

## Real Analysis Assignment 12-A2

Ans-1 Given :-  $\vec{V} = (2xy + z^2)\hat{i} + (2yz + x^2)\hat{j} + (2xz + y^2)\hat{k}$

To show :- vector function  $\vec{V}$  is a conservative vector field.

we know that if  $\vec{V}$  is a conservative vector field then  $\vec{V}$  will be irrotational

i.e.  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V} = \vec{0}$  (Curl of  $\vec{V}$  is 0)

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V} =$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \vec{V}_x & \vec{V}_y & \vec{V}_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial \vec{V}_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \vec{V}_y}{\partial z} \right) \hat{i} - \left( \frac{\partial \vec{V}_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \vec{V}_x}{\partial z} \right) \hat{j} + \hat{k} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{V}_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \vec{V}_x}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$\text{As } \vec{V} = (2xy + z^2)\hat{i} + (2yz + x^2)\hat{j} + (2xz + y^2)\hat{k} \quad (\text{given})$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{V}_x = 2xy + z^2, \quad \vec{V}_y = 2yz + x^2, \quad \vec{V}_z = 2xz + y^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_z}{\partial y} = 2y$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_y}{\partial x} = 2x$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_y}{\partial z} = 2y$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_x}{\partial y} = 2x$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_z}{\partial x} = 2z$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{V}_x}{\partial z} = 2z$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Now putting values of all in  $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{V}$ ,

$$= (2y - 2y)\hat{i} - (2z - 2z)\hat{j} + (2x - 2x)\hat{k}$$

$$= \vec{0}$$

Hence it is showed that  $\vec{V}$  is a conservative vector field.



To find  $\phi$  - Scalar potential of  $\vec{\nabla}(\phi)$   
s.t.  $\vec{\nabla} = \vec{\nabla}\phi$

we know that  $\vec{\nabla}\phi = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\hat{i} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\hat{j} + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}\hat{k}$

Now on comparing it with  $\vec{\nabla}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} = V_x = 2xy + z^2$$

Now on integrating it,

$$\phi(x, y, z) = x^2y + z^2x + f(y, z) \quad -1)$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} = 2yz + x^2$$

Now on integrating it,

$$\phi(x, y, z) = y^2z + x^2y + f_2(x, z) \quad -2)$$

$$\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} = V_z = 2xz + y^2$$

Now on integrating it,

$$\phi(x, y, z) = xz^2 + y^2z + f_3(x, y) \quad -3)$$

Now on comparing ①, ② and ③ simultaneously,  
 $f_1(y, z) = y^2z$ ,  $f_2(x, z) = xz^2$ ,  $f_3(x, y) = x^2y$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(x, y, z) = x^2y + z^2x + y^2z + C \quad \text{Ans.}$$



Ans-2 Given a Right circular cylinder

To prove a- Gauss Divergence theorem for the right circular cylinder i.e.  $\oint \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{s} = \int_V \nabla \cdot \vec{F} dv$

Proof a- LHS

$$\oint \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{s} = \sum \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} \Delta S$$

$\Rightarrow$  The sum is over all the points  $(p, \phi, z)$  around which the infinitesimal volume is considered

The contribution from the  $\phi dp dz$  side will be given by,

$$\Rightarrow F_\phi(p, \phi + d\phi, z) dp dz - F_\phi(p, \phi, z) dp dz$$

$$= \frac{\partial F_\phi}{\partial \phi} p d\phi dp dz$$

$\Rightarrow$  Contribution from the  $dp p d\phi$  surface will be,

$$= [F_z(p, \phi, z + dz) - F_z(p, \phi, z)] dp p d\phi$$

$$= \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} dz dp p d\phi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \text{Contribution from the } dz \, \rho \, d\phi \text{ surface,} \\
 &F_p(\rho + d\rho, \phi, z) \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi - F_p(\rho, \phi, z) \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi \\
 &= [F_p(\rho + d\rho, \phi, z) - F_p(\rho, \phi, z)] \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi \\
 &= \frac{\partial F_p}{\partial \rho} \, d\rho \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Now summing the contribution from the infinitesimal volume} = \frac{\partial F_x}{\partial \rho} \, \rho \, d\phi \, d\rho \, dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \frac{\partial F_p}{\partial \rho} \, d\rho \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi + \frac{\partial F_z}{\partial z} \, dz \, \rho \, d\phi \, d\rho \\
 &= (\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F}) \, dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow \text{Summing up over all the points, we get} \\
 \oint \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{s} &= \sum \vec{F}_i \cdot d\vec{s}_i = \sum \vec{F}_i \cdot \hat{n}_i \cdot \Delta S_i \\
 &= \sum \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} \, dv \\
 &= \int_V \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{F} \, dv = \text{RHS}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{LHS} = \text{RHS}$$

Hence proved.



Ans-3 To prove 8-  $\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}$

Proof 8- we will first calculate LHS and RHS and then prove them equal.

$$\text{Let } \vec{A} = A_x \hat{i} + A_y \hat{j} + A_z \hat{k}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_x & A_y & A_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) \hat{i} - \hat{j} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) \hat{k}$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A})$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} & - \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) & \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) \hat{i} + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) \right) \hat{j} + \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) \right) \hat{k}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{i}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{j}$$

$$+ \left( -\frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y \partial z} \right) \hat{k}$$

$$= \text{LHS}$$



Now solving RMS

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \right) \hat{i}$$

$$+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \right) \hat{j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \right) \hat{k}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x \partial z} \right) \hat{i} + \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y \partial z} \right) \hat{j}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{k}$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} = \nabla^2 A_x \hat{i} + \nabla^2 A_y \hat{j} + \nabla^2 A_z \hat{k}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{i}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{j}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{k}$$

Now doing  $\vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{i}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z^2} \right) \hat{j}$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial x \partial z} + \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 A_z}{\partial y^2} \right) \hat{k}$$

we can clearly see that LHS = RHS

Hence it is proved that

$$\vec{\nabla} \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \vec{\nabla}(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}$$



Ans-4 To show b-  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2z^3-1}{z^2+1} = \infty$

In order to prove that,  
let  $f(z) = \frac{2z^3-1}{1+z^2}$

If we prove that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{f(\frac{1}{z})} \rightarrow 0$  then it will

automatically prove that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2z^3-1}{z^2+1} = \infty$

$$f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{\frac{2}{z^3}-1}{1+\frac{1}{z^2}} = \frac{2-z^3}{z(1+z^2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z(1+z^2)}{2-z^3}$$

$$\text{let } z = x + iy$$

As  $z \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$  we are approaching to origin.

So we know limit only exists if we find a unique value when approaching origin from all possible directions.

1) Approaching along y-axis i.e.  $x=0$   

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{iy(1+(iy)^2)}{2-(iy)^3} = \frac{iy(1-y^2)}{2+iy^3} = 0$$

2) Approaching along x-axis i.e.  $y=0$   

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x(1+x^2)}{2-x^3} = 0$$

3) Approaching along line  $y=mx$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow 0$   

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(x+imx)(1+(x+imx)(x+imx))}{2-(x+imx)(x+imx)(x+imx)} = 0$$

Since we get 0 as a unique solution to the limit when we approach origin from all directions. Hence the limit exists and is equal to zero.

So by this it is proved that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2z^3-1}{1+z^2} = \infty$$



Ans-5 To show  $f(z) = \sqrt{r} e^{i\theta/2}$   
Then  $f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}}$

$$\text{As } f(z) = \sqrt{r} (\cos \theta/2 + i \sin \theta/2) \\ = \sqrt{r} \cos \theta/2 + i \sqrt{r} \sin \theta/2$$

$$\text{let } f(z) = u(r, \theta) + i v(r, \theta)$$

Firstly we will check whether  $f(z)$  is differentiable or not by CR equations.

For polar form, CR equations (Cauchy Riemann equations) -

$$r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \quad -1) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = -r \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} \quad -2)$$

$$1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \cos \theta/2$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} = \sqrt{r} \cos \theta/2 \times \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{2 \cos \theta/2}{2\sqrt{r}} = \sqrt{r} \cos \theta/2 \times \frac{1}{2}$$

Hence proved the first one.

$$2) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = \sqrt{r} \sin \theta/2 \times \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \sin \theta/2$$

$$-\sqrt{r} \sin \theta/2 \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \sin \theta/2$$

Hence proved.

This proves that  $f(z)$  is differentiable.

Now we know by these equations that

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} - i \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \cos \theta/2 - i \frac{1}{2\sqrt{r}} \sin \theta/2$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1}} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \times \frac{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1}} \times (\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2f(z)}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Shown.

Ans-6 Given :-  $f(z) = e^{-\theta} \cos(\ln r) + i e^{-\theta} \sin(\ln r)$   
 where  $r > 0, 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$

To show :-  $f'(z) = i f(z)$

Proof :-  $f(z) = e^{-\theta} (\cos(\ln r) + i \sin(\ln r))$   
 we know by Euler form,  $\cos \phi + i \sin \phi = e^{i\phi}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow f(z) &= e^{-\theta} e^{i \ln r} \\
&= e^{-\theta} e^{\ln r i} = r^i e^{-\theta}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } z = r e^{i\theta}$$

$$z^i = r^i e^{i^2 \theta} = r^i e^{-\theta}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) = z^i$$

Taking log on both sides,

$$\ln(f(z)) = \ln(z^i) = i \ln z$$

It is to be noted that  $\ln(f(z))$  is a function, so it can be differentiated but  $\ln z$  is not directly differentiated and written as  $\frac{1}{z}$ .  
 we will first have to prove it.

$$d(\ln z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln(z + \Delta z) - \ln z}{\Delta z}$$

$$= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \ln \left( \frac{z + \Delta z}{z} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\Delta z}{z}}{1} \right)$$

we know that  $\ln(1+x)$  when  $x \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta z}{z} \right)}{\frac{\Delta z}{z}} = \frac{\Delta z}{z \times \Delta z} = \frac{1}{z}$$



$$\ln(f(z)) = i \ln z$$

Now differentiating on both sides,

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = i \times \frac{1}{z}$$

$$f'(z) = i \frac{f(z)}{z}$$

Hence proved.