

Lecture 15

EECS 483: COMPILER CONSTRUCTION

Announcements

- Midterm
 - Grades will be released after we review the results in next Wednesday's class (3/20).
- HW4: OAT v.1.0
 - Parsing & translation to LLVM IR
 - Helps to start early!
 - **Due: Tuesday, March 26th**
- CSE Distinguished Lecture Series **today** :
 - Ion Stoica, UC Berkeley
 - *An AI stack: from cloud orchestration to LLM evaluation*
 - 3:30pm in Lurie Engineering Center, Johnson Rooms



UNTYPED LAMBDA CALCULUS

(Untyped) Lambda Calculus

- The lambda calculus is a minimal programming language.
 - Note: we're writing `(fun x -> e)` lambda-calculus notation: $\lambda x. e$

Abstract syntax in OCaml:

```
type exp =  
  | Var of var          (* variables          *)  
  | Fun of var * exp    (* functions: fun x -> e *)  
  | App of exp * exp    (* function application *)
```

Concrete syntax:

```
exp ::=  
  | x                variables  
  | fun x -> exp     functions  
  | exp1 exp2      function application  
  | ( exp )          parentheses
```

Alpha Equivalence

- Note that the names of bound variables don't matter to the semantics
 - i.e., it doesn't matter which variable names you use, if you use them consistently:

$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow y \ x)$ is the "same" as $(\text{fun } z \rightarrow y \ z)$

the choice of "x" or "z" is arbitrary, so long as we consistently rename them

Two terms that differ only by consistent renaming of *bound* variables are called *alpha equivalent*

- The names of *free* variables do matter:

$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow y \ x)$ is *not* the "same" as $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow z \ x)$

Intuitively: y and z can refer to different things from some outer scope

Students who cheat by “renaming variables” are trying to exploit alpha equivalence...

Fixing Substitution

- Consider the substitution operation:

$$e_1\{e_2/x\}$$

- To avoid capture, we define substitution to pick an alpha equivalent version of e_1 such that the bound names of e_1 don't mention the free names of e_2 .
 - Harder said than done! (Many "obvious" implementations are wrong.)
 - Then do the "naïve" substitution.

For example: $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow (x \ y))\{(\text{fun } z \rightarrow x)/y\}$
 $= (\text{fun } x' \rightarrow (x' (\text{fun } z \rightarrow x)))$

rename x to x'

On the other hand, this requires no renaming:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{fun } x \rightarrow (x \ y))\{(\text{fun } x \rightarrow x)/y\} \\ &= (\text{fun } x \rightarrow (x (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x))) \\ &= (\text{fun } a \rightarrow (a (\text{fun } b \rightarrow b))) \end{aligned}$$

Operational Semantics

- Key operation: *capture-avoiding substitution*: $e_2\{e_1/x\}$
 - replaces all free occurrences of x in e_2 by e_1
 - must respect scope and alpha equivalence (renaming)
- *Reduction Strategies*
Various ways of *simplifying* (or “*reducing*”) lambda calculus terms.
 - *call-by-value evaluation*:
 - simplify the function argument *before* substitution
 - *does not* reduce under lambda (a.k.a. fun)
 - *call-by-name evaluation*:
 - *does not* simplify the argument before substitution
 - *does not* reduce under lambda
 - *weak-head normalization*:
 - does not simplify the argument before substitution
 - does not reduce under lambda
 - works on open terms, “suspending” reduction at variables
 - *normal order reduction*:
 - *does* reduce under lambda
 - first does weak-head normalization and then recursively continues to reduce
 - works on open terms – guaranteed to find a “normal form” if such a form exists

A “normal form” is one that has no substitution steps possible, i.e., there are no subterms of the form $(\text{fun } x \rightarrow e_1) e_2$ anywhere.

CBV Operational Semantics

- This is *call-by-value* semantics:
function arguments are evaluated before substitution

$$\overline{v \Downarrow v}$$

“Values evaluate to themselves”

$$\exp_1 \Downarrow (\text{fun } x \rightarrow \exp_3) \quad \exp_2 \Downarrow v \quad \exp_3\{v/x\} \Downarrow w$$

$$\exp_1 \exp_2 \Downarrow w$$

“To evaluate function application: Evaluate the function to a value, evaluate the argument to a value, and then substitute the argument for the function. ”

CBN Operational Semantics

- This is *call-by-name* semantics:
function arguments are evaluated before substitution

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v}$$

“Values evaluate to themselves”

$$\frac{\text{exp}_1 \Downarrow (\text{fun } x \rightarrow \text{exp}_3) \quad \text{exp}_3\{\text{exp}_2/x\} \Downarrow w}{\text{exp}_1 \text{ exp}_2 \Downarrow w}$$

“To evaluate function application: Evaluate the function to a value, substitute the argument into the function body, and then keep evaluating.”



See fun.ml

Examples of encoding Booleans, numbers, conditionals, loops, etc., in untyped lambda calculus.

IMPLEMENTING THE INTERPRETER