

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella and Max von Hippel

Northeastern University

April 10, 2021

Communication Complexity

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If Alice knows x , and Bob knows y , how many bits of information must they communicate, in order for both Alice and Bob to know $f(x, y)$?

1 Introduction

- Examples

2 Methods

- 2-Party Problem

- Fooling Set Method

- Tiling Method

- Discrepancy Method

- Multi-Party Problem

- Multi-Party Discrepancy Method

3 Other Variants

- Non-Deterministic

- Randomized

4 References

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Consider a two-party communication problem, in which the participants



(a) Alice

and



(b) Bob

participate to compute a function:

$$f : \underbrace{\mathbb{B}^n}_{\text{Alice's input}} \times \underbrace{\mathbb{B}^n}_{\text{Bob's input}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbb{B}}_{\text{global output}}$$

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

The players can come up with a *protocol* $\Pi = (p_1, \dots, p_t)$, namely, for some natural $t \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequence of t -many functions $p_i : \mathbb{B}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^*$ such that the communication between the players looks like this ...

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is $p1$.

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is $p1$.

Bob is given input y .

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is $p1$.

Bob is given input y .

Thanks Alice. I can't reveal y , but $p_2(y, p1)$ is $p2$.

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is p_1 .

Bob is given input y .

Thanks Alice. I can't reveal y , but $p_2(y, p_1)$ is p_2 .

... yada yada yada ...

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is p_1 .

Bob is given input y .

Thanks Alice. I can't reveal y , but $p_2(y, p_1)$ is p_2 .

... yada yada yada ...

Pleasure doing business with you Bob. My final clue for you is that $p_{n-1}(x, p_1, \dots, p_{n-2})$ is p_{n-1} .

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Alice is given input x .

Hello Bob. I can't reveal x , but $p_1(x)$ is p_1 .

Bob is given input y .

Thanks Alice. I can't reveal y , but $p_2(y, p_1)$ is p_2 .

... yada yada yada ...

Pleasure doing business with you Bob. My final clue for you is that $p_{n-1}(x, p_1, \dots, p_{n-2})$ is p_{n-1} .

Rad. Then $p_n(y, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$ is p_n . TTFN!

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

- The functions p_i can be *anything* so long as they are well-defined. E.g., could solve the Halting Problem.
- After the final message, *both parties* must know $f(x, y)$.

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

- The functions p_i can be *anything* so long as they are well-defined. E.g., could solve the Halting Problem.
- After the final message, *both parties* must know $f(x, y)$.

Definition (Communication Complexity)

Suppose Π is a protocol for f in which at most t bits are communicated between Alice and Bob. Then the *communication complexity* of Π , denoted $C(\Pi)$, is t .

Introduction (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

- The functions p_i can be *anything* so long as they are well-defined. E.g., could solve the Halting Problem.
- After the final message, *both parties* must know $f(x, y)$.

Definition (Communication Complexity)

Suppose Π is a protocol for f in which at most t bits are communicated between Alice and Bob. Then the *communication complexity* of Π , denoted $C(\Pi)$, is t .

Definition ($C(f)$)

The communication complexity of f , denoted $C(f)$, is the minimum communication complexity achieved by any protocol for f .

Parity (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Are the number of 1s in xy even (0), or odd (1)?)

$f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $(x, y) \mapsto \bigoplus xy$.

Parity (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Are the number of 1s in xy even (0), or odd (1)?)

$f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $(x, y) \mapsto \bigoplus xy$.

Example protocol Π :

$P1 = \text{parity}(x)$.

Parity (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Are the number of 1s in xy even (0), or odd (1)?)

$f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $(x, y) \mapsto \bigoplus xy$.

Example protocol Π :

$$P1 = \text{parity}(x).$$

$$P2 = \text{parity}(y) \oplus P1$$

Parity (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Are the number of 1s in xy even (0), or odd (1)?)

$f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $(x, y) \mapsto \bigoplus xy$.

Example protocol Π :

$$P1 = \text{parity}(x).$$

$$P2 = \text{parity}(y) \oplus P1$$

Now both Alice and Bob know $f(x, y) = P2$. $C(f) \leq 2$ because $C(\Pi) = 2$ and Π implements f . But $C(f) \geq 2$ because f depends on x and y . Hence $C(f) = 2$.

Halting (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (A_{TM})

$H : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $\langle M, x \rangle \mapsto 1$ if M halts on x else 0.

Halting (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem
 Fooling Set Method
 Tiling Method
 Discrepancy Method
Multi-Party Problem
 Multi-Party
 Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic
Randomized

References

References

Example (A_{TM})

$H : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $\langle M, x \rangle \mapsto 1$ if M halts on x else 0.

Example protocol Π :

$P1 = y.$

Halting (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (A_{TM})

$H : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $\langle M, x \rangle \mapsto 1$ if M halts on x else 0.

Example protocol Π :

$P1 = y.$

$P2 = (M \text{ does/doesn't accept } y).$

Halting (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic
Randomized

References

References

Example (A_{TM})

$H : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is precisely $\langle M, x \rangle \mapsto 1$ if M halts on x else 0.

Example protocol Π :

$P1 = y.$

$P2 = (M \text{ does/doesn't accept } y).$

Both players have unlimited computation power. We are only interest in communication complexity.

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.
What if we don't know any protocol Π ?

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.
What if we don't know any protocol Π ?

- Could we upper-bound $C(f)$ without knowing Π ?

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.
What if we don't know any protocol Π ?

- Could we upper-bound $C(f)$ without knowing Π ?

What if the only protocols we find seem really lousy?

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.

What if we don't know any protocol Π ?

- Could we upper-bound $C(f)$ without knowing Π ?

What if the only protocols we find seem really lousy?

- Could we lower-bound $C(f)$ without finding a better protocol?

Methods (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

If we find a protocol Π , then we know $C(f)$ is at most $C(\Pi)$.
What if we don't know any protocol Π ?

- Could we upper-bound $C(f)$ without knowing Π ?

What if the only protocols we find seem really lousy?

- Could we lower-bound $C(f)$ without finding a better protocol?

TL;DR: yup.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

We begin with a motivating observation.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

We begin with a motivating observation.

Lemma (Communication Equality is Image Equality)

If Alice and Bob exchange the same sequence of messages when Alice gets x and Bob gets y as they do when Alice gets x' and Bob gets y' , then $f(x, y) = f(x', y')$.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

We begin with a motivating observation.

Lemma (Communication Equality is Image Equality)

If Alice and Bob exchange the same sequence of messages when Alice gets x and Bob gets y as they do when Alice gets x' and Bob gets y' , then $f(x, y) = f(x', y')$.

Proof.

Π is deterministic and f is a function. \square

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

We begin with a motivating observation.

Lemma (Communication Equality is Image Equality)

If Alice and Bob exchange the same sequence of messages when Alice gets x and Bob gets y as they do when Alice gets x' and Bob gets y' , then $f(x, y) = f(x', y')$.

Proof.

Π is deterministic and f is a function. \square

Idea: an efficient protocol will efficiently group together inputs that go to the same output.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Idea: an efficient protocol will efficiently **group together inputs that go to the same output**.

Definition (Fooling Set)

If $f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a function, a *fooling set* for f is a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n$ such that for some choice $b \in \mathbb{B}$ $f(S) = \{b\}$ but, for all distinct $(x, y), (x', y') \in S$, $(\neg b) \in f(\{x, x'\} \times \{y, y'\})$.

Basically, a fooling set is a group of inputs that go to the same output, but which is *brittle* to argument-swapping. In some sense these *brittle* sets lower-bound the difficulty in grouping like inputs.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Idea: an efficient protocol will efficiently **group together inputs that go to the same output**.

Definition (Fooling Set)

If $f : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is a function, a *fooling set* for f is a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n$ such that for some choice $b \in \mathbb{B}$ $f(S) = \{b\}$ but, for all distinct $(x, y), (x', y') \in S$, $(\neg b) \in f(\{x, x'\} \times \{y, y'\})$.

Basically, a fooling set is a group of inputs that go to the same output, but which is *brittle* to argument-swapping. In some sense these *brittle* sets lower-bound the difficulty in grouping like inputs.

Lemma (Fooling Set Method)

If f has a size- M fooling set, then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have?

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have? Notice A, B are disjoint iff $A \oplus B$ is **1**.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have? Notice A, B are disjoint iff $A \oplus B$ is **1**. There are 2^n possible values A .

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have? Notice A, B are disjoint iff $A \oplus B$ is $\mathbf{1}$. There are 2^n possible values A . Hence 2^n values (A, B) s.t. $A \oplus B = \mathbf{1}$. None of these distinct $(A, B), (A', B')$ satisfy $A \oplus B = A \oplus B'$ or $A \oplus B = A' \oplus B$ else they wouldn't be distinct.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have? Notice A, B are disjoint iff $A \oplus B$ is $\mathbf{1}$. There are 2^n possible values A . Hence 2^n values (A, B) s.t. $A \oplus B = \mathbf{1}$. None of these distinct $(A, B), (A', B')$ satisfy $A \oplus B = A \oplus B'$ or $A \oplus B = A' \oplus B$ else they wouldn't be distinct. So we get a 2^n -size fooling set.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example (Set-Disjointness)

$\text{DISJ} : \mathbb{B}^n \times \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (A, B) to 1 if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ else 0.

How many fooling sets does DISJ have? Notice A, B are disjoint iff $A \oplus B$ is $\mathbf{1}$. There are 2^n possible values A . Hence 2^n values (A, B) s.t. $A \oplus B = \mathbf{1}$. None of these distinct $(A, B), (A', B')$ satisfy $A \oplus B = A \oplus B'$ or $A \oplus B = A' \oplus B$ else they wouldn't be distinct. So we get a 2^n -size fooling set.

$$\therefore C(\text{DISJ}) \geq \log_2(2^n) = n$$

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: If f has a size- M fooling set then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Proof.

For a contradiction suppose a protocol Π exists for f s.t.
 $C(\Pi) < \log_2(M)$.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: If f has a size- M fooling set then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Proof.

For a contradiction suppose a protocol Π exists for f s.t. $C(\Pi) < \log_2(M)$. Then Π yields at most $2^{C(\Pi)} < 2^{\log_2(M)} = M$ distinct communication patterns.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: If f has a size- M fooling set then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Proof.

For a contradiction suppose a protocol Π exists for f s.t. $C(\Pi) < \log_2(M)$. Then Π yields at most $2^{C(\Pi)} < 2^{\log_2(M)} = M$ distinct communication patterns. But there are $M * (M - 1)$ disjoint choices of $(x, y), (x', y') \in S$.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: If f has a size- M fooling set then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Proof.

For a contradiction suppose a protocol Π exists for f s.t. $C(\Pi) < \log_2(M)$. Then Π yields at most $2^{C(\Pi)} < 2^{\log_2(M)} = M$ distinct communication patterns. But there are $M * (M - 1)$ disjoint choices of $(x, y), (x', y') \in S$. Since $M * (M - 1) > M$ there must be some $(x, y), (x', y')$ on which Π yields the same communication pattern.

Fooling Set Method (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: If f has a size- M fooling set then $C(f) \geq \log_2(M)$.

Proof.

For a contradiction suppose a protocol Π exists for f s.t. $C(\Pi) < \log_2(M)$. Then Π yields at most $2^{C(\Pi)} < 2^{\log_2(M)} = M$ distinct communication patterns. But there are $M * (M - 1)$ disjoint choices of $(x, y), (x', y') \in S$. Since $M * (M - 1) > M$ there must be some $(x, y), (x', y')$ on which Π yields the same communication pattern.

Then (x, y') must yield the same communication pattern as (x, y) as Bob cannot possibly tell the difference. The argument is symmetric for (x', y) and Alice. One of the two must yield a contradiction and we are done. \square

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

With the *fooling set* method, we lower-bounded $C(f)$. Now we'll introduce a new method that both lower- and upper-bounds $C(f)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

With the *fooling set* method, we lower-bounded $C(f)$. Now we'll introduce a new method that both lower- and upper-bounds $C(f)$.

Definition ($M(f)$)

The *matrix of f* , denoted $M(f)$, is the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix whose (x, y) th entry is the value $f(x, y)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

With the *fooling set* method, we lower-bounded $C(f)$. Now we'll introduce a new method that both lower- and upper-bounds $C(f)$.

Definition ($M(f)$)

The *matrix of f* , denoted $M(f)$, is the $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix whose (x, y) th entry is the value $f(x, y)$.

Example ($M(\vee)$)

| | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |
|----|----|----|----|----|
| 00 | 00 | 01 | 10 | 11 |
| 01 | 01 | 01 | 11 | 11 |
| 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 11 |
| 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |

- The green cells are Alice's possible inputs x .
- The blue cells are Bob's possible inputs y .
- The uncolored cells are the matrix $M(f)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Definition (Combinatorial Rectangle)

A *combinatorial rectangle* in $M(f)$ is any submatrix of M . We say a rectangle $A \times B$ in $M(f)$ is *monochromatic* if for all x, x' in A and y, y' in B , $M_{x,y} = M_{x',y'}$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Definition (Combinatorial Rectangle)

A *combinatorial rectangle* in $M(f)$ is any submatrix of M . We say a rectangle $A \times B$ in $M(f)$ is *monochromatic* if for all x, x' in A and y, y' in B , $M_{x,y} = M_{x',y'}$.

Idea: Each event in a protocol Π splits $M(f)$ into two or more combinatorial rectangles of still-possible values for $f(x, y)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Definition (Combinatorial Rectangle)

A *combinatorial rectangle* in $M(f)$ is any submatrix of M . We say a rectangle $A \times B$ in $M(f)$ is *monochromatic* if for all x, x' in A and y, y' in B , $M_{x,y} = M_{x',y'}$.

Idea: Each event in a protocol Π splits $M(f)$ into two or more combinatorial rectangles of still-possible values for $f(x, y)$.

Intuition: Much like splitting a circuit C into “ C where the first bit is 0” and “ C where the first bit is 1”.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Definition (Combinatorial Rectangle)

A *combinatorial rectangle* in $M(f)$ is any submatrix of M . We say a rectangle $A \times B$ in $M(f)$ is *monochromatic* if for all x, x' in A and y, y' in B , $M_{x,y} = M_{x',y'}$.

Idea: Each event in a protocol Π splits $M(f)$ into two or more combinatorial rectangles of still-possible values for $f(x, y)$.

Intuition: Much like splitting a circuit C into “ C where the first bit is 0” and “ C where the first bit is 1”.

Let's see an example ...

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Example ($\Pi = \text{LEASTSIGNIFICANTBIT}$, $f = <$)

- $f : \mathbb{B}^3 \times \mathbb{B}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ is the function that maps (x, y) to 1 if $x < y$ else 0.
- $\Pi = \text{LEASTSIGNIFICANTBIT}$ is the naïve protocol where Alice and Bob read off their bits from right to left.

| | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 000 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 001 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Alice: "x = _0"

Alice: "x = _1"

| | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 000 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 001 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 011 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Definition (Monochromatic Tiling)

A *monochromatic tiling* of $M(f)$ is a partition of $M(f)$ into disjoint monochromatic rectangles.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Definition (Monochromatic Tiling)

A *monochromatic tiling* of $M(f)$ is a partition of $M(f)$ into disjoint monochromatic rectangles.

It's thinking time.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic
Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Definition (Monochromatic Tiling)

A *monochromatic tiling* of $M(f)$ is a partition of $M(f)$ into disjoint monochromatic rectangles.

It's thinking time.

- Then the leaves of the tree induced by Π and rooted at $M(f)$ clearly form a monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic
Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Definition (Monochromatic Tiling)

A *monochromatic tiling* of $M(f)$ is a partition of $M(f)$ into disjoint monochromatic rectangles.

It's thinking time.

- Then the leaves of the tree induced by Π and rooted at $M(f)$ clearly form a monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.
- The number of leaves in a binary tree can be used to upper-bound its depth.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now we get to the punchline.

Definition (Monochromatic Tiling)

A *monochromatic tiling* of $M(f)$ is a partition of $M(f)$ into disjoint monochromatic rectangles.

It's thinking time.

- Then the leaves of the tree induced by Π and rooted at $M(f)$ clearly form a monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.
- The number of leaves in a binary tree can be used to upper-bound its depth.
- The depth of the binary tree induced by Π is exactly $C(\Pi)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

It's thinking time.

- Then the leaves of the tree induced by Π and rooted at $M(f)$ clearly form a monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.
- The number of leaves in a binary tree can be used to upper-bound its depth.
- The depth of the binary tree induced by Π is exactly $C(\Pi)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

It's thinking time.

- Then the leaves of the tree induced by Π and rooted at $M(f)$ clearly form a monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.
- The number of leaves in a binary tree can be used to upper-bound its depth.
- The depth of the binary tree induced by Π is exactly $C(\Pi)$.

Theorem (The Punchline)

Let $\chi(f)$ denote the minimum number of rectangles in any monochromatic tiling of $M(f)$.

$$\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2$$

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$. Then \exists a protocol Π in which $\leq C(f)$ bits are communicated between the 2 participants.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$. Then \exists a protocol Π in which $\leq C(f)$ bits are communicated between the 2 participants. For simplicity suppose each bit is communicated individually.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$. Then \exists a protocol Π in which $\leq C(f)$ bits are communicated between the 2 participants. For simplicity suppose each bit is communicated individually. Then Π induces a tree whose max depth is $C(f)$, whose leaves form a monochromatic partition of $M(f)$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$. Then \exists a protocol Π in which $\leq C(f)$ bits are communicated between the 2 participants. For simplicity suppose each bit is communicated individually. Then Π induces a tree whose max depth is $C(f)$, whose leaves form a monochromatic partition of $M(f)$. Every m.c. partition / $M(f)$ requires $\geq \chi(f)$ rectangles, so the tree induced by Π has $\geq \chi(f)$ leaves.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $\log_2 \chi(f) \leq C(f)$.

Proof.

Assume $C(f)$. Then \exists a protocol Π in which $\leq C(f)$ bits are communicated between the 2 participants. For simplicity suppose each bit is communicated individually. Then Π induces a tree whose max depth is $C(f)$, whose leaves form a monochromatic partition of $M(f)$. Every m.c. partition / $M(f)$ requires $\geq \chi(f)$ rectangles, so the tree induced by Π has $\geq \chi(f)$ leaves. But it's a binary tree so its depth is at least $\log_2 \chi(f)$. □

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

$$\mathbf{NTS: } C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2. [1]$$

Proof.

- Let $M_1, \dots, M_{\chi(f)}$ be a monochromatic partitioning of $M(f)$ known ahead of time to both Alice (on the “left”) and Bob (on the “right”). Each rectangle M_i can alternatively be written $X_i \times Y_i$.
- Let G_L, G_R be graphs whose nodes are $\{1, \dots, \chi(f)\}$. There is an edge $i \rightarrow j$ in G_L (G_R resp.) if M_i and M_j have a row (column resp.) in common.
- Let $\deg_L(u)$ (resp. $\deg_R(u)$) denote the degree of the node u in the graph G_L (resp. G_R .)
- Let x be Alice’s input and y Bob’s input.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

$$\mathbf{NTS: } C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2. [1]$$

Proof.

- We'll describe the protocol in “rounds”. During the rounds, Alice keeps track of Y (a set containing y) and Bob keeps track of X (a set containing x), both of which are initially \mathbb{B}^n .
- Both sides know the graphs G_L, G_R and the rectangles M_i ahead of time.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

$$\text{NTS: } C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2. [1]$$

Proof.

Each stage proceeds as follows.

- 1 Alice looks for a rectangle $M_i = X_i \times Y_i$ s.t. $x \in X_i$ and $\deg_L(i) \leq 3\chi(f)/4$.
 - 1 If she finds some such rectangle then she sends i to Bob.
 - 1 Bob replies to indicate if $y \in M_i$.
 - 2 If so then the protocol ends because $f(x, y)$ is the color of M_i .
 - 3 Otherwise $X := X \cap X_i$, and each rectangle $M_\alpha = X_\alpha \cap Y_\alpha$ is replaced with $(X_i \cap X_\alpha) \times Y_\alpha$.
 - 2 Otherwise she replies that she found no such rectangle. In this case Bob does what Alice just attempted, symmetrically, with a small caveat ...

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2$. [1]

Proof.

- If neither Alice nor Bob could find any M_i with low-enough degree, then they both know that every node i in $G := G_L \cap G_R$ for which $(x, y) \in M_i$ has degree $\geq (3\chi(f)/4)^2 = 9\chi(f)/16 > \chi(f)/2$.
- Let i, j both have degree $\geq \chi(f)/2$ in G . Then some node z is adjacent to i and j in G , by the Pigeonhole Principle. Hence $M_i \cap M_z = \emptyset$ and $M_j \cap M_z = \emptyset$. But the rectangles are monochromatic, hence, M_i and M_j are the same color. So Alice needs to find an M_i containing x and some $y \in Y$ whose degree in G is at least $\chi(f)/2$; and Bob's procedure is symmetric.



Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2 \cdot [1]$

Proof.

- In the worst case for each stage, the first participant sends “nothing found” (1 bit), the second participant sends some i ($\leq \log_2(\chi(f))$ bits), and the first participant replies with some j ($\leq \log_2(\chi(f))$ bits). So in the worst case each round requires $\leq 2 + 2\log_2(\chi(f))$ bits.
- The protocol ends after at most n rounds where $(3\chi(f)/4)^n \approx 1$, i.e., after $\log_{(4/3)}(\chi(f))$ rounds.
- So total communication complexity is $\leq \log_{(4/3)}(\chi(f)) * (1 + 2\log_2(\chi(f)))$.

Tiling Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

NTS: $C(f) \leq 16(\log_2 \chi(f))^2 \cdot [1]$

Proof.

For $\chi(f) \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} C(f) &\leq \log_{(4/3)}(\chi(f)) * (1 + 2\log_2(\chi(f))) \\ &= \frac{\log_2(\chi(f))}{\log_2(4/3)} * (1 + 2\log_2(\chi(f))) \\ &< 2.5 * \log_2(\chi(f)) * (1 + 2\log_2(\chi(f))) \\ &\leq 2.5 * \log_2(\chi(f)) * 3\log_2(\chi(f)) \\ &= 7.5\log_2^2(\chi(f)) \\ &\leq 16\log_2^2(\chi(f)) \end{aligned}$$

There might be a small arithmetic error somewhere, since the jump from 7.5 to 16 seems rather large. -Max.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

Hence, if $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K , then $M(f)$ must have a m.c. rectangle containing at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

Hence, if $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K , then $M(f)$ must have a m.c. rectangle containing at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

Proof.

Suppose $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K .

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

Hence, if $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K , then $M(f)$ must have a m.c. rectangle containing at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

Proof.

Suppose $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K . If $\chi(f) = K$ then \exists a partitioning of $M(f)$ into K m.c. rects, in which case at least 1 must have size $\geq |M(f)|/K$, i.e., $2^n * 2^n / K$.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

Hence, if $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K , then $M(f)$ must have a m.c. rectangle containing at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

Proof.

Suppose $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K . If $\chi(f) = K$ then \exists a partitioning of $M(f)$ into K m.c. rects, in which case at least 1 must have size $\geq |M(f)|/K$, i.e., $2^n * 2^n / K$. On the other hand if $\chi(f) < K$ then $\chi(f) = K'$ for some $K' < K$ and then $M(f)$ can be partitioned into K' monochromatic rectangles, at least 1 of which has size $\geq |M(f)|/K'$, which is strictly larger than $|M(f)|/K$.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

When we partition $M(f)$ into some number of rectangles, the sizes of the rectangles must add up to the size of $M(f)$.

Hence, if $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K , then $M(f)$ must have a m.c. rectangle containing at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

Proof.

Suppose $\chi(f) \leq K$ for some integer K . If $\chi(f) = K$ then \exists a partitioning of $M(f)$ into K m.c. rects, in which case at least 1 must have size $\geq |M(f)|/K$, i.e., $2^n * 2^n / K$. On the other hand if $\chi(f) < K$ then $\chi(f) = K'$ for some $K' < K$ and then $M(f)$ can be partitioned into K' monochromatic rectangles, at least 1 of which has size $\geq |M(f)|/K'$, which is strictly larger than $|M(f)|/K$. Either way the conjecture holds. \square

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Suppose that $M(f)$ contains a monochromatic rectangle $A \times B$ having at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Suppose that $M(f)$ contains a monochromatic rectangle $A \times B$ having at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries. Since $A \times B$ is monochromatic, this implies that:

$$\sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} = \begin{cases} -1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 1} \\ +1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 0} \end{cases}$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Suppose that $M(f)$ contains a monochromatic rectangle $A \times B$ having at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries. Since $A \times B$ is monochromatic, this implies that:

$$\sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} = \begin{cases} -1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 1} \\ +1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 0} \end{cases}$$

So if we wrap an absolute value above our sum, we get:

$$\left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right| = \text{the size of the rectangle } A \times B$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Suppose that $M(f)$ contains a monochromatic rectangle $A \times B$ having at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries. Since $A \times B$ is monochromatic, this implies that:

$$\sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} = \begin{cases} -1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 1} \\ +1 * |A \times B| & \text{if it's colored 0} \end{cases}$$

So if we wrap an absolute value above our sum, we get:

$$\left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right| = \text{the size of the rectangle } A \times B$$

But we already assumed that $A \times B$ has at least $2^n * 2^n / K$ entries, hence:

$$\left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right| \geq 2^n * 2^n / K$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Let's divide both size by $2^n * 2^n$, for fun and profit.

$$\frac{1}{2^n * 2^n} \left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right| \geq 1/K$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Let's divide both size by $2^n * 2^n$, for fun and profit.

$$\frac{1}{2^n * 2^n} \left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right| \geq 1/K$$

Mathematicians like to name things.

Definition (Discrepancy)

The *discrepancy* of a rectangle $A \times B$ of $M(f)$ is exactly the following.

$$\text{Disc}(A \times B) = \frac{1}{2^n * 2^n} \left| \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} (-1)^{M_{a,b}} \right|$$

The *discrepancy* of $M(f)$ is the max disc among its rectangles.

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now that we've named this thing, let's re-write our inequality.

$$\text{Disc}(A \times B) \geq 1/K$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now that we've named this thing, let's re-write our inequality.

$$\text{Disc}(A \times B) \geq 1/K$$

Taking inverses:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Disc}(A \times B)} \leq K$$

2-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

Now that we've named this thing, let's re-write our inequality.

$$\text{Disc}(A \times B) \geq 1/K$$

Taking inverses:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Disc}(A \times B)} \leq K$$

Certainly $\chi(f) \leq \chi(f)$, so supplanting $\chi(f)$ for K in the statement, we get:

Lemma (2-Party Discrepancy Method)

$$\frac{1}{\text{Disc}(A \times B)} \leq \chi(f)$$

Multi-Party Problem (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

TODO

Multi-Party Discrepancy Method (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

**Multi-Party
Discrepancy Method**

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

TODO

Non-Deterministic (Jake)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

TODO

Randomized (Max)

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

TODO

References I

Communication Complexity

Jake Kinsella
and Max von
Hippel

Introduction

Examples

Methods

2-Party Problem

Fooling Set Method

Tiling Method

Discrepancy Method

Multi-Party Problem

Multi-Party

Discrepancy Method

Other Variants

Non-Deterministic

Randomized

References

References

- [1] Alfred V Aho, Jeffrey D Ullman, and Mihalis Yannakakis, *On notions of information transfer in vlsi circuits*, Proceedings of the fifteenth annual acm symposium on theory of computing, 1983, pp. 133–139.