

# Discrete Differential Geometry

Maxwell Thum

February 13, 2023

# Introduction

This is an interactive blueprint to help with the formalisation of several definitions and results from *discrete differential geometry*, using Keenan Crane's textbook as a general template.

The actual Lean code can be found at ([https://github.com/maxwell-thum/DDG\\_Lean3](https://github.com/maxwell-thum/DDG_Lean3)).

This blueprint is adapted from the blueprint of Thomas F. Bloom and Bhavik Mehta's Unit Fractions project (<https://github.com/b-mehta/unit-fractions>), which was itself based on the blueprint created by Patrick Massot for the Sphere Eversion project (<https://github.com/leanprover-community/sphere-eversion>).

This blueprint uses Patrick Massot's leanblueprint plugin (<https://github.com/PatrickMassot/leanblueprint>) for plasTeX (<http://plastex.github.io/plastex/>).

# Chapter 1

## Combinatorial Surfaces

### 1.1 Abstract simplicial complexes

**Definition 1.1.** An *abstract simplicial complex*  $\mathcal{K}$  is a set of non-empty<sup>1</sup> finite sets called *simplices* such that for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ , if  $\sigma' \subseteq \sigma$  and  $\sigma' \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{K}$ .

Abstract simplicial complexes are the combinatorial or topological analogs of *geometric simplicial complexes*, which we will see shortly. Abstract simplicial complexes capture the connectivity of geometric simplicial complexes without their geometry.

**Definition 1.2.** The *degree* or *dimension* of an (abstract) simplex with  $k + 1$  vertices is  $k$ .

**Definition 1.3.** An (abstract) simplex of degree  $k$  is called an *abstract  $k$ -simplex*. The set of all  $k$ -simplices is denoted  $F_k$ .

For instance, 0-simplices are points or vertices, 1-simplices are line segments or edges, 2-simplices are triangles or faces, and 3-simplices are tetrahedra.

A nonempty subset of an abstract simplex is called a *face*. By definition of an abstract simplicial complex, all of the faces of a simplex are themselves simplices. A proper subset of a simplex is called a *proper face*.

**Definition 1.4.** Given two simplicial complexes  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}'$ , we say that  $\mathcal{K}'$  is a *subcomplex* of  $\mathcal{K}$  if  $\mathcal{K}' \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ , that is, every simplex in  $\mathcal{K}'$  is a simplex in  $\mathcal{K}$  as well.

**Definition 1.5.** If the set of degrees of the simplices of an abstract simplicial complex has a maximum  $k$ , then that abstract simplicial complex is said to be an *abstract simplicial  $k$ -complex*.

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an abstract simplicial  $k$ -complex. If every simplex is a face of some  $k$ -simplex, then we say  $\mathcal{K}$  is *pure* and call it a *pure simplicial  $k$ -complex*.

**Definition 1.7.** The *star*  $\text{St}(\sigma)$  of a simplex  $\sigma$  in an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K}$  is the set of all simplices in  $\mathcal{K}$  having  $\sigma$  as a face.

The star  $\text{St}(S)$  of a set  $S$  of simplices in  $\mathcal{K}$  (i.e., a subset of  $\mathcal{K}$ ) is the set of all simplices in  $\mathcal{K}$  having some simplex in  $S$  as a face. Equivalently,  $\text{St}(S) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S} \text{St}(\sigma)$ .

**Definition 1.8.** The *closure*  $\text{Cl}(S)$  of a set of simplices  $S \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  is the smallest (by inclusion) simplicial complex containing  $S$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Some authors allow simplices to be empty.

**Lemma 1.9.**

$$\text{Cl}(S) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S} \mathcal{P}(\sigma) \setminus \emptyset.$$

**Definition 1.10.** The *link*  $\text{Lk}(\sigma)$  of a simplex  $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$  is the set of all simplices  $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are disjoint and their union is a simplex. In set-builder notation,

$$\text{Lk}(\sigma) := \{\tau \in \mathcal{K} \mid \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset, \tau \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

**Lemma 1.11.** For any set of simplices  $S \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ ,

$$\text{Lk}(S) = \text{Cl}(\text{St}(S)) \setminus \text{St}(\text{Cl}(S)).$$

### 1.1.1 Oriented ASCs

**Definition 1.12.** An *orientation* on a An *oriented abstract simplicial complex* is an abstract simplicial complex with an orientation assigned to each of its simplices.

### 1.1.2 The halfedge mesh

## 1.2 Simplicial complexes

**Definition 1.13.** A *(geometric) simplicial complex* is...

**Definition 1.14.** The *underlying abstract simplicial complex* of a geometric simplicial complex is the abstract simplicial complex whose abstract simplices are simply the sets of vertices of each geometric simplex.

**Definition 1.15.** The *geometric realization* of an abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K}$  is a geometric simplicial complex whose underlying abstract simplicial complex is  $\mathcal{K}$  itself. In particular, we define it as...

## Chapter 2

# Discrete Exterior Calculus

Throughout this chapter, we let  $\mathcal{K}$  be an oriented abstract simplicial complex.

### 2.1 Discrete Differential Forms

**Definition 2.1.** A *(real-valued) discrete (differential)  $k$ -form* on an oriented abstract simplicial complex  $\mathcal{K}$  is a map  $\alpha: F_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The set of all discrete  $k$ -forms on  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted  $\Omega^k$ .

#### 2.1.1 Discretization

Recall the notions of a *manifold* and *differential ( $k$ -)forms*. From here on, let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional triangulable topological manifold. Let  $t: |\mathcal{K}| \rightarrow M$  be a triangulation of  $M$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The *discretization* on  $\mathcal{K}$  of a differential  $k$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $M$  is a discrete differential  $k$ -form defined by integrating  $\alpha$  over the image in  $M$  of each (oriented)  $k$ -simplex in  $\mathcal{K}$ . In other words, for all  $\sigma \in F_k$ ,

$$\hat{\alpha}_\sigma := \int_{t(|\sigma|)} \alpha.$$

### 2.2 Discrete Exterior Calculus

#### 2.2.1 The discrete exterior derivative

**Definition 2.3.** The *discrete exterior derivative* on  $k$ -forms is a map  $d_k: \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$  such that

We are not yet able to prove this in Lean, but

**Theorem 2.4.** The discrete exterior derivative is unique and given by

$$(d_k \alpha)(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in F_k \cap \mathcal{P}(\sigma)} \text{sgn}(\tau, \sigma) \alpha(\tau)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \Omega^k$  and  $\sigma \in F_{k+1}$ .