# Homework 1

Maxwell Aladago

February 12, 2018

# 1 q1

Given two random variables x and y

$$cov(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[(x - \mathbb{E}[x])(y - \mathbb{E}[y])]$$

Expanding and distributing the expectation

$$cov(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[xy] - \mathbb{E}[x\mathbb{E}[y]] - \mathbb{E}[y\mathbb{E}[x]] + \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y]]$$

Since the E[E[D]] = E[D] for all Random variables D

$$cov(x,y) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[xy] - \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y] - \mathbb{E}[y]\mathbb{E}[x] + \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x,y}[xy] - \mathbb{E}[x]\mathbb{E}[y]$$
(1.1)

From the definition, if x and y are independent:

#### Lemma 1.1

$$\mathbb{E}[xy] = E[x][y]$$

Hence,

$$cov(x, y) = E[x][y] - E[x][y] = 0$$

# 2 q2

Let B be the random variable denoting the box chosen and F be the random variable denoting the fruit picked. Also let

represent the red box, greed box and blue box respectively. Thus the prior probabilities of selecting the red box, the green box and the blue box are defined respectively:

$$p(B = r) = 0.2 = \frac{1}{5}$$
$$p(B = g) = 0.5 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$p(B=b) = 0.3 = \frac{3}{10}$$

## 2.1 q2 a. Probability of selecting an apple

The marginal probability of selecting an apple is given by

$$p(F=a)$$

Applying the product and sum rules:

$$p(F = a) = p(F = a|B = r).p(B = r) + p(F = a|B = g).p(B = g) + p(F = a|B = b).p(B = b)$$
(2.1)

Also from the question and using the definition of probability, the conditional probabilities in Eq.2.1 above can be specified as follows

$$p(F = a|B = r) = \frac{3}{10}$$
  
 $p(F = a|B = g) = \frac{3}{10}$   
 $p(F = a|B = b) = \frac{1}{3}$ 

By substituting the respective probabilities, Eq. 2.1 evaluates to:

$$p(F = a) = (\frac{3}{10} \times \frac{1}{5}) + (\frac{3}{10} \times \frac{1}{2}) + (\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{10})$$

#### **Solution 2.1**

$$p(F=a) = \frac{3}{50} + \frac{3}{20} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{31}{100} = \underline{\textbf{0.31}}$$

# **2.2** q2 b. Probability that a fruit was selected from the green box given it is an orange

The posterior probability of selecting the green box given that an orange is chosen is expressed as

$$p(B = q|F = o)$$

According to Bayes' theorem

#### **Definition 2.1**

$$p(B = g|F = o) = \frac{p(F = o|B = g).p(B = g)}{p(F = o)}$$

Where:

$$p(F = o|B = g) = \frac{3}{10}$$
 (i)

$$p(B=g) = \frac{1}{2} \tag{ii}$$

$$p(F = o) = p(F = o|B = r).p(B = r) + p(F = o|B = g).p(B = g) + p(F = o|B = b).p(B = b)$$
(2.2)

The conditional probabilities in Eq. 2.2 can be specified as:

$$p(F = o|B = r) = \frac{4}{10}$$
  
 $p(F = o|B = g) = \frac{3}{10}$   
 $p(F = o|B = b) = \frac{2}{3}$ 

Hence,

$$p(F=o) = \left(\frac{4}{10} \times \frac{1}{5}\right) + \left(\frac{3}{10} \times \frac{1}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{3} \times \frac{3}{10}\right) = \frac{43}{100}$$
 (iii)

Substituting (i), (ii) and (iii) into Def. 2.1,

#### **Solution 2.2**

$$p(B=g|F=o) = \frac{\frac{3}{10} \times \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{43}{100}} = \frac{15}{43} \approx \underline{0.35}$$

# 3 q3

## 3.1 q3a

Definition of terms:

$$p(head) = p(c = 1; \mu) = \mu$$

If

$$c = \{1,0\}$$

is a random variable of the results of the flip, then the probability distribution over c can be expressed as

$$P(c; \mu) = \mu^{c} (1 - \mu)^{1-c}$$

If H is the number of times c = 1 in a sample data  $D = \{c^{(1)}, c^{(2)}, c^{(3)}, ..., c^{(m)}\}$ , and since the flips of the coin are independent, the likelihood function is given as:

$$p(D; \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \mu^{c^{i}} (1 - \mu)^{1 - c^{i}}$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{H} \mu^{c^{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{m-H} (1 - \mu)^{1 - c^{i}}$$

#### **Solution 3.1**

$$L(\mu) = p(D; \mu) = \mu^{H} (1 - \mu)^{m-H}$$

## 3.2 q3b: Deriving the parameter which maximizes the likelihood

Writing the likelihood function in Soln. 3.1 above,

$$L(\mu) = \mu^H (1 - \mu)^{m-H}$$

Let

$$l(\mu) = log L(\mu)$$

$$l(\mu) = \log(\mu^{H} (1 - \mu)^{m-H})$$

$$= \log \mu^{H} + \log(1 - \mu)^{m-H}$$

$$= H \log \mu + m \log(1 - \mu) - H \log(1 - \mu)$$
(3.1)

Taking  $\frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu}$  of Eq. 3.1,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \mu} [H \log \mu + m \log (1 - \mu) - H \log (1 - \mu)] \\ &= \frac{H}{\mu} - \frac{m}{1 - \mu} + \frac{H}{1 - \mu} \\ &= \frac{H (1 - \mu) - m\mu + H\mu}{\mu (1 - \mu)} \end{split}$$

At the optimal point,  $\frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu} = 0$ . Hence,

$$0 = \frac{H(1 - \mu) - m\mu + H\mu}{\mu(1 - \mu)}$$
$$H - H\mu - m\mu + H\mu = 0$$

#### **Solution 3.2**

$$\mu_{ML} = \frac{H}{m}$$

Thus, the parameter maximizing the likelihood is the sample proportion of heads in the data.

## 3.3 q3e

The prior distribution of  $\mu$  is given by

$$p(\mu; a) = \frac{1}{Z} \mu^{a-1} (1 - \mu)^{a-1}$$

Where a parameter governing the distribution and Z is a constant

The posterior distribution of  $\mu$  is

$$p(\mu|D,a) = \frac{p(D|\mu) \times p(\mu;a)}{p(D)}$$
$$= \frac{(\mu^{H}(1-\mu)^{m-H})(\mu^{a-1}(1-\mu)^{a-1})}{Z.p(D)}$$

Since p(D), the evidence, is a constant,

$$p(\mu|D,a) \propto \frac{1}{Z} (\mu^{H} (1-\mu)^{m-H}) \cdot (\mu^{a-1} (1-\mu)^{a-1})$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{Z} \{ \mu^{H+a-1} (1-\mu)^{m+a-H-1} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \{ \mu^{H+a-1} (1-\mu)^{m-H+a-1} \}$$
(3.2)

Estimating the MAP of Eq. 3.2 and dropping the constant Z Let

$$\begin{split} l(\mu) &= log(\mu^{H+a-1}(1-\mu)^{m-H+a-1}) \\ &= log\mu^{H+a-1} + log((1-\mu)^{m-H+a-1}) \\ &= (H+a-1)log\mu + (m-H+a-1)log(1-\mu) \end{split}$$

Taking  $\frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu}$  of  $l(\mu)$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \mu} [(H+a-1)log\mu + (m-H+a-1)log(1-\mu)] \\ &= \frac{H+a-1}{\mu} - \frac{m-H+a-1}{1-\mu} \\ &= \frac{(H+a-1)(1-\mu) - \mu(m-H+a-1)}{\mu(1-\mu)} \\ &= \frac{H+a-1-2a\mu + 2\mu - m\mu}{\mu(1-\mu)} \end{split}$$

For MAP estimate of  $\mu$ ,  $\frac{\delta l}{\delta \mu} = 0$ Therefore,

$$\frac{H + a - 1 - 2a\mu + 2\mu - m\mu}{\mu(1 - \mu)} = 0$$
$$H + a - 1 = \mu(m + 2a - 2)$$

**Solution 3.3** 

$$\mu_{MAP} = \frac{H + a - 1}{m + 2(a - 1)}$$

# 3.4 q3g

From the MAP estimate in Soln.3.3, the parameter a can be interpreted as the proportion of training examples incorporated in the prior distribution.

# 4 q4

# 4.1 q4c

The model  $b^l(x)$  had underfitting for values of  $\lambda=10^3$ ,  $\lambda=10^5$  and  $\lambda=10^7$ . On the other hand,  $b^q(x)$  had underfitting for  $\lambda=10^7$ .

## 4.2 q4d

For the  $b^l(x)$ , did not produce any overfitting for the given values of  $\lambda$ . However, the model  $b^q(x)$  produced significant overfitting for  $\lambda = 10^{-5}$ ,  $\lambda = 10^{-3}$  and  $\lambda = 10^{-1}$ . It also overfit for  $\lambda = 10^1$  and  $\lambda = 10^3$ . The magnitude of overfitting decreased from  $\lambda = 10^{-5}$  through  $\lambda = 10^3$ 

## 4.3 q4e

The feature vector  $b^q(x)$  tend to produce more overfitting compared to  $b^l(x)$ . Actually,  $b^q(x)$  is too simple to produce any overfitting for the given values of  $\lambda$  The reason is that  $b^q(x)$  being a quadratic in the features produces a more complex model than  $b^l(x)$ . For very small values of  $\lambda$  such as  $\lambda = 10^{(-5)}$ , the contribution of the smoothness term  $\frac{\lambda}{2}||\theta||^2$  is minimal which then allows the model to over-fit the training data.

## 4.4 q4g

Yes, the cross validation is a good performance of test set. The reason is because every sample is used for both training and validation in cross validation. This enables the model to generalize well hence a good indication of performance on test set. This explanation has been concretized in [P, 4f]<sup>1</sup> where the training and test errors are highly correlated for the linear model for instance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The programming test file q4f.py. Running this file produces plots of training and testing errors.