Approximating Cohen's d **from Regression Results**

Maya B. Mathur^{1,2*}, Peng Ding³, Corinne A. Riddell⁴, and Tyler J. VanderWeele^{1,5}

¹ Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

²Quantitative Sciences Unit, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

³Department of Statistics, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA

⁴Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, CA

⁵Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

*: Corresponding author: mmathur@stanford.edu Quantitative Sciences Unit (c/o Inna Sayfer) 1070 Arastradero Road Palo Alto, CA 94305

Type of manuscript: Research Letter

Keywords: software, code, sensitivity analysis, confounding

Running head: "Package and Website for E-Values"

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Reproducibility: No data analyses were conducted.

Source of funding: MM was supported by National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship 32 CFR 168a. PD was supported by IES Grant R305D150040 from the Institute for Education Science and DMS grant 1713152 from the National Science Foundation. CAR received salary support from McGill University's Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Occupational Health. TVW was supported by NIH grant ES017876. The funders had no role in the design, conduct, or reporting of this research.

Main text

- 1. Intro paragraph
 - (a) Describe E-value and why extend to regression
 - (b) If you have raw data, could choose somewhere to dichotomize and use E-value for SMD or RR
 - (c) We provide E-value for cases where only standard regression info is reported
 - (d) Approach involves converting regression results to SMD with well-defined effect size (say why critical for E-value): show table with RR and lower and upper RR
- 2. β -amyloid example
 - (a) .
- 3. Caveats
 - (a) What to do with preventative
 - (b) Multiple regression
 - (c) Be careful with interpretation: depends on Δ
 - (d) Interpretation: E-value for a Δ -unit increase in X and any dichotomization of Y

In general, we would recommend using $\Delta=1$ in order to assess the E-value for the effect size corresponding directly to the regression coefficient, which represents a 1-unit contrast in X. However, if the units of X are very fine-grained (e.g., if X is blood pressure in mmHg), then a 1-unit increase may not be considered clinically meaningful, and a different choice of Δ may be used (e.g., $\Delta=10$ to represent an increase in blood pressure of 10 mmHg), which is equivalent to rescaling the regression coefficient. It is imperative to report the choice of Δ if it is not taken to be 1, since it directly impacts the size and interpretation of the E-value analog.

β -amyloid example

```
##
                point
                           lower
## RR
            0.3783315 0.2887908 0.4956347
## E-values 4.7272287
                              NA 3.4504987
               point
                          lower
                                    upper
            1.037101 0.7406488 1.452211
## E-values 1.233257 1.0000000
##
               point
                          lower
                                    upper
            0.505352 0.3366446 0.7586062
## RR
```

Approximating Cohen's d

E-values 3.370546 NA 1.9658663

point lower upper

RR 0.6880847 0.4813375 0.9836354

E-values 2.2649736 NA 1.1466895

Appendix

Cover somewhere:

- Assumptions inherited from Chinn's conversion from d to log-OR: distrubtion of Y within each outcome group is logistic, but according to Chinn, this is basically the same as normality
- Describe what to do for preventive: just set delta to be negative?
- Say that, for univariable case, if SD of X isn't available, can set Delta = SD of X

Univariable regression

Lemma 1. Under the standard OLS framework, suppose that $Y = \beta_0 + \beta X + \epsilon$ with $X \coprod \epsilon$ and $E[\epsilon] = 0$. Then $\sigma_{Y|X}^2 = (1 - \rho_{YX}^2) \sigma_Y^2$.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_Y^2 &= E[\sigma_{Y|X}^2] + Var\left(E[Y|X]\right) \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + Var\left(\beta_0 + \beta X\right) \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_X^2 \\ &= \sigma_{Y|X}^2 + \rho_{YX}^2 \sigma_Y^2 \\ \\ \sigma_{Y|X}^2 &= \left(1 - \rho_{YX}^2\right) \sigma_Y^2 \end{split} \tag{homoskedasticity}$$

Suppose that the effect size of interest is the increase in Y caused by a Δ -unit increase in X, and consider the Cohen's d associated with an increase of Δ units in X:

$$\begin{split} d &= \frac{E[Y \mid X = c + \Delta] - E[Y \mid X = c]}{\sigma_{Y \mid X}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{YX}^{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\beta^{2} \sigma_{X}^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \rho_{YX}}{\sigma_{X} \sqrt{1 - \rho_{YX}^{2}}} \end{split}$$

An approximate standard error can be derived using the delta method, treating σ_X as known. Let $z^f = \operatorname{arctanh}(\rho)$ be the Fisher-transformed correlation, which is approximately normal with variance $\frac{1}{N-3}$. Define the transformation:

$$g(z^f) = d = \frac{\Delta \tanh\left(z^f\right)}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \tanh^2(z^f)}}$$

$$SE_d \approx \sqrt{\text{Var}(z^f)} \left(g'\left(z^f\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N - 3}} \times \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{\text{sech}^2(z^f)}}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{(N - 3)\left(1 - \rho_{XY}^2\right)}}$$

$$= \frac{\Delta}{\sigma_X \sqrt{(N - 3)\left(1 - \beta^2 \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_Y^2}\right)}}$$

$$= \frac{d}{\rho_{YX} \sqrt{N - 3}}$$

To obtain an approximate E-value, we can approximately convert the point estimate to a relative risk (VanderWeele and Ding 2017):

$$RR \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}}\right)$$

Approximate confidence interval limits are (VanderWeele and Ding 2017):

$$RR_{lb} \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}} - 1.78 \times SE_d\right)$$
$$= RR_{ub} \approx \exp\left(0.91 \times \frac{\Delta \rho_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sqrt{1 - \rho_{XY}^2}} + 1.78 \times SE_d\right)$$

Multivariable regression

Extend the regression model to include arbitrary measured covariates ${\bf Z}$:

$$E[Y \mid X, \mathbf{Z}] = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_{\mathbf{Z}}' \mathbf{Z}$$

where β_Z denotes a p-vector of estimated coefficients for \mathbf{Z} . Let $R^2_{Y \sim X|Z}$ be the coefficient of partial determination of Y on X, controlling for \mathbf{Z} (equivalently, the squared partial correlation). Then:

$$\begin{split} R_{Y \sim X|Z}^2 &= 1 - \frac{SSE_{full}}{SSE_{red}} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{(N - p - 2) \cdot \sigma_{Y|X,\mathbf{Z}}^2}{(N - 2) \cdot \sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}^2} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{\sigma_{Y|X,\mathbf{Z}}^2}{\sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}^2} \\ &\approx 1 - \frac{\sigma_{Y|X,\mathbf{Z}}^2}{\sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}^2} \end{split} \tag{n » p)} \end{split}$$

where the second line follows from unbiasedness of the mean squared error for the error variance. Then, an approximate Cohen's d is:

$$\begin{split} d &= \frac{E[Y \mid X = c + \Delta, \mathbf{Z}] - E[Y \mid X = c, \mathbf{Z}]}{\sigma_{Y \mid X, \mathbf{Z}}} \\ &= \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y \mid \mathbf{Z}} \sqrt{1 - R_{Y \sim X \mid Z}^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\Delta \beta}{\sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1 - R_{Y \sim X \mid Z}^2}} \end{split}$$

Because $\sigma_{Y|\mathbf{Z}}$ is not commonly reported, the final line provides a conservative lower bound on d using the more commonly reported σ_Y . Unlike in the univariable case, a simple relationship between β and $R^2_{Y \sim X|Z}$ is not available with additional distributional assumptions, so both quantities are needed to approximate d.

To estimate the standard error, we first assume the following approximate analogs to exact relationships in the univariable setting:

$$\left(\beta \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y}\right)^2 \approx R_{Y \sim X|Z}^2$$

$$\mathrm{Var}(z_{YX \bullet Z}^f) \approx \mathrm{Var}(z_{YX}^f) = \frac{1}{N-3}$$

where $z_{YX\bullet Z}^f=\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\sqrt{R_{Y\sim X|Z}^2}\right)$ is the Fisher-transformed partial correlation. Then, proceeding algebraically and applying the delta method as in the univariable case, we obtain:

$$SE_d = \frac{d}{\sqrt{R_{Y \sim X|Z}^2(N-3)}}$$

This approximate standard error appears to perform very well in simulations across a number of scenarios, including those with high correlations between \mathbf{Z} and X and between \mathbf{Z} and Y.

References

VanderWeele, Tyler J, and Peng Ding. 2017. "Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the E-Value." *Annals of Internal Medicine* 167 (4). Am Coll Physicians: 268–74.