MAT 415 Notes

Max Chien

Fall 2025

Contents

Introduction

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

1.1 Dirichlet's Theorem on Primes in Progression

> **Theorem 1.1: Dirichlet**
>
> Given $a, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, q) = 1$, there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv a$ $\pmod{q}$.

We begin by considering Euler's proof of the infinitude of primes. Recall that the zeta function,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

converges absolutely for $s > 1$ (for now we will work with real s), and diverges for $n = 1$. Moreover, consider the product

$$\prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{-ks}$$

Since we still have absolute convergence, we may rearrange the generic terms in the product. Each such term is of the form $(p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m})^{-s}$, and by unique factorization thsi means the term n^{-s} shows up exactly once for each n. Hence

$$\prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. Taking $s \to 1$, the right hand side diverges so the left hand side does as well. Hence it is clear that there are infinitely many primes. So our goal will be to use a similar strategy which demonstrates that

$$\sum_{p \equiv a \pmod{m}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$$

To do this, consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, as well as its group of units $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$. Recall that the totient function is

$$\phi(m) = \left|(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*\right| = \#\{\text{numbers } \leq m \text{ rel. prime to } m\}$$

We will work with the space of functions $f : (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^* \to \mathbb{C}$. The goal is to define a sense of Fourier expansion for this vector space. We define

$$e(z) := e^{2\pi i z}$$

For $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we can perform such an expansion by observing that the set of $e(mx)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ defines an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C})$. More generally, if G is a finite abelian group, then we denote by $\hat{G}$ the group of its characters; that is, homomorphisms $\chi : G \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Since every element in G has finite order, each character maps into the roots of unity.

> **Remark**
>
> We denote additive characters by ψ and multiplicative ones by χ.

> **Proposition 1.2**
>
> $G \cong \hat{G}$.

Proof. First suppose G is cyclic. Then we can assume we are working with $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}, +)$. Any additive character is determined by $\psi(1)$, and $\psi(1)$ is necessarily an rth root of unity. So the characters are precisely those of the form

$$\psi_\nu(x) = e\left(\frac{\nu x}{r}\right)$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. So clearly $\left|\hat{G}\right| = |G|$. Also, $\psi_\nu \psi_\mu = \psi_{\nu+\mu}$, so the map $\nu \mapsto \psi_\nu$ is an onto homomorphism from G to $\hat{G}$, hence an isomorphism.

Homework: in the general case, use the classification of finite groups. $\qquad\square$

Note that this isomorphism is not canonical, however the isomorphism $G \cong \hat{\hat{G}}$ is.

> **Definition 1.1**
>
> Suppose $\chi \in \hat{G}$, and $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a function. Then we define the **Fourier transform** $\hat{f} : \hat{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ of f on G by
> $$\hat{f}(\chi) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\chi(g)$$

> **Proposition 1.3**
>
> If χ_e denotes the trivial character which takes all elements to $1 \in \mathbb{C}$, then
> $$\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} |G|, & \chi = \chi_e \\ 0 \end{cases}$$
> $$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} \left|\hat{G}\right| = |G|, & g = e \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the first, the formula is obvious when $\chi = \chi_e$. Otherwise, there is an element a where $\chi(a) \neq 1$. But then

$$S = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = \sum_{g \in G} \chi(ag) = S = \chi(a)S$$

But $\chi(a) \neq 1$, so we must have $S = 0$. Similarly, for the second, if $g \neq e$, then the canonical double dual $\hat{\hat{g}} \in \hat{\hat{G}}$ is not the identity. So there is $\chi' \in \hat{G}$ with $\chi'(g) \neq 1$. Then

$$S = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(g) = \sum_{\chi\chi' \in \hat{G}} (\chi\chi')(g) = \chi'(g)S$$

so $S = 0$. $\qquad\square$

> **Theorem 1.4: Fourier Inversion**
>
> For any $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$,
> $$f(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi)\overline{\chi(g)}$$

Proof. By orthogonality,

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{f}(\chi)\overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \sum_{h \in G} f(h)\chi(h)\overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} f(h) \sum_{\chi \in G} \overline{\chi(g)}\chi(h)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} f(h) \sum_{\chi \in G} \chi(g^{-1}h) = \frac{1}{|G|} f(g)|G| = f(g) \qquad\square$$

Now, we want to calculate the transform of the indicator function I_a for some $a \in G$. Then by Fourier inversion,

$$\hat{I}_a(\chi) = \sum_{g \in G} I_a(g)\chi(g) = \chi(a)$$

$$I_a(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \hat{I}_a(\chi)\overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(a)\overline{\chi(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(ag^{-1})$$

and by orthogonality we verify that this is correct.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\chi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}})^*$. Then the **Dirichlet L-function** associated with χ is the function $L(\cdot, \chi) : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, where

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$$

where we extend χ to the integers by defining

$$\chi(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & (n, m) > 1 \\ \chi(n \pmod{m}) \end{cases}$$

Note that because $|\chi| \leq 1$, the series converges absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, and the Euler product is given by

$$L(s, \chi) = \prod_{p} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1}$$

because $\chi(mn) = \chi(m)\chi(n)$. Actually, we can make do with a slightly weaker assumption so an alternate form of the Euler product holds.

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$. Then f is called **multiplicative** if $f(1) = 1$ and $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ whenever $(m, n) = 1$. It is called **totally multiplicative** if $f(mn) = f(m)f(n)$ for all m, n.

For any multiplicative f,

$$\prod_{p} (1 + f(p)p^{-s} + f(p^2)p^{-2s} + \ldots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$

1. $L(s, \chi)$ converges absolutely on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, and is analytic there. If $\chi \neq \chi_0$ then it is analytic on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$ as well, though it converges conditionally ($L(s, \chi_e)$ has a pole at 0).

2. $L(s, \chi)$ is nonzero on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$.

3. $L(s, \chi_e) = \zeta(s) \prod_{q|m} (1 - q^{-s})$.

Proof.   1. Convergence is easy since $|\chi(n)| \leq 1$. If $\chi \neq \chi_e$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \chi(n) = 0$$

so

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{T} \chi(n) \right| \leq m$$

for any T. Then we employ summation by parts:

$$\sum_{n \leq T} \chi(n) n^{-s} = \sum_{n \leq T} \left(\sum_{\nu \leq n} \chi(\nu) \right) [n^{-s} - (n+1)^{-s}] = \sum_{n \leq T} \left(\sum_{\nu \leq n} \chi(\nu) \right) \frac{s}{n^{-(s+1)}}$$

Since the factor $\sum_{\nu \leq n} \chi(\nu)$ is bounded, this sum converges for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$.

2. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, the Euler product

$$L(s, \chi) = \prod_p (1 - \chi(p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$

converges. But no factor is zero, so the whole product is not either.

3. Using the formula from part 2,

$$L(s, \chi_e) = \prod_{p \nmid n} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_p \left(1 - p^{-s} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p | m} \left(1 - p^{-s} \right) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p | m} \left(1 - p^{-s} \right) \quad \square$$

For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, we then have

$$\log L(s, \chi) = -\sum_p \log(1 - \chi(p) p^{-s}) = \sum_p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(p^k)}{k p^{ks}} = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \sum_p \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} p^{-ks} \chi(p^k) k^{-1}$$

$$\leq \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \sum_p \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(p^{-s} \chi(p) \right)^k = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \sum_p \frac{p^{-2s} \chi(p)^2}{1 - p^{-s} \chi(p)}$$

The second term is uniformly bounded on $\mathrm{Re}(s) \geq \sigma_0 > 1/2$. By applying Fourier inversion and evaluating at the indicator function of $a \bmod m$,

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(a) \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) = |G| \sum_{p \equiv a(m)} p^{-s} + O_{m, \sigma_0}(1)$$

The notation O_{m, σ_0} means that the last quantity is uniformly bounded, but the constant depends on m, σ_0. Now we extract the trivial character as

$$|G| \sum_{p \equiv a(m)} p^{-s} = \log L(s, \chi_e) + \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_e} \chi(a) \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) + O_{m, \sigma_0}(1)$$

Here we would like to take the limit $s \to 1^+$. However, in order to conclude divergence, we need to know that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$ if $\chi \neq \chi_0$, so that the second term of the right hand side is finite.

Result (3) suggests that we should look at analytic continuations of $\zeta(s)$. Recall that

$$\zeta(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} + \dots$$

7

Consider
$$A(s) := 1 - 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} - \dots$$

Then
$$\zeta(s) - A(s) = 2^{1-s}(1 + 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} + \dots) = 2^{1-s}\zeta(s)$$

Thus
$$\zeta(s) = \left[1 - 2^{-(s-1)}\right]^{-1} A(s)$$

$A(s)$ is analytic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$, and the factor in front only has a pole at $s = 1$. Thus $\zeta(s)$ may be continued to $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ so that it has a simple pole at $s = 1$ only.

Returning to the formula in terms of L-functions, we have
$$\log L(s, \chi_e) = \log \zeta(s) + O(1)$$

as $s \to 1$ for $s > 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Since we know $s = 1$ is a simple pole, we can expand ζ about 1 as
$$\zeta(s) = \alpha(s-1)^{-1} + \beta + \gamma(s-1) + \dots$$

(In fact the residue $\alpha = 1$). Then
$$\log \zeta(s) + O(1) = -\log(s-1) + O(1) \to \infty$$

Combining with our previous work, we get
$$|G| \sum_{p \equiv a(m)} p^{-s} = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \chi(a) \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) + O(1) = -\log(s-1) + \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_e} \chi(a) \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) + O(1)$$

We still need to show that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$ for $\chi \neq \chi_e$. Indeed, note that if $L(1, \chi) = 0$ then $L(1, \overline{\chi}) = 0$ as well. So if $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$ and $L(1, \chi) = 0$, then we write
$$L(s, \chi) = A(s-1)^{\nu}$$

Here ν is the order of the zero at 1. Then $\overline{\chi}$ also has the same order zero.

Our formula is valid for all a. In particular we may choose $a = 1$, so that we are looking for primes which have remainder 1 mod m. Then $\chi(1) = 1$ for all χ, which simplifies to
$$|G| \sum_{p \equiv 1(m)} p^{-s} = -\log(s-1) + \sum_{\chi \neq \chi_0} \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) + O(1)$$

In this case, if $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$ and $L(s, \chi)$ vanishes with order ν at 1, then the sum at least contains the term
$$2\nu \log(s-1)$$

On right hand side the term $-\log(s-1)$ tends to $+\infty$, but the terms in the sum may only diverge to $-\infty$ (since they can only have zeroes, not poles). Indeed, if $\nu \geq 1$ for at least one nonreal, nontrivial character, then the RHS tends to $-\infty$, but the left hand side is nonnegative. Thus no nonreal character vanishes at $s = 1$. Note that this is the case regardless of a; we simply use $a = 1$ in order to generate a contradiction.

8

So we have reduced to

$$|G| \sum_{p \equiv a(m)} p^{-s} = -\log(s-1) + \sum_{\chi = \overline{\chi} \neq \chi_e} \chi(a) \log L(s, \overline{\chi}) + O(1)$$

and merely need to show that $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$ for real characters $\chi = \overline{\chi}, \chi \neq \chi_e$. We form the function

$$F(s) = \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} L(s, \chi)$$

This function is analytic on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. On $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$, it is analytic except possibly at $s = 1$. Here, if $L(s, \chi) \neq 0$ for $\chi \neq \chi_e$, then there is a simple pole. Otherwise, $F(1)$ is finite. Since F is a product of Dirichlet series, we can write

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$$

> **Proposition 1.6**
>
> $a_n \geq 0$ for all n. Moreover, $n \mapsto a_n$ is multiplicative (but not necessarily totally multiplicative).

Note that this is because $F(s)$ shows up as the **Dedekind zeta function** $\zeta_K(s)$ of a number field K, but we don't need that for this proof, since we compute the coefficients directly.

Proof. Multiplicativity comes about since F is the Dirichlet convolution of the L-functions. Write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \prod_{(p,m)=1} (1 + a_p p^{-s} + a_{p^2} p^{-2s} + \ldots) = \prod_{(p,m)=1} \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} (1 - \chi(p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$

For $(p, m) = 1$,

$$\prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} (1 - \chi(p) p^{-s})^{-1} = (1 - (p^{-s})^{f(p)})^{-g(p)}$$

where $f(p)$ is the order of p in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ and

$$g(p) = \frac{\phi(m)}{f(p)}$$

and expanding this gives a series with nonnegative coefficients by the binomial theorem. $\square$

Recall that for a power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

with $c_n \geq 0$, there is a pole at $z = \rho_0$, where ρ_0 is the radius of convergence. More generally, for a power series with complex coefficients, there is a pole or other singularity somewhere on the boundary. In the case of Dirichlet series this may not be true, but it does hold specifically in the case of nonnegative coefficients.

9

> **Definition 1.4**
>
> For a Dirichlet series
> $$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^{-s}}$$
> the **abscissa of absolute convergence** is
> $$\sigma_0 = \inf\left\{ \sigma \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{a_n}{n^{-s}} \right| < \infty (\mathrm{Re}(s) > \sigma) \right\}$$

> **Lemma 1.7: Landau**
>
> Let
> $$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$
> with $a_n \geq 0$, and let $\sigma = \rho_0$ be the abscissa of absolute convergence. If f is analytic for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \rho$ then $\rho_0 \leq \rho$.

In other words, the above lemma says that the first pole of a Dirichlet series with nonnegative coefficients is on the real axis.

Now suppose that F has no pole at $s = 1$. Then our Dirichlet series representation of F must converge absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$. Now note that

$$(1 - p^{f(p)s})^{-g(p)} \geq 1 + p^{-\phi(m)s} + p^{-2\phi(m)s} + \ldots$$

So

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\phi(m)s}$$

for $s > 0$. But taking $s = 1/\phi(m) > 0$, F must diverge, which is a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Dirichlet's theorem.

The proof of Dirichlet's theorem made use of the fact that $\zeta(1) \neq 0$. In fact a stronger theorem is true, which uses the results on nonnegative coefficient Dirichlet series we derived.

> **Theorem 1.8**
>
> $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s) = 1$.

Proof. For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$\sigma_\nu(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} d^\nu$$

For $t_0 = \mathrm{Im}(s) \neq 0$ (we showed this for $t_0 = 0$ already) we also define

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_{it_0}(n)|^2}{n^s}$$

10

This is equal to

$$\frac{\zeta^2(s)\zeta(s+it_0)\zeta(s-it_0)}{\zeta(2s)}$$

But since this is a Dirichlet series with positive coefficients, we just need to show that there is no pole at $s = 1$. Suppose for contradiction that there is a zero at $\zeta(1 + it_0)$. Then there is also a zero at $\zeta(1 - it_0)$, which cancels with the order 2 pole for $\zeta^2(s)$. Moreover, since ζ only has poles at $s = 0, 1$, and it is nonzero for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, this represents the series for all $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1/2$. At $s = 1/2$ the denominator forces $F(1/2) = 0$. But by inspection it is plainly untrue that $F(1/2) = 0$. $\qquad\square$

1.2   Class Number Field

Consider $\mathbb{F}$ a finite field. Then $(\mathbb{F}, +)$ and $(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)$ are finite abelian groups, so we may consider their dual groups. Since both structures are in place, we may think about the additive properties of multiplicative characters, or multiplicative properties of additive characters.

Definition 1.5

Given $\psi \in \widehat{(\mathbb{F}, +)}, \chi \in \widehat{(\mathbb{F}^*, \cdot)}$, the **Gauss sum** of ψ, χ is

$$G(\psi, \chi) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}^*} \psi(a)\chi(a) = \hat{\chi}(\psi) = \hat{\psi}(\chi)$$

The Gauss sum connects the additive and multiplicative structure of $\mathbb{F}$, and we observe that it provides the Fourier coefficients of both additive and multiplicative characters, when the transform is taken against the opposing structure.

Example 1.1

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. Then the additive characters are those of the form

$$\psi(x) = e(\alpha x)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and the multiplicative characters are

$$\chi(a) = a^s |a|$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}, a \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Since $\mathbb{R}^*$ is infinite, we will need to convert our sum to an integral over an appropriate measure. If we try to assign a "translation invariant" measure to a group, we will need to look at the measure

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a}$$

which is called the **Haar measure**. So the Gauss sum becomes

$$G(\alpha, s) = \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha x} x^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

which is the Gamma function.

Over finite fields $\mathbb{F}_p$, $p > 2$, then there is an additive group isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p \to \hat{\mathbb{F}}_p$ given by

$$a \mapsto \psi_a(x) = e\left(\frac{ax}{p}\right)$$

Note that the choice of pth root of unity implicit in this statement shows why the isomorphism is noncanonical. So then for $b \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\chi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}})^*$, the Gauss sum is given by

$$\tau(b, \chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right)$$

Proposition 1.9

For $\chi \in (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}})^*$,

1. $\tau(a, \chi) = \overline{\chi(a)}\tau(1, \chi)$,

2. For $\tau(\chi) := \tau(1, \chi)$, $|\tau(\chi)|^2 = p$ (so long as $\chi \neq \chi_e$)

---

1. *Proof.* If $a \neq 1$, then writing $ca = w$,

$$\tau(a, \chi) = \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} \chi(c) e\left(\frac{ca}{p}\right) = \sum_{c=1}^{p-1} \chi(wa^{-1}) e\left(\frac{w}{p}\right) = \overline{\chi(a)}\tau(1, \chi) \qquad \square$$

2. *Proof.* We have

$$|\tau(\chi)|^2 = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}^*} \chi(a)\psi(a) \overline{\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^*} \chi(b)\psi(b)}$$

$$= \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}^*} \chi(a)\overline{\chi(b)}\psi(a)\overline{\psi(b)} = \sum_{a,b} \chi(ab^{-1})\psi(a - b)$$

Set $ab^{-1} = w$. Then this becomes

$$\sum_{b,w} \chi(w)\psi(b(w - 1))$$

For $w = 1$, each term is 1 and the sum over b is $p - 1$. If $w \neq 1$, then the sum over b is

$$\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^*} \psi(b(w - 1)) = \underbrace{\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}} \psi(b(w - 1))}_{=0} - \psi(0) = -1$$

So the sum is now

$$p - 1 + \sum_{\substack{w \in \mathbb{F}^* \\ w \neq 1}} (-\chi(w)) = p - 1 + \chi(1) = p$$

(Here we use the fact that χ is nontrivial to cancel out the sum over $\mathbb{F}^*$). $\qquad \square$

Definition 1.6

Let $p > 2$. Then define the Legendre symbol as

$$\chi(n) = \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = x^2, x \in \mathbb{F}_p \\ -1 \end{cases}$$

This is a real multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Proposition 1.10

If χ is the Legendre symbol for $\mathbb{F}_p$, $p > 2$,

$$\tau(\chi)^2 = \begin{cases} p, & p \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ -p, & p \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We write out the Gauss sum as in the previous theorem, noting that $\chi = \chi^{-1}$:

$$\tau(\chi)^2 = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(a)\chi^{-1}(b)e\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)e\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) = \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(ab^{-1})e\left(\frac{a+b}{p}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{w,b \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(w)e\left(\frac{b(w+1)}{p}\right) = \sum_{w=1}^{p-2} -\chi(w) + \chi(-1)(p-1)$$

$$= \chi(-1) + \chi(0) + \chi(-1)(p-1) = p\chi(-1)$$

$\chi(-1) = +1$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and -1 otherwise. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 1.11: Gauss

1. Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{n^2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{p}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ i\sqrt{p}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

2. If p, q are odd primes,

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$$

Partial Proof of 1.11, (1). Letting χ denote the Legendre symbol,

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) e\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left[\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) + 1\right] e\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) - \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)}_{=-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \left[\begin{cases} 2, & n = x^2 \\ 0 \end{cases}\right]$$

The Legendre symbol factor turns this sum into twice the sum over the quadratic residues, and since half the numbers are quadratic residues, we can double count by simply summing:

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{n^2}{p}\right)$$

So it is clear that $\tau(\chi) = \pm\sqrt{p}$ when $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, and $\pm i\sqrt{p}$ otherwise. But the sign of the sum is more subtle. We develop Poisson summation to do this, but the actual proof (which uses results from the Poisson sum) is left as homework after the next section. $\square$

We briefly remark that result (2) is quite powerful. For instance, a consequence of this is that if $p \equiv q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, then p has a square root mod q if and only if q has a square root mod p.

1.3   Poisson Sums and the Theta Function

Typically, we are looking at $\mathbb{R}$ and the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$, so that we want to consider the quotient $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = [0, 1)$. In general we can work with L a rank n lattice, meaning

$$L = \mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_n \leq \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that the quotient is topologically a torus. We will consider $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the **Schwartz space** of functions which are smooth and for which all derivatives decay at ∞ faster than $|x|^{-A}$.

Definition 1.7

Let $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$. Then define the **Fourier transform** of f to be $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e(-x\xi)\,dx$$

If $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e(-\langle x, \xi\rangle)\,dx$$

$\hat{f} \in S(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We integrate by parts

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e(-x\xi)\,\mathrm{d}x = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\xi}f(x)e(-x\xi)\Big|_{x=-\infty}^{\infty}}_{=0} + \frac{1}{\xi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)e(-x\xi)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

The integral is bounded by the decay of f', so $\hat{f}$ decays at least as fast as ξ^{-1}. Integrating by parts again and using the decay for f'' shows that $\hat{f}$ decays as ξ^{-2} as well, and by induction for all ξ^{-n}.

For the derivatives,

$$\hat{f}^{(m)}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-x)^m f(x)e(-x\xi)\,\mathrm{d}x = (-1)^m \widehat{x^m f}(\xi)$$

Since $x^m f$ is also Schwartz, the first result shows that $\hat{f}^{(m)}$ also decays. $\square$

Theorem 1.13: Poisson Summation

For $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m)$$

More generally, if $f \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and L is a lattice, then

$$\sum_{l \in L} f(l) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{R}^n/L)} \sum_{v \in \hat{L}} \hat{f}(v)$$

where

$$\hat{L} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : l \in L \implies \langle \xi, l \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

The following argument essentially uses the fact that the Fourier transform of the Dirac comb

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta(x - n)$$

is itself. Convolving with arbitrary functions, we get the below proof.

Proof. Define

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x + n)$$

The sum converges absolutely because of the decay of f, so F is smooth and has period 1. Thus we can expand it as a Fourier series:

$$F(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}(m)e(mx)$$

where

$$\hat{F}(m) = \int_0^1 F(x)e(-mx)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 e(-mx)\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f(x+k)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)e(-mx)\,\mathrm{d}x = \hat{f}(m)$$

So

$$F(x) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m)e(-mx)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Substitute $x = 0$:

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(m) \qquad \square$$

The following proof instead uses the functional analysis fact that an operator's trace is invariant under change of basis.

Alternate Proof of Poisson Summation. Let $K(x,y) : S \times S \to \mathbb{C}$ be such that $K(x,y) = g(x-y)$ for some periodic g, and define the operator T_K on $L^2(S)$ by

$$T_K f(x) = \int_S K(x,y)f(y)\,\mathrm{d}y$$

If K is continuous, then T_K is a compact operator. In this case we define

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_K) = \int_S K(x,x)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

Alternatively, if $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots$ are an orthonormal eigenbasis for $L^2(S)$ and T_K, we can also compoute the trace by diagonalization as

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_K) = \sum_j \lambda_j$$

We diagonalize this by observing that

$$\phi_\nu(x) = e(\nu x), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$$

defines an orthonormal eigenbasis for T_K. Indeed,

$$T_K \phi_\nu(x) = \int_S K(x,y)\phi_\nu(y)\,\mathrm{d}y = \int_0^1 g(x-y)e(\nu y)\,\mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int_0^1 g(t)e(\nu(x-t))\,\mathrm{d}t = e(\nu x)\hat{g}(\nu) = \hat{g}(\nu)\phi_\nu(x)$$

Then

$$\mathrm{tr}(T_K) = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(\nu)$$

which makes it plain that we should choose K in such a way that we recover the right hand side of Poisson summation. Specifically, for $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$K_f(x,y) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} f(x-y+m)$$

16

Then by integrating over the diagonal, we have

$$\text{tr}(T_K) = \int_0^1 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m) \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(m)$$

On the other hand, $K(x, y) = g(x - y)$, where

$$g(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(z + m)$$

Then

$$\hat{g}(\nu) = \int_0^1 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f(u + m)e(\nu u) \, \mathrm{d}u = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e(\nu u) = \hat{f}(\nu)$$

which means

$$\text{tr}(T_K) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}(\nu) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(\nu) \qquad \square$$

Definition 1.8

For $\text{Re}(t) > 0$, define the **theta function** by

$$\Theta(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 t}$$

Proposition 1.14

For $t > 0$, $\Theta(1/t) = \sqrt{t}\Theta(t)$.

Proof. Define

$$f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$$

and

$$f_{\sqrt{t}}(x) = f(\sqrt{t}x)$$

We apply Poisson summation to $f_{\sqrt{t}}$:

$$\sum_n f_{\sqrt{t}}(n) = \sum_n e^{-t\pi n^2} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f_{\sqrt{t}}}(m)$$

Recall that by change of variables, if $f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x)$,

$$\hat{f}_\lambda(\xi) = \frac{1}{\lambda}\hat{f}(\xi/\lambda)$$

so

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2 - 2\pi i x \xi} \, \mathrm{d}x = e^{-\pi \xi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi(x + i\xi)^2} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

17

By shifting the contour, this is

$$e^{-\pi\xi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi\nu^2} \, d\nu = e^{-\pi\xi^2} = f(\xi)$$

Then applying change of variables,

$$\Theta(t) = \sum_n e^{-t\pi n^2} = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\pi m^2/t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\Theta\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \qquad \square$$

> **Proposition 1.15**
>
> $\Theta(z + 2li) = \Theta(z)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By analytic continuation, the above holds for $\mathrm{Re}(t) > 0$ (with the root continued appropriately). If we consider $\Theta(it)$, then we are working with the upper half plane, where the transformations are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$$

We can recast the above two properties as:

> **Proposition 1.16**
>
> 1. $\Theta\left(i\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} z\right) = \Theta(it)$
>
> 2. $\Theta\left(i\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} z\right) = \sqrt{iz}\Theta(iz)$

> **Definition 1.9**
>
> Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, b) = 1$. Then define the **quadratic Gauss sum** to be
>
> $$S(a, b) = \sum_{x=0}^{b-1} e\left(\frac{ax^2}{b}\right)$$

The following relation will allow us to prove quadratic reciprocity.

> **Theorem 1.17**
>
> $$\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}S(-a, b) = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{2\sqrt{2a}}S(b, 4a)$$

Proof. Pick $t = \varepsilon + 2ai/b$. Then

$$\Theta(t) = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2(\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b})} = 1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 \varepsilon} e^{-2\pi i n^2 \frac{a}{b}}$$

18

The sum has b dependence based on $n \mod b$. Writing $n = r + sb$, we have

$$\Theta(t) = 1 + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{r=1}^{b} e^{-2\pi i r^2 \frac{a}{b}} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi\varepsilon(r+sb)^2}}_{=S(-a,b)}$$

For fixed r, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi\varepsilon(r+sb)^2} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}r + \sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}bs\right)^2}$$

This is asymptotically equal to a Riemann integral, that is it is

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}r + \sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}bs\right)^2} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\varepsilon}b} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

So as $\varepsilon \to 0$, Θ blows up as

$$\Theta\left(\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}\right) \sim \frac{1}{b\sqrt{\varepsilon}} S(-a,b)$$

We will recompute this using the reflection formula:

$$\Theta\left(\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}}} \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}}\right)$$

The square root is not at a branch point, so simple substitution gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}}} \to \frac{e^{i\pi/4}\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2a}}$$

On the other hand, $\Theta(1/t)$ will blow up as $\varepsilon \to 0$. To study how this happens, we expand its argument as

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon + \frac{2ai}{b}} = -\frac{bi}{2a} + \frac{\varepsilon b^2}{4a^2} + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

so by the same work as above,

$$\Theta\left(\varepsilon\frac{b^2}{4a^2} - \frac{bi}{2a}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{4a^2}}} \frac{1}{2a} S(-b/2, 2a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{2} S(-b, 4a)$$

So equating coefficients of the $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ blowup, we get

$$\frac{1}{b}S(-a,b) = \frac{e^{-\pi/4}\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{2a}} \frac{1}{2b} S(-b, 4a) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2ab}} S(-b, 4a) \qquad \square$$

19

> **Theorem 1.18**
>
> If p, q are primes and $(p, q) = 1$, then
> $$S(a, pq) = S(ap, q)S(aq, p)$$

Proof. Homework. □

Now that we have computed a relation for quadratic sums, which we previously saw were related to the Gauss sum of the Legendre symbol, we can prove 1.11.

Proof of 1.11. Homework. □

1.4 Applications of Gauss Sums

Suppose we consider

$$\sum_{x \leq T} \chi(x)$$

where χ is a nontrivial Dirichlet character mod q (q not necessarily prime). Trivially,

$$\left| \sum_{x \leq T} \chi(x) \right| \leq q$$

However, it is possible to improve on the naive bound.

> **Definition 1.10**
>
> Let f be a complex function and g nonnegative. Then
> $$f \ll g$$
> means $|f| \leq Cg$. This may also be parameterized, as in
> $$f \ll_\varepsilon g$$
> which means that $|f| \leq C_\varepsilon g$, so that the constant depends on the parameters.

> **Definition 1.11**
>
> Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\|\xi\|$ to be the distance from ξ to $\mathbb{Z}$.

For now, we will prove the following:

> **Proposition 1.19: Polya-Vinogradov**
>
> If $\chi \neq \chi_e$ is a Dirichlet character mod q, then
> $$\sum_{m \leq T} \chi(m) \ll \sqrt{q} \log q$$

Proof. The left hand side is periodic, so we can assume $T < q$. Define

$$I_T(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq T \\ 0 \end{cases}$$

We will Fourier expand I_T:

$$\hat{I}_T(a) = \sum_{m \leq T} e\left(-\frac{ma}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} T+1, & a \equiv 0 \pmod{q} \\ \frac{1-e\left(-\frac{Ta}{q}\right)}{1-e\left(-\frac{a}{q}\right)}, & a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} \end{cases}$$

For $a \neq 0$, we bound this as

$$\left|\hat{I}_T(a)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\sin(2\pi a/q)} = \frac{2}{\frac{2\pi a}{q} + O(1)} = \frac{q}{\pi a} + O(1)$$

By Fourier inversion,

$$I_t(x) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \bmod q} \hat{I}_T(q) e\left(\frac{ax}{q}\right)$$

Then

$$\left|\sum_{x \leq T} \chi(x)\right| = \left|\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} I_T(x)\chi(x)\right| = \frac{1}{q}\left|\sum_{a \bmod q} \hat{I}_T(a) \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \chi(x) e\left(\frac{ax}{q}\right)\right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{q}|G(x,q)|\left|\sum_{a \bmod q} \hat{I}_T(a)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\sum_{\substack{a \bmod q \\ a \neq 0}} \left|\hat{I}_T(a)\right| + q\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{q-1} \frac{q}{\pi a} + q\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}(q\log q + O(1)) = q^{1/2}\log q + O(1)$$

since the sum is $\log q + O(1)$. $\qquad\square$

1.5   The Riemann Hypothesis over Finite Fields

Cnosider a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$, (so $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ is cyclic). Consider a polynomial $f(x,y)$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We consider the problem of counting the number of solutions N_f of f. We will find that as $p \to \infty$ (for fixed polynomials),

$$N_f = p + O_f\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$

As before, we will let χ be a multiplicative character of $\mathbb{F}_p$. We will denote by ε the trivial multiplicative character, and we will define $\varepsilon(0) = 1$, with $\chi(0) = 0$ for all nontrivial characters.

Example 1.2

Consider the Fermat curve $x^n + y^n = 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We can analyze this by keeping n fixed and sending $p \to \infty$. This may be diagonalized as

$$\#\{x^n + y^n = 1\} = \sum_{a+b=1} \#\{x^n = a\} \#\{y^n = b\}$$

By orthogonality of characters,

$$\#\{x : x^n = a\} = \sum_{\chi^n = \varepsilon} \chi(a)$$

so

$$\#\{x^n + y^n = 1\} = \sum_{a+b=1} \sum_{\chi^n = \varepsilon} \sum_{\lambda^n = \varepsilon} \chi(a)\lambda(b) = \sum_{\substack{\chi^n = \varepsilon \\ \lambda^n = \varepsilon}} \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)\lambda(b)$$

For fixed n, the first sum just involves n^2 terms, while the second sum varies with p. We denote it by the Jacobi sum $J(\chi, \lambda)$. Note the characters involved will change as p varies. But since $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^*} \cong \mathbb{F}_p^*$, and $x^n - 1$ has at most n roots in $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, there are only at most n^2 terms.

Definition 1.12

The **Jacobi sum** of two multiplicative characters χ, λ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ is

$$J(\chi, \lambda) = \sum_{a+b=1} \chi(a)\lambda(b)$$

Just as the Gauss sum (which considers an additive character against a multiplicative one) was an analogue of the gamma function, the Jacobi sum (which takes two multiplicative characters against one another) is the analogue of the beta function.

$$B(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}\, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

Proposition 1.20

(a) $J(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) = p$.

(b) $J(\varepsilon, \chi) = 0$ when $\chi \neq \varepsilon$.

(c) $J(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = -\chi(-1)$ when $\chi \neq \varepsilon$.

(d) If $\chi\lambda \neq \varepsilon$, then
$$J(\chi, \lambda) = \frac{\tau(\chi)\tau(\lambda)}{\tau(\chi\lambda)}$$

(e) If $\chi, \lambda \neq \varepsilon$ and $\chi\lambda \neq \varepsilon$, then
$$|J(\chi, \lambda)| = \sqrt{p}$$

We only prove (d).

Proof. For $\chi\lambda \neq \varepsilon$, we write
$$\tau(\chi)\tau(\lambda) = \sum_{x,y} \chi(x)e\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)\lambda(y)e\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) = \sum_{x,y} \chi(x)\lambda(y)e\left(\frac{x+y}{p}\right)$$

We set $t = x + y$ and get
$$\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_p}\left(\sum_{x+y=t} \chi(x)\lambda(y)\right)e\left(\frac{t}{p}\right)$$

The case $t = 1$ is the Jacobi sum. For the case $t = 0$ we get zero by symmetry. For $t \neq 0$, we set
$$x = tx'$$
$$y = ty'$$
$$x' + y' = 1$$

and we once again get the Jacobi sum, scaled by $\chi(t)\lambda(t)$. So
$$\tau(\chi)\tau(\lambda) = \left(\sum_{t\in\mathbb{F}_p^*} \chi(t)\lambda(t)e\left(\frac{t}{p}\right)\right)J(\chi,\lambda) = J(\chi,\lambda)\tau(\chi\lambda) \qquad \square$$

Example 1.3

Continuing our example of $\#\{x^n + y^n = 1\}$, we have
$$N_f = \sum_{\substack{\chi^n=\varepsilon \\ \lambda^n=\varepsilon}} J(\chi,\lambda) = J(\varepsilon,\varepsilon) - \sum_{\substack{\chi^n=\varepsilon \\ \chi\neq\varepsilon}} \chi(-1) + \sum_{\substack{\chi^n=\lambda^n=\varepsilon \\ \chi\lambda\neq\varepsilon \\ \chi,\lambda\neq\varepsilon}} J(\chi,\lambda)$$

The second sum has at most n terms, so it is $O_n(1)$. The third sum has at most n^2 terms, and each term has absolute value $\sqrt{p}$, so the whole sum is
$$N_f = p + O_n(\sqrt{p})$$

The **Riemann hypothesis over finite fields** is the statement that $N_f = p + O_n(\sqrt{p})$ for any irreducible affine plane curve f over $\mathbb{F}_p$. It is known to be true.

1.6 Riemann's Paper

Now we consider Riemann's paper "On the Number of Prime Numbers Less than a Given Quantity." Consider $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \subseteq S(\mathbb{R})$ with $\hat{f}(0) = 0$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(nx)$$

is rapidly decreasing as $x \to \infty$ since f is Schwartz. As $x \to 0$, the function is approximately $1/x$ times the integral of f from 0 to ∞, hence $O(1/x)$. So

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(nx)x^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

is analytic on $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. This integral is

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^\infty f(nx)x^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^s} \int_0^\infty f(nx)nx^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = \zeta(s)\mathcal{M}\{f\}(s) = \zeta(s)\tilde{f}(s)$$

Definition 1.13

The **Mellin transform** of a function f is

$$\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^s \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

Proposition 1.21

If f is $O(x^a)$ as $x \to 0$ and $O(x^b)$ as $x \to \infty$, then $\tilde{f}$ is analytic for $-a < \sigma < -b$.

By Poisson summation,

$$F(x) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(nx) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(nx) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}\left(\frac{m}{x}\right)$$

F is compactly supported by assumption, and as $x \to 0$, $\hat{f}$ is Schwartz so F is $O_A(x^A)$ for all large A. So $\zeta\tilde{f} = \tilde{F}$ is entire. This holds for all f satisfying our assumptions, so we can probe ζ with different functions to obtain analytic continuation and the functional equation. We know $\tilde{f}(1) = \hat{f}(0) = 0$, so ζ may have a pole at 1, but it admits a meromorphic continuation to the rest of $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 1.14

We define the **completed zeta function** to be the function

$$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\zeta(s)$$

> **Proposition 1.22**
>
> Λ admits an analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles at $s = 0, 1$, and
>
> $$\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1 - s)$$

Proof. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ we have

$$\int_0^\infty x^{s/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 x} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = \zeta(s) \int_0^\infty x^{s/2} e^{-\pi x^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = \zeta(s) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} \right)$$

We can also solve this integral in a different way. Define

$$w(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{\Theta(x) - 1}{2}$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}} w(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = \int_0^1 x^{\frac{s}{2}} w(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} + \int_1^\infty x^{\frac{s}{2}} w(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

The second term is entire since w is rapidly decreasing. The first term is

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-\frac{s}{2}} w\left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

Using the functional equation for Θ, we have

$$w\left(\frac{1}{x} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} w(x)$$

So the first integral is

$$\int_1^\infty x^{-\frac{s}{2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{1}{2}} w(x) \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x} = -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \int_1^\infty x^{-\frac{s-1}{2}} w(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

$$\implies \Lambda(s) = -\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s-1} + \int_1^\infty w(x) \left[x^{\frac{s}{2}} + x^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}$$

From this formula it is plain that Λ is invariant under $s \to 1 - s$ and has two poles. $\qquad \square$

Definitions