



Set the context of the board here...



Q Search

Sort by votes ▾



Add ▾



## :: Went Well :-)



PQCP delivery of mlkem  
verified implementation  
---  
Collaboration between  
OQS and PQCP  
16 Apr 7 1



The blog post for the  
one year anniversary  
16 Apr 4 0



Contributing to RWPQC  
and collaborating with  
MITRE and SandboxAQ.  
16 Apr 2 0



Hosting happy hour in  
San Jose  
16 Apr 1 0



funding for arm runners  
16 Apr 1 0



new tools working group  
set-up  
16 Apr 0 0



created lifecycle policy.  
16 Apr 0 0



automation of github  
repo access (bitwarden)  
16 Apr 0 0



## :: Went OK :-|



Broader participation -  
more companies since  
when we started  
16 Apr 3 0



attracted collab from  
telco org - didn't adopt  
code, but worthwhile for  
all  
16 Apr 2 0



Minutes taking for the  
TAC. We started doing  
with Github but  
stopped when we  
changed LF facilitator  
16 Apr 2 0



## :: To Improve :-|



Need to reach-out to  
more people for adding  
more contributors and  
maintainers.  
---  
Need more marketing  
communications: blogs,  
tweets, LinkedIn.  
There are some but  
more is needed  
16 Apr 10 0



more companies  
involved in PQCA but not  
collaborating in  
meetings/issues?  
16 Apr 5 0



Deal with disgruntled  
contributors early and  
fast.  
16 Apr 5 0



Linkedin Page for PQCA?  
(where we can attach  
blogs links and  
announcements and  
other people can repost  
it)  
16 Apr 4 0



longrunning discussion  
on what production  
means (pqca tac & oqs)  
---  
Communications  
between different  
working groups. The

## :: Action Items



Ask at the board  
,meetings to encourage  
member companies to  
participate in working  
groups.  
---  
Specifically ask for  
talent from the membe  
companies  
16 Apr 3



Create a LinkedIn page  
What should we do  
about other social  
media: X, BlueSky?  
16 Apr 2



More marketing is  
needed to reach out.  
Outreach committee  
started and that should  
help.  
16 Apr 2



Collaborative blog post  
16 Apr 2



Could different meeting  
times help increase  
participation. Perhaps  
rotating times or having  
two meetings?




PQCP and OQS do this  
16 Apr 1




|                   |  |   |
|-------------------|--|---|
| 4/19/25, 11:23 AM | EasyRetro   Improve your team with fun sprint retrospectives   |   |
|                   | <div>TAC is good place but it's every two weeks</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>4</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                           | <div>Perhaps refine and certainly code of conduct fast. We inheri the LF CoC but can expand it if needed.</div>         |
|                   | <div>Where is Google?</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>3</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>   | <div>Encourage folks to report CoC violations.</div>  |
|                   | <div>oqs/pqcp mlkem wasn't adopted for openssl :-(</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>3</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                        | <div>There is a process to report and it is anonymous.</div>  |
|                   | <div>contributor growth slow</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>2</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>  | <div>Add guidelines to enforce.</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div></div>                              |
|                   | <div>Communication with project TSCs</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>2</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                                      | <div>Use LFX more and especially the data and graphs</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div></div>         |
|                   | <div>didn't make use of lfx insights data to plan</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                         | <div>Dedicated team for creating and moving MLKEM to OQS. That helped and especially every two weeks discussions.</div> |
|                   | <div>slow progress with some long-running issues outside monthly meetings</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div> | <div></div>   |
|                   | <div>TAC meeting minutes</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>  |   |
|                   | <div>Need a process to onboard welcome new participants.</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                  |   |
|                   | <div>setup some working groups that didn't progress</div> <div>16 Apr</div> <div><div></div><div>1</div><div></div><div>0</div></div> <div><div></div></div>                       |   |
|                   | <div>Diversity of project types (production vs</div>   |   |

research, different stages, etc)

16 Apr  0  0



Keep GH issues tightly scoped 

16 Apr  0  1

