COMPSCI 371 Homework 9

Group Members: Mayur Sekhar, Jai Kasera, Rithvik Neti

Problem 0 (3 points)

Part 1: Correlation and Convolution

Problem 1.1 (Exam Style)

$$\mathtt{conv}(x,h,\mathtt{'full'}) = [6,17,8,5,18,22,4]$$
 $\mathtt{conv}(x,h,\mathtt{'valid'}) = [8,5,18]$ $\mathtt{conv}(x,h,\mathtt{'same'}) = [17,8,5,18,22]$ $\mathtt{corr}(x,h,\mathtt{'full'}) = [3,16,11,4,14,24,8]$ $\mathtt{corr}(x,h,\mathtt{'valid'}) = [11,4,14]$ $\mathtt{corr}(x,h,\mathtt{'same'}) = [16,11,4,14,24]$

Problem 1.2 (Exam Style)

$$\mathtt{conv}(a,b,\texttt{'valid'}) = egin{bmatrix} 15 & 16 \ 31 & 18 \end{bmatrix} \ \mathtt{corr}(a,b,\texttt{'valid'}) = egin{bmatrix} 16 & 19 \ 36 & 28 \end{bmatrix}$$

Part 2: Gradients of a Convolution

Problem 2.1 (Exam Style)

$$C_f = egin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 2.2 (Exam Style)

$$C_v = egin{bmatrix} h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \end{bmatrix} \ C_s = egin{bmatrix} h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem 2.3 (Exam Style)

$$X_f = egin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \ x_2 & x_1 & 0 \ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \ x_4 & x_3 & x_2 \ x_5 & x_4 & x_3 \ 0 & x_5 & x_4 \ 0 & 0 & x_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 2.4 (Exam Style)

$$J_x = rac{\partial y}{\partial x} = C_f = egin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 & h_1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_3 & h_2 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_h = rac{\partial y}{\partial h} = X_f = egin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \ x_2 & x_1 & 0 \ x_3 & x_2 & x_1 \ x_4 & x_3 & x_2 \ x_5 & x_4 & x_3 \ 0 & x_5 & x_4 \ 0 & 0 & x_5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 2.5 (Exam Style)

Given that $g_y = rac{\partial l}{\partial y}$:

$$g_x = rac{\partial l}{\partial x} = C_f^\intercal g_y = egin{bmatrix} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot rac{\partial l}{\partial y}$$

$$g_h = rac{\partial l}{\partial h} = X_f^\intercal g_y = egin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot rac{\partial l}{\partial y}$$

Problem 2.6 (Exam Style)

The operation $g_x = C_f^{\mathsf{T}} g_y$ corresponds to the full correlation of g_y with h (kernel not flipped).

• Type: Full Correlation

 $\bullet \ \ \text{Operands:} \ g_y \ \text{and} \ h$

• $g_x = \operatorname{conv}(g, h, 'valid')$

The operation $g_h=X_f^{\rm T}g_y$ corresponds to the full correlation of g_y with x (kernel not flipped).

- Type: Full Correlation
- Operands: g_y and x
- $g_h = \operatorname{conv}(g, x, 'valid')$

Part 3: A Neural Network Classifier

```
In [1]: from types import SimpleNamespace
   import torch
   import torch.nn as nn
   import torch.nn.functional as fct
   import torchvision
   import torchvision.transforms as transforms
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
   import numpy as np
   from math import prod
%matplotlib inline
```

```
In [2]: def cifar_10_loaders(data_root='./data', batch_size=4):
             transform = transforms.Compose(
                 [transforms.ToTensor(),
                  transforms.Normalize((0.5, 0.5, 0.5), (0.5, 0.5, 0.5))])
             train set = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(
                 root=data_root, train=True, download=True, transform=transform
             )
             test_set = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(
                 root=data_root, train=False, download=True, transform=transform
             class names = (
                 'plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'horse', 'ship', 'truck'
             loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
                 train_set, batch_size=1, shuffle=False, num_workers=2
             iterator = iter(loader)
             images, _ = next(iterator)
             shape = np.array(images.size()[1:])
             train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
                 train_set, batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=False, num_workers=2
```

```
test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
                 test_set, batch_size=batch_size, shuffle=False, num_workers=2
             return SimpleNamespace(
                 train=train loader, test=test loader, classes=class names, input sha
             )
 In [3]: loaders = cifar_10_loaders()
        Downloading https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~kriz/cifar-10-python.tar.gz to ./dat
        a/cifar-10-python.tar.gz
        100%| 170498071/170498071 [00:06<00:00, 25848220.34it/s]
        Extracting ./data/cifar-10-python.tar.gz to ./data
        Files already downloaded and verified
 In [4]: def show_sample(loader, classes):
             iterator = iter(loader)
             images, labels = next(iterator)
             images = images / 2 + 0.5
                                        # un-normalize
             array = images.numpy()
             n = array.shape[0]
             plt.figure(figsize=(7, 2), tight_layout=True)
             for k, a in enumerate(array):
                 plt.subplot(1, n, k + 1)
                 plt.imshow(np.transpose(a, (1, 2, 0)))
                 plt.xticks([])
                 plt.yticks([])
                 plt.xlabel(classes[labels[k]], fontsize=16)
             plt.show()
In [13]: class SimpleNet(nn.Module):
             def __init__(self, input_shape, convolutions=False):
                 super().__init__()
                 self.convolutions = convolutions
                 image_pixels = np.copy(input_shape[1:])
                 if self.convolutions:
                     self.conv1 = nn.Conv2d(3, 6, 5)
                     self.pool = nn.MaxPool2d(2, 2)
                     self.conv2 = nn.Conv2d(6, 16, 5)
                     for j in range(2):
                         image_pixels -= 4
                         image_pixels //= 2
                     m = 16 * prod(list(image_pixels))
                     self.fc1 = nn.Linear(m, 120)
                     self.convolutions = False
                     input size = prod(list(input shape))
                     self.fc1 = nn.Linear(input_size, 120)
```

```
self.fc2 = nn.Linear(120, 84)
self.fc3 = nn.Linear(84, 10)

def forward(self, x):
    if self.convolutions:
        x = self.pool(fct.relu(self.conv1(x)))
        x = self.pool(fct.relu(self.conv2(x)))

x = torch.flatten(x, 1)
x = fct.relu(self.fc1(x))
x = fct.relu(self.fc2(x))
x = self.fc3(x)
return x
```

Problem 3.1 (Exam Style)

Overall, the purpose of the for loop is to iterate through the two convolution-pooling layers in the network. The loop essentially applies the dimension transformations of the convolution and pooling layers to the image. This is done by the two lines explained below.

image_pixels -= 4: this line is subtracting 4 from the image size, specifically 4 from both the height and the width. For each convolutional layer, the kernel size is 5x5 which reduces 4 pixels, 2 from each side.

image_pixels //= 2: this is line is dividing both the height and width of the image by 2, because each pooling layer is using 2x2 nonoverlapping windows to reduce the number of dimensions.

Problem 3.2

Calculations for convolutions = False:

First Fully Connected Layer:

```
Input size = 3*32*32=3072. Thus, the number of parameters = 3072*120+120=368760
```

Second Fully Connected Layer:

```
84 * 120 + 84 = 850
```

Third Fully Connected Layer:

$$84 * 120 + 84 = 10164$$

Calculations for convolutions = True:

First Fully Connected Layer:

We start with an image size of 32x32. After the first convolution-pooling layer, this becomes 32x32 --> (32-4) x (32-4) --> 28x28 --> 28/2 x 28/2 --> 14 x 14. After the second convolution pooling layer, this becomes 14x14 --> (14-4)x(14-4) --> 10x10 --> (10/2)x(10/2) --> 5x5. Thus, our number of parameters = (5*5*16*120) + 120 = 48120

Second Fully Connected Layer:

$$84 * 120 + 120 = 10164$$

Third Fully Connected Layer:

$$84 * 10 + 10 = 850$$

First Convolutional Layer:

3 input channels, 6 output channels, kernel size is 5x5. This gives us (3*6*5*5)+6=456

Second Convolutional Layer:

6 input channels, 16 output channels, kernel size is 5x5. This gives us (6*16*5*5)+16=2416

Total parameters

For convolutions = False, summing up the values we get 368760 + 850 + 10164 = 379,774

For convolutions = True, summing up the values we get 48120+10164+850+456+2416=62,006

These 2 numbers corroborate the numbers given in the problem statement.

Problem 3.3

```
In [14]:
    def train(
        model, loader, epochs,
        start_epoch=0, learning_rate=0.001,
        momentum=0.9, print_interval=2000
):
```

```
loss_function = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
             optimizer = torch.optim.SGD(
                 model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum
             fmt = '[{:2d}, {:5d}] risk over last {} mini-batches: {:.3f}'
             for epoch in range(epochs):
                 running risk = 0.
                 for i, batch in enumerate(loader, 0):
                     images, labels = batch
                     optimizer.zero_grad()
                     predictions = model(images)
                     risk = loss function(predictions, labels)
                      risk.backward()
                     optimizer.step()
                      running_risk += risk.item()
                     if i % print_interval == print_interval - 1:
                          block_risk = running_risk / print_interval
                          ep = epoch + start_epoch
                          print(fmt.format(ep + 1, i + 1, print_interval, block_risk))
                          running_risk = 0.
In [15]: def evaluate(model, loader, set_name):
             correct, total = 0, 0
             with torch.no grad():
                 for batch in loader:
                     images, labels = batch
                     outputs = model(images)
                     _, predicted = torch.max(outputs.data, 1)
                     total += labels.size(0)
                     correct += (predicted == labels).sum().item()
             accuracy = 100 * correct / total
             print('{} accuracy {:.2f} percent'.format(set_name, accuracy))
             return accuracy
In [16]: def experiment(data_loaders, convolutions, epochs):
             model = SimpleNet(data_loaders.input_shape, convolutions=convolutions)
             print('training')
             train(model, data_loaders.train, epochs=epochs)
             print('evaluating')
             training_accuracy = evaluate(model, data_loaders.train, 'training')
             test_accuracy = evaluate(model, data_loaders.test, 'test')
             return training_accuracy, test_accuracy
In [17]: wo_train_acc, wo_test_acc = experiment(loaders, False, 1)
```

```
training
[ 1, 2000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.910
[ 1, 4000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.735
[ 1, 6000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.649
[ 1, 8000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.607
[ 1, 10000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.592
[ 1, 12000] risk over last 2000 mini-batches: 1.571
evaluating
training accuracy 47.25 percent
test accuracy 46.18 percent
```

The training accuracy of the model with convolution layers was 47.69%, and the test accuracy was 46.86%. For the model without convolution layers, the training accuracy was 47.25% and the test accuracy was 46.18%. Because of this, the model with convolutions gives better test accuracy by 0.68%, and generalizes better since its test accuracy is higher and its training accuracy is higher as well.

Problem 3.4 (Exam Style)

Yes, the model shows an overall tendency to overfit because as the epochs become larger, the training accuracy tends to increase while the validation accuracy tends to decrease. This means that the model is overfitting to the training data as we run more epochs and this overfitting is causing the validation accuracy to go down.

For best test-time performance, I would stop after 10 epochs because the validation accuracy is at its highest, of around 62%.

Problem 3.5 (Exam Style)

The statistical accuracy of this predictor is 10%, if it ignores its input and predicts a class drawn uniformly at random from all 10 possible classes.

The predictors in the previous problems all have a test/validation accuracy that is greater than 10%, so yes, they do perform better than the blind predictor.