

Homework 3

Maxwell Aladago

March 28, 2018

Q2a

Given

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ s.t \quad & y^{(i)} (w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1, \forall i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(m)}]^T \\ X &= [x^{(1)T}, x^{(2)T}, \dots, x^{(m)T}] \end{aligned}$$

Then Eqn 1 can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + 0(b^2) \quad s.t \quad y(Xw + b) \geq 1 \\ & \equiv \arg \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + 0(b^2) \quad s.t \quad y(Xw) + yb \geq 1 \\ & \equiv \arg \min_{w,b} \frac{1}{2} w^T \quad s.t \quad -[yX, \quad y][w, b]^T \leq -1 \\ & \equiv \arg \min_z \frac{1}{2} z^T z \quad s.t \quad -[yX, \quad y]z \leq -1 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Eqn 2 is now in Solver's format where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= z = [w, b]^T \\ P &\in \mathcal{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}, \text{ n is the dimension of } w \\ P[i, j] &= 0, \quad \forall i \neq j \\ P[i, i] &= 1 \text{ for } i, j = 1, \dots, n \\ P[n+1, n+1] &= 0 \\ q &= \{0\}^{n+1} \quad s.t. q[i] = 0, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n+1 \\ G &\in \mathcal{R}^{m \times (n+1)} = -[y^T X, y] \\ h &= \{-1\}^m, \quad h[i] = -1, \quad \forall i=1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

The output of solver, solver's is x comprising the weights and bias. $x = x[0 : n] = w$ the weights and $x[n] = b$, the bias.

Q2d

SVM primal with slack variable. Given

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{w, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \\ s.t \quad & y^{(i)} (w^T x + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i, \quad \forall_i \\ & \xi_i \geq 0, \forall_i \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} y &= [y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(m)}]^T \\ X &= [x^{(1)T}, x^{(2)T}, \dots, x^{(m)T}] \\ \xi &= [\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m]^T \end{aligned}$$

Eqn 3 can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{w, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C\xi \\ s.t \quad & y(Xw) + yb + \xi \geq 1 \\ & \xi \geq 0 \\ & \equiv \arg \min_{w, b, \xi} \frac{1}{2} w^T w + 0(b^2 + \xi^T \xi) + 0(w + b) + C\xi \\ s.t \quad & -(y(Xw) + yb + \xi) \leq -1 \\ & -\xi \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Eqn 4 can be expressed in solver's form

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_x x^T P x + q^T x \\ s.t \quad & Gx \leq h \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= [w, b, \xi]^T \\ P &\in \mathcal{R}^{(n+1+m) \times (n+1+m)}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \text{dimension of } w, \mathbf{m} = \text{number of examples} = \text{dimension of } \xi \\ P[i, j] &= 0, \quad \forall_{i \neq j} \quad \forall i > n \quad \forall j > n \\ P[i, i] &= 1, \quad \forall_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

$$q \in \mathcal{R}^{n+1+m}, \quad q[i] = 0, q[j] = C \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n+1, \quad \forall j = n+2, \dots, n+m$$

let I = identity Matrix of dimension m

$$\begin{aligned} z_w &= \{0\}^{m \times n}, z_w[i, j] = 0, \forall i, j \\ z_b &= \{0\}^m, zero_b[i] = 0, \forall i \end{aligned}$$

$$G \in \mathcal{R}^{2m \times (n+1+m)} = - \begin{bmatrix} y^T X & y & I \\ z_w & z_b & I \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h \in \{-1, 0\}^{2m} \quad h[i] = -1, h[j] = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \forall j = m+1, \dots, 2m$$

The output of solver, x has $n + 1 + m$ values. $x[0 : n] = w$, $x[n] = b$ and $x[n : n + m] =$ the slack values

Q2f

The margins of $c = 100$ look exactly as before. This is because of the huge penalty for violating the margin constraint. There are violations of the margin constraint for $C = 0.1$. this is because the cost of violating the constraint is minimal.

Q2g

The dual formulation:

Given:

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} k(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) \\ s.t \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0 \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, \quad \forall_i \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The dual formulation in Eqn 5 is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \min_{\alpha} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} k(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \\ s.t \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0 \\ & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, \quad \forall_i \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

If

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m]^T \\ y &= [y^{(1)}, y^{(m)}, \dots, y^{(m)}]^T \\ K(x, x) &= \begin{bmatrix} k(x^{(1)}, x^{(1)}) & k(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) & \dots & k(x^{(1)}, x^{(m)}) \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ k(x^{(m)}, x^{(1)}) & k(x^{(m)}, x^{(2)}) & \dots & k(x^{(m)}, x^{(m)}) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Eqn 6 can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \min_{\alpha} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T y y^T K(x, x) \alpha - \alpha \\ s.t \quad & y^T \alpha = 0 \\ & -\alpha \leq 0 \\ & \alpha \leq C \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Eqn 7 can be expressed in solvers form

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_x x^T P x + q^T x \\ \text{s.t} \quad & Gx \leq h \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha \\ P &= yy^T K(x, x) \\ q &= \{-1\}^m, \quad q[i] = -1 \quad \forall i \\ \text{let } g_0 &= \{-1, 0\}^{m \times m}, \quad g_0[i, j] = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad g_0[i, i] = -1 \quad \forall i \\ g_c &= 0, C^{m \times m}, \quad g_c[i, j] = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad g_c[i, i] = C \quad \forall i \\ G &= \begin{bmatrix} g_0 \\ g_c \end{bmatrix} \\ h &= \{0, C\}^{2m}, \quad h[i] = 0, \quad h[j] = C \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m, \quad \forall j = m + 1, \dots, 2m \\ A &\in \mathcal{R}^{1 \times m} = y^T. \text{ } A \text{ has only one row but m columns} \\ b &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Q2h

The linear kernel produced 3 support vectors. The polynomial case obtained 3 support vectors too. Yes, the decision boundary is bent. It looked superficially straight because the features are linearly separable.