## **Assignment 3**

## **Exercise 1**

Load the provided data on terrain *ruggedness* and *GDP* per capita.

1. Reproduce the main result, given in Table 1, Column 5 (they use HC1 standard errors), of Nunn and Puga (2012) by fitting a model with the following (interacted) variables:

$$log rgdppc_{2000} \approx (rugged + dist-coast) \times africa.$$

- 2. Plot posterior samples of the ruggedness effect in Africa against the effect in the rest of the world in a scatterplot. What can you say about the effect?
- 3. Estimate three additional models one without the distance to coast, one that uses population in 1400 (use log 1 + pop) instead, and one with both controls.
- 4. Discuss (conceptually different) approaches to selecting one of these models for inference. *Hint: Consider the difference between causal inference and other inference tasks.*

The marginal likelihood of a model  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is a natural measure of *model fit*, and is given by

$$p(\mathcal{D} \mid \mathcal{M}_j) = \iint p(\mathcal{D} \mid \beta_j, \sigma_j) p(\beta_j, \sigma_j) d\beta_j d\sigma_j.$$

When using the *conjugate Normal Inverse-Gamma prior* for linear regression, it is available in closed form.

- 1. Investigate the sensitivity of the estimates of your model of choice to different prior parameters.
- 2. Compare the ML using different prior parameters, including prior variances:  $\Sigma_0 = v_p \mathbf{I}$  with  $v_p \in \{0.0001, 0.01, 1, 100\}$ .
- 3. Compare the three models using Bayes factors, and explain how they depend on the model prior  $p(\mathcal{M}_i)$ .
- 4. The posterior predictive density,  $p(\mathbf{y}^* \mid \mathbf{y}) = \iint p(\mathbf{y}^* \mid \mathbf{y}, \beta_j, \sigma_j) p(\beta_j, \sigma_j) d\beta_j d\sigma_j$ , allows us to quantify uncertainty around predictions. To implement this, obtain posterior draws from the model of your choice and use them to simulate predictions. Visualise the predictive uncertainty around a subset of the model.

Hint: Consider using the brms package for fitting the models. For model selection, you could use bridge\_sampler() to compute marginal likelihoods, waic() for an information criterion, or loo() to compute a leave-one-out cross-validation information criterion.

## **Exercise 2**

Download the time series on US unemployment rates (mnemonic: UNRATE) from the FRED data base. This is a monthly (seasonally adjusted) time series of unemployment rates running from 1948M1 until today.

- Demean the time series prior to analysis.
- Fit an AR(1) process to this time series using the following priors on the autoregressive coefficient  $\phi$ :
  - 1. Normal with mean zero and one, and unit variance N(0,1), N(1,1).
  - 2. Beta with shape and rate equal to 0.1, 1, and 10 B(0.1, 0.1), B(1, 1), B(10, 10),
- Plot the prior distributions and give an intuition for the implied prior assumption for  $\phi$ .
- Plot and interpret the posterior distributions of  $\phi$  are they sensitive to the prior?