Notes on meetings ASC 2021

Maximilian Williams

August 2021

What is this?

Quick notes on what I remember from each meeting.

10th of September 2021

General Notes

1. $p = p_{hydrostatic}$ will not work. It does not account for dynamic pressure terms. We need a more complex method.

2. Read some engineering texts on how they typically deal with these pressure terms

3. Look at the stream function approach in 2D. Should be pretty simple to code this up. Look at the affect of the inner boundry on results of the code.

4. Read the two papers on the lattice-boltzman method. Might look at solving the full 3D problem like this

Main goals for this week

1. A broad understanding of how other people solve these problems

2. A simple 2D simulation

3. An approximate path for solving this problem

23rd of september 2021

General Notes

1. We discussed convection in a box

2. Code I have now looks approximately correct

3. Should look at adding ghost points. These ghost points will allow for a method of images style of temperature boundry condtion. It should also allow for faster processing allowing for simple vector operations.

4. More testing of this simple code should be done

5. Go test if diffusion is working

6. Use the "cone test" to see if advection is working. Take a parcel of fluid and transport it around, see if it deforms by taking it around a circle.

7. I need to think a bit more about the above two

8. Next we looked at modelling this on a disk

9. Its probably not the case that the streamfunction method doesnt give an eligant solution in polar coordinates so keep trying

10. Talking about not being able to access a lot of LBM papers

1st of October 2021

General Notes

1. Clean up the plots so you can actually read them and use a polar projection for the disk code

2. Add velocity arrows and contours to the plots

3. Look closely at the boundries, make sure that I have used the correct boundary conditions

4. My scheme is not stable. This is because of the advection term in the the temperature equation.

5. Look in Numerical Recepies/in the notes for some solutions to this

6. Semi-lagrange Crank-Nickleson scheme is a scheme that I could try, its unconditionally stable and accurate

7. REALLY IMPORTANT: I need to find a scheme that is stable and accurate, without it the base programs will not work

8. Once I get the a stable scheme I should work on LBM code (if I have time)

9. I should also do the checks that my code is working from last week that I didnt do

8th of October 2021

1. Godunov scheme looks about right

2. Look carefully at the conditions for stability and accuracy, make sure they are consistant with the inner core

3. I should probably write everything in dimensionless form (both code and report)

4. Test the advection of my current codes. Set diffusion to 0, manually set the flow to some constant everywhere and see how my initial condition is advected about. Do diagonal, up and down and use the periodic boundry conditions to go back to the beginning position.

5. Things to look for in this test are where the centroid of my shape goes, does the centroid get displaced about the correct amount (I might lag) and how deformed is my initial shape once advected. It should be pretty deformed.

6. If all that works, lets get onto the real stuff.

7. Model internal heating and see if the size of that inner boundry matters. How does do the polar and cartesian compare? Is the critical Reyleigh number about right in these simulations?

8. If all that goes to plan, then LBM begins.