

IN, LCA1: Decentralized Data Sharing System based on Secure Multiparty Computation

Due on Autumn 2017

D.Froelicher, J.Troncoso-Pastoriza

Max Premi

November 21, 2017

Abstract

Unlynx and Prio are two privacy-preserving data sharing systems, with each it's way to encode, decode and aggregate datas. While Unlynx uses homomorphic encryption based on Elliptic Curves and zero knowledge proofs, Prio uses Affine-aggregatable encodings and *secret-shared non-interactive proofs*(SNIP's), which perform much better in term of computation time.

However, privacy is assured in Prio if at least one client is trusted, and it then assure provides robustness and scalability, whereas Unlynx assure all this thanks to a collective authority, with several other mechanics such as Noise addition. In both case the number of servers should be significantly smaller than the number of client.

This paper presents the implementation of Prio system into unlynx, and the comparison between both system, as well with a new proof system for Unlynx based on a an efficient protocol for set membership and range proofs.

Contents

Abstract	2
Introduction	4
0.1 Model	4
0.2 Threats	4
0.3 Pipeline and Aggregation	5
Conclusion	6
References	7

Introduction

Nowadays, tons of data are generated around of us and about us, and used to compute statistics. Even if these statistics are collected with the goal of learning useful aggregate informations about the users/population, it might collect and store private data from client.

The need of collecting data and sharing them in a privacy-preserving way has become crucial in this context. A lot of techniques have been developed through the years, by major technology companies such as Google [references], but also researcher in Universities [references].

- Put some example applications

However, by gaining *privacy*, these protocols sacrifice *robustness* and *scalability*,

- Explain the link between the two and why

, leading to the use of technical agreements rather than technical solutions.

In this paper, we present two systems and the result of the merging of those.

Contributions

Background

1 Unlynx Actual System

- How does unlynx work (with a figure) (system of client, queries and servers)
- How Aggregation is done
- How proof is done

1.1 Model

Unlynx is a privacy-preserving data sharing system developed by LCA1 in collaboration with DeDiS.

It consists of a collective authority (CA) formed by a number m of server S_1, \dots, S_m , and n data providers DP_1, \dots, DP_n . These DPs combined represent a distributed database that is used to answer queries made by a querier Q . The querier and DPs choose one server of the CA to communicate with and can change this choice at any given time.

Functionality Unlynx should permit SQL queries of the form `SELECT SUM(*)/COUNT(*) FROM DP, ..., WHERE * AND/OR GROUP BY *`.

1.2 Threats

Collective authority servers It is assumed an Anytrust model [REF]. It does not require any particular server to be trusted or to be honest-but-curious. The moment it exists one server that is not malicious, functionality, security and privacy are guaranteed.

Data providers are assumed to be honest-but-curious. The system does not protect against malicious DPs sending false informations, but a solution will be discussed in Section [INPUT RANGE VALID SECTION].

Queriers are assumed to be malicious, and can collude between themselves or with a subset of the CA

servers.

It is also assumed that all network communication is encrypted and authenticated, by using TLS.

1.3 Pipeline and Aggregation

The protocol starts when a querier wants to retrieve some information about sensitive data. It sends the query to one of the servers of the CA. Upon receiving, the server broadcasts this query to the other servers in the collective authority.

Prio Aggregation System

- How does Prio work (same as before)
- How does Aggregation is done
- New proof system SNIPs

Prio Proof System

- Client evaluation
- Consistency checking at the server
- Polynomial identity test
- Multiplication of shares
- Output verification

Implementation

- what is implemented in a little more detailed
- optimization apported by code from github and not aborded in details

Performance evaluation

Explain a little more about comparison and test settings

- Scaling with number of server
- Scaling with number of client
- Scaling with fairly high number of both
- Time and Bandwidth dilemma

El Gamal range input checking

- TO be seen

Conclusion

References