

1 **Appendix S1**

2 **Supporting Information for**

3 Optimum growth temperature declines with body size within fish species

4 Max Lindmark^{a,1}, Jan Ohlberger^b, Anna Gårdmark^c

5

6 ^a Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Institute of

7 Coastal Research, Skolgatan 6, Öregrund 742 42, Sweden

8 ^b School of Aquatic and Fishery Sciences (SAFS), University of Washington, Box 355020,

9 Seattle, WA 98195-5020, USA

10 ^c Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic Resources, Skolgatan 6,

11 SE-742 42 Öregrund, Sweden

12

13 ¹Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Current address:

14 Max Lindmark, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Aquatic

15 Resources, Institute of Marine Research, Turistgatan 5, Lysekil 453 30, Sweden, Tel.:

16 +46(0)104784137, email: max.lindmark@slu.se

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 **Contents**

25	Literature search, selection process and criteria	3
26	<i>Maximum consumption rate</i>	4
27	<i>Metabolic rate</i>	5
28	<i>Growth rates & optimum temperature for growth over body mass</i>	5
29	Data overview	12
30	<i>Maximum consumption & metabolic rate</i>	12
31	<i>Growth rate</i>	13
32	Supplementary methods and analysis	15
33	Model validation and fit.....	22
34	<i>Maximum consumption rate – below peak temperatures</i>	22
35	<i>Maximum consumption rate – including beyond peak temperatures</i>	26
36	<i>Metabolic rate</i>	30
37	<i>Optimum growth temperature</i>	35
38	References.....	39
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		

53 **Literature search, selection process and criteria**

54 This section is an overview of the literature search approach, and below we present the search
55 terms for each rate separately (maximum consumption, metabolism and growth). In addition
56 to search terms, we also applied filters by selecting only the following subjects: ‘marine
57 freshwater biology’, ‘fisheries’, ‘ecology’, ‘zoology’, ‘biology’, ‘physiology’. For growth
58 rates, we also included ‘limnology’ and for maximum consumption we included ‘limnology’
59 and ‘evolutionary biology’. The use of additional subjects for growth and consumption reflects
60 the lower data availability compared to metabolism. As we suspected that relatively few studies
61 would have considered both body size- and temperature treatments, our goal was to get an as
62 extensive as possible list of studies. Therefore, we also evaluated articles cited by articles found
63 in the search, from published review-type articles and reviews of applications of bioenergetics
64 models such as the Wisconsin model (Deslauriers *et al.* 2017), and if the study was found in
65 the literature search for another rate. The source of the article (WoS search or cited in literature)
66 is indicated in the data sets (Table S1).

67 Articles were filtered out at three levels of the search: title, abstract and full article. The
68 online repository of this project (<https://github.com/maxlindmark/scaling>) contains .txt files of
69 the complete list of articles found in the literature search. We removed studies from the lists if
70 the titles made it clear the articles did not fulfil all of the following conditions: (1) experimental
71 study, (2) fish as study organism in post-larval life stages, and (3) replicates across both body
72 size and temperature. We treat data as individual-level rates (per fish); however, in some cases
73 they were measured as averages across multiple individuals. In addition to these general
74 criteria, we also had criteria specific for each rate (see below). When several studies were found
75 for the same species, we did not include all but instead chose the study with the largest body
76 size and temperature range (in that order), as there can be large differences in absolute values
77 of some physiological parameters between studies.

78 For consumption and growth rate, we determined if each data point within species was
79 below or beyond peak temperature for a size group within a species either by using information
80 provided by the authors (e.g., by deriving a polynomial regression of the rate as a function of
81 temperature to find the temperature of peak rate), by fitting Sharpe Schoolfield equations (see
82 main text, Eq. 4) or visually inspecting data for each species separately. Whether a data point
83 is below or above peak or optimum temperatures is indicated by a separate column in the data
84 (Table S1).

85

86 ***Maximum consumption rate***

87 We used the following topic terms for maximum consumption rate (three searches in total):
88 (consumption OR bioenerg* OR ingestion OR “food-intake”) AND (mass OR weight OR size)
89 AND (temperature*), as well as: (feeding-rate OR bio-energ*) AND (mass OR weight OR
90 size) AND (temperature*) and lastly: (“food intake”) AND (mass OR weight OR size) AND
91 (temperature*). * represents any group of characters, including no character. The searches for
92 maximum consumption rate data resulted in 15259 articles (search date: 18 December 2018),
93 with 3449 remaining after filtering by subject categories. The second search (search date: 13
94 March 2019) resulted in 431 additional titles after filtering by subject categories (of which
95 some where duplicated from the first search) and the third search (search date: 29 June 2020)
96 yielded 626 but no additional articles as they had either been selected already or did not meet
97 the criteria. Articles were filtered out at the abstract and whole article stage if the original
98 reference could not be identified and evaluated, if data were normalized (i.e., using a priori
99 defined scaling relationships to show corrected data rather than measured values), there was no
100 acclimation, or if measurements were not maximum consumption rate. As with the growth
101 data, definitions of ad-libitum feeding may differ between studies – the key for our purpose is

102 that food rations led to satiation and were not limiting. Consumption rates were converted to
103 g day⁻¹. These data were compiled in the file consumption_data.xlsx.

104

105 ***Metabolic rate***

106 We used the following topic terms for metabolic rate data: (metabolism OR "oxygen-
107 consumption" OR "oxygen consumption") AND (mass OR weight OR size) AND
108 (temperature*). * represents any group of characters, including no character. The search for
109 metabolic rate experiments resulted in 8405 articles (search date: 6 June 2019), which was
110 reduced to 3458 after applying filters for subject categories. Articles were filtered out at the
111 abstract and whole article stage if the original reference could not be identified and evaluated,
112 if data were normalized (i.e., using a priori defined scaling relationships to normalize data for
113 data a given size rather than measured values), if there was no acclimation or if it was not
114 standard, routine or resting metabolic rate. The latter was defined as oxygen consumption of
115 an unfed fish at no or little spontaneous activity. Metabolic rates were converted to mg O₂ h⁻¹,
116 because it was the most common unit in the data set. These data were compiled in the file
117 metabolism_data.xlsx.

118

119 ***Growth rates & optimum temperature for growth over body mass***

120 Growth rates were taken from data found in the literature search for optimum growth
121 temperatures. Therefore, articles in which growth rates were measured at sub-optimum
122 temperatures only were not included (note this is in contrast to consumption data where
123 "optimum" was not included in the search terms). We used the following topic terms for growth
124 rate data: (growth) AND (mass OR weight OR size) AND (temperature*) AND (optimum), as
125 well as: (growth) AND (mass OR weight OR size) AND (temperature*) AND (optim*). *
126 represents any group of characters, including no character. The two searches for growth rates

127 resulted in 3313 articles (search date: 22 March 2019), and 3747 articles (search date: 5 May
128 2019), respectively. After applying additional filters by subject category, we acquired 566 and
129 893 studies, respectively (of which some are duplicates due to similar search-strings). We
130 removed studies at the abstract and whole article stage where the original reference could not
131 be identified and evaluated, if we could not extract actual growth rates, if there was not a
132 controlled temperature for each growth trial, or if there were not multiple defined size-classes.
133 We used only one observation (data point) per size class and temperature treatment, and in
134 cases where there were two, we used the mean value. In addition, we ensured that no other
135 treatment (e.g., food limitation) confounded the response variable and thus only used data from
136 experiments with satiating food levels. Body mass is either the geometric mean of the initial
137 and final mass of the growth trial or the size class (as reported by the original authors),
138 depending on data availability (see Table S1). It is important to control for feeding rations as
139 it affects the temperature optimum for growth (Brett *et al.* 1969). This was achieved in different
140 ways in the different experimental studies, but commonly involved excess feeding rations once
141 or several times per day. The key description we looked for in the study was that food was not
142 limiting. We treat data as individual-level growth (per fish); however, these were commonly
143 measured as averages for multiple individuals. In the case growth was length-based, we
144 converted it to mass using weight-length relationships from FishBase (Froese *et al.* 2014;
145 Froese & Pauly 2019). We compiled two separate data sets: raw growth rates
146 (growth_data.xlsx) and temperature at optimum growth (growth_data_Topt.xlsx). In the latter,
147 we defined optimum temperature for growth as the fitted optimum temperature by size-class
148 (usually estimated in the original study). Therefore, the optimum temperature may not always
149 correspond to an actual experimental temperature but could be an estimation between two
150 temperature treatments. If the optimum temperature (by size group) was not estimated in the

151 original study, we used the temperature where growth rate was maximized. All growth rates
152 were expressed in unit % day⁻¹.

153

154 **Table S1** Explanation of data columns (G=growth data, T_{opt}=optimum growth temperature
155 data, C=maximum consumption data, M=metabolism data).

Column	Explanation	Datasets
<i>growth_rate_%/day</i>	Main response variable.	G, T _{opt}
<i>opt_temp_c</i>	Main response variable.	T _{opt}
<i>initial_mass_g</i>	Body mass [g] at the onset of the growth trial.	G, T _{opt}
<i>final_mass_g</i>	Body mass [g] at the end of the growth trial.	G, T _{opt}
<i>geom_mean_mass_g</i>	Geometric mean mass in t ₁ and t ₂ of the growth trial.	G, T _{opt}
<i>size_group</i>	Representative body mass of size group in the growth trial, in case initial, final or geometric body mass could not be retrieved.	G, T _{opt}
<i>consumption</i>	Main response variable.	C
<i>metabolic_rate</i>	Main response variable.	M
<i>type</i>	Type of respiration measurement (resting, routine, standard).	M
<i>unit</i>	Unit of response variable.	C, M
<i>original_unit</i>	Original unit of response variable. If different from “ <i>unit</i> ”, see “ <i>notes</i> ” column for information on conversion.	C, M
<i>mass_g</i>	Body mass in experiment [g]. Some studies report body masses before and some after the feeding trials. See “ <i>notes</i> ”.	C, M
<i>temp_c</i>	Experimental temperature [°C].	G, C, M
<i>above_peak_temp</i>	Is the experiment conducted at temperature above peak temperature for the given size group? Y/N.	G, C, M
<i>common_name</i>	Common name of species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>species</i>	Scientific name of species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>genus</i>	Genus of species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>family</i>	Family of species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>order</i>	Order of species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>habitat</i>	Species natural habitat, taken from FishBase	G, T _{opt} , C, M

	(Froese & Pauly 2019).	
<i>lifestyle</i>	Lifestyle of species, taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019).	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>biogeography</i>	Biogeography of species, taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019).	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>trophic_level</i>	Trophic level of species, taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019).	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>w_maturation_g</i>	Body mass [g] at maturation taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available, weight was estimated from length using species-specific allometric weight-length, else taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to estimate relative body size across species in the data and to normalized optimum growth temperatures across species.	G, T _{opt}
<i>w_max_published_g</i>	Max. published weight [g] taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available, weight was estimated from length using species-specific allometric weight-length, else taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to estimate relative body size across species in the data.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>env_temp_min</i>	Min. environmental temperature [°C], taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available on FishBase, data were taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to compare experimental temperatures to common temperatures for species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>env_temp_max</i>	Max. environmental temperature [°C], taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available on FishBase, data were taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to compare experimental temperatures to common temperatures for species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>env_temp_mid</i>	Median of environmental temperature [°C], taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available on FishBase, data were taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to compare experimental temperatures to common temperatures for species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>pref_temp_mid</i>	Median of preferred temperature [°C], taken from FishBase (Froese & Pauly 2019). If not available on FishBase, data were taken from alternative sources (see " notes "). Used to compare experimental temperatures to common temperatures for species.	G, T _{opt} , C, M
<i>notes</i>	This column contains additional information, including if data were sent by authors, if any column above has data that is not from the main source (i.e. FishBase), how certain metrics were calculated, alternative common names, comments	G, T _{opt} , C, M

	on the experimental protocol, information on conversion to standard “ <i>unit</i> ”, source of the data (literature search or cited in paper from literature search)	
reference	Source (See Table S2).	G, T _{opt} , C, M

156

157 **Table S2** Species, common name, the data set(s) in which they appear and the sources
 158 (G=growth data, T_{opt}=optimum growth temperature data, C=maximum consumption data,
 159 M=metabolism data). If more than one data and source, the sources are in order (1 study per
 160 species and rate).

Species	Common name	Datasets	Source
<i>Pseudopleuronectes yokohamae</i>	Marbled flounder	G, T _{opt} , C	(Tomiyama <i>et al.</i> 2018)
<i>Cyclopterus lumpus</i>	Lumpfish	G, T _{opt}	(Nytrø <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Paralichthys olivaceus</i>	Japanese flounder (alt. bastard halibut, Japanese halibut or Olive flounder)	G, T _{opt} , C	(Iwata <i>et al.</i> 1994)
<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i>	Arctic char	G, T _{opt}	(Siikavuopio <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<i>Salmo salar</i>	Atlantic salmon	G, T _{opt}	(Handeland <i>et al.</i> 2008)
<i>Lates calcarifer</i>	Barramundi	G, T _{opt} , C, M	(Bermudes <i>et al.</i> 2010) (Bermudes <i>et al.</i> 2010) (Bermudes <i>et al.</i> 2010) (Glencross & Felsing 2006)
<i>Gadus morhua</i>	Atlantic cod	G, T _{opt} , M	(Björnsson <i>et al.</i> 2007)(Tirsgaard <i>et al.</i> 2015)
<i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i>	Atlantic halibut	G, T _{opt}	(Björnsson & Tryggvadóttir 1996)
<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	Turbot	G, T _{opt}	(Árnason <i>et al.</i> 2009)
<i>Boreogadus saida</i>	Arctic cod	G, T _{opt}	(Laurel <i>et al.</i> 2017)
<i>Rachycentron canadum</i>	Cobia	G, T _{opt} , C	(Sun & Chen 2014)
<i>Pelteobagrus fulvidraco</i>	Yellow catfish	G, T _{opt} , C	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2017)
<i>Anarhichas minor</i>	Spotted wolffish	G, T _{opt}	(Imsland <i>et al.</i> 2006)
<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Rainbow trout	C, M	(From & Rasmussen 1984)
<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>	Eurasian perch	C	(Lessmark 1983)
<i>Phoxinus phoxinus</i>	Eurasian minnow	C, M	(Cui & Wootton 1988)
<i>Coregonus hoyi</i>	Bloater	C	(Binkowski & Rudstam 1994)
<i>Pomoxis annularis</i>	White crappie	C	(Hayward & Arnold 1996)

<i>Gambusia affinis</i>	Western mosquitofish	C	(Chipps & Wahl 2004)
<i>Morone saxatilis</i>	Striped bass	C	(Duston <i>et al.</i> 2004)
<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Brook trout	C, M	(Baldwin 1957) (Beamish 1964)
<i>Leuciscus leuciscus</i>	Dace	C	(Marmulla & Rosch 1990)
<i>Lepomis microlophus</i>	Redear sunfish	C	(Wang <i>et al.</i> 2003)
<i>Channa argus</i>	Chinese snakehead (alt. Northern snakehead or Snakehead)	C, M	(Liu <i>et al.</i> 1998) (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2000)
<i>Siniperca chuatsi</i>	Mandarin fish	C, M	(Liu <i>et al.</i> 1998) (Liu <i>et al.</i> 2000)
<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>	Three-spined stickleback	C	(Wootton <i>et al.</i> 1980)
<i>Salmo trutta</i>	Brown trout	C	(Elliott 1976)
<i>Epinephelus coioides</i>	Orange-spotted grouper	C	(Lin <i>et al.</i> 2008)
<i>Coregonus albula</i>	Vendace	M	(Ohlberger <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<i>Coregonus fontanae</i>	Stechlin cisco	M	(Ohlberger <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<i>Abramis brama</i>	Common bream	M	(Ohlberger <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<i>Rutilus rutilus</i>	Common roach	M	(Ohlberger <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<i>Salvelinus confluentus</i>	Bull trout	M	(Mesa <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<i>Catostomus commersonii</i>	White sucker	M	(Beamish 1964)
<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	Common carp	M	(Beamish 1964)
<i>Ameiurus nebulosus</i>	Brown bullhead	M	(Beamish 1964)
<i>Silurus meridionalis</i>	Southern catfish	M	(Xie & Sun 1990)
<i>Carassius auratus</i>	Goldfish	M	(Beamish & Mookherjee 1964)
<i>Pomadasys commersonii</i>	Spotted grunter	M	(Du Perez <i>et al.</i> 1986)
<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>	Haddock	M	(Peck <i>et al.</i> 2005)
<i>Centropristes striata</i>	Black sea bass	M	(Slesinger <i>et al.</i> 2019)
<i>Anguilla anguilla</i>	European eel	M	(Degani <i>et al.</i> 1989)
<i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Largemouth bass	M	(Glover <i>et al.</i> 2012)
<i>Cyprinodon macularius</i>	Desert pupfish	M	(Heuton <i>et al.</i> 2018)
<i>Micropogonias undulatus</i>	Atlantic croaker	M	(Horodysky <i>et al.</i> 2011)
<i>Leiostomus xanthurus</i>	Spot	M	(Horodysky <i>et al.</i> 2011)
<i>Coreius guichenoti</i>	Largemouth bronze gudgeon	M	(Luo & Wang 2012)
<i>Sprattus sprattus</i>	European sprat	M	(Meskendahl <i>et al.</i> 2010)
<i>Plectropomus leopardus</i>	Leopard coral grouper	M	(Messmer <i>et al.</i> 2017)
<i>Galaxias maculatus</i>	Common galaxias	M	(Milano <i>et al.</i> 2016)
<i>Polyodon spathula</i>	American paddlefish (alt. Mississippi paddlefish)	M	(Patterson <i>et al.</i> 2013)
<i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>	Mulloway	M	(Pirozzi & Booth 2009)

<i>Lythrypnus dalli</i>	Bluebanded goby	M	(Rangel & Johnson 2018)
<i>Colossoma macropomum</i>	Tambaqui (alt. Cachama)	M	(Tomala <i>et al.</i> 2014)
<i>Carassius auratus grandoculis</i>	Round crucian carp (alt. Nigorobuna)	M	(Yamanaka <i>et al.</i> 2013)

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177


178

179

180

181

182


183 **Data overview**184 **Maximum consumption & metabolic rate**

185

186 *Fig. S1. Experimental temperatures (gray) and environmental (min, median and max)*
 187 *temperatures (purple, pink and green, respectively) of species represented in the consumption*
 188 *(left) and metabolism (right) data sets. Missing temperatures means information was not*
 189 *available on FishBase. Experimental temperatures are jittered vertically for visibility.*

190

191



193

194 Fig. S2. The distribution of rescaled masses for individual observations (mass/mass at
195 maturation), where color indicate species.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203


204

205

206

207

208



209

210 *Fig. S3. Experimental temperatures (gray) in the growth rate data and environmental (min,*
 211 *median and max) temperatures (purple, pink and green, respectively). Missing temperatures*
 212 *means information was not available on FishBase. Experimental temperatures are jittered*
 213 *vertically for visibility.*

214

215

216

217

218 **Supplementary methods and analysis**

219 **Table S3** Description of model parameters (type and their interpretation in brackets) and their
 220 prior distributions (see ‘*Model description*’ and equations 1-3 in the main text). N refers to a
 221 normal distribution (mean and standard deviation, s.d.) and U to a uniform distribution
 222 (interval). For simplicity, only the parameters of the full model are shown here (i.e., with most
 223 coefficients varying by species), but note that when a model is fitted with a common rather
 224 than species-varying coefficient, for example β_1 instead of $\beta_{1j} \sim N(\mu_{\beta_1}, \sigma_{\beta_1})$, we use the same
 225 prior for β_1 as for μ_{β_1} .

Model	Parameter	Description	Prior distribution
Log-linear regressions for consumption and metabolism	$\mu_{\beta_{0s}}$	Hyperparameter (average intercept for standard metabolic rate across species). <i>Only for the metabolism models.</i>	$N(-2, 5)$
	$\mu_{\beta_{0r}}$	Hyperparameter (average intercept for routine and resting metabolic rate across species). <i>Only for the metabolism models.</i>	$N(-1, 5)$
	μ_{β_0}	Hyperparameter (average intercept across species). <i>Only for the consumption models.</i>	$N(0, 5)$
	μ_{β_1}	Hyperparameter (average mass coefficient across species).	$N(0.75, 1)$
	μ_{β_2}	Hyperparameter (average temperature coefficient across species)	$N(-0.6, 1)$
	μ_{β_3}	Hyperparameter (average interaction coefficient across species)	$N(0, 1)$
	$\sigma_{\beta_{0s}}$	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species-intercepts for standard metabolic rate)	$U(0, 10)$
	$\sigma_{\beta_{0r}}$	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species-intercepts for routine and resting metabolic rate)	$U(0, 10)$
	σ_{β_1}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species mass coefficients)	$U(0, 10)$
	σ_{β_2}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species temperature coefficients)	$U(0, 10)$
	σ_{β_3}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species interaction coefficients)	$U(0, 10)$

	σ	Parameter (s.d.)	$U(0, 10)$
Sharpe-Schoolfield (unimodal consumption data)	μ_{C_0j}	Hyperparameter (average consumption at reference temperature [-10 on centered scale] across species)	$N(1, 1)$
	μ_{E_j}	Hyperparameter (average activation energy across species)	$N(0.5, 0.5)$
	E_h	Parameter (common rate of decline with temperature)	$N(2, 2)$
	T_h	Parameter (common temperature at which half the rate is reduced due to high temperatures)	$N(5, 2)$
	σ_{E_j}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species-varying activation energies)	$U(0, 3)$
	σ_{C_0j}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species-varying average consumption)	$U(0, 3)$
	σ	Parameter (s.d.)	$U(0, 3)$
Linear T_{opt} models	μ_{β_0}	Hyperparameter (average intercept across species)	$N(0, 5)$
	μ_{β_1}	Hyperparameter (average mass coefficient across species)	$N(0, 5)$
	σ_{β_0}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species-intercepts)	$U(0, 10)$
	σ_{β_1}	Hyperparameter (s.d. of species mass coefficients)	$U(0, 10)$
	σ	Parameter (s.d.)	$U(0, 10)$

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238 **Table S4.** Model comparison for the log-linear regressions of how consumption and
 239 metabolism depend on mass and temperature below optimum temperatures (see ‘*Model*
 240 *description*’ and equations 1-3 in the main text). The column m*t indicates whether the model
 241 for the rate includes an interactive effect of mass and temperature. The models differ in which
 242 coefficients vary among species and which are common, where β_0 is the intercept, β_1 mass
 243 coefficient (mass-exponent on linear scale), β_2 temperature coefficient (corresponding to the
 244 negative activation energy) and β_3 interaction between mass and temperature. The WAIC
 245 columns shows Δ WAIC and absolute WAIC in brackets, rounded to the nearest decimal, where
 246 Δ WAIC is the difference between each model’s WAIC and the lowest WAIC across models.
 247 Bold indicates models with Δ WAIC < 2.

Model	m*t	Species-varying parameter(s)	WAIC metabolism	WAIC consumption
M1	Yes	$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$	0 (274.6)	3.1 (563.7)
M2		$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$	0.3 (274.9)	2 (562.6)
M3a		β_0, β_1	305.7 (580.3)	147.1 (707.7)
M3b		β_0, β_2	385.3 (659.9)	70.5 (631.1)
M4		β_0	648.6 (923.2)	190 (750.6)
M5		$\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$	6.1 (280.6)	0 (560.6)
M6a	No	β_0, β_1	348.2 (622.8)	165.8 (726.4)
M6b		β_0, β_2	386.6 (661.2)	73.4 (634)
M7		β_0	681.5 (956.1)	213 (773.6)

248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255

256 **Table S5.** Comparison of the two models fitted to optimum growth temperature data. The
257 WAIC columns shows Δ WAIC and absolute WAIC in brackets, rounded to the nearest decimal,
258 where Δ WAIC is the difference between each models' WAIC and the lowest WAIC across
259 models. Bold indicates models with Δ WAIC < 2.

Model	Species-varying parameter(s)	WAIC
M1	β_0, β_1	0 (177.3)
M2	β_0	1 (178.3)

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273


274

275

276

277

278




279

280 *Fig. S4. Posterior distributions of the global intraspecific mass exponents (μ_{β_1}) and*
 281 *temperature coefficients (μ_{β_2}) for metabolic rate (top) and maximum consumption rate*
 282 *(bottom). For metabolism, the global interaction coefficient (μ_{β_3}) is also shown (estimated and*
 283 *presented on an Arrhenius temperature scale), but for consumption this term was not included*
 284 *in the selected model. Numbers in the top left corner correspond to the posterior median. The*
 285 *axes are the same for each parameter for comparison between the two rates.*

286


287



288

289 *Fig. S5. Experimental temperatures (grey) overlap environmental temperatures (green), and*
 290 *optimum growth temperatures (orange) are typically at the upper end or above the*
 291 *environmental range. Horizontal green lines show the minimum and maximum environmental*
 292 *temperature based on either temperature in distribution range (triangles) or modelled*
 293 *distribution maps (circles), both taken from FishBase. The optimum growth temperatures are*
 294 *depicted for all size-classes per species, where the circle size is proportional to number of*
 295 *observations at that temperature.*

296



297

298 *Fig. S6. Posterior median of species-level intercepts (points) and their 95% credible interval*
 299 *(horizontal error bars). Colors indicate the type of metabolism measurement for each species.*
 300 *Vertical solid lines are the posterior medians of the global intercepts (orange for standard*
 301 *metabolic rate, μ_{β_0s} , and green for routine or resting metabolic rate, μ_{β_0r}), and the dashed*
 302 *vertical lines show the 95% credible intervals for the global parameters.*


303

304 **Model validation and fit**

305 Figures showing convergence of species-level parameters can be found on:

306 <https://github.com/maxlindmark/scaling>, in this section only global parameters are visualized.


307 **Maximum consumption rate – below peak temperatures**



308

309 *Fig. S7. Posterior densities and trace plots for evaluation of chain convergence (by chain,*
310 *indicated by color), for the global-level parameters for the log-linear maximum consumption*
311 *rate model at temperatures below peak temperatures.*

312




314 *Fig. S8. Potential scale reduction factor (\hat{R}) for the log-linear maximum consumption rate*
 315 *model. This factor is based on the comparison of between and within-chain variation for the*
 316 *same parameter. A value close to one implies chains converged to the same distribution. The*
 317 *index of the parameter corresponds to species in alphabetical order.*

318


319

320



321

322 *Fig. S9. A) Model fit (mean) for the log-linear model of maximum consumption rate at*
 323 *temperatures below temperature peak (by species). Fit is evaluated by simulating data from*
 324 *the likelihood (at each iteration of the MCMC chain), to compare how well it matches the*
 325 *original data. Each simulated data point is assigned a 0 or 1 if it is below or above the mean*
 326 *data point (the vertical line corresponds to the mean in data). The number in the plot*
 327 *corresponds to the mean of the vector of 0's and 1's. B) Posterior predictive distribution*
 328 *(orange) and distribution of data (purple). C) Difference between the observed value and the*
 329 *posterior median of the predicted value, plotted against fitted value.*



330

331 *Fig. S10. Posterior (black) and prior distribution (red) for the global parameters in the log-*
 332 *linear model for maximum consumption rate, including their % overlap and effective sample*
 333 *size (n.eff).*

334


335

336

337

338

339 Maximum consumption rate – including beyond peak temperatures



340

341 Fig. S11. Posterior densities and trace plots for evaluation of chain convergence (by chain,
342 indicated by color), for the global-level parameters for the Sharpe-Schoolfield model fitted to
343 maximum consumption rate data with temperatures including beyond peak temperatures.


344

345

346

347

348




349

350 *Fig. S12.* Potential scale reduction factor (\hat{R}) for the Sharpe-Schoolfield model fitted to
 351 maximum consumption rate data (including data beyond peak). This factor is based on the
 352 comparison of between and within-chain variation for the same parameter. A value close to
 353 one implies chains converged to the same distribution. The index of the parameter corresponds
 354 to species in alphabetical order.

355


356

357



358

359 *Fig. S13. A) Model fit (mean) for the Sharpe-Schoolfield model fitted to maximum consumption*
 360 *rate data including temperatures beyond peak (by species). Fit is evaluated by simulating data*
 361 *from the likelihood (at each iteration of the MCMC chain), to compare how well it matches the*
 362 *original data. Each simulated data point is assigned a 0 or 1 if it is below or above the mean*
 363 *data point (the vertical line corresponds to the mean in data). The number in the plot*
 364 *corresponds to the mean of the vector of 0's and 1's. B) Posterior predictive distribution*
 365 *(orange) and distribution of data (purple). C) Difference between the observed value and the*
 366 *posterior median of the predicted value, plotted against fitted value.*



367

368 *Fig. S14. Posterior (black) and prior distribution (red) for the global parameters in the Sharpe-*
 369 *Schoolfield model for maximum consumption rate including data beyond peak, including their*
 370 *% overlap (rounded) and effective sample size (n.eff).*


371

372

373

374

375

376 **Metabolic rate**

377

378 *Fig. S15. Posterior densities and trace plots for evaluation of chain convergence (by chain,
 379 indicated by color), for the global-level parameters for the metabolic rate model at
 380 temperatures below peak temperatures.*


381

382

383

384

385



387 *Fig. S16. Potential scale reduction factor (\hat{R}) for the metabolic rate model. This factor is based*
388 *on the comparison of between and within-chain variation for the same parameter. A value close*
389 *to one implies chains converged to the same distribution. The index of the parameter*
390 *corresponds to species in alphabetical order. Note that species with routine metabolism do not*
391 *have estimates for a standard metabolic rate intercept and vice versa, hence, not all parameters*
392 *in the graph have \hat{R} values.*

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406


407

408

409

410


411



412

413 *Fig. S17. A) Model fit (mean) for the log-linear model of metabolic rate. Fit is evaluated by*
 414 *simulating data from the likelihood (at each iteration of the MCMC chain), to compare how*
 415 *well it matches the original data. Each simulated data point is assigned a 0 or 1 if it is below*
 416 *or above the mean data point (the vertical line corresponds to the mean in data). The number*
 417 *in the plot corresponds to the mean of the vector of 0's and 1's. B) Posterior predictive*
 418 *distribution (orange) and distribution of data (purple). C) Difference between the observed*
 419 *value and the posterior median of the predicted value, plotted against fitted value.*

420



421

422 *Fig. S18. Posterior (black) and prior distribution (red) for the global parameters in the model*
 423 *for metabolic rate, including their % overlap and effective sample size (n.eff).*

424

425


426

427

428

429

430 ***Optimum growth temperature***



431

432 *Fig. S19. Posterior densities and trace plots for evaluation of chain convergence (by chain,*

433 *indicated by color), for the global-level parameters for the T_{opt} model.*


434

435

436

437

438



439


440 Fig. S20. Potential scale reduction factor (\hat{R}) for the T_{opt} model. This factor is based on the
 441 comparison of between and within-chain variation for the same parameter. A value close to
 442 one implies chains converged to the same distribution. The index of the parameter corresponds
 443 to species in alphabetical order.

444

445


446

447



448

449 Fig. S21. A) Model fit (mean) for the model of optimum growth temperature as a function of
 450 body mass. Fit is evaluated by simulating data from the likelihood (at each iteration of the
 451 MCMC chain), to compare how well it matches the original data. Each simulated data point is
 452 assigned a 0 or 1 if it is below or above the mean data point (the vertical line corresponds to
 453 the mean in data). The number in the plot corresponds to the mean of the vector of 0's and 1's.
 454 B) Posterior predictive distribution (orange) and distribution of data (purple). C) Difference
 455 between the observed value and the posterior median of the predicted value, plotted against
 456 fitted value.



457

458 *Fig. S22. Posterior (black) and prior distribution (red) for the global parameters in the model*
 459 *for T_{opt} , including their % overlap and effective sample size (n.eff).*

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470 **References**

- 471 Árnason, T., Björnsson, B., Steinarsson, A. & Oddgeirsson, M. (2009). Effects of
472 temperature and body weight on growth rate and feed conversion ratio in turbot
473 (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Aquaculture*, 295, 218–225.
- 474 Baldwin, N.S. (1957). Food Consumption and Growth of Brook Trout at Different
475 Temperatures. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 86, 323–328.
- 476 Beamish, F.W.H. (1964). Respiration of fishes with special emphasis on standard oxygen
477 consumption II. Influence of weight and temperature on respiration of several
478 species'. *Canadian Journal of Zoology/Revue Canadienne de Zoologie*, 42, 177–188.
- 479 Beamish, F.W.H. & Mookherjii, P.S. (1964). Respiration of fishes with special emphasis on
480 standard oxygen consumption: I. influence of weight and temperature on respiration
481 of goldfish, *Carassius auratus* l. *Can. J. Zool.*, 42, 161–175.
- 482 Bermudes, M., Glencross, B., Austen, K. & Hawkins, W. (2010). The effects of temperature
483 and size on the growth, energy budget and waste outputs of barramundi (*Lates*
484 *calcarifer*). *Aquaculture*, 306, 160–166.
- 485 Binkowski, F.P. & Rudstam, L.G. (1994). Maximum Daily Ration of Great Lakes Bloater.
486 *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 123, 335–343.
- 487 Björnsson, B., Steinarsson, A. & Árnason, T. (2007). Growth model for Atlantic cod (*Gadus*
488 *morhua*): Effects of temperature and body weight on growth rate. *Aquaculture*, 271,
489 216–226.
- 490 Björnsson, B. & Tryggvadóttir, S.V. (1996). Effects of size on optimal temperature for
491 growth and growth efficiency of immature Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus*
492 *hippoglossus* L.). *Aquaculture*, 142, 33–42.
- 493 Brett, J.R., Shelbourn, J.E. & Shoop, C.T. (1969). Growth rate and body composition of
494 fingerling sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*, in relation to temperature and ration
495 size. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can.*, 26, 2363–2394.
- 496 Chipps, S.R. & Wahl, D.H. (2004). Development and Evaluation of a Western Mosquitofish
497 Bioenergetics Model. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 133, 1150–
498 1162.
- 499 Cui, Y. & Wootton, R.J. (1988). Bioenergetics of growth of a cyprinid, *Phoxinus phoxinus*:
500 the effect of ration, temperature and body size on food consumption, faecal
501 production and nitrogenous excretion. *J Fish Biology*, 33, 431–443.
- 502 Degani, G., Gallagher, M.L. & Meltzer, A. (1989). The influence of body size and
503 temperature on oxygen consumption of the European eel, *Anguilla anguilla*. *J Fish*
504 *Biology*, 34, 19–24.
- 505 Deslauriers, D., Chipps, S.R., Breck, J.E., Rice, J.A. & Madenjian, C.P. (2017). Fish
506 Bioenergetics 4.0: An R-Based Modeling Application. *Fisheries*, 42, 586–596.
- 507 Du Perez, H., H., McLachlan, A. & Marais, J.F.K. (1986). Oxygen consumption of a shallow
508 water teleost, the spotted grunter, *Pomadasy commersonni* (Lacépède, 1802).
509 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, 84a, 61–70.
- 510 Duston, J., Astatkie, T. & MacIsaac, P.F. (2004). Effect of body size on growth and food
511 conversion of juvenile striped bass reared at 16–28 °C in freshwater and seawater.
512 *Aquaculture*, 234, 589–600.
- 513 Elliott, J.M. (1976). The Energetics of Feeding, Metabolism and Growth of Brown Trout
514 (*Salmo trutta* L.) in Relation to Body Weight, Water Temperature and Ration Size.
515 *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 45, 923.
- 516 Froese, R. & Pauly, D. (2019). Editors. *FishBase*. World Wide Web electronic publication.
517 www.fishbase.org, (12/2019).

- 518 Froese, R., Thorson, J.T. & Reyes, R.B. (2014). A Bayesian approach for estimating length-
519 weight relationships in fishes. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 30, 78–85.
- 520 From, J. & Rasmussen, G. (1984). A growth model, gastric evacuation, and body
521 composition in rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson, 1836. *Dana*, 3, 61–139.
- 522 Glencross, B.D. & Felsing, M. (2006). Influence of fish size and water temperature on the
523 metabolic demand for oxygen by barramundi, *Lates calcarifer* (Bloch), in freshwater.
524 *Aquaculture Res*, 37, 1055–1062.
- 525 Glover, D.C., DeVries, D.R. & Wright, R.A. (2012). Effects of temperature, salinity and
526 body size on routine metabolism of coastal largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides*.
527 *Journal of Fish Biology*, 81, 1463–1478.
- 528 Handeland, S.O., Imsland, A.K. & Stefansson, S.O. (2008). The effect of temperature and
529 fish size on growth, feed intake, food conversion efficiency and stomach evacuation
530 rate of Atlantic salmon post-smolts. *Aquaculture*, 283, 36–42.
- 531 Hayward, R.S. & Arnold, E. (1996). Temperature Dependence of Maximum Daily
532 Consumption in White Crappie: Implications for Fisheries Management. *Transactions
533 of the American Fisheries Society*, 125, 132–138.
- 534 Heuton, M., Ayala, L., Morante, A., Dayton, K., Jones, A.C., Hunt, J.R., et al. (2018).
535 Oxygen consumption of desert pupfish at ecologically relevant temperatures suggests
536 a significant role for anaerobic metabolism. *J Comp Physiol B*, 188, 821–830.
- 537 Horodysky, A.Z., Brill, R.W., Bushnell, P.G., Musick, J.A. & Latour, R.J. (2011).
538 Comparative metabolic rates of common western North Atlantic Ocean sciaenid
539 fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 79, 235–255.
- 540 Imsland, A.K., Foss, A., Sparboe, L.O. & Sigurdsson, S. (2006). The effect of temperature
541 and fish size on growth and feed efficiency ratio of juvenile spotted wolffish
542 *Anarhichas minor*. *J Fish Biology*, 68, 1107–1122.
- 543 Iwata, N., Kikuchi, K., Honda, H., Kiyono, M. & Kurokura, H. (1994). Effects of temperature
544 on the growth of Japanese flounder. *Fisheries science*, 60, 527–531.
- 545 Laurel, B.J., Copeman, L.A., Spencer, M. & Iseri, P. (2017). Temperature-dependent growth
546 as a function of size and age in juvenile Arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*). *ICES Journal
547 of Marine Science*, 74, 1614–1621.
- 548 Lessmark, O. (1983). Competition between perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) and roach (*Rutilus
549 rutilus*) in south Swedish lakes. PhD Thesis. Limnologiska Institutionen, Lunds
550 Universitet (Sweden).
- 551 Lin, X., Xie, S., Su, Y. & Cui, Y. (2008). Optimum temperature for the growth performance
552 of juvenile orange-spotted grouper (*Epinephelus coioides* H.). *Chin. J. Ocean.
553 Limnol.*, 26, 69–75.
- 554 Liu, J., Cui, Y. & Liu, J. (1998). Food consumption and growth of two piscivorous fishes, the
555 mandarin fish and the Chinese snakehead. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 53, 1071–1083.
- 556 Liu, J., Cui, Y. & Liu, J. (2000). Resting metabolism and heat increment of feeding in
557 mandarin fish (*Siniperca chuatsi*) and Chinese snakehead (*Channa argus*).
558 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative
559 Physiology*, 127, 131–138.
- 560 Luo, Y.P. & Wang, Q.Q. (2012). Effects of body mass and temperature on routine metabolic
561 rate of juvenile largemouth bronze gudgeon *Coreius guichenoti*. *Journal of Fish
562 Biology*, 80, 842–851.
- 563 Marmulla, G. & Rosch, R. (1990). Maximum daily ration of juvenile fish fed on living
564 natural zooplankton. *J Fish Biology*, 36, 789–801.
- 565 Mesa, M.G., Weiland, L.K., Christiansen, H.E., Sauter, S.T. & Beauchamp, D.A. (2013).
566 Development and evaluation of a bioenergetics model for bull trout. *Transactions of
567 the American Fisheries Society*, 142, 41–49.

- 568 Meskendahl, L., Herrmann, J.-P. & Temming, A. (2010). Effects of temperature and body
569 mass on metabolic rates of sprat, *Sprattus sprattus* L. *Mar Biol*, 157, 1917–1927.
- 570 Messmer, V., Pratchett, M.S., Hoey, A.S., Tobin, A.J., Coker, D.J., Cooke, S.J., *et al.* (2017).
571 Global warming may disproportionately affect larger adults in a predatory coral reef
572 fish. *Global Change Biology*, 23, 2230–2240.
- 573 Milano, D., Vigliano, P. & Beauchamp, D. (2016). Effect of body size and temperature on
574 respiration of *Galaxias maculatus* (Pisces: Galaxiidae). *New Zealand Journal of
575 Marine and Freshwater Research*, 51, 295–303.
- 576 Nytrø, A.V., Vikingstad, E., Foss, A., Hangstad, T.A., Reynolds, P., Eliassen, G., *et al.*
577 (2014). The effect of temperature and fish size on growth of juvenile lumpfish
578 (*Cyclopterus lumpus* L.). *Aquaculture*, 434, 296–302.
- 579 Ohlberger, J., Mehner, Thomas., Staaks, Georg. & Hölker, Franz. (2012). Intraspecific
580 temperature dependence of the scaling of metabolic rate with body mass in fishes and
581 its ecological implications. *Oikos*, 121, 245–251.
- 582 Patterson, J.T., Mims, S.D. & Wright, R.A. (2013). Effects of body mass and water
583 temperature on routine metabolism of American paddlefish *Polyodon spathula*:
584 routine metabolism of *Polyodon spathula*. *J Fish Biol*, 82, 1269–1280.
- 585 Peck, M.A., Buckley, L.J. & Bengtson, D.A. (2005). Effects of temperature, body size and
586 feeding on rates of metabolism in young-of-the-year haddock. *Journal of Fish
587 Biology*, 66, 911–923.
- 588 Pirozzi, I. & Booth, M.A. (2009). The effect of temperature and body weight on the routine
589 metabolic rate and postprandial metabolic response in muloway, *Argyrosomus
590 japonicus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular &
591 Integrative Physiology*, 154, 110–118.
- 592 Rangel, R.E. & Johnson, D.W. (2018). Metabolic responses to temperature in a sedentary reef
593 fish, the bluebanded goby (*Lythrypnus dalli*, Gilbert). *Journal of Experimental
594 Marine Biology and Ecology*, 501, 83–89.
- 595 Siikavuopio, S.I., Foss, A., Saether, B.-S., Gunnarsson, S. & Imsland, A.K. (2013).
596 Comparison of the growth performance of offspring from cultured versus wild
597 populations of arctic charr, *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), kept at three different
598 temperatures. *Aquac Res*, 44, 995–1001.
- 599 Slesinger, E., Andres, A., Young, R., Seibel, B., Saba, V., Phelan, B., *et al.* (2019). The effect
600 of ocean warming on black sea bass (*Centropristes striata*) aerobic scope and hypoxia
601 tolerance. *PLoS ONE*, 14, e0218390.
- 602 Sun, L. & Chen, H. (2014). Effects of water temperature and fish size on growth and
603 bioenergetics of cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). *Aquaculture*, 426–427, 172–180.
- 604 Tirsgaard, B., Behrens, J.W. & Steffensen, J.F. (2015). The effect of temperature and body
605 size on metabolic scope of activity in juvenile Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* L.
606 *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative
607 Physiology*, 179, 89–94.
- 608 Tomala, D., Chavarria, J. & Angeles, B. (2014). Evaluacion de la tasa de consumo de
609 oxigeno de *Collossoma macropomum* en relacion al peso corporal y temperatura del
610 agua. *lajar*, 42, 971–979.
- 611 Tomiyama, T., Kusakabe, K., Otsuki, N., Yoshida, Y., Takahashi, S., Hata, M., *et al.* (2018).
612 Ontogenetic changes in the optimal temperature for growth of juvenile marbled
613 flounder *Pseudopleuronectes yokohamae*. *Journal of Sea Research*, 141, 14–20.
- 614 Wang, H.P., Hayward, R.S., Whittlege, G.W. & Fischer, S.A. (2003). Prey-size Preference,
615 Maximum Handling Size, and Consumption Rates for Redear Sunfish *Lepomis
616 microlophus* Feeding on Two Gastropods Common to Aquaculture Ponds. *J World
617 Aquaculture Soc*, 34, 379–386.

- 618 Wootton, R.J., Allen, J.R.M. & Cole, S.J. (1980). Effect of body weight and temperature on
619 the maximum daily food consumption of *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L. and *Phoxinus*
620 *phoxinus* (L.): selecting an appropriate model. *J Fish Biology*, 17, 695–705.
621 Xie, Xiaojun. & Sun, Ruyung. (1990). The Bioenergetics of the Southern Catfish (*Silurus*
622 *meridionalis* Chen). I. Resting Metabolic Rate as a Function of Body Weight and
623 Temperature. *Physiological Zoology*, 63, 1181–1195.
624 Yamanaka, H., Takahara, T., Kohmatsu, Y. & Yuma, M. (2013). Body size and temperature
625 dependence of routine metabolic rate and critical oxygen concentration in larvae and
626 juveniles of the round crucian carp *Carassius auratus grandoculis* Temminck &
627 Schlegel 1846. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.*, 29, 891–895.
628 Zhang, L., Zhao, Z.-G. & Fan, Q.-X. (2017). Effects of water temperature and initial weight
629 on growth, digestion and energy budget of yellow catfish *Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*
630 (Richardson, 1846). *J Appl Ichthyol*, 33, 1108–1117.
631