## Chapter 1

# Model definition

#### 1.1 Notations

We consider the prediction task of a set of observations  $(y^1, \dots y^T)$  given a set of input  $(u^1, \dots u^T)$ .

#### 1.2 Model

We define a L layer RNN followed by a fully connected layer. At time step t,

$$\begin{cases} y_{t+1} = \tanh(W_y x_{t+1}^L + b_y) \\ x_{t+1}^l = \tanh(W_{xx}^l x_t^l + W_{xu}^l x_{t+1}^{l-1} + b_x^l) & \forall 1 \le l \le L \end{cases}$$

with  $x_t^0 \equiv u_t \ \forall t \ \text{and} \ x_0^l \equiv 0 \ \forall 1 \leq l \leq L$ .

Let's consider the weights of the last RNN and fully connected layers as  $\theta \equiv (W^L_{xx}, W^L_{xu}, b^L_x, W_y, b_y)$ . We can define a new matrix  $x_t$  at each time step corresponding to the concatenation of all RNN layers:  $x_t \equiv (x_t^1 \cdots x_t^L)$ . We also introduce two sequences of random noises as i.i.d real valued random variables  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$ , with covariance matrices  $\Sigma_y$  and  $\Sigma_x$ . We can now write our model in terms of two functions f and g as:

$$\begin{cases} y_{t+1} = f_{\theta}(x_{t+1}) + \epsilon_{t+1} & \text{observation model} \\ x_{t+1} = g_{\theta}(x_t, u_{t+1}) + \eta_{t+1} & \text{state model} \end{cases}$$
 (1.1)

In the following section, we will focus on minimizing the log likelihood

$$\log p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) \tag{1.2}$$

#### 1.3 Minimization

In order to minimize 1.2, we apply an EM strategy. Let  $\mu_p = (\theta_p, \Sigma_{x,p}, \Sigma_{y,p})$ , we will compute at each EM step:

$$Q(\hat{\mu}_p, \mu) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}_p} \left[ \log \ p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) | y_{1:T} \right]$$
(1.3)

We can start by developing the log likelihood:

$$\begin{split} \log p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) &= \frac{1}{T} \log \left( \prod_{k=1}^{T} p_{\mu}(x_{k} | x_{k-1}, u_{k}) p_{\mu}(y_{k} | x_{k}) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \log p_{\mu}(x_{k} | x_{k-1}, u_{k}) + \log p_{\mu}(y_{k} | x_{k}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \log \left( \det(2\pi \Sigma_{x})^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))^{T} \Sigma_{x}^{-1}(x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k})) \right) \\ &+ \log \left( \det(2\pi \Sigma_{y})^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k}))^{T} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}(y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k})) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_{x}| - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_{y}| \\ &- \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} (x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))^{T} \Sigma_{x}^{-1}(x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k})) \\ &- \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} (y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k}))^{T} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}(y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k})) \end{split}$$

We will jointly update  $\Sigma_x$ ,  $\Sigma_y$  and  $\theta$  iteratively. We can start by computing the explicit form of the minimum of both covariance matrices.

For  $\Sigma_y$ , we search the zeros of the derivate of the convex function  $\Sigma_y \mapsto p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T})$ .

$$\frac{\partial p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T})}{\partial \Sigma_{y}^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{y} - \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} (x_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k})) \cdot (x_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k}))'$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T})}{\partial \Sigma_{y}^{-1}} = 0 \implies \Sigma_{y} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} (y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k}))(y_{k} - f_{\theta}(x_{k}))'$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{\mu}(X_{1:T}, y_{1:T}, u_{1:T})}{\partial \Sigma_{x}^{-1}} = 0 \implies \Sigma_{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} (x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))(x_{k} - g_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))'$$

We now have an expression for minimizing both  $\Sigma$  matrices given a value of  $\theta$ , but we can't compute an explicit form for minimizing  $\theta$ . We can identify two approaches to jointly minimizing  $\mu$ :

1. At each step of the EM algorithm, we can compute the maximum expectation for both covariant matrices given the previous value of  $\theta$ , then approximate the new  $\theta$  by minimizing an argmin, through gradient descent for example.

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{y,p+1} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}_{p}} \left[ (y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k}))(y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k}))' | y_{1:T} \right] \\ \Sigma_{x,p+1} &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}_{p}} \left[ (x_{k} - g_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))(x_{k} - g_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k-1}, u_{k}))' | y_{1:T} \right] \\ \theta_{p+1} &= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}_{p}} \left[ (y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k}))' \Sigma_{y,p+1}^{-1} (y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k})) + (x_{k} - g_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k-1}, u_{k})' \Sigma_{x,p+1}^{-1} (x_{k} - g_{\theta_{p}}(x_{k-1}, u_{k})) | y_{1:T} \right] \end{split}$$

2. We can also ignore the explicit expression for the covariant matrices, and approximate both  $\theta$  and  $\Sigma$  by gradient descent at each time step. Although we're putting aside a valuable result about  $\Sigma$ , this method could prove more efficient from an implementation perspective.

### 1.4 Sequential Monte Carlo Approach

In order to compute the conditional expectations in the previous expressions, we will sample trajectories  $\xi_{1:T}^m$  associated with the weights  $\omega_T^m$  with respect to the density  $p_{\theta}(x|y)$ , using a sequential Monte Carlo particle filter.

We sample particles iteratively. At time step k = 0,  $(\xi_0^l)_{l=1}^N$  are sampled independently from the instrumental density  $\rho_0$  and each particle is associated with the standard importance sampling weight:

$$\omega_0^l = \chi(\xi_0^l) g_0(\xi_0^l) / \rho_0(\xi_0^l)$$

At time k, we choose to propagate the previous particle  $(\xi_{k-1}^l)$  with density:

$$\pi_k(l,x) \propto \omega_{k-1}^l \nu(\xi_{k-1}^l) p_k(\xi_{k-1}^l,x)$$

Particles are associated with weights:

$$\omega_k^l = \frac{q(\xi_{k-1}^{I_k^l}, \xi_k^l)}{p_k(\xi_{k-1}^{I_k^l}, \xi_k^l)} \frac{g_k(\xi_k^l)}{\nu_k(\xi_{k-1}^{I_k^l})}$$

Using the poor man filter, we get N trajectories:

$$\xi_{0:k+1}^l = (\xi_{0:k}^{I_{k+1}^l}, \xi_{k+1}^l)$$

We can now approximate this conditional expectation for any measurable bounded function h:

$$\Phi_k^M[h] = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\mu}_p} \left[ h(x_k) | y_{1:T} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{l=1}^N \omega_T^l h(\xi_{0:T}^l)$$

#### 1.5 Gradient descent

At each iteration of the EM algorithm, we start by generating a set of particles under the law p(x|y), that allows us to compute a explicit value for the expectation. We can then minimize this expectation, in order to approximate the new  $\theta$  candidate, using a gradient descent.

$$\theta_{p+1} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \omega_{T}^{m} (y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(\xi_{k}^{m}))' \Sigma_{y,p+1}^{-1} (y_{k} - f_{\theta_{p}}(\xi_{k}^{m}))$$

$$+ \omega_{T}^{m} (\xi_{k}^{m} - g_{\theta_{p}}(\xi_{k-1}^{m}, u_{k})' \Sigma_{x,p+1}^{-1} (\xi_{k}^{m} - g_{\theta_{p}}(\xi_{k-1}^{m}, u_{k}))$$