```
Text - code
Data - global
Heap - dynamic
Stack - local

Zombie - parent didn't yet call wait
Orphan - parent never called wait
ms=1000us
```

IPC: Pipe, FIFO, Shared Memory, Socket, Message Queue Thread: -addressSpace +pcRegistersStack -osResources

Peterson's Solution

```
Thread 1
                                                    Thread 2
do {
                                           do {
  flag[0] = TRUE;
turn = 1;
                                              flag[1] = TRUE;
                                              turn = 0;
  while (flag[1] && turn==1)
                                              while (flag[0] && turn==0)
  {};
// critical section
                                              {};
// critical section
  flag[0] = FALSE;
                                              flag[1] = FALSE;
  // remainder section
                                              // remainder section
} while (TRUE)
                                           } while (TRUE)
```

Disabling interrupts in a single-core CPU facilitates locks

```
boolean TestAndSet (boolean *target)
    boolean rv = *target;
    *target = TRUE;
    return rv:
}
                              void init_lock (int *mutex)
int mutex;
                                 *mutex = 0;
init_lock (&mutex);
do {
                              void lock (int *mutex)
  lock (&mutex);
                                 while(TestAndSet(mutex))
     critical section
  unlock (&mutex);
     remainder section
                              void unlock (int *mutex)
} while(TRUE);
                                 *mutex = 0;
int CAS(int *value, int oldval, int newval)
{
     int temp = *value;
     if (*value == oldval)
       *value = newval;
     return temp;
 }
void lock (int *mutex) {
  while(CAS(mutex, 0, 1) != 0);
```

```
void mutex_init (mutex_t *lock)
                                                           More reading: mutex.c in
    lock->value = 0;
    list_init(&lock->wait_list); 
                                                    Thread waiting list
    spin_lock_init(&lock->wait_lock);
                                                    To protect waiting list
 void mutex_lock (mutex_t *lock)
{
    spin_lock(&lock->wait_lock);
    while(TestAndSet(&lock->value)) {
       current->state = WAITING;
                                                    Thread state change
       list_add(&lock->wait_list, current);
                                                    Add the current thread to the
       spin_unlock(&lock->wait_lock);
                                                    waiting list
       schedule();
                                                    Sleep or schedule another thread
       spin_lock(&lock->wait_lock);
   spin_unlock(&lock->wait_lock);
  void mutex_unlock (mutex_t *lock)
    spin_lock(&lock->wait_lock);
    lock->value = 0;
                                                    Someone is waiting for the lock
    if (!list_empty(&lock->wait_list)) 
       wake_up_process(&lock->wait_list)
                                                    Wake-up a waiting thread
    spin_unlock(&lock->wait_lock);
P - lock. V - signal unlock
```

```
P(semaphore *S) {
                                         V(semaphore *S) {
    S->lock->Acquire();
                                              S->lock->Acquire();
    S->value-;
                                              S->value++;
    if(S->value < 0) {
                                              if(S->value <= 0) {
         addQ(&S->list, P);
                                                  P = delQ(&S->list);
         S->lock->Release();
                                                  wakeup(P);
         schedule();
         S->lock->Acquire();
                                              S->lock->Release();
    S->lock->Release();
}
```

Monitor version

```
Mutex lock;
Condition full, empty;
produce (item)
  lock.acquire();
  while (queue.isFull())
     empty.wait(&lock);
  queue.enqueue(item);
  full.signal();
  lock.release();
}
consume()
{
  lock.acquire();
  while (queue.isEmpty())
     full.wait(&lock);
  item = queue.dequeue(item);
  empty.signal();
  lock.release();
  return item;
}
```

Semaphore version

```
Semaphore mutex = 1, full = 0,
empty = N;
produce (item)
  P(&empty);
  P(&mutex);
  queue.enqueue(item);
  V(&mutex);
  V(&full);
}
consume()
  P(&full);
  P(&mutex);
  item = queue.dequeue();
  V(&mutex);
  V(&empty);
  return item;
}
```

Reader-Writer Problem

```
semaphore mutex = 1, wrt = 1;
int readcount = 0;
      Writer
                                        Reader
                                  do {
                                     P(mutex);
do {
                                     readcount++;
   P(wrt);
                                     if (readcount == 1)
                                       P(wrt);
   write object resource
                                     V(mutex)
   V(wrt);
                                     read from object resource
} while (TRUE);
                                     P(mutex);
                                     readcount--;
                                     if (readcount == 0)
                                       V(wrt);
                                     V(mutex)
                                  } while (TRUE);
```

Deadlock conditions:

Mutual exclusion - one process per resource

No preemption - resource release must be voluntary Hold and wait - holding some resources and waiting for more Circular wait - circular dependency

Starvation can end, but deadlock can't

Banker's Algorithm

- General idea
 - Assume that each process's maximum resource demand is known in advance
 - Max[i]: process i's maximum resource demand vector
 - Pretend each request is granted, then run the deadlock detection algorithm
 - If a deadlock is detected, the do not grant the request to keep the system in a safe state

Banker's Algorithm

- 1. Initialize **Avail** and **Finish** vectors Avail = FreeResources; For i = 1,2, ..., n, Finish[i] = false
- 2. Find an index i such that
 Finish[i] == false AND
 Max[i] Alloc[i] ≤ Avail
 If no such i exists, go to step 4
- Avail = Avail + Alloc[i], Finish[i] = true
 Go to step 2
- 4. If Finish[i] == false, for some i, $1 \le i \le n$,
 - (a) then the system is in deadlock state
 - (b) if Finish[i] == false, then P_i is deadlocked

- ☐ FreeResources: resource vector [R1, R2] = [0,0]
- Alloc[i]: process i's allocated resource vector:Alloc[1] = [0,1], Alloc[2] = [1, 0]
- Request[i]: process i's requesting vector: Request[1] = [1,0] Request[2] = [0,0]
- Max[i]: process i's maximum resource demand vector

Response time - time to complete a task

Wait time - total waiting Scheduling latency - time till first run FCFS - FIFO (min overhead)

SJF - min burst len first

SRTF - remaining time - new shorter interrupts longer (smallest waiting and interactive)

RR - round robin - each job executed for a quantum time, then rotate (interactive)

CFS - completely fair scheduler - pick min weightCpu%*executedTime