_{X/Z}, \nabla_w) = \frac{\Omega^n_{X/Z}}{\nabla_w(\Omega^{n-1}_{X/Z})} = \frac{\text{"n-forms"}}{\text{"n-forms which integrate to 0 on any cycle"}}$$

↗  $\eta \rightarrow \int_{\Gamma} \eta \cdot \frac{x^\nu}{f^{\nu_0}}$

Think of  $[\eta] \in H^n(\dots)$  as an integral

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}} \quad \text{with unspecified cycle } \Gamma.$$

Equip  $H^n(\dots)$  with DD-module structure:

$$\sigma_{v_j} \cdot \int_{\Gamma} \eta(v, z) \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}} = \int_{\Gamma} x_j \eta(v + e_j, z) \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \sigma_{v_j} \cdot [\eta(v, z)] = [x_j \eta(v + e_j, z)]$$

$$\partial_{z_k} \cdot [\eta(v, z)] = \left[ \frac{v_0 \partial_{z_k} f(x, z)}{f(x, z)} \cdot \eta(v, z) \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \rightarrow J & \longrightarrow & \text{DD} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^n(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^\circ, \nabla_w) \\ & & P & \longmapsto & P \cdot \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right] \end{array}$$

(maybe)  
Proposition 3.1  $M^{\text{hyp}} \simeq H^n(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^\circ, \nabla_w)$  as DD-modules

"via  $\phi$ " (because  $[1] \mapsto \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right]$ )

That is,  $H^n(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{Z}}^\circ, \nabla_w)$  is cyclic, generated by  $\left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right]$

and  $J = \ker \phi$ .

TASK: eliminate  $\sigma_v$  from (A) + (B) + (C).

Jelim

The result of TASK gives a  $D_{Z_k}$ -module  
cyclic

$$N^{\text{hyp}} \subset M^{\text{hyp}} \text{ given by } D_{Z_k} / J_{\text{elim}} \cong D_{Z_k} \cdot \left[ \frac{dx}{x} \right]$$

↓

this inclusion might be strict, but

$$\text{Sing}(N^{\text{hyp}}) = \text{Sing}(M^{\text{hyp}}) \quad (\text{p.15}).$$

~~For each  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is a~~

Fixing  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  generic:  $\text{Sing } N^{\text{hyp}}(v) = \text{Sing } M^{\text{hyp}}(v)$ .

For each  $z$ , there is a surjection

$$H_n(X_z, L_v) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{D_z}(N^{\text{hyp}}(v), \mathcal{O}_z)$$

$$[\Gamma] \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}} \left( [\xi] \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}} \xi \right)$$

Theorem 3.2 For generic  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ,  $\text{Sing}(M^{\text{hyp}}(v))$  is where  
an Euler integral  $\int_{\Gamma} \frac{x^v}{f^{v_0}} \frac{dx}{x}$  develops singularities for some  $\Gamma$ .

(5)

 $\hbar$  deformationlikelihood equations:  $d \log \frac{x^\nu}{f^{\nu_0}} = \omega = 0$ .~ likelihood ideal  $I \subset K[x^{\pm 1}, z, f(x, z)^{\pm 1}] = R$   
in a commutative ring  $= R$ .The Koszul complex is a free resolution of  $R/I$ :

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Z}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\omega \wedge} \Omega_{X/Z}^n \xrightarrow{\quad} \Omega_{X/Z}^n \xrightarrow{\quad} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

 $d + \omega \wedge$  twisted de Rham.VS de Rham:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Z}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{X/Z}^n \longrightarrow H_{dR}^n(X/Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

idea: view twisted de Rham as interpolating between  
de Rham and Koszul, (or between  $H_{dR}^n$  and  $R/I$ ).Consider  $(\Omega_{X/Z}^\bullet, \hbar d + \omega \wedge)$  for small  $\hbar$ , work over  $K[[\hbar]]$ .This amounts to replacing  $\nu$  by  $\frac{\nu}{\hbar}$ 

$$\sigma_{\nu_i}^\hbar \circ [g(\nu, z)] = g(\nu + \hbar \cdot e_i, z)$$

$$\partial_{z_k}^\hbar \circ [g(\nu, z)] = \hbar \partial_{z_k} g(\nu, z).$$

$$[\sigma_{\nu_i}^\hbar, \nu_j] = \delta_{ij} \cdot \hbar \sigma_{\nu_i}, \quad [\partial_z^\hbar, z] = \hbar.$$

For  $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ , the ring  $K[z] \langle \sigma_{\nu_i}^{\pm 1}, \partial_z^\hbar \rangle$  becomes more  
and more

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} H^n(\Omega_{X/Z}, \nabla_\omega^\hbar) = R/I.$$

commutative