#### Main text

- 1. Intro paragraph
  - (a) Describe E-value and why extend to regression
  - (b) If you have raw data, could choose somewhere to dichotomize and use E-value for SMD or RR
  - (c) We provide E-value for cases where only standard regression info is reported
  - (d) Approach involves converting regression results to SMD with well-defined effect size (say why critical for E-value): show table with RR and lower and upper RR
- 2.  $\beta$ -amyloid example
  - (a) .
- 3. Caveats
  - (a) What to do with preventative
  - (b) Multiple regression
  - (c) Be careful with interpretation: depends on  $\Delta$
  - (d) Interpretation: E-value for a  $\Delta$ -unit increase in X and any dichotomization of Y

In general, we would recommend using  $\Delta=1$  in order to assess the E-value for the effect size corresponding directly to the regression coefficient, which represents a 1-unit contrast in X. However, if the units of X are very fine-grained (e.g., if X is blood pressure in mmHg), then a 1-unit increase may not be considered clinically meaningful, and a different choice of  $\Delta$  may be used (e.g.,  $\Delta=10$  to represent an increase in blood pressure of 10 mmHg), which is equivalent to rescaling the regression coefficient. It is imperative to report the choice of  $\Delta$  if it is not taken to be 1, since it directly impacts the size and interpretation of the E-value analog.

# $\beta$ -amyloid example

```
##
                point
                          lower
                                     upper
            0.3783315 0.2887908 0.4956347
## E-values 4.7272287
                             NA 3.4504987
               point
                         lower
                                   upper
            1.037101 0.7406488 1.452211
## E-values 1.233257 1.0000000
               point
                         lower
                                    upper
            0.505352 0.3366446 0.7586062
## RR
## E-values 3.370546
                            NA 1.9658663
                point
                          lower
                                     upper
            0.6880847 0.4813375 0.9836354
## E-values 2.2649736
                             NA 1.1466895
```

# Appendix - NEW VERSION

Cover somewhere:

\*Assumptions inherited from Chinn's conversion from d to log-OR: distrubtion of Y within each outcome group is logistic, but according to Chinn, this is basically the same as normality

#### Converting univariable regression results to Cohen's d

**Lemma 1.** As usual in OLS regression, suppose that  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$  with  $X \coprod \epsilon$  and  $E[\epsilon] = 0$ . Then  $\sigma_Y^2 = Proof$ .

$$\begin{split} \sigma_Y^2 &= E[\sigma_{Y|X}^2] + Var\left(E[Y|X]\right) \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + Var\left(\beta_0 + \beta X\right) \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_X^2 \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + r_{YX}^2 \sigma_Y^2 \\ \sigma_{Y|X}^2 &= \left(1 - r_{YX}^2\right) \sigma_Y^2 \end{split} \tag{homoskedasticity}$$

Thus, QED.

Suppose we estimate the conditional mean of an outcome Y given a continuous exposure of interest, X, via ordinary least squares:

$$E[Y \mid X] = \beta_0 + \beta_X X$$

where  $\beta_0$  denotes the intercept and  $\beta_X$  denotes the estimated coefficient of X. Suppose that the effect size of interest is the increase in Y caused by a  $\Delta$ -unit increase in X.

Assume that (X,Y) are bivariate normal and consider the Cohen's d associated with an increase of  $\Delta$  units in X:

$$\begin{split} d &= \frac{E[Y \mid X = c + \Delta] - E[Y \mid X = c]}{\sigma_{Y \mid X}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\beta^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_{X} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^{2}}} \end{split}$$

where the denominator comes from a well-known property of the bivariate normal distribution.

An approximate standard error can be derived using the delta method. Let  $z_{fis} = XXX$  be the Fisher-transformed correlation, which is approximately normal with variance  $\frac{1}{N-3}$ . Define the transformation:

$$\begin{split} g(z_{fis}) &= d = \frac{\Delta \mathrm{tanh}\left(z_{fis}\right)}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \mathrm{tanh}^2\left(z_{fis}\right)}} \\ SE_d &\approx \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(z_{fis})} \left(g'\left(z_{fis}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N - 3}} \times \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{\mathrm{sech}^2(z_{fis})}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{(N - 3)\left(1 - \rho_{XY}^2\right)}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{(N - 3)\left(1 - \beta^2 \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_Y^2}\right)}} \end{split}$$

### E-value for a univariable regression

$$RR \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}}\right)$$

CI:

$$RR_{lb} \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}} - 1.78 \times SE_d\right)$$
$$= RR_{ub} \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}} + 1.78 \times SE_d\right)$$

## Converting multivariable regression results to Cohen's d

Extend the regression model in (EQUATION) to include arbitrary measured covariates Z:

$$\widehat{E}[Y \mid X, \mathbf{Z}] = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_X X + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathbf{Z}}' \mathbf{Z}$$

where  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_Z$  denotes a vector of estimated coefficients for  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

$$\begin{split} R_{Y \sim X|Z}^2 &= 1 - \frac{SSE_{full}}{SSE_{red}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\sigma_{Y|X,\mathbf{Z}}^2}{\sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}^2} \\ \sigma_{Y|X,\mathbf{Z}}^2 &= \sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}^2 \left(1 - R_{Y \sim X|Z}^2\right) \end{split}$$

DOES THIS USE MVN ASSUMPTION? FLESH OUT THIS STEP. SEEMS LIKE WE ONLY NEED NORMAL ERRORS.

$$\begin{split} d &= \frac{E[Y \mid X = c + \Delta, \mathbf{Z}] - E[Y \mid X = c, \mathbf{Z}]}{\sigma_{Y \mid X, \mathbf{Z}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y \mid \mathbf{Z}} \sqrt{1 - R_{Y \sim X \mid Z}^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - R_{Y \sim X \mid Z}^2}} \end{split}$$

Unlike in the univariable case, a simple relationship between  $\beta$  and  $R^2_{Y \sim X|Z}$  is not available with additional distributional assumptions, so both quantities are needed to approximate d.

Note that you can use partial correlation or partial R<sup>2</sup> from regression table.

Standard error: Going to be hard because inference for  $R_{YX|Z}^2$  won't be available. For an approximation, can use  $Var\left(z_{YX|Z}^f\right) \approx Var\left(z_{YX}^f\right) = \frac{1}{N-3}$ 

- describe what to do for preventive: just set delta to be negative?
- say that, for univariable case, if SD of X isn't available, can set Delta = SD of X

## References