A. INFORMATIVENESS

Determined by the question: *Did you find the information you were looking for?*

Potential causes for bad informativeness:

- I. bad index quality (desired result[s] not present on page)
- II. bad ranking quality (desired result[s] not present or ranked too low)
- III. desired result not clearly identifiable:
 - A. inappropriate title and/or abstract
 - B. too many other results
 - C. too much content other than results
- IV. inaccurate or erroneous search query

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. improve index quality
- 2. include more results in index
- 3. provide search suggestions / related search terms
- 4. improve ranking quality (e.g., by using models to better predict relevance)
- 5. improve title/abstract quality
- 6. reduce number of results per page
- 7. clarify layout:
 - a. clearly mark different types of results (text, images, products etc.)
 - b. clearly separate results from other content such as ads
 - c. reduce amount of content other than results
 - d. find optimal length for result abstract
- 8. provide semantic results (e.g., info boxes that answer gueries directly on the page)
- 9. provide contextual results (e.g., based on location)
- 10. ask user to refine or rephrase search query

B. UNDERSTANDABILITY

Determined by the question: Could you easily understand the provided content?

Potential causes for bad understandability:

- I. results presented in wrong language
- II. poor title/abstract quality
- III. use of ambiguous language
- IV. use of ambiguous icons without textual explanation

V. content is too complex/complicated (for certain groups of users, e.g., kids or educationally handicapped people)

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. provide option to choose language/region
- 2. provide translation option
- 3. improve title/abstract quality
- 4. avoid use of ambiguous language
- 5. provide alternative texts (e.g., tooltips) along icons
- 6. take characteristics of user into account, e.g., based on previous search queries

C. CONFUSION

Determined by the question: Were you confused while using the webpage?

Potential causes for confusion:

- I. (types of) results not clearly identifiable
- II. too much content other than results
- III. use of ambiguous language
- IV. use of ambiguous icons without textual explanation
- V. important settings not easily reachable / hidden from user
- VI. too many options (e.g., for filtering results)

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. clearly highlight results and mark other content as such
- 2. clearly mark different types of results
- 3. reduce amount of content other than results
- 4. avoid use of ambiguous language
- 5. provide alternative texts (e.g., tooltips) along icons
- 6. clearly highlight important settings; make them reachable in as few clicks as possible
- 7. provide help; make it reachable in as few clicks as possible
- 8. provide search suggestions / related search terms

D. DISTRACTION

Determined by the question: Were you distracted by elements of the webpage?

Potential causes for distraction:

- I. too much content other than results
- II. animated content
- III. too many images
- IV. strongly highlighted content other than results
- V. overloaded results (e.g., displaying secondary information, social media buttons etc.)

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. reduce amount of content other than results
- 2. reduce amount of animated content
- 3. reduce amount of images
- 4. option to collapse potentially distracting content such as ads
- 5. ensure that results stand out against other content
- 6. reduce results to: title, URL, abstract
 - a. make additional, secondary information available through "more" link (or similar)

E. READABILITY

Determined by the question: Did typography and layout add to readability?

Potential causes for bad readability:

- I. wrong font size (too small / too large)
- II. wrong line height (too small / too large)
- III. wrong line length
- IV. wrong font
- V. too little white space
- VI. bad contrast
- VII. inconsistent alignment of results and/or other elements of the page

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. adjust font size
- 2. adjust line height
- 3. adjust line length to display ~66 characters per line
- 4. try different fonts
- 5. add white space:
 - a. between title, URL and abstract of result
 - b. between results
 - c. between results and other content
 - d. increase margin left of results (particularly on large screens)
- 6. use better contrast (very dark grey on very light grey is best for reading)

- 7. align results and other elements of the page consistently
- 8. provide option to change or adjust layout

F. INFORMATION DENSITY

Determined by the question: Was there too much information presented on too little space?

Potential causes for high information density:

- I. too many results per page
- II. too long result abstracts
- III. too much content other than results
- IV. too little white space
- V. different types of content not clearly marked; results don't stand out
- VI. overloaded results (e.g., displaying secondary information, social media buttons etc.)

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. reduce number of results per page
- 2. find optimal length for result abstract
- 3. reduce amount of content other than results
- 4. add white space:
 - a. between title, URL and abstract of result
 - b. between results
 - c. between results and other content
- 5. clearly mark content other than results
- 6. ensure that results stand out against other content
- 7. avoid infinite scrolling
- 8. enable customization of search results page by users, e.g., number of results, (contextual) information to results, social features
- 9. reduce results to: title, URL, abstract
 - a. make additional, secondary information available through "more" link (or similar)

G. REACHABILITY

Determined by the question: Was your desired content easily and quickly reachable (concerning time and distance)?

Potential causes for bad reachability:

- I. too much scrolling effort for user:
 - A. too much content other than results, especially above results

- B. too many results per page
- C. too long result abstracts
- D. bad ranking quality (desired result[s] not present or ranked too low)
- II. desired result(s) not immediately identifiable:
 - A. non-optimal title and/or abstract
 - B. results not clearly highlighted / don't stand out
 - C. content other than results not clearly marked as such

Corresponding countermeasures:

- 1. reduce scrolling effort:
 - a. reduce amount of content other than results, especially above results
 - b. reduce number of results per page
 - c. find optimal length for result abstract
 - d. improve ranking quality (e.g., by using models to better predict relevance)
 - e. avoid infinite scrolling
- 2. better highlight results / improve result presentation:
 - a. improve title/abstract quality
 - b. ensure that results stand out against other content
 - c. clearly mark content other than results
- 3. use Ajax technology to reduce navigation efforts