

Homework 2 (50 points) Due: September 20, 2024 11:59 pm
COMPSCI 733: Advanced Algorithms and Designs

Documentation: (5 points) Type your solutions using Latex

(www.overleaf.com or <https://www.latex-project.org/>). Submit your solutions (pdf is enough) to Canvas. **Problem 1: (15 points)** Assume we have a one-dimensional array of real numbers with indices, $1, 2, \dots, n$. The following pseudocodes for MERGE and MERGE-SORT are from the CLRS textbook.

Algorithm 1 MERGE(A, p, q, r)

```
1:  $n_1 = q - p + 1$ 
2:  $n_2 = r - q$ 
3: let  $L[1 \dots n_1 + 1]$  and  $R[1 \dots n_2 + 1]$  be new arrays
4: for  $i = 1$  to  $n_1$  do
5:    $L[i] = A[p + i - 1]$ 
6: end for
7: for  $j = 1$  to  $n_2$  do
8:    $R[j] = A[q + j]$ 
9: end for
10:  $L[n_1 + 1] = \infty$ 
11:  $R[n_2 + 1] = \infty$ 
12:  $i = 1$ 
13:  $j = 1$ 
14: for  $k = p$  to  $r$  do
15:   if  $L[i] \leq R[j]$  then
16:      $A[k] = L[i]$ 
17:      $i = i + 1$ 
18:   else
19:      $A[k] = R[j]$ 
20:      $j = j + 1$ 
21:   end if
22: end for
```

Algorithm 2 MERGE-SORT(A, p, r)

```
1: if  $p < r$  then
2:    $q = (p + r) / 2$ 
3:   MERGE-SORT( $A, p, q$ )
4:   MERGE-SORT( $A, q + 1, r$ )
5:   MERGE( $A, p, q, r$ )
6: end if
```

- (a) Write pseudocodes with new parameters to modify the above MERGE and MERGE-SORT that divide the array into three equal parts, sorts them and do a three-way merge as follows. Use a new parameter s and write $MERGE - 3(A, p, q, r, s)$ and

MERGE-SORT-3(A, p, s), where A is an array and p, q, r , and s are indices into the array such that $p \leq q \leq r < s$. *MERGE* function assumes that the subarrays $A[p, \dots, q]$, $A[q+1, \dots, r]$ and $A[r+1, \dots, s]$ are in sorted order. Make sure to write the complete pseudo codes for the modified functions.

Algorithm 3 *MERGE-3*(A, p, q, r, s)

```

1: let  $n_1 = q - p + 1$ ,  $n_2 = r - q$ , and  $n_3 = s - r$ 
2: Let  $L[1 \dots n_1 + 1]$ ,  $M[1 \dots n_2 + 1]$ , and  $R[1 \dots n_3 + 1]$  be new arrays
3: for  $i = 1$  to  $n_1$  do
4:      $L[i] = A[p + i - 1]$ 
5: end for
6: for  $j = 1$  to  $n_2$  do
7:      $M[j] = A[q + j]$ 
8: end for
9: for  $k = 1$  to  $n_3$  do
10:     $R[k] = A[r + k]$ 
11: end for
12: Set  $L[n_1 + 1] = \infty$ ,  $M[n_2 + 1] = \infty$ , and  $R[n_3 + 1] = \infty$  (to act as sentinels)
13: Initialize  $i = 1$ ,  $j = 1$ ,  $k = 1$ 
14: for  $t = p$  to  $s$  do
15:     if  $L[i] \leq M[j]$  and  $L[i] \leq R[k]$  then
16:          $A[t] = L[i]$ 
17:          $i = i + 1$ 
18:     else if  $M[j] \leq L[i]$  and  $M[j] \leq R[k]$  then
19:          $A[t] = M[j]$ 
20:          $j = j + 1$ 
21:     else
22:          $A[t] = R[k]$ 
23:          $k = k + 1$ 
24:     end if
25: end for

```

Algorithm 4 MERGE-SORT-3(A,p,s)

```
1: if  $p < s$  then
2:   Let  $q = p + (s - p)/3$  (first division point)
3:   Let  $r = p + 2 * (s - p)/3$  (second division point)
4:   MERGE-SORT-3( $A, p, q$ ) (recursively sort first third)
5:   MERGE-SORT-3( $A, q + 1, r$ )(recursively sort second third)
6:   MERGE-SORT-3( $A, r + 1, s$ ) (recursively sort third third)
7:   MERGE-3( $A, p, q, r, s$ )(merge the three sorted parts)
8: end if
```

- (b) Let $T(n)$ be the running time of MERGE-SORT-3 on an array of size n . Write a recurrence relation (i.e., $T(n) = ?$) for your algorithm.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ 3T\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$

Problem 2: (15 points) Prove: Assuming that the procedure for Merge is correct, a call to $Merge_Sort(A, p, r)$, $p \leq r$, returns the elements in $A[p..r]$ rearranged in sorted order, and does not alter any entry outside of the subarray $A[p..r]$. By strong induction on $m = r - p + 1$ (i.e., by induction on the size of the sub-array $A[p..r]$).

Proof. : **Base Case:** Need to show correctness for the smallest input size. This occurs when $p = r$, i.e., when $m = 1$. Since the sub-array $A[p..r]$ has only one element, it is trivially sorted.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $Merge_Sort(A, p, r)$ works correctly for all subarrays of size $m \leq k$ for some $k \geq 1$. That is, we assume the algorithm correctly sorts all subarrays of size $m \leq k$ and leaves elements outside of $A[p..r]$ unchanged.

Induction Step: We now need to prove that the algorithm works correctly for a subarray of size $m = k + 1$. Specifically, we need to show that $Merge_Sort(A, p, r)$ correctly sorts the subarray $A[p..r]$ when $m = r - p + 1 = k + 1$

Divide: The Merge-Sort algorithm works by dividing the subarray $A[p..r]$ into two smaller subarrays:

1. First half: $A[p..q]$ where $q = \lfloor \frac{p+r}{2} \rfloor$.
2. Second half: $A[q+1..r]$.

Conquer: By the inductive hypothesis, since the sizes of these two subarrays are strictly less than $k + 1$, the recursive calls to $Merge_Sort(A, p, q)$ and $Merge_Sort(A, q + 1, r)$ correctly sort both subarrays.

Combine: Since the Merge procedure is assumed to be correct, it will correctly merge the two sorted subarrays $A[p..q]$ and $A[q + 1..r]$ into $A[p..r]$

MERGE SORT is correct for all subarray sizes. □

Problem 3: (15 points) Correctness of Merge. Merge procedure copies subarray $A[p..q]$ into $L[1..n_1]$ and $A[q + 1..n_2]$ into $R[1..n_2]$, with $L[n_1 + 1]$ and $R[n_2 + 1]$ set to ∞ (a value larger than any of the elements in $A[p..r]$). Correctness of Merge is established through the correctness of the following loop invariant for the for loop in Line 14 of the above Algorithm 1: **Loop invariant:** At the start of each iteration of the for loop in line 14, $A[p..k - 1]$ contains the $k - p$ smallest elements in $L[1..n_1 + 1]$ and $R[1..n_2 + 1]$ in sorted order. Further, $L[i]$ and $R[j]$ are the smallest elements in their arrays that have not been copied back into A . The elements in array A outside of subarray $A[p..r]$ are unchanged. **Complete the following steps:**

1. **Initialization:** At the first iteration of the loop, we have $k = p$, therefore the subarray $A[p..k - 1]$ is empty. The empty subarray contains the $(k - p = 0)$ smallest elements in L and R . Since $i = j = 1$, both $L[i]$ and $R[j]$ are the smallest elements of their arrays that have not been copied back into A .
2. **Maintenance:** When $L[i] \leq R[j]$, $L[i]$ is the smallest element not yet copied back into A . Because $A[p..k - 1]$ contains the $(k - p)$ smallest elements, after line 14 copies $L[i]$ into $A[k]$, the subarray $A[p..k]$ will contain the $(k - p) + 1$ smallest elements. Incrementing j and i (in line 15) reestablishes the loop invariant for the next iteration. When $L[i] \geq R[j]$, the lines 16–17 perform the appropriate action to maintain the loop invariant.
3. **Termination:** When the loop terminates, $k = r + 1$. By the loop invariant, $A[p..r]$ contains the $(r - p) + 1$ smallest elements from L and R , all in sorted order. The two remaining elements, $L[n_1 + 1]$ and $R[n_2 + 1]$, are the sentinel values set to ∞ , which ensures they are never copied into A . Therefore, the array $A[p..r]$ is fully sorted, and the elements outside $A[p..r]$ remain unchanged.