# CERTIK AUDIT REPORT FOR VOCEAN



Request Date: 2019-05-08 Revision Date: 2019-05-10 Platform Name: Ethereum







# Contents

Disclaimer	1
Exective Summary	2
Vulnerability Classification	2
Testing Summary Audit Score	3 3 4
Manual Review Notes	5
Source Code with CertiK Labels	6





### Disclaimer

This Report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Verification Services Agreement between CertiK and Vocean(the "Company"), or the scope of services/verification, and terms and conditions provided to the Company in connection with the verification (collectively, the "Agreement"). This Report provided in connection with the Services set forth in the Agreement shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement. This Report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes without CertiK's prior written consent.





## **Exective Summary**

This report has been prepared as product of the Smart Contract Audit request by Vocean. This audit was conducted to discover issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of Vocean's Smart Contracts. Utilizing CertiK's Formal Verification Platform, Static Analysis and Manual Review, a comprehensive examination has been performed. The auditing process pays special attention to the following considerations.

- Testing the smart contracts against both common and uncommon attack vectors.
- Assessment of the codebase for best practice and industry standards.
- Ensuring contract logic meets the specifications and intentions of the client.
- Cross referencing contract structure and implementation against similar smart contracts produced by industry leaders.
- Thorough line by line manual review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

## Vulnerability Classification

For every issues found, CertiK categorizes them into 3 buckets based on its risk level:

- Critical: The code implementation does not match the specification, or it could result in loss of funds for contract owner or users.
- Medium: The code implementation does not match the specification at certain condition, or it could affect the security standard by lost of access control.
- Low: The code implementation is not a best practice, or use a suboptimal design pattern, which may lead to security vulnerability, but no concern found yet.





## **Testing Summary**



□ ERTIK believes this smart contract passes security qualifications to be listed on digital asset exchanges.



May 10, 2019

## Type of Issues

CertiK smart label engine applied 100% coveraged formal verification labels on the source code, and scanned the code using our proprietary static analysis and formal verification engine to detect the follow type of issues.

Title	Description	Issues	SWC ID
Integer Overflow	An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic	0	SWC-101
and Underflow	operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of		
	a type.		
Function incor-	Function implementation does not meet the specifi-	0	
rectness	cation, leading to intentional or unintentional vul-		
	nerabilities.		
Buffer Overflow	An attacker is able to write to arbitrary storage lo-	0	SWC-124
	cations of a contract if array of out bound happens		
Reentrancy	A malicious contract can call back into the calling	0	SWC-107
	contract before the first invocation of the function is		
	finished.		
Transaction Or-	A race condition vulnerability occurs when code de-	0	SWC-114
der Dependence	pends on the order of the transactions submitted to		
	it.		
Timestamp De-	Timestamp can be influenced by minors to some de-	0	SWC-116
pendence	gree.		
Insecure Com-	Using an fixed outdated compiler version or float-	0	SWC-102
piler Version	ing pragma can be problematic, if there are publicly		SWC-103
	disclosed bugs and issues that affect the current com-		
	piler version used.		
Insecure Ran-	Block attributes are insecure to generate random	0	SWC-120
domness	numbers, as they can be influenced by minors to		
	some degree.		





"tx.origin" for	tx.origin should not be used for authorization. Use	0	SWC-115
authorization	msg.sender instead.		
Delegatecall to	Calling into untrusted contracts is very dangerous,	0	SWC-112
Untrusted Callee	the target and arguments provided must be sani-		
	tized.		
State Variable	Labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to	0	SWC-108
Default Visibility	catch incorrect assumptions about who can access		
	the variable.		
Function Default	Functions are public by default. A malicious user	0	SWC-100
Visibility	is able to make unauthorized or unintended state		
	changes if a developer forgot to set the visibility.		
Uninitialized	Uninitialized local storage variables can point to	0	SWC-109
variables	other unexpected storage variables in the contract.		
Assertion Failure	The assert() function is meant to assert invariants.	0	SWC-110
	Properly functioning code should never reach a fail-		
	ing assert statement.		
Deprecated	Several functions and operators in Solidity are dep-	0	SWC-111
Solidity Features	recated and should not be used as best practice.		
Unused variables	Unused variables reduce code quality	0	

## Vulnerability Details

## Critical

No issue found.

#### Medium

No issue found.

#### Low

No issue found.





## Manual Review Notes

#### Review Details

#### Source Code SHA-256 Checksum

VANToken.sol fc155dd5c4249609f83139a7a232119e500cf56a7b7252ed466e58a4a65965b9

Etherscan reference: 0x95ca28bd2df36d4073b77980ac07ef316cf045bd

#### Summary

CertiK team is invited by The Vocean team to audit the design and implementations of its to be released ERC20 based smart contract, and the source code has been analyzed under different perspectives and with different tools such as CertiK formal verification checking as well as manual reviews by smart contract experts. We have been actively interacting with client-side engineers when there was any potential loopholes or recommended design changes during the audit process, and PlasmaPay team has been actively giving us updates for the source code and feedback about the business logics.

The VANToken source code has been deployed to ethereum mainnet at address 0 x95ca28bd2df36d4073b77980ac07ef316cf045bd by Thursday, March 28, 2019. It compiled with solidity compiler version v0.4.24+commit.e67f0147. The VANToken.sol is a standard ERC20 token along with some additional operations:

At this point the Vocean team didn't provide other repositories sources as testing and documentation reference. We recommend to have more unit tests coverage together with documentation to simulate potential use cases and walk through the functionalities to token holders, especially those super admin privileges that may impact the decentralized nature.

Overall we found the Vantoken contract follows good practices, with reasonable amount of features on top of the ERC20 related to administrative privilege controls by the token issuer. With the final update of source code and delivery of the audit report, we conclude that the contract is not vulnerable to any classically known anti-patterns or security issues. The audit report itself is not necessarily a guarantee of correctness or trustworthiness, and we always recommend seeking multiple opinions, more test coverage and sandbox deployments before the mainnet release.

#### Recommendations

Items in this section are low impact to the overall aspects of the smart contracts, thus will let client to decide whether to have those reflected in the final deployed version of source codes.





### Source Code with CertiK Labels

File VANToken.sol

```
1 /**
   * Source Code first verified at https://etherscan.io on Thursday, March 28, 2019
3
   (UTC) */
4
5
   pragma solidity ^0.4.24;
6
7
   // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol
8
9 /**
10 * @title SafeMath
* Odev Math operations with safety checks that revert on error
13 library SafeMath {
14
15
16
    * @dev Multiplies two numbers, reverts on overflow.
17
     */
     /*@CTK "SafeMath mul"
18
       @post (((a) > (0)) && ((((a) * (b)) / (a)) != (b))) == (__reverted)
19
20
       @post !__reverted -> __return == a * b
21
       @post !__reverted == !__has_overflow
22
       @post !(__has_buf_overflow)
23
       @post !(__has_assertion_failure)
24
25
     function mul(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
26
       // Gas optimization: this is cheaper than requiring 'a' not being zero, but the
27
       // benefit is lost if 'b' is also tested.
28
       // See: https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-solidity/pull/522
29
       if (a == 0) {
30
        return 0;
31
32
33
      uint256 c = a * b;
34
      require(c / a == b);
35
36
      return c;
37
     }
38
39
40
     * @dev Integer division of two numbers truncating the quotient, reverts on division
         by zero.
41
42
     /*@CTK "SafeMath div"
43
       @post b != 0 -> !__reverted
44
       @post !__reverted -> __return == a / b
45
       @post !__reverted -> !__has_overflow
46
       @post !(__has_buf_overflow)
       @post !(__has_assertion_failure)
47
48
49
     function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
50
       require(b > 0); // Solidity only automatically asserts when dividing by 0
51
       uint256 c = a / b;
52
       // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
53
```





```
54
      return c;
55
      }
56
57
      /**
58
      * @dev Subtracts two numbers, reverts on overflow (i.e. if subtrahend is greater
          than minuend).
59
60
      /*@CTK "SafeMath sub"
61
        @post (a < b) == __reverted</pre>
        @post !__reverted -> __return == a - b
62
        @post !__reverted -> !__has_overflow
63
        @post !(__has_buf_overflow)
64
65
        @post !(__has_assertion_failure)
66
67
      function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
68
        require(b <= a);</pre>
69
        uint256 c = a - b;
70
71
        return c;
72
      }
73
74
75
      * Odev Adds two numbers, reverts on overflow.
76
77
      /*@CTK "SafeMath add"
        @post (a + b < a || a + b < b) == __reverted</pre>
78
        @post !__reverted -> __return == a + b
79
        @post !__reverted -> !__has_overflow
80
        @post !(__has_buf_overflow)
81
82
        @post !(__has_assertion_failure)
83
84
      function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
85
        uint256 c = a + b;
86
        require(c >= a);
87
88
        return c;
89
      }
90
91
92
      * @dev Divides two numbers and returns the remainder (unsigned integer modulo),
93
      * reverts when dividing by zero.
94
      */
95
      /*@CTK "SafeMath div"
96
        @post b != 0 -> !__reverted
97
        @post !__reverted -> __return == a % b
        @post !__reverted -> !__has_overflow
98
99
        @post !(__has_buf_overflow)
100
        @post !(__has_assertion_failure)
101
      function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
102
103
        require(b != 0);
104
        return a % b;
105
      }
106 }
107
108
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol
109
110
```





```
111 * @title ERC20 interface
* @dev see https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/20
113
    */
114 interface IERC20 {
115
     function totalSupply() external view returns (uint256);
116
117
      function balanceOf(address who) external view returns (uint256);
118
119
      function allowance(address owner, address spender)
120
        external view returns (uint256);
121
122
      function transfer(address to, uint256 value) external returns (bool);
123
124
      function approve(address spender, uint256 value)
125
        external returns (bool);
126
127
      function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 value)
128
        external returns (bool);
129
130
      event Transfer(
131
       address indexed from,
132
       address indexed to,
133
       uint256 value
134
      );
135
136
      event Approval(
137
      address indexed owner,
138
       address indexed spender,
139
       uint256 value
    );
140
141 }
142
143 // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol
144
145 /**
146
    * @title Standard ERC20 token
147
148
    * @dev Implementation of the basic standard token.
* https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/blob/master/EIPS/eip-20.md
   * Originally based on code by FirstBlood: https://github.com/Firstbloodio/token/blob/
150
         master/smart_contract/FirstBloodToken.sol
    */
151
152 contract ERC20 is IERC20 {
153
     using SafeMath for uint256;
154
155
      mapping (address => uint256) private _balances;
156
157
      mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) private _allowed;
158
159
      uint256 private _totalSupply;
160
161
      /**
162
      * @dev Total number of tokens in existence
163
164
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
165
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
166
      //@CTK NO_ASF
167
     /*@CTK "totalSupply correctness"
```





```
168
     @post __return == _totalSupply
169
170
      function totalSupply() public view returns (uint256) {
171
        return _totalSupply;
172
      }
173
174
175
      * Odev Gets the balance of the specified address.
176
      * Oparam owner The address to query the balance of.
177
      * @return An uint256 representing the amount owned by the passed address.
178
      */
179
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
180
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
181
      //@CTK NO_ASF
182
      /*@CTK "balanceOf correctness"
183
        @post __return == _balances[owner]
184
185
      function balanceOf(address owner) public view returns (uint256) {
186
       return _balances[owner];
187
188
189
190
       * @dev Function to check the amount of tokens that an owner allowed to a spender.
191
       * Oparam owner address The address which owns the funds.
192
       * Oparam spender address The address which will spend the funds.
193
       * @return A uint256 specifying the amount of tokens still available for the spender
194
195
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
196
197
      //@CTK NO_ASF
198
      /*@CTK "allowance correctness"
199
        @post __return == _allowed[owner][spender]
200
201
      function allowance(
202
        address owner,
203
        address spender
204
205
        public
206
        view
207
        returns (uint256)
208
209
        return _allowed[owner][spender];
210
211
212
213
      * @dev Transfer token for a specified address
214
      * Oparam to The address to transfer to.
215
      * Oparam value The amount to be transferred.
216
      */
217
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
218
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
219
      //@CTK NO_ASF
220
      /*@CTK "transfer correctness"
221
        @tag assume_completion
222
        Opost to != 0x0
     @post value <= _balances[msg.sender]</pre>
223
```





```
224
        @post to != msg.sender -> __post._balances[msg.sender] == _balances[msg.sender] -
           value
225
        @post to != msg.sender -> __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
226
        @post to == msg.sender -> __post._balances[msg.sender] == _balances[msg.sender]
227
228
      function transfer(address to, uint256 value) public returns (bool) {
229
        _transfer(msg.sender, to, value);
230
        return true;
231
      }
232
233
234
       * @dev Approve the passed address to spend the specified amount of tokens on behalf
            of msg.sender.
235
       * Beware that changing an allowance with this method brings the risk that someone
           may use both the old
236
       * and the new allowance by unfortunate transaction ordering. One possible solution
           to mitigate this
237
       * race condition is to first reduce the spender's allowance to 0 and set the
           desired value afterwards:
238
       * https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/20#issuecomment-263524729
239
       * Oparam spender The address which will spend the funds.
240
       * Oparam value The amount of tokens to be spent.
241
       */
242
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
243
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
244
      //@CTK NO_ASF
245
      /*@CTK "approve correctness"
246
        @post spender == 0x0 -> __reverted
247
        @post spender != 0x0 -> __post._allowed[msg.sender][spender] == value
248
      function approve(address spender, uint256 value) public returns (bool) {
249
250
        require(spender != address(0));
251
252
        _allowed[msg.sender][spender] = value;
253
        emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, value);
254
        return true;
255
256
257
      /**
258
       * @dev Transfer tokens from one address to another
259
       * Oparam from address The address which you want to send tokens from
260
       * Oparam to address The address which you want to transfer to
261
       * Oparam value uint256 the amount of tokens to be transferred
262
       */
263
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
264
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
265
      //@CTK NO_ASF
266
      /*@CTK "transferFrom correctness"
267
        @tag assume_completion
268
        Opost to != 0x0
269
        @post value <= _balances[from] && value <= _allowed[from][msg.sender]</pre>
270
        @post to != from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from] - value
271
        @post to != from -> __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
272
        @post to == from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from]
273
        @post __post._allowed[from] [msg.sender] == _allowed[from] [msg.sender] - value
274
275
      function transferFrom(
276
        address from,
```





```
277
        address to,
278
        uint256 value
279
      )
280
        public
281
        returns (bool)
282
283
        require(value <= _allowed[from][msg.sender]);</pre>
284
285
        _allowed[from][msg.sender] = _allowed[from][msg.sender].sub(value);
286
        _transfer(from, to, value);
287
        return true;
288
      }
289
290
291
       * @dev Increase the amount of tokens that an owner allowed to a spender.
292
       * approve should be called when allowed_[_spender] == 0. To increment
293
       * allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls (and wait until
294
       * the first transaction is mined)
295
       * From MonolithDAO Token.sol
296
       * Oparam spender The address which will spend the funds.
297
       * @param addedValue The amount of tokens to increase the allowance by.
298
       */
299
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
300
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
301
      //@CTK NO_ASF
302
      /*@CTK "increaseAllowance correctness"
303
        @tag assume_completion
        @post spender != 0x0
304
305
        @post __post._allowed[msg.sender] [spender] == _allowed[msg.sender] [spender] +
            addedValue
306
307
      function increaseAllowance(
308
        address spender,
309
        uint256 addedValue
310
311
        public
312
        returns (bool)
313
314
        require(spender != address(0));
315
316
        _allowed[msg.sender][spender] = (
317
          _allowed[msg.sender][spender].add(addedValue));
318
        emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, _allowed[msg.sender][spender]);
319
        return true;
320
      }
321
322
323
       * Odev Decrease the amount of tokens that an owner allowed to a spender.
324
       * approve should be called when allowed_[_spender] == 0. To decrement
325
       * allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls (and wait until
326
       * the first transaction is mined)
327
       * From MonolithDAO Token.sol
328
       * Oparam spender The address which will spend the funds.
329
       * @param subtractedValue The amount of tokens to decrease the allowance by.
330
       */
331
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
332
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
333
      //@CTK NO_ASF
```





```
/*@CTK "decreaseAllowance correctness"
334
335
        @tag assume_completion
336
        @post spender != 0x0
337
        @post __post._allowed[msg.sender] [spender] == _allowed[msg.sender] [spender] -
            subtractedValue
338
339
      function decreaseAllowance(
340
        address spender,
341
        uint256 subtractedValue
342
343
        public
344
        returns (bool)
345
346
        require(spender != address(0));
347
348
        _allowed[msg.sender][spender] = (
349
          _allowed[msg.sender][spender].sub(subtractedValue));
350
        emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, _allowed[msg.sender][spender]);
351
        return true;
352
      }
353
354
355
      * Odev Transfer token for a specified addresses
356
      * Oparam from The address to transfer from.
357
      * Oparam to The address to transfer to.
358
      * Oparam value The amount to be transferred.
359
      */
360
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
361
362
      //@CTK NO_ASF
363
      /*@CTK "_transfer correctness"
364
        @tag assume_completion
365
        Opost to != 0x0
366
        @post value <= _balances[from]</pre>
367
        @post to != from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from] - value
368
        @post to != from -> __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
369
        @post to == from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from]
370
371
      function _transfer(address from, address to, uint256 value) internal {
372
        require(value <= _balances[from]);</pre>
373
        require(to != address(0));
374
375
        _balances[from] = _balances[from].sub(value);
376
        _balances[to] = _balances[to].add(value);
377
        emit Transfer(from, to, value);
378
379
380
381
       * @dev Internal function that mints an amount of the token and assigns it to
382
       st an account. This encapsulates the modification of balances such that the
383
       * proper events are emitted.
384
       * Oparam account The account that will receive the created tokens.
385
       * Oparam value The amount that will be created.
386
       */
387
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
388
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
389
      //@CTK NO_ASF
390
      /*@CTK "_mint correctness"
```





```
391
        @tag assume_completion
392
        @post account != 0x0
393
        @post __post._balances[account] == _balances[account] + value
394
        @post __post._totalSupply == _totalSupply + value
395
396
      function _mint(address account, uint256 value) internal {
397
        require(account != 0);
398
        _totalSupply = _totalSupply.add(value);
399
        _balances[account] = _balances[account].add(value);
400
        emit Transfer(address(0), account, value);
401
      }
402
403
404
       * @dev Internal function that burns an amount of the token of a given
405
406
       * Oparam account The account whose tokens will be burnt.
407
       * Oparam value The amount that will be burnt.
408
       */
409
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
410
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
411
      //@CTK NO_ASF
412
      /*@CTK "_burn correctness"
413
        @tag assume_completion
414
        @post account != 0x0
415
        @post value <= _balances[account]</pre>
416
        @post __post._balances[account] == _balances[account] - value
417
        @post __post._totalSupply == _totalSupply - value
418
419
      function _burn(address account, uint256 value) internal {
420
        require(account != 0);
421
        require(value <= _balances[account]);</pre>
422
423
        _totalSupply = _totalSupply.sub(value);
424
        _balances[account] = _balances[account].sub(value);
425
        emit Transfer(account, address(0), value);
426
      }
427
428
429
       * Odev Internal function that burns an amount of the token of a given
430
       * account, deducting from the sender's allowance for said account. Uses the
431
       * internal burn function.
432
       * Oparam account The account whose tokens will be burnt.
433
       * Oparam value The amount that will be burnt.
434
       */
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
435
436
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
437
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "_burnFrom correctness"
438
439
        @tag assume_completion
440
        @post account != 0x0
441
        @post value <= _balances[account] && value <= _allowed[account][msg.sender]</pre>
442
        @post __post._balances[account] == _balances[account] - value
443
        @post __post._totalSupply == _totalSupply - value
444
        @post __post._allowed[account][msg.sender] == _allowed[account][msg.sender] -
            value
445
446
      function _burnFrom(address account, uint256 value) internal {
447
        require(value <= _allowed[account][msg.sender]);</pre>
```





```
448
449
        // Should https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/zeppelin-solidity/issues/707 be accepted
450
        // this function needs to emit an event with the updated approval.
451
        _allowed[account][msg.sender] = _allowed[account][msg.sender].sub(
452
453
        _burn(account, value);
      }
454
455 }
456
457
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20Burnable.sol
458
459 /**
460
    * @title Burnable Token
461
     * @dev Token that can be irreversibly burned (destroyed).
462
    contract ERC20Burnable is ERC20 {
463
464
465
      /**
466
       * Odev Burns a specific amount of tokens.
467
       * Oparam value The amount of token to be burned.
468
      */
469
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
470
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
471
      //@CTK NO_ASF
472
      function burn(uint256 value) public {
473
        _burn(msg.sender, value);
474
475
476
477
       * @dev Burns a specific amount of tokens from the target address and decrements
           allowance
478
       * @param from address The address which you want to send tokens from
479
       * Oparam value uint256 The amount of token to be burned
480
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
481
482
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
483
      //@CTK NO_ASF
484
      function burnFrom(address from, uint256 value) public {
485
        _burnFrom(from, value);
      }
486
487 }
488
489
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20Detailed.sol
490
491 /**
492
    * @title ERC20Detailed token
493 * Odev The decimals are only for visualization purposes.
494
     * All the operations are done using the smallest and indivisible token unit,
495
     * just as on Ethereum all the operations are done in wei.
496
497
    contract ERC20Detailed is IERC20 {
498
      string private _name;
499
      string private _symbol;
500
      uint8 private _decimals;
501
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
502
503
     //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
```





```
504
     //@CTK NO_ASF
505
      /*@CTK "ERC20Detailed constructor correctness"
506
        @post __post._name == name
507
        @post __post._symbol == symbol
508
        @post __post._decimals == decimals
509
510
      constructor(string name, string symbol, uint8 decimals) public {
        _name = name;
511
512
        _symbol = symbol;
513
        _decimals = decimals;
514
515
516
517
       * Oreturn the name of the token.
518
519
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
520
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
521
      //@CTK NO_ASF
522
      /*@CTK "ERC20Detailed name correctness"
523
       @post __return == _name
524
525
      function name() public view returns(string) {
526
      return _name;
527
528
529
530
      * Oreturn the symbol of the token.
531
      */
532
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
533
534
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "ERC20Detailed symbol correctness"
535
536
       @post __return == _symbol
537
538
      function symbol() public view returns(string) {
539
       return _symbol;
540
541
542
      /**
543
      * Oreturn the number of decimals of the token.
544
545
     //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
546
     //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
547
      //@CTK NO_ASF
548
      /*@CTK "ERC20Detailed decimals correctness"
       @post __return == _decimals
549
550
551
      function decimals() public view returns(uint8) {
552
        return _decimals;
553
      }
554
555
556
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/access/Roles.sol
557
558 /**
559
    * @title Roles
{
m 560} * Odev Library for managing addresses assigned to a Role.
```





```
562 library Roles {
      struct Role {
563
564
        mapping (address => bool) bearer;
565
566
567
      /**
568
      * @dev give an account access to this role
569
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
570
571
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
572
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "Roles add correctness"
573
574
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
575
        @post role.bearer[account] -> __reverted
576
        @post account != 0x0 && !role.bearer[account] -> !__reverted
577
578
      function add(Role storage role, address account) internal {
579
        require(account != address(0));
580
        require(!role.bearer[account]);
581
582
        role.bearer[account] = true;
      }
583
584
585
586
       * @dev remove an account's access to this role
587
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
588
589
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
590
      //@CTK NO_ASF
591
      /*@CTK "Roles add correctness"
592
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
        @post !role.bearer[account] -> __reverted
593
594
        @post account != 0x0 && role.bearer[account] -> !__reverted
595
      function remove(Role storage role, address account) internal {
596
        require(account != address(0));
597
598
        require(role.bearer[account]);
599
600
        role.bearer[account] = false;
601
      }
602
603
604
       * @dev check if an account has this role
605
       * @return bool
606
607
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
608
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
609
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "Roles has correctness"
610
611
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
612
        @post account != 0x0 -> (!__reverted) && (__return == role.bearer[account])
613
614
      function has(Role storage role, address account)
615
        internal
616
        view
617
        returns (bool)
618
619
        require(account != address(0));
```





```
620
        return role.bearer[account];
621
      }
622 }
623
624
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/access/roles/MinterRole.sol
626
    contract MinterRole {
627
      using Roles for Roles.Role;
628
629
      event MinterAdded(address indexed account);
630
      event MinterRemoved(address indexed account);
631
632
      Roles.Role private minters;
633
634
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
635
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
636
      //@CTK NO_ASF
637
      /*@CTK "MinterRole constructor correctness"
638
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
639
        @post minters.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
640
        @post msg.sender != 0x0 && !minters.bearer[msg.sender]
641
              -> !__reverted && __post.minters.bearer[msg.sender]
642
       */
643
      constructor() internal {
644
        _addMinter(msg.sender);
645
646
647
      modifier onlyMinter() {
648
        require(isMinter(msg.sender));
649
650
651
652
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
653
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
654
      //@CTK NO_ASF
655
      /*@CTK "isMinter correctness"
656
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
657
        @post account != 0x0 -> !_reverted && __return == minters.bearer[account]
658
659
      function isMinter(address account) public view returns (bool) {
660
        return minters.has(account);
661
      }
662
663
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
664
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
665
      //@CTK NO_ASF
666
      /*@CTK "_addPauser correctness"
667
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
668
        @post minters.bearer[account] -> __reverted
669
        @post !minters.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
670
671
        @post account != 0x0 && !minters.bearer[account]
672
              && msg.sender != 0x0 && minters.bearer[msg.sender]
              -> !__reverted && __post.minters.bearer[account]
673
674
675
      function addMinter(address account) public onlyMinter {
676
        _addMinter(account);
677
```





```
678
679
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
680
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
681
      //@CTK NO_ASF
682
      /*@CTK "renouncePauser correctness"
683
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
684
        @post !minters.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
685
        @post msg.sender != 0x0 && minters.bearer[msg.sender]
686
              -> !__reverted && !__post.minters.bearer[msg.sender]
687
688
      function renounceMinter() public {
689
        _removeMinter(msg.sender);
690
691
692
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
693
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
694
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "_addPauser correctness"
695
696
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
697
        @post minters.bearer[account] -> __reverted
698
        @post account != 0x0 && !minters.bearer[account]
699
              -> !__reverted && __post.minters.bearer[account]
700
       */
701
      function _addMinter(address account) internal {
702
        minters.add(account);
703
        emit MinterAdded(account);
704
705
706
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
707
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
708
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "_removePauser correctness"
709
710
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
711
        @post !minters.bearer[account] -> __reverted
712
        @post account != 0x0 && minters.bearer[account]
713
              -> !__reverted && !__post.minters.bearer[account]
714
      function _removeMinter(address account) internal {
715
716
        minters.remove(account);
717
        emit MinterRemoved(account);
718
      }
719 }
720
721 // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20Mintable.sol
722
723 /**
724 * @title ERC20Mintable
725 * @dev ERC20 minting logic
726
727 contract ERC20Mintable is ERC20, MinterRole {
728
729
       * @dev Function to mint tokens
730
       * Oparam to The address that will receive the minted tokens.
731
       * Oparam value The amount of tokens to mint.
732
       * Oreturn A boolean that indicates if the operation was successful.
733
      */
734
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
735
     //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
```





```
736
     //@CTK NO_ASF
737
      /*@CTK mint
738
        @tag assume_completion
739
        @post to != 0
740
        @post __post._totalSupply == _totalSupply + value
741
        @post __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
742
743
      function mint(
744
        address to,
745
        uint256 value
746
747
        public
        onlyMinter
748
749
        returns (bool)
750
751
        _mint(to, value);
752
        return true;
753
      }
754
    }
755
756
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/access/roles/PauserRole.sol
757
758
    contract PauserRole {
759
      using Roles for Roles.Role;
760
761
      event PauserAdded(address indexed account);
762
      event PauserRemoved(address indexed account);
763
764
      Roles.Role private pausers;
765
766
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
767
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
768
      //@CTK NO_ASF
769
      /*@CTK "PauserRole constructor correctness"
770
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
771
        @post pausers.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
772
        @post msg.sender != 0x0 && !pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
773
              -> !__reverted && __post.pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
774
775
      constructor() internal {
776
        _addPauser(msg.sender);
777
778
779
      modifier onlyPauser() {
780
        require(isPauser(msg.sender));
781
782
783
784
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
785
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
786
      //@CTK NO_ASF
787
      /*@CTK "isBurner correctness"
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
788
789
        @post account != 0x0 -> !__reverted && __return == pausers.bearer[account]
790
791
      function isPauser(address account) public view returns (bool) {
792
        return pausers.has(account);
793
```





```
794
795
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
796
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
797
      //@CTK NO_ASF
798
      /*@CTK "_addPauser correctness"
799
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
800
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
801
        @post pausers.bearer[account] -> __reverted
        @post !pausers.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
802
803
        @post account != 0x0 && !pausers.bearer[account]
804
              && msg.sender != 0x0 && pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
805
              -> !__reverted && __post.pausers.bearer[account]
806
       */
807
      function addPauser(address account) public onlyPauser {
808
        _addPauser(account);
809
810
811
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
812
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
813
      //@CTK NO_ASF
814
      /*@CTK "renouncePauser correctness"
815
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
816
        @post !pausers.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
817
        @post msg.sender != 0x0 && pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
818
              -> !__reverted && !__post.pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
819
820
      function renouncePauser() public {
821
        _removePauser(msg.sender);
822
823
824
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
825
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
826
      //@CTK NO_ASF
827
      /*@CTK "_addPauser correctness"
828
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
829
        @post pausers.bearer[account] -> __reverted
        @post account != 0x0 && !pausers.bearer[account]
830
831
              -> !__reverted && __post.pausers.bearer[account]
832
       */
833
      function _addPauser(address account) internal {
834
        pausers.add(account);
835
        emit PauserAdded(account);
836
837
838
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
839
840
      //@CTK NO_ASF
841
      /*@CTK "_removePauser correctness"
842
        @post account == 0x0 -> __reverted
843
        @post !pausers.bearer[account] -> __reverted
844
        @post account != 0x0 && pausers.bearer[account]
845
              -> !__reverted && !__post.pausers.bearer[account]
846
847
      function _removePauser(address account) internal {
848
        pausers.remove(account);
849
        emit PauserRemoved(account);
850
      }
851 }
```





```
852
853 // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/lifecycle/Pausable.sol
854
855 /**
* Otitle Pausable
857 * @dev Base contract which allows children to implement an emergency stop mechanism.
858 */
859 contract Pausable is PauserRole {
     event Paused(address account);
860
861
      event Unpaused(address account);
862
863
      bool private _paused;
864
865
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
866
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
867
      //@CTK NO_ASF
868
      /*@CTK "Pausable constructor correctness"
869
       @post __post._paused == false
870
871
      constructor() internal {
872
       _paused = false;
873
874
875
876
      * Oreturn true if the contract is paused, false otherwise.
877
878
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
879
880
      //@CTK NO_ASF
      /*@CTK "Pausable paused correctness"
881
882
       @post __return == _paused
883
884
      function paused() public view returns(bool) {
885
       return _paused;
886
887
888
      /**
889
      * @dev Modifier to make a function callable only when the contract is not paused.
890
891
      modifier whenNotPaused() {
892
      require(!_paused);
893
      }
894
895
896
897
      * @dev Modifier to make a function callable only when the contract is paused.
898
899
      modifier whenPaused() {
900
       require(_paused);
     _;
}
901
902
903
904
905
       * @dev called by the owner to pause, triggers stopped state
906
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
907
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
908
909
      //@CTK NO_ASF
```





```
910
      /*@CTK "Pausable pause correctness"
911
        @post _paused -> __reverted
912
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
913
        @post !pausers.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
914
        @post !_paused && msg.sender != 0x0 && pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
915
             -> __post._paused
916
917
      function pause() public onlyPauser whenNotPaused {
        _paused = true;
918
919
        emit Paused(msg.sender);
920
921
922
923
       * Odev called by the owner to unpause, returns to normal state
924
925
      //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
926
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
927
      //@CTK NO_ASF
928
      /*@CTK "Pausable unpause correctness"
        @post !_paused -> __reverted
929
930
        @post msg.sender == 0x0 -> __reverted
931
        @post !pausers.bearer[msg.sender] -> __reverted
932
        @post _paused && msg.sender != 0x0 && pausers.bearer[msg.sender]
933
              -> !__post._paused
934
       */
935
      function unpause() public onlyPauser whenPaused {
        _paused = false;
936
937
        emit Unpaused(msg.sender);
938
      }
939 }
940
941
    // File: openzeppelin-solidity/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20Pausable.sol
942
943 /**
944
     * Otitle Pausable token
     * @dev ERC20 modified with pausable transfers.
945
946
947 contract ERC20Pausable is ERC20, Pausable {
948
949
     //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
950
      //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
951
      //@CTK NO_ASF
952
      /*@CTK "ERC20Pausable transfer correctness"
953
        @tag assume_completion
954
        @post to != 0x0
955
        @post value <= _balances[msg.sender]</pre>
956
        @post _paused == false
957
        @post to != msg.sender -> __post._balances[msg.sender] == _balances[msg.sender] -
958
        @post to != msg.sender -> __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
959
        @post to == msg.sender -> __post._balances[msg.sender] == _balances[msg.sender]
960
961
      function transfer(
962
        address to,
963
        uint256 value
964
965
        public
966
        whenNotPaused
```





```
967
         returns (bool)
968
969
         return super.transfer(to, value);
970
971
972
       //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
973
       //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
 974
       //@CTK NO_ASF
       /*@CTK "ERC20Pausable transferFrom correctness"
975
976
         @tag assume_completion
977
         @post to != 0x0
978
         @post value <= _balances[from] && value <= _allowed[from][msg.sender]</pre>
979
         @post _paused == false
         @post to != from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from] - value
980
981
         @post to != from -> __post._balances[to] == _balances[to] + value
982
         @post to == from -> __post._balances[from] == _balances[from]
983
         @post __post._allowed[from][msg.sender] == _allowed[from][msg.sender] - value
984
985
       function transferFrom(
986
         address from,
987
         address to,
988
         uint256 value
989
       )
990
         public
991
         whenNotPaused
 992
         returns (bool)
993
994
         return super.transferFrom(from, to, value);
995
996
997
       //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
998
       //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
999
       //@CTK NO_ASF
1000
       /*@CTK "ERC20Pausable approve correctness"
1001
         @post spender == 0x0 -> __reverted
         @post _paused -> __reverted
1002
1003
         @post spender != 0x0 && !_paused
1004
               -> __post._allowed[msg.sender][spender] == value
1005
1006
       function approve(
1007
         address spender,
1008
         uint256 value
1009
1010
         public
1011
         whenNotPaused
1012
         returns (bool)
1013
1014
         return super.approve(spender, value);
1015
1016
1017
       //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
1018
       //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
1019
       //@CTK NO_ASF
1020
       /*@CTK "ERC20Pausable increaseAllowance correctness"
1021
         @tag assume_completion
1022
         @post spender != 0x0
1023
       @post _paused == false
```





```
1024
      @post __post._allowed[msg.sender] [spender] == _allowed[msg.sender] [spender] +
             addedValue
1025
1026
       function increaseAllowance(
1027
         address spender,
1028
         uint addedValue
1029
1030
         public
1031
         whenNotPaused
1032
         returns (bool success)
1033
1034
         return super.increaseAllowance(spender, addedValue);
1035
1036
1037
       //@CTK NO_OVERFLOW
1038
       //@CTK NO_BUF_OVERFLOW
1039
       //@CTK NO_ASF
1040
       /*@CTK "ERC20Pausable decreaseAllowance correctness"
1041
         @tag assume_completion
1042
         @post spender != 0x0
1043
         @post _paused == false
1044
         @post __post._allowed[msg.sender] [spender] == _allowed[msg.sender] [spender] -
             subtractedValue
1045
1046
       function decreaseAllowance(
1047
         address spender,
1048
         uint subtractedValue
1049
1050
         public
1051
         whenNotPaused
1052
         returns (bool success)
1053
         return super.decreaseAllowance(spender, subtractedValue);
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
     // File: contracts/NETToken.sol
1059
     contract VANToken is ERC20Detailed, ERC20Pausable, ERC20Mintable, ERC20Burnable {
1060
1061
1062
         /**
1063
          * Initial supply is 1 billion tokens.
1064
1065
         uint256 public constant INITIAL_SUPPLY = 1000000000 * (10 ** 18);
1066
1067
1068
          * Constructor that gives msg.sender all of existing tokens.
1069
1070
         constructor() public ERC20Detailed("Vocean Token", "VAN", 18) {
1071
             _mint(msg.sender, INITIAL_SUPPLY);
         }
1072
1073
1074 }
```