Vignettes for additive_interactions

Maya B. Mathur & Tyler J. VanderWeele

March 8, 2017

Example 1: Exposures positively associated with outcome

Simulate data with two exposures (E1 and E2) and confounder C:

```
n=5000
set.seed(451)
E1 = rbinom(n, size=1, p=0.2) # generate a binary genetic exposure
set.seed(1984)
E2 = rbinom(n, size=1, p=.75)
# binary confounder that is correlated with both exposures
# linear predictor from which to generate C
linpred = 1 + 1.5*E1 + 2.2*E2
# expit to back-transform logits into probabilities
probs = exp(linpred) / (1 + exp(linpred))
# generate C
set.seed(451)
C = rbinom(n, size=1, p=probs)
# true betas for Y
beta0 = log(1)
betaE1 = log(1.17)
betaE2 = log(1.15)
betaE1E2 = log(1.7)
# for generation of Y
linpred = beta0 + betaE1*E1 + betaE2*E2 + betaE1E2*E1*E2
probs = exp(linpred) / (1 + exp(linpred))
set.seed(22)
Y = rbinom(n, size=1, p=probs)
```

First fit the logistic regression model including firstly the main effects and interaction of the exposures of interest, followed by any covariates:

Coefficients:

```
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
               0.03282
                           0.16088
                                     0.204 0.838365
## (Intercept)
                                     1.606 0.108279
## E1
                0.23828
                           0.14837
## E2
                0.07668
                           0.07428
                                     1.032 0.301918
## C
                0.01890
                           0.16113
                                     0.117 0.906634
## E1:E2
                                     3.586 0.000336 ***
                0.61931
                           0.17272
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
  (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
       Null deviance: 6863.1 on 4999
##
                                       degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 6757.5
                             on 4995
                                       degrees of freedom
## AIC: 6767.5
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Then pass it to additive_interactions:

```
additive_interactions(m)
```

```
## Loading required package: msm
```

```
##
      Stat
                  Est
                             CI.lo
                                       CI.hi
                                                  p.val.0 p.val.epi
      RERI 1.19661040 0.65430493 1.7389159 7.636672e-06 0.9981552
        AP 0.47011133 0.30355991 0.6366628 1.580897e-08
                                                                  NΑ
## 3
        E1 0.17411092 -0.05795168 0.4061735 7.071139e-02
                                                                  NA
        E2 0.05157272 -0.04212606 0.1452715 1.403422e-01
                                                                  NA
## 5 E1:E2 0.77431636 0.50702237 1.0416103 6.823338e-09
                                                                  NA
     p.val.suff.cause
##
## 1
            0.2386735
## 2
                   MΔ
## 3
                   NA
## 4
                   NA
## 5
                   NA
```

We can see how inference on the mechanistic interactions becomes less stringent if we assume monotonicity for at least one of the exposures:

```
additive_interactions(m, monotone=1)
```

```
##
## Assuming AT LEAST ONE of exposures has positive monotonic effect
##
##
                  Est
                            CI.lo
                                      CI.hi
                                                  p.val.0 p.val.epi
## 1
     RERI 1.19661040 0.65430493 1.7389159 7.636672e-06 0.2386735
        AP 0.47011133 0.30355991 0.6366628 1.580897e-08
                                                                 NA
        E1 0.17411092 -0.05795168 0.4061735 7.071139e-02
## 3
                                                                 NA
        E2 0.05157272 -0.04212606 0.1452715 1.403422e-01
                                                                 NA
## 5 E1:E2 0.77431636 0.50702237 1.0416103 6.823338e-09
                                                                 NA
    p.val.suff.cause
            0.2386735
## 1
```

```
## 2 NA
## 3 NA
## 4 NA
## 5 NA
```

Example 2: Exposures negatively associated with outcome

Here, the exposure and interaction effects are negatively associated with the outcome, so the function will automatically recode the exposures against new reference levels:

```
# preventive case
# true betas
beta0 = log(1)
betaE1 = log(0.95)
betaE2 = log(0.9)
betaE1E2 = log(0.67)

# for generation of Y
linpred = beta0 + betaE1*E1 + betaE2*E2 + betaE1E2*E1*E2
probs = exp(linpred) / (1 + exp(linpred))
set.seed(22)
Y = rbinom(n, size=1, p=probs)

# put in dataframe since the function will need to recode exposures
d = data.frame(E1, E2, Y)
```

Again, begin by fitting the logistic regression model:

```
m = glm(Y ~ E1*E2 + C, family=binomial(link="logit"), data=d)
summary(m)
```

```
##
## glm(formula = Y ~ E1 * E2 + C, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
##
      data = d)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
      Min
                1Q
                    Median
                                  3Q
                                          Max
## -1.2951 -1.1389 -0.9147
                              1.2164
                                       1.4652
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.27243
                                   1.708
                          0.15955
                                            0.0877 .
## E1
              -0.30693
                          0.14806 -2.073
                                            0.0382 *
## E2
              -0.12166
                          0.07423 - 1.639
                                           0.1012
## C
              -0.24205
                          0.15930 -1.519
                                           0.1286
              -0.25683
                          0.16988 -1.512
## E1:E2
                                          0.1306
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
```

```
## Null deviance: 6905.2 on 4999 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 6848.6 on 4995 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 6858.6
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Pass it, along with the dataframe, to additive_interactions:

```
additive_interactions(m, dat=d, recode=TRUE)
## Warning in additive_interactions(m, dat = d, recode = TRUE): Recoding
## exposures; new reference category for E1 is 1 and for E2 is 1
##
                           CI.lo
                                     CI.hi
                  Est
                                                 p.val.0 p.val.epi
## 1
     RERI -0.2327401 -0.8126261 0.3471460 7.842540e-01
                                                                 1
## 2
        AP -0.1172736 -0.4163216 0.1817744 2.210617e-01
                                                                NA
## 3
        E1 0.7691148 0.2367140 1.3015156 2.317267e-03
                                                                NA
        E2 0.4672678 0.2741135 0.6604221 1.061065e-06
                                                                NA
## 5 E1:E2 -0.2363826 -0.8565017 0.3837365 7.725035e-01
                                                                NA
     p.val.suff.cause
## 1
            0.9999845
## 2
                   NA
## 3
                   NA
## 4
                   NA
## 5
                   NA
```

Note that interpretation of these results after recoding requires care; see Knol et al. (2011) for a detailed example.

References

1. Knol, M. J., VanderWeele, T. J., Groenwold, R. H., Klungel, O. H., Rovers, M. M., & Grobbee, D. E. (2011). Estimating measures of interaction on an additive scale for preventive exposures. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 26(6), 433-438.