

Chapter 8: Relational Database Design

Part II. Normalization

Goals of Normalization

- Let R be a relation scheme with a set F of functional dependencies.
- Decide whether a relation scheme R is in “good” form.
- In the case that a relation scheme R is not in “good” form, decompose it into a set of relation scheme $\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$ such that
 - each relation scheme is in good form
 - the decomposition is a lossless-join decomposition
 - Preferably, the decomposition should be dependency preserving.

First Normal Form

- Domain is **atomic** if its elements are considered to be indivisible units
 - Examples of non-atomic domains:
 - ▶ Set of names, composite attributes
 - ▶ Identification numbers like CS101 that can be broken up into parts
- A relational schema R is in **first normal form** if the domains of all attributes of R are atomic
- Non-atomic values complicate storage and encourage redundant (repeated) storage of data
 - Example: Set of accounts stored with each customer, and set of owners stored with each account
 - We assume all relations are in first normal form (and revisit this in Chapter 22: Object Based Databases)

First Normal Form (Cont'd)

- Atomicity is actually a property of how the elements of the domain are used.
 - Example: Strings would normally be considered indivisible
 - Suppose that students are given roll numbers which are strings of the form *CS0012* or *EE1127*
 - If the first two characters are extracted to find the department, the domain of roll numbers is not atomic.
 - Doing so is a bad idea: leads to encoding of information in application program rather than in the database.

Second Normal Form

- From Exercise 8.17
- A functional dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is called a **partial dependency** if there is a proper subset γ of α such that $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$. We say that β is *partially dependent* on α . A relation schema R is in **second normal form (2NF)** if each attribute A in R meets one of the following criteria:
 - It appears in a candidate key.
 - It is not partially dependent on a candidate key.

Boyce-Codd Normal Form

A relation schema R is in BCNF with respect to a set F of functional dependencies if for all functional dependencies in F^+ of the form

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$$

where $\alpha \subseteq R$ and $\beta \subseteq R$, at least one of the following holds:

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is trivial (i.e., $\beta \subseteq \alpha$)
- α is a superkey for R

Example schema *not* in BCNF:

instr_dept (ID, name, salary, dept_name, building, budget)

because *dept_name* \rightarrow *building*, *budget*
holds on *instr_dept*, but *dept_name* is not a superkey.

Third Normal Form

- A relation schema R is in **third normal form (3NF)** if for all:

$$\alpha \rightarrow \beta \text{ in } F^+$$

at least one of the following holds:

- $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ is trivial (i.e., $\beta \in \alpha$)
- α is a superkey for R
- Each attribute A in $\beta - \alpha$ is contained in a candidate key for R .

(**NOTE:** each attribute may be in a different candidate key)

- If a relation is in BCNF it is in 3NF (since in BCNF one of the first two conditions above must hold).
- Third condition is a minimal relaxation of BCNF to ensure dependency preservation (will see why later).