

Linear Algebra

Sartaj UI Hasan



विद्याधनं सर्वधनं प्रधानम्

**Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Jammu
Jammu, India - 181221**

Email: sartaj.hasan@iitjammu.ac.in

Lecture 28

(Oct 15, 2019)

Linear Transformation

Isomorphism of Vector Spaces

Definition: A linear transformation $T : V \longrightarrow W$ is said to be an **isomorphism** if it is injective (one-one map) and surjective (onto map). In this case, V is said to be **isomorphic** to W and denoted as $V \cong W$.

Examples:

- The linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}_3[t] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ defined by $T(a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + a_3t^3) = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)$ is an isomorphism and hence, $\mathbb{R}_3[t] \cong \mathbb{R}^4$.
- The linear transformation $T : M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ defined by $T\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = (a, b, c, d)$ is an isomorphism and so, $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^4$.

Proposition 27

Let V and W be finite-dimensional vector spaces.

- Ⓐ An isomorphism $T : V \longrightarrow W$ takes any arbitrary basis of V to a basis of W .
- Ⓑ Conversely, if a linear transformation $T : V \longrightarrow W$ takes some basis of V to a basis of W , then it is an isomorphism.

Proof of Proposition 27

Proof of Part (a): Given that $T : V \longrightarrow W$ is an isomorphism. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be any basis of V . Consider now the set $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\} = B$, say. We need to show that B is basis of W , i.e., $\text{Span} B = W$ and B is L.I.

- **Span $B = W$:** The containment $\text{Span} B \subseteq W$ is obvious. We need to prove the other containment. To this end, let $w \in W$. Then $w = T(v)$ for some $v \in V$ (by surjectivity of T). Now, v can be uniquely expressed as $v = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$. Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= T(v) = T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) \\ &= c_1 T_1(v_1) + \dots + c_n T_1(v_n) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $w \in \text{Span } B$ and hence $\text{Span} B = W$.

- **B is L.I.:** Suppose that $c_1 T_1(v_1) + \dots + c_n T_1(v_n) = 0$. Therefore, $T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = 0$, which implies that $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n \in \text{Ker } T$. But T being injective, $\text{Ker } T = \{0\}$ and thus, $c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n = 0 \implies c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0$, since v_i 's are L.I.

Proof of Proposition 27 (Conti'd)

Proof of Part (b): Suppose T takes some basis of V to a basis of W . Need to show that T is surjective and injective. Let $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V , which is being mapped to a basis $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ of W .

- **T is injective:** It is enough to prove that $\text{Ker } T = \{0\}$. Let $v \in \text{Ker } T$ be an arbitrary element, i.e., $T(v) = 0$. Now, v , being in V , can be uniquely expressed as $v = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$. Thus,
$$T(v) = 0 \implies T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n) = 0 \implies c_1 T_1(v_1) + \dots + c_n T_1(v_n) = 0 \implies c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0,$$
 since the vectors $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$ are LI being the elements of basis of W . Hence $v = 0$, which implies that $\text{Ker } T = \{0\}$.
- **T is surjective:** Suppose $w \in W$. Then:
$$w = c_1 T_1(v_1) + \dots + c_n T_1(v_n) = T(c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n),$$
 which implies that $w \in \text{Range } T$.